

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

May 6, 2011 Vol. 38, No. 18

www.larouche.com \$10.00

Zepp-LaRouche Issues Call To Defeat Green Fascism
Glass-Steagall Would Stop Geithner Protection Racket
The Real Authors of 9-11 Are Still At Large

**What Nancy Pelosi Knows:
Nerobama Is Going Down!**



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: *Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,*

Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,

Edward Spannaus, Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey

Steinberg, William Wertz

Editor: *Nancy Spannaus*

Managing Editors: *Bonnie James, Susan Welsh*

Science Editor: *Marjorie Mazel Hecht*

Technology Editor: *Marsha Freeman*

Book Editor: *Katherine Notley*

Graphics Editor: *Alan Yue*

Photo Editor: *Stuart Lewis*

Circulation Manager: *Stanley Ezrol*

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: *Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele Steinberg*

Economics: *John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker, Paul Gallagher*

History: *Anton Chaitkin*

Ibero-America: *Dennis Small*

Law: *Edward Spannaus*

Russia and Eastern Europe: *Rachel Douglas*

United States: *Debra Freeman*

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: *Javier Almaro*

Berlin: *Rainer Apel*

Copenhagen: *Tom Gillesberg*

Houston: *Harley Schlanger*

Lima: *Sara Madueño*

Melbourne: *Robert Barwick*

Mexico City: *Gerardo Castilleja Chávez*

New Delhi: *Ramtanu Maitra*

Paris: *Christine Bierre*

Stockholm: *Hussein Askary*

United Nations, N.Y.C.: *Leni Rubinstein*

Washington, D.C.: *William Jones*

Wiesbaden: *Göran Haglund*

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirns@larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

Webmaster: *John Sigerson*

Assistant Webmaster: *George Hollis*

Editor, Arabic-language edition: *Hussein Askary*

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly (50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc., 709-A 8th St. SE, Washington, D.C. 20003. (703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach 1611, D-65006 Wiesbaden, Germany; Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany Tel: 49-611-73650

Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>

e-mail: eirna@eirna.com

Director: Georg Neudekker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11, basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark. Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail: eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR, Ave Morelos #60-A, Col Barrio de San Andres, Del. Azcapotzalco, CP 02240, Mexico, DF. Tel: 5318-2301, 1163-9734, 1163-9735.

Copyright: ©2011 EIR News Service. All rights reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement #40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Managing Editor

When Lyndon LaRouche addressed an international webcast on April 11, 2009, with the title, “President Obama’s ‘Narcissus Syndrome,’” many of us thought that LaRouche had “gone too far.” Looking back at that speech from the vantage point of two years hence, it is clear that LaRouche was actually understating the case against Obama. As this issue documents that case against our Neronic President and his London masters, it is now clear that there is no time left: Obama must go *now*, and we must eliminate the power of the British imperial financial oligarchy that runs him.

Look first at our *Cover Story*: “Nancy Pelosi Knows: Nerobama Is Going Down!” in which eyewitness accounts are cited, describing a complete meltdown by the President at a San Francisco fundraiser. Obama blew up when a protest broke out at the event, angrily confronting Pelosi, and demanding to know whether the Democratic House Leader was responsible for the disruption—and this in front of hundreds of witnesses! While Obama may get a short-lived bump-up in the polls from the killing of Osama bin Laden, his increasingly obvious mental breakdown will quickly send those numbers tumbling.

The singular act that will ensure the end of Obama’s White House residency, and the defeat of his bankster string-pullers, is the enactment of Glass-Steagall. A bill (HR 1489) to reinstate the FDR-era measure is now before the House of Representatives, introduced by Marcy Kaptur (D-Ohio), and gaining more co-sponsors each day. In *Economics*, you will read in, “Glass-Steagall Would Stop Speculation: Geithner Runs Protection Racket for Goldman Sachs,” how the Treasury Secretary, fronting for the White House, has pulled out all the stops to prevent passage of the measure.

On the other side of the trans-Atlantic pond, in Germany, mass insanity has broken out, as the once-and-former industrial powerhouse is overtaken by British-run Green madness. Our *Feature* leads with an emergency call by Helga Zepp-LaRouche to join her in resisting this horror, about which we will have much more to say in our next issue.

Our *International* coverage looks at the twisted doctrine known as “Responsibility To Protect” (R2P), promoted by the nefarious and ubiquitous moneybags George Soros, which intends to loose perpetual war across the globe.

And there is lots more, so read on.



Cover This Week

*Not even Osama
can save Obama
now. He is a
gone bunny.*



White House/Pete Souza

4 What Nancy Pelosi Knows: Nerobama Is Going Down!

An incident in San Francisco, where President Obama succumbed to a fit of rage against Nancy Pelosi, has demonstrated for even the most loyal Obama supporters, that the President is suffering a paranoid meltdown. One senior Democratic official admitted, “This is very serious.” For many Washington insiders, the words of Lyndon LaRouche’s April 11, 2009 webcast, warning of Obama’s Narcissus syndrome, which they dismissed at the time, have now come back to haunt them. They are beginning to confront the fact that LaRouche is right again, and that the crisis of the Presidency can only be solved by invoking Section 4 of the 25th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

6 Glass-Steagall: The Only Solution to the Crisis

8 Real Authors of 9/11 Are Still at Large

The killing of Osama bin Laden by U.S. Special Forces May 1 has done nothing to bring to justice the true authors of that horrific crime, nor to eliminate the networks they deploy to carry out terrorist acts.

10 Will Obama Treat the Bay Area Like Haiti?

Feature

13 No to Global ‘Gleichschaltung’: Make June 17 the Day of German Resistance

A call by Helga Zepp-LaRouche to resist the takeover of the former industrial and scientific powerhouse of Germany; the nation of Schiller, Beethoven, and Einstein, by an insane mob of British-run Green fascists.

24 Germany: Poster-Child for the Club of Rome?

The Federal Scientific Advisory Board for Global Environmental Changes (WBGU) is an eco-fascist body established to spread fear and irrationality among the German population, so that they will accept a Malthusian order of “limits to growth.”

Economics

29 Glass-Steagall Would Stop Speculation: Geithner Runs Protection Racket for Goldman Sachs

Geithner's sudden move April 29 to protect \$30 trillion in foreign-exchange-swap derivatives from regulation, prompted Sen. Maria Cantwell, who co-sponsored a bill to restore Glass-Steagall, to exclaim, "The idea that the foreign exchange markets are not at risk is preposterous—we now know that they required multi-trillion-dollar bailouts. Anytime you have a lack of transparency, there is potential for abuse." But Geithner is not listening to Congress; he takes his orders from the Wall Street banksters.

32 European Financial Blowout: Glass-Steagall Is Now in the Debate

International

34 Ending National Sovereignty: The British Empire Is Using 'R2P' To Destroy the U.S.

British stooge George Soros and friends have declared the Westphalian principle of national sovereignty to be outdated and irrelevant. In its place, they are instituting what they euphemistically call, "Responsibility To Protect" (R2P), in other words, the right of Soros's hydra-headed foundations to intervene wherever and whenever it suits.

38 London's Thai Monarchical Outpost Out To Destroy Southeast Asia

The provocation by the Thai military against neighboring Cambodia signals an attempt by the bankrupt British financial empire to create a "ring around China" by breaking up regional alliances.

41 Surprise, Surprise! MI6 Helping al-Qaeda Kill American Troops

Editorial

44 Obama Basking in Osama Demise

What Nancy Pelosi Knows: Nerobama Is Going Down!

Special to EIR

May 2—On April 21, during a reelection campaign fundraiser at San Francisco’s upscale St. Regis Hotel, President Barack Obama totally lost it, when a group of activists interrupted his prepared remarks with a protest song, denouncing the Administration’s treatment of accused Wikileaker, PFC Bradley Manning.

According to eyewitness accounts, once the disruption had ended, the President turned angrily to former Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi, who was seated several chairs away from him, and demanded to know whether she was responsible.

The President’s paranoid targeting of Pelosi did not end there. In a private reception, following the fundraising breakfast, Obama stalked Pelosi, accusing her of betrayal, for refusing to vote for his rotten compromise budget deal with Republicans, and continued to accuse her of staging the singing disruption.

According to a close friend of the Congresswoman, she was so taken aback by the President’s in-her-face abuse, that she was nearly brought to tears.

The incident has radiated throughout Democratic Party political circles, because it clearly reveals that the President is in a paranoid meltdown, typical of someone suffering from a severe narcissistic disorder. As one senior party official put it, “The President is going crazy, and the real campaign has not even started yet. This is very serious.”

For many Washington insiders, who believed that

Lyndon LaRouche was exaggerating when, on April 11, 2009, he publicly called Obama a new Emperor Nero, the recent widely circulating accounts of the President’s mad behavior have provoked a serious soul-searching. How could they have been so blind to the Obama disease?

LaRouche took careful note of the Obama-Pelosi incident, which was far from an isolated outburst. There are a number of reports of similar recent displays of narcissistic madness on the part of the President, which other top Democrats, close to the White House, have described in vivid detail. But the Pelosi incident, involving such a prominent Democratic leader in such a public setting, was, for LaRouche, a singularity, exposing the President’s personality disintegration in a way that is now impossible to hide from the nation’s political movers and shakers.

The unfolding mental breakdown of the President can only be remedied by the invoking of Section 4 of the Constitution’s 25th Amendment, which was ratified in the aftermath of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, precisely to deal with the prospect of a President being so physically or mentally disabled that he cannot continue in office.

LaRouche Speaks Frankly

In discussions with colleagues on April 30, LaRouche elaborated: “Now, it’s happened: This President is on the way out, *now!* He’s finished. The Em-



White House/Pete Souza

Obama is finished, said LaRouche. Only looney bins, like the President himself, his wife, and Valerie Jarrett, are still hard-core believers. Here they are, oblivious to the gathering storm clouds, in March 2009.

peror Nero in the last moment. So go from April 11, 2009 to the present time. That's where we are: *Nero is going down!* And everybody with a brain in Washington knows it. They're frightened by it, but they know it. Only loony bins, like the President himself, his wife, and [White House political advisor Valerie] Jarrett, are still hard-core believers in Obama. Nobody else believes in their reality. The setup is already in the administration for dumping Obama.

"Now, how it's going to happen—that we don't know. But we know that the situation is such, that the United States could not survive unless Obama is dumped. Not only is that a fact, but most people in Washington, who have any clout right now, know that, and accept that as reality. The issue on the table in Washington today is, 'how are we going to dump this guy? And how do we send the two witches to the out-house?'"

"Go through the states: The states that did function a few weeks ago *don't function now*. The Mississippi effect of this storm, is just one example. *These entire states do not function!* And the people in the states, the political forces *in* the states, unless they're absolutely bonkers and insane, know it! You no longer have Re-

publican/Democratic lines of separation in politics. On the state level, everybody knows it. Everybody knows that Jarrett and Mrs. Obama, and Obama, are nuts."

Congress Faces Calamity

Senior Democratic Party officials, veterans of previous White Houses, have emphasized that the devastation hitting parts of the Midwest and Southeast, as the result of tornados and severe flooding, is confronting the Congress, back from the Easter recess, with the unavoidable need to invest in rebuilding the devastated regions. Since many of the states hardest hit by the storms and tornadoes are Republican-majority states, the need for bipartisanship on Capitol Hill is overwhelming—and the Obama White House has already made clear that, while the President sympathizes with the victims

of the disasters, he has no intention of putting emergency funds into the cleanup and rebuilding effort.

A showdown between a growing bipartisan coalition in the Congress and the White House is now on the table, and the weight of the economic crisis now puts the restoration of Glass Steagall front and center—now.

Further adding to the Glass-Steagall momentum, is the fact that both Federal Reserve chairman Ben Bernanke and Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner are pressing for a third quantitative easing (QE3)—an additional \$1 trillion—to bail out the Wall Street banks and their London and continental European counterparts, before the end of the year. Writing in the *Daily Telegraph* on May 2, Liam Halligan warned that Bernanke's recent precedent-setting press conference in Washington "was, in fact, preparing the ground for the start of QE3," adding that, "the Fed's actions are undermining the dollar precisely because that's what the White House wants." On Obama's watch, "America's base money supply—the bedrock of the world's reserve currency—has doubled in little more than two years." It is becoming more and more obvious that every major economic and monetary policy decision taken by Presi-

dent Obama has contributed to the destruction of what little remains of the U.S. real economy.

Glass Steagall Now!

LaRouche again put the situation in the most direct and stark terms:

“Get Obama out, period! That’s the agenda. Because if you don’t get Obama out, you don’t have a country, period! That’s your choice. You want to send the United States down the toilet, and other nations as well? Keep Obama in. And you take the heat for doing that!

“Now, we can give you some suggestions, if you

want to do it. We can give you some very useful suggestions on how to do that: Glass-Steagall. Ram it through. Panic it through! Take the panic that exists, and focus the weight and force of the panic, on that issue. You don’t have a nation! *You don’t have anything that’s yours any more, unless you get Obama out now!*

“Without the enactment of Glass-Steagall *now*, in the United States, there is no possible systemic remedy for the crisis that’s coming down upon us! Unless a revolutionary change, in the policymaking of the United States, back to what it had been at least under the attempts under Kennedy, takes place now, there is no

Glass-Steagall: The Only Solution to the Crisis

The following statement is being circulated by LPAC for endorsement. It is followed by Rep. Marcy Kaptur’s “Dear Colleague” letter asking for support in Congress for H.R. 1489.

The current weight of trillions of dollars in gambling debts, foisted on the U.S. taxpayers in the 2008-11 bailout of Wall Street and the City of London, is currently obliterating and destroying the economy of the United States and its people. We must change course immediately.

The first step is to reinstate Glass-Steagall. Without a return to the original Franklin Roosevelt Glass-Steagall standard, to separate commercial from speculative banking, there is no possibility of the continued existence of the United States, as economist Lyndon LaRouche has insisted.

H.R. 1489, the “Return to Prudent Banking Act of 2011,” is now before the House of Representatives. Its stated purpose is “to . . . revive the separation between commercial banking and the securities business, in the manner provided in the Banking Act of 1933, the so-called ‘Glass-Steagall Act.’”

We, the undersigned, therefore *demand* that Congress immediately act to pass H.R. 1489, and identical legislation in the Senate, as the indispensable first measure to save the nation.

On April 12, Rep. Marcy Kaptur (D-Ohio) issued

this letter to fellow members of the House of Representatives, asking for their support in reinstating the Glass-Steagall law.

Dear Colleague:

I am writing to request your support for H.R. 1489, the Return to Prudent Banking Act. I recently reintroduced this legislation to strengthen our financial system by reinstating Glass-Steagall.

In response to the failure of thousands of banks across the country, Congress enacted the Banking Act of 1933, commonly known as Glass-Steagall, during the height of the Great Depression. This statute safeguarded the American economy for decades by legally separating commercial and investment banking. Such a common sense system provided greater security to banking deposits in commercial banks. Additionally, investment banks were only able to leverage their own funds, limiting the systemic risks of the American citizenry. For decades, Glass-Steagall was a cornerstone of the U.S. financial system, until the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act unwisely completely ended this important financial regulation in 1999.

With the repeal of the Glass-Steagall Act over a decade ago, the U.S. economy was exposed to an intolerable level of risk, and the recent financial crisis was certainly exacerbated by the removal of these safeguards. I believe that we must limit the potential for future economic collapses by returning to a more prudent banking system in which banks must once again choose between investment activities or commercial lending. If you would like more information or would like to become a co-sponsor of H.R. 1489, please contact John Brodtke in my office at john.brodtke@mail.house.gov.

hope of the continued existence of the United States, and therefore, no *raison d'être* for the continued existence of people *in* the United States, as elsewhere.

“The program now is, Glass-Steagall rammed through real quick. Because Glass-Steagall represents the only well-defined piece of action, which can save the United States: It has to be *now!* Immediately!”

Returning to Obama, LaRouche concluded, “He can be removed readily, by the right political action in Washington now. *He can not do anything right!* Everything he does now, is a failure, or worse than a failure. He no longer has any intrinsic authority as President! Despite the fact that he’s a President, by law, he has no authority to change history, *because, he’s controlled in himself,* by the very instincts and compulsions *which ensure his destruction. He is a new Nero, as I warned on April 11, 2009! He is a Nero, of strictly of that type! He was gone, then!*”

“Now, the ability to get him out is there. It doesn’t take much right now. But it has to be taken in a timely fashion, and it has to be the appropriate action: *Get him out!* How do you get him out? Right now, in many states of the United States, there is no longer a Republican versus a Democratic Party; it no longer exists. So, partisanship is dying in that sense—it will come back in a different form. But right now, it’s dying in this form. . . .

“Nothing is going to be done, to save the lives that are now in grave jeopardy, nothing is going to be done to save the crops, on which the existence of many people in the United States depends—*unless he’s out, now!* The planting season is running out of time: Better get him out! Now!”

London and Wall Street Respond

The strategic implications of the President’s narcissistic meltdown have not been lost on those in the City of London and Wall Street who have pulled Obama’s strings throughout this political rise. According to one senior U.S. intelligence official, these international financiers have lost confidence that Obama can put down the Glass-Steagall momentum now building around the nation and on Capitol Hill. And, while they have war-gamed options for defeating the Glass-Steagall drive, they know that if Obama is removed from office, and replaced by Vice President Joe Biden, they lose the whole shebang.

It is in this context, that the May 1 Navy Seal commando assault on Osama bin Laden’s hideout in Pakistan must be assessed. According to both senior intelligence and military sources, as well as White House official accounts of the raid, the U.S. knew, as of August


2010, where bin Laden was hiding. Even taking into account the time required to corroborate the intelligence and plan the operation, the raid on bin Laden could have occurred at any time in the past several months.

Why did it take place on May 1? In LaRouche’s view, one of the primary objectives was to revive Obama’s collapsing Presidency, at the moment when his psychological meltdown could no longer be hidden. The thoroughly engineered media response, and the President’s own late-night carefully crafted announcement, were all about Obama.

The dilemma that Obama’s frantic handlers in London and Wall Street face, now more than ever, is that the President may have a moment of exhilaration, and may briefly bask in the public spotlight as the man who finally brought Osama bin Laden to justice. But when the media-orchestrated euphoria comes to an end in a matter of days, Obama will still be left with a devastated American economy, an impossible financial gambling bubble, and a mass-strike dynamic building in intensity throughout the land. Any good student of psychology knows that once a narcissist reaches an emotional peak, the crash can be all the more devastating.

Lyndon LaRouche ON Glass-Steagall AND NAWAPA:

The North American Water and Power Alliance



“The greatest project that mankind has ever undertaken on this planet, as an economic project, now stands before us, as the opportunity which can be set into motion by the United States now launching the NAWAPA* project, with the preliminary step of reorganizing the banking system through Glass-Steagall, and then moving on from there.”

“Put Glass-Steagall through now, and I know how to deliver a victory to you.”

Subscribe to **EIR Online** www.larouche.org/eiw
1-800-278-3135

For subscription rates: <http://tiny.cc/9odpr>

Real Authors of 9/11 Are Still at Large

by Jeffrey Steinberg

May 2—Despite the fact that U.S. Special Forces have killed Osama bin Laden, the actual authors of the Sept. 11, 2001 attacks are still at large. In the near-decade since the attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, significant evidence has emerged that a much higher-level Anglo-Saudi apparatus, associated with the infamous “Al-Yamamah” arms-for-oil barter deal, was ultimately behind the deadly attacks, and that the intention was to provide the new George W. Bush Administration with a “Reichstag Fire” pretext to rip up the U.S. Constitution and create a war dictatorship over the United States.

At least \$2 billion in payoffs from the Al-Yamamah offshore slush fund were provided to Prince Bandar bin Sultan, then the Saudi Ambassador to the United States, for his pivotal role in brokering the deal between Britain’s BAE Systems and the Saudi Ministry of Defense, beginning in 1985. Funds were transferred from BAE accounts at the Bank of England to Bandar’s embassy accounts at Riggs National Bank in Washington, D.C. And \$50-70,000 of those funds, in turn, were proven to have been provided to at least two of the 9/11 hijackers, Nawaf Alhazmi and Khalid Almihdhar, through two Saudi intelligence officers operating in the San Diego area, Osama Basnan and Omar el-Bayoumi.

When U.S. Senate investigators probing the 9/11 attacks came upon the evidence of the Bandar payoffs to the terrorists, the 28-page section of the Senate report, detailing those links, was censored by the Bush White House, and to this day, those details have been buried under a false national security cover. Bush had already been exposed for his ties to the bin

Laden family, when he allowed a planeload of Saudis, including several prominent members of the bin Laden family, to fly home from the U.S. during the days immediately following the 9/11 attacks, at a time when no civilian airplanes were allowed in U.S. airspace.

The two co-chairs of the Senate Intelligence Committee at the time, Robert Graham (D-Fla.) and Richard Shelby (R-Ala.) publicly denounced the FBI for covering up the “9/11 money trail,” a direct reference to the sealed portions of the Committee report dealing with Bandar. It later emerged that the two San Diego-based 9/11 hijackers who received the Bandar money, were living in the home of an FBI informant. The FBI refused to allow Senate investigators to interview the informant, or the FBI agents who handled his case.

LaRouche’s Warnings and Insights

In January 2001, a full nine months before the 9/11 attacks, Lyndon LaRouche had warned, in written testimony to the U.S. Senate, opposing the confirmation of John Ashcroft as Attorney General, that the incoming Bush Administration would seek the first opportunity to impose a dictatorship over the United States, using the pretext of a terrorist event to justify its actions. In the weeks leading up to the 9/11 attacks, a statement by LaRouche, warning of an imminent terrorist destabilization of the United States, was circulating as a mass-distribution leaflet.



FEMA/Andrea Booher

The killing of Osama bin Laden on May 2, still leaves the higher-ups, who sent the 9/11 terrorists crashing into the World Trade Center (shown here, on Sept. 16, 2001) and the Pentagon, at large.

On Sept. 11, 2001, as the planes were crashing into the World Trade Center towers and the Pentagon, LaRouche was being interviewed by Salt Lake City radio host Jack Stockwell. His initial observations, as events were unfolding, were prescient.

LaRouche warned, based on the real-time news accounts, that the attack would be blamed on Osama bin Laden, and he told Stockwell: “Osama bin Laden is a controlled entity. Osama bin Laden is not an independent force. Remember how he came into existence. Osama bin Laden was a wealthy Saudi Arabian. Back in the 1970s, during the Carter Administration—or shall we say the Brzezinski Administration—the idea of running an Afghanistan war on the borders of Soviet territory was cooked up by Brzezinski as a geopolitical operation. . . .

“And suddenly, now, we find Osama bin Laden becomes the name. And Osama bin Laden could not last, the way he’s running around, if he didn’t have big protection. And it’s not just from a section of the Pakistani government or Afghanistan. It’s from other governments who would like to see the effects that Osama bin Laden produces thrown around.


“So, now you can blame Osama bin Laden. At some point, you go in and kill him, and you say the problem was solved. But you never considered who sent, who created Osama bin Laden, and who protected him, and deployed his forces and name for these purposes. . . . So, in a case like this, don’t assume that the popular names that everybody knows, or that the FBI quotes and so forth, that this is the real problem. They may be part of the problem. . . .

“But what we’re into is a period where the word is not terrorism. Terrorism is a part of the picture. The word is ‘destabilization.’ The problem part, from my standpoint, is, look at our own government.”

Asked by Stockwell what should be done in response to the unfolding attacks, LaRouche responded:

“The United States needs a Franklin Roosevelt, who will say, ‘We have nothing to fear as much as fear itself.’ Yes, we have things to fear, but nothing as much as fear itself. Nothing as much as panic itself. This is the time for cool heads. You do not win wars by panicking, by flight-forward. What I’m afraid of from this White House is, because of its very weakness, it would tend to go into flight-forward.

“Actually, George W. Bush is not exactly a combat veteran. I mean, he may have been in the National Guard, down in Texas, but he’s not the kind of guy



As the 9/11 attack was taking place, Lyndon LaRouche was being interviewed on a radio program, in which he predicted that Osama bin Laden would be blamed, but noted that bin Laden “is a controlled entity.”

you’d want in charge of a major military unit in time of war. You want somebody with a cool head. You want the MacArthurs at time of war. You want commanders like that. You want leaders like that, who do not blow their gaskets, even in the face of the most horrible penalties, do not lose self-control. I’m afraid that the people in Washington are going to delight, and are having a sexual fantasy about losing self-control. They’re going to pull out some kind of favorite horror movie and try to act that out as a scenario. . . .

“Well, the United States, first of all, the President of the United States, or someone who’s next to him, who’s intelligent, should immediately call President Putin of Russia. And between the two of them, they should talk to all the key leaders in France, Germany, Italy, and so forth. Japan, as well. Bring the Chinese in on it. The Chinese will have their own reaction, but bring them in on it, through a group of leaders.

“And say, this has happened in the United States. ‘You guys all know what this kind of thing means. Let’s put this thing, this genie back in the bottle.’ And, that’s what has to be done.

“Then tell the American people you’re doing it. Say, We are not going to allow this kind of situation, which obviously had roots, to continue. We and other nations are going to cooperate to bring this under control.’ That’s what the American people have to hear from the President, or somebody around him, or somebody else

in charge. Maybe Don Rumsfeld, maybe Powell, Colin Powell, is the guy to deliver that message. But somebody's got to deliver that message now. . . .

"Putin would accept a call, of course, from Bush. . . . It's still daytime in Moscow, or evening time—10 hours difference. So, to call him right now. And to call the relevant people in Germany, France, somebody in London. I don't know that that dumb Prime Minister's any good for anything, but—and Italy. And Japan. And China. And a few other countries. Consult with them. Set up a consultative arrangement. Say, we're going to stop this thing now. That's what it takes.

"I think it's perfectly legitimate; see, the President of the United States has certain constitutionally inherent emergency powers. I would not really declare a national emergency—that's probably the wrong thing to do, because it would activate the wrong things. But I would use the emergency powers of the President, and I would use the person of George W. Bush. He's President after all! Forget how he got there—he's President. He has got to, as President, enter into an emergency discussion with prominent leaders of other nations, and to try to bring the world community more or less into agreement—but quickly, and report that agreement to the American people now. Preferably within hours. . . .

"All he has to do, he doesn't have to be a genius, all he has to do is call Putin. And I'm sure that he'd get cooperation from Putin, and would, on that basis, if those two powers, which are the former superpowers, come to an agreement to bring other nations together on a consultative basis—what are we going to do: Stop this show right now, to make sure it doesn't get out of hand. . . .

"Somebody wants this thing to go out of control. That's why they're doing this. This is not an attack; this is a provocation. It's a provocation with an intention behind it. To create a programmed reaction from the institutions of the United States. This is not some dumb guy with a turban some place in the world, trying to get revenge for what's going on in the Middle East. This is something different.

"What's coming is what's going to come in the next days, the next hours. If the President of the United States, with the support of other people, make their own mistake, the world's going to be in Hell. That's the hurdle we've got to get over. If the President of the United States and people around him panic, and react to this, as some of the press leaks so far that I've heard of, are indicating, then this world is going to Hell. Therefore, we have to worry about the next hours."

Will Obama Treat the Bay Area Like Haiti?

by Nancy Spannaus

May 4—In the wake of the massive Japanese earthquake on March 11, which came amidst an intensification of such extreme seismic and weather events worldwide, and especially along the Pacific Rim of Fire, it is clear that the West Coast of the United States is an area of prime concern. Both the San Francisco Bay Area and the Northwest Cascadia Subduction Zone (off the coasts of Oregon and Washington State) are long overdue for major earthquakes, for which they admit they are substantially unprepared.

And what is President Barack Obama doing about this danger? As of this writing, he is presiding over a budget process which is slashing every key component of preparation to forecast the occurrence, or mitigate the results of a disastrous event. This includes cuts in the official agencies for Earthquake Mitigation, cuts in crucial satellite and space programs which can issue warnings and increase understanding of the process leading to such events, and cuts in support for local governments, which are being forced to lay off vital emergency personnel who would be tasked to respond in such a disaster.

Obama is, by all evidence available, prepared to give the U.S. West Coast, and the Bay Area in particular, the "Haiti Treatment." Are the American people going to keep him in office under these conditions?

LaRouche's Political Action Committee has launched a campaign, via www.larouchepac.com, to educate the American people on this threat. We summarize the case here.

The Haiti Model

It is perhaps arguable that the more than 300,000 deaths which occurred in the impoverished island nation of Haiti from a 7 magnitude earthquake in January of 2010 could not have been prevented. But the deaths and immiseration since that time—especially from the cholera epidemic still ravaging the island—have to be laid directly at the doorstep of President Barack Obama.



LPAC-TV

LPAC-TV's special report, "Earthquakes and the Bay Area, presented by Ardena Joy, is a powerful reminder that the densely populated region is totally vulnerable to the long-overdue "Big One," in which hundreds of thousands of lives could be lost. Obama says there is nothing we can do about it.

While providing some military logistical support of immense use to the immediate rescue effort, the Obama Administration *explicitly* refused to act on plans that were put before the President for a program of relocation, infrastructure construction, and public health, which would have moved hundreds of thousands of people out of the Port au Prince capital area, where they were prime targets for the outbreak of disease.

Lyndon LaRouche, who presented one of the plans which Obama rejected, warned at the time, that the refusal to move the population to higher, safer ground would lead to the outbreak of disease, especially once the rainy season hit. So no one could say that the outbreak, a few months later, was a surprise. Today, the disease continues to spread, and, according to the latest reports, the more than half a million Haitians who are still living the slums of Port au Prince are literally "drowning in sewage," thanks to the inaction of the U.S. President (and, of course, the rest of the international community).

The death toll from the diseases since the quake is not actually known—but it ranges in the thousands, and *every single one of them* is on the head of President Barack Obama.

Now, Look at the Bay Area

Situated along the Pacific Rim of Fire, the San Francisco Bay Area sits atop a fault zone composed of seven

faults, the most well-known being the San Andreas Fault, which runs a length of roughly 810 miles through the state of California, and was the fault responsible for the two most famous San Francisco earthquakes—the World Series earthquake of 1989, and the 1906 earthquake.

According to a study conducted by the U.S. Geological Survey, in cooperation with several other California-based geological and emergency services agencies, even the minimal projected damage of an earthquake on the scale of between a magnitude 6.7 and 7.9 in the Bay Area would result in thousands of lives lost, and hundreds of thousands displaced.

The impact studies that were done are constrained by what the Bay Area has experienced in recent earthquakes. But what if an earthquake on the scale of Japan's were to hit the Bay Area? Can we even fathom the impact, if a magnitude 9.0 or higher earthquake were to strike the densely populated California coast.

As LPAC-TV's April 28 special report, "Earthquakes and the Bay Area" (<http://larouchepac.com/node/18056>) pointed out, the Bay Area is home to 7.4 million Americans, living in 101 cities. It is a financial and cultural center for the United States. And it is totally vulnerable in the case of an earthquake disaster. The recent rounds of brutal budget cuts—permitted and encouraged by the Obama Administration—have devastated vital fire and health-care services, both critical in a crisis. There has even been a decision to eliminate the aerial support which the California Fire Service used to have to put out fires from the air.

Back in 1906, when the San Francisco quake hit, estimated to have been between 7.9 and 8.25 magnitude, the infrastructure existed for the evacuation of more than 200,000 people, both through the Southern Pacific Railway and the U.S. Navy. There has been no preparation to carry out such an evacuation today, should warning be given, or even in the aftermath of a quake.

It's not only the Bay Area which is vulnerable, of course. The Cascadia Subduction Zone is also considered long overdue for a quake, and areas much further east, such as the New Madrid Zone along the Missis-



Wikimedia Commons

The recent devastating tornadoes that struck the South and Midwest are more evidence of the extreme turbulence now characterizing our Solar System and galaxy, as seen in this EF-3 tornado as it bears down on Tushka, Okla., April 14, 2011.

sippi, are considered of sufficient danger for the Administration to organize earthquake drills this Spring. Ironically, these drills were significantly disrupted by another “natural” disaster related to the extreme turbulence now characterizing our galaxy and Solar System, the wave of extraordinary tornadoes and driving rains that has struck the Midwest and South Central states.

Concern for the general welfare of our citizens should dictate that the President mobilize, as for war, a crash scientific campaign to study, and work on forecasting such extreme events, so as to move people out of harm’s way. Infrastructure in all these regions should be upgraded. But all of these moves require first putting our financial house in order by reinstating Glass-Steagall, and that, the President is not prepared to do.

Where Does Obama Stand?

Indeed, the President stands *on record* as opposed to taking the necessary actions which could lead to greater understanding of the genesis of disasters such as earthquakes, and also the forecasting of their timing, so as to move to prevent significant loss of life.

Exemplary is a statement he made in the midst of budget negotiations with the Republican and Democratic leaderships of the House, on April 5. He said:

“There are some things that we can’t control. We

can’t control earthquakes; we can’t control tsunamis; we can’t control uprisings on the other side of the world. What we can control is our capacity to have a reasoned, fair conversation between the parties and get the business of the American people done. And that’s what I expect.”

This is not just a statement of “fact”; it’s a lie and statement of intent. Just think of what a President John Kennedy, or a President Franklin Roosevelt, would have said in such a situation, the mobilization of resources they would have set into motion. Also think of the fact

that President Obama is actually *cancelling* the programs which could permit us to forecast earthquakes and tsunamis—if not control them, or prevent them. What such work could indeed do, is to control the disastrous *effect* of such calamities, and save what could be thousands of lives, or more.

That is an endeavour which Barack Obama is not even interested in attempting. Rather, he finds it “easy” to sit down with legislatures and demand that they continue the policy of massive bailouts to the financial institutions which created the crisis of 2007-08, and are currently building up to a new, imminent blowout, and instead, to slash the budgets for vital services and infrastructure for the U.S. economy, particularly its cities and states.

Obama’s approach will kill you either way. It permits the “natural” disaster to proceed without human intervention, and then cuts the legs out from under the resources, like fire and health-care services, which are desperately needed to mitigate the effects. As the LPAC-TV April 28 special emphasized, 90% of the destruction in the 1906 San Francisco earthquake came from fires, not the quake. Would the city be any better prepared today?

The answer is clear: Not if President Barack Obama and the prevailing policy of valuing money over human lives persists. We are currently on course for Obama giving the Bay Area the “Haiti treatment.”

NO TO GLOBAL 'GLEICHSCHALTUNG'

Make June 17 the Day Of German Resistance

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

This call, which is being circulated in Germany by the Civil Rights Solidarity Movement (BüSo), was translated from German.

April 30—This call is directed to all those in Germany who are still able to think rationally and have the courage to stand up for what they know is true.

The purpose of the call is to spark an honest and open discussion among scientists, advocates of a productive society, and other people who are convinced that it is the creative ability of our species that differentiates us from all other living creatures, and that will also enable us in the future to use deeper scientific knowledge to overcome the challenges facing us. The call is also aimed at those who want to ensure that Germany, building on its tradition as the land of poets, thinkers, and inventors, will make its contribution to shaping a just world economic order, in a developing universe.

The whole world was astonished at the German government's solitary reaction to the events in Japan of March 11, when it suspended its decision of Fall 2010 to extend the lifetime of existing nuclear power plants, and took seven older plants offline immediately. Even the establishment daily *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung* called it energy policy brainwashing.

In stark contrast, spokesmen of the governments of Japan, Ukraine, France, the Netherlands, Finland,

Russia, China, India, and South Korea—to name but a few—declared, using different formulations, that the lesson from the Fukushima disaster could only be that we should build the next generation of inherently safe nuclear reactors, such as the high-temperature reactor, as soon as possible, and that we must develop fusion power, but that the use of fission power was essential to supply the energy requirements of mankind for the future.

What had happened in Germany? Why did certain representatives of the coalition parties,¹ which until then had been solid advocates of the indispensability of nuclear energy, for the energy security of Germany, suddenly try to outdo one another with proposals for an accelerated phase-out of nuclear power?² Was it a matter of what [former Chancellor] Helmut Schmidt called the Germans' "basic susceptibility to anxiety" as a result of having lost two world wars, such that the German word *Angst* is well-known all over the world as expressing the Germans' basic disposition?

Or was it tunnel vision, concentrating exclusively on holding on to power at all costs, which drove Chan-

1. The Christian Democratic parties and the Free Democratic Party.

2. In 2000, Germany's Grand Coalition government voted to phase out all nuclear power plants by 2021. In 2010, Angela Merkel, now Chancellor, decided to prolong the life of the plants for 17 years, until "renewable" technologies could fill the gap. About one-quarter of Germany's electricity comes from nuclear plants.



EIRNS/James Rea

The anti-nuclear hysteria in Germany has been fed by decades of media brainwashing. Shown is the huge March 23 demonstration in Berlin, after the earthquake in Japan. The sign reads, “Better active today than radioactive tomorrow.”

cellor Merkel, Christian Mappus, Stefan Lindner³ & Co., before the state elections in Baden-Württemberg and Rhineland-Palatinate to rush into the self-fulfilling prophecy of wanting to appear greener than the greenest Green? Was this capitulation intended to signal that their own former policy had been wrong, and the Greens had been right? And this signal was then rewarded by the fact that it increased the vote for the Greens.

But in addition to these tertiary factors, there is a scandalous state of affairs—largely unnoticed by the public—that underlies the federal government’s turnaround on energy policy: nothing less than an attack by the British Empire on Germany as an industrial nation.

A Green Leviathan?

The proof of this is a monstrous document, which the **Scientific Advisory Council of the Federal Government on Global Environmental Changes (WBGU)** released on April 7, entitled “World in Tran-

3. Mappus (CDU) was the governor of the state of Baden-Württemberg, who was defeated in the March 27 elections there; Lindner is the general secretary of Merkel’s coalition partner, the Free Democratic Party (FDP).

sition—Social Contract for a Great Transformation.” The “Summary for Policymakers” of this new WBGU flagship report is the blunt proposal for global eco-fascism, a green world dictatorship in the tradition of Thomas Hobbes, H.G. Wells, and Carl Schmitt, which aims for the full “decarbonization” of the world energy economy. This means the ultimate elimination of nuclear fission, which they advise against; of nuclear fusion, which might indeed be possible to achieve, but is too complicated; as well as the complete abandonment of fossil fuels such as coal, oil, and natural gas, by 2050.

The study was several years in preparation and is called, interestingly enough, “A Master Plan for Social Transformation” by WBGU chairman **Prof. Dr. Hans Joachim Schellnhuber**. It really should have been called “A Master Plan for Imperial *Gleichschaltung*”⁴ or “A Master Plan for the Collective Suicide of the Human Race.”

That this *Gleichschaltung*, at least in Germany, has already become very far-reaching, was partly due to the completely lockstep media coverage and the unanimous chorus of politicians after the March 11 Japanese earthquake and tsunami. Obviously Schellnhuber could smell which way the wind was blowing; he presented his Master Plan to the federal government, and the relevant ministers, Environment Minister Norbert Roettgen (CDU) and Minister for Education and Research Annette Schavan (CDU), in the current situation, found it “very helpful.”

Were the study’s crude ideas to be realized—and they obviously constitute the basis for the Merkel government’s decision to go for the fastest possible cutback of nuclear energy—this would not only mean the de-

4. The term *Gleichschaltung* refers to the Nazi obliteration, or “leveling,” of political resistance, by mass brainwashing and more ghastly means.



PIK, WBGU

Chancellor Angela Merkel beams as she names Hans Joachim Schellnhuber, Commander of the British Empire (at podium) her climate advisor, Dec. 1, 2006. To her right is Lars Josefsson, head of a Swedish energy company and another climate advisor to Merkel; to her left is Environment Minister Sigmar Gabriel.

struction of Germany as an industrial nation with a battered, but still relatively functional social safety net. Germany would also divorce itself from the world community as a country that could contribute something really significant to solving mankind's existential problems. This would mean voluntarily eliminating the potential for necessary scientific discoveries, because it would divert human and industrial resources, as well as financial resources, to completely pointless low-energy-flux-density technologies. Above all, the intellectual potential of students and researchers would be destroyed by a completely synthetic construct, thus ruining the chance of recovering from this idiotically false doctrine.

The study's methodological approach reflects the statistical, linear thinking behind complex computer models as they are normally used by system analysts, and which we already know from the **Club of Rome** and the **International Institute of Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA)**: The computer is programmed to produce the desired result. The fact that this "Master Plan" sees the light of day just as the United States is facing state bankruptcy, the euro is about to break apart, and the international financial system confronts a hyperinflationary explosion like that in Ger-

many in 1923, and therefore, that this study has a snowball's chance in Hell of a "sustainable" survival, says everything about this Council's connection to reality and its scientific competence.

I can only recommend that all citizens read this study themselves, as quickly as possible, and the "Summary for Policymakers," which is already available, so as not to make the same mistakes that were made in Germany because of a certain other work, published in 1925⁵—namely, that it was not read thoroughly or taken seriously.

What is being proposed here *expressis verbis*, is a "great transformation" in production, consumption patterns, and lifestyles, a change as radical as in the previous two fundamental transformations in world history. These are the transformation from hunting and gathering societies to the invention and spread of agriculture and animal husbandry, the so-called "Neolithic Revolution," and the "Industrial Revolution," meaning the transition from agrarian to industrial society—only this time, the transformation would go backwards, to a "climate-friendly and sustainable world economic order." And that means energy-flux densities, which, although the authors naturally don't say so, mean a return to the population potential of pre-industrial society, or about 2 billion people. For if the developing and so-called emerging countries submit to this edictatorship, the death rate will know no bounds.

To create the "contractual basis" for this new, sustainable world economic order, the authors bombastically demand a new "world social contract," explicitly linked to "models in early modern natural law." The Council does not specifically mention whether it has in mind Rousseau's "social contract," which requires "the total alienation of each associate, together with all his

5. Hitler's *Mein Kampf*.

rights, to the whole community,”⁶ or “The Great Leviathan” of Thomas Hobbes, in which the people transfer their power to the overlords of the state, and in which executive, legislative, and judicial authority are retained by one person, who has a monopoly on power and cannot be dismissed from office.

In any case, the new Leviathan is called for our era “global governance architecture,” whose supreme authority is supposed to be “a UN Council for Sustainable Development, co-equal to the Security Council and reflecting the world of the states in the 21st Century,” constituting a world government with absolute authority.

In the Background: The British Empire

The ubiquitous concept of world dictatorship with imperial structures, in the report, also reveals the roots of this type of thinking, in the new form of Schellnhuber’s study—and especially the *cui bono* of these plans. Who benefits from a green world dictatorship today, in which, despite all the study’s unctuous words, population potential would be reduced to not more than 2 billion people? There is only one entity in the world to which this applies: the British Empire.

By the British Empire, we do not mean the island of Great Britain, but the system of globalization, with its comprehensive network of central banks, hedge funds and investment companies, shadow banks, insurance and re-insurance companies, which, over more than 20 years, has achieved the full deregulation of the financial system. And its headquarters, not by chance, is in the City of London.

This system of globalization, after the bursting of various bubbles, such as the New Market in the IT sector and the U.S. housing market, needs a new bubble, and what would be more lucrative than gigantic investments in the so-called renewable energies and the new “sale of indulgences”—i.e., CO₂ emissions trading—especially if you have first of all shut down the competition: nuclear and coal-powered plants, oil and gas. This casino economy, which is hopelessly bankrupt, needs high rates of profit—Mr. Ackermann’s famous 25%⁷—to keep the bubble alive, and what better way to do that

6. Jean-Jacques Rousseau, *The Social Contract, or Principles of Political Right*, G.D.H. Cole, trans. (London and Toronto: J.M. Dent and Sons, 1923).

7. In 2005, Deutsche Bank CEO Josef Ackermann said his goal was a 25% profit.

than a “great transformation” in which the operators of renewable energy sources make a killing?

The extremely low energy flux-density of “renewables” only permits a massively reduced population potential, which should afford great pleasure to Prince Philip, who has stated publicly that he would like to be reborn as a virus, the better to contribute to population reduction, and whose World Wildlife Fund (WWF) has gained the dubious distinction of being the organization that has, in the past four decades, sabotaged the greatest number of development and infrastructure projects, with well-known catastrophic consequences for the affected populations. Even before the founding of the WWF and the International Union for the Preservation of Nature and their various predecessor organizations, the British monarchy saw great benefits in creating nature parks and reserves, in the name of conservation, but in fact, to keep control over raw materials, to prevent the development of the indigenous populations.

No one has better described the workings of empire than the reactionary theorist of the state Joseph de Maistre, in his 1815 *Letters to a Russian Nobleman*, in which he describes the alleged right of the monarch, who is superior by birth, to keep the common people in check with fear and terror. Since the real intentions are always hidden under an ideological mantle, the affected population internalizes the ideology, and believes it to be their own personal opinion.

A typical example of fear-mongering was the Club of Rome’s Big Lie about the alleged limits to growth, a completely fictitious scenario that deliberately left out the role of scientific and technological progress in defining raw materials, and absurdly represented the universe as a closed system. This concoction, which was thrown onto the market in the early 1970s with a big propaganda campaign, in many languages simultaneously, probably played the most important role in bringing about the ecology movement.

Another such Big Lie is the theory of alleged anthropogenic climate change, which is simply ridiculous, especially considering the impact on our Solar System of galactic cycles that are many orders of magnitude more powerful.

Since the early 1970s, coinciding with the spread of the theses of the Club of Rome, a **Climate Research Unit** was built at **East Anglia University**, which later became notorious for the data manipulation scandal

The “check stub” (below): The Queen deployed Schellnhuber as her agent to convince President G.W. Bush to back the “global warming” hoax. On the right, she is shown with Royal consort and World Wildlife Fund founder Prince Philip, October 2008.

News Sport Comment Culture Business Money Life & ...

News Politics Green politics

US must act over climate says Queen

Mark Townsend and Gaby Hinsliff
The Observer, Sunday 31 October 2004
Article history

The Queen has made a rare intervention in world politics to warn Tony Blair of her grave concerns over the White House’s stance on global warming.

She is understood to have asked Downing Street to lobby the US after observing the alarming impact of Britain’s changing weather on her estates at Balmoral in Scotland and Sandringham in Norfolk. The revelation gives an unusual glimpse into the mind of the monarch, who normally strives to stay above politics.

Further evidence of the Queen’s views on global warming will be seen this week when she opens one of the most high-profile conferences ever staged in Europe on the issue. She is keen for this to be interpreted as a symbolic and political statement.



around **Prof. Phil Jones**,⁸ Schellnhuber, who, in 1991, was one of the founding directors of the Potsdam Institute for Climate Research, and who, in 2001, attended a major conference of the British Royal Society on climate change, played a key role in the creation of East Anglia University’s Tyndall Centre, which he headed from 2001 to 2005, and whose principal mandate was to find “solutions” for climate change—i.e., scenarios for CO₂ reduction.

In his capacity as research director of the Tyndall Centre, Schellnhuber was sent, in early 2004, along with Prime Minister Tony Blair’s top science advisor, **Sir David King**, on behalf of Her Majesty Queen

Elizabeth II, on a very delicate strategic mission to America: to put pressure on the recalcitrant George W. Bush to accept the theory of anthropogenic climate change. The British *Observer* of Oct. 31, 2004 reported on a supposedly “rare intervention in world politics” by the Queen, who had asked Blair to lobby with Bush on the climate change issue, after she noticed the alarming effects—“How shocking!”—of a change in the weather at her castles at Balmoral in Scotland and Sandringham in Norfolk. The Queen was also impressed by her own observations of the documents prepared by Sir David King, who had described the threat of climate change as greater than that of global terrorism.

The *Observer* cited an official U.S. source who said that the White House, after the visit by King and Schellnhuber—and actually because of their offensive appearance—had sent a written complaint to Blair. Obviously, Her Majesty’s emissaries felt they had the authority to explain to the junior partner in the Anglo-American special relationship, that his position on the climate issue was untenable.

In early November 2004, an equally unusual event took place at the British Embassy in Berlin, namely, a **British-German Climate Conference**, which was opened in person by Queen Elizabeth II, and during which Professor Schellnhuber was named an Honorary Commander of the Most Excellent Order of the British Empire (CBE). “And if the Queen considers a subject as worthy of an Order of Merit, then that person could henceforth proudly be called ‘Officer’ or even, ‘Com-

8. E-mails and documents hacked from the University of East Anglia in November 2008 revealed that scientists at this leading center of global warming propaganda were tampering with historical data to make the case for man-made global warming, and suppressing opposition views. Dr. Phil Jones, the head of the Unit, was forced to resign pending an investigation into his manipulation of temperature data to turn cooling into warming.



Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Denmark

Britain's Prince Charles gives the opening speech to the UN Climate Change Conference in Copenhagen, Dec. 15, 2009. German Green leader Renate Künast (right) worked intensively with Schellnhuber to prepare the summit. Below: The LaRouche movement in Denmark greets delegates arriving at the conference.



EIRNS/James Rea



EIRNS

the fact that the financial centers of London and Frankfurt were intended to play a key role, to engage European and global pension funds, insurance experts, and insurance companies in “the climate business.” Tony Blair told the conference by video message that this new Anglo-German alli-

mander of the Order of the British Empire,” wrote Spiegel Online on April 27, in an article about the upcoming wedding of Prince William and Kate Middleton. Did Schellnhuber admit, by his acceptance of the Order—which he could certainly have refused out of republican principle—his status in the Empire? That is exactly what he did.

This conference, which was chaired by **Klaus Toepfer**, in his capacity as director of the United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP), and attended by the environment ministers of Britain (Margaret Beckett) and Germany (Jürgen Trittin), decided that henceforth, the United Kingdom and Germany would take on a new partnership and leadership role on the climate issue.

The conference participants did not even try to hide

ance had to convince the other nations about anthropogenic climate change. Following this conference, the British Embassy, the German Environment Ministry, and the UNEP gave a joint press conference to present the programmatic marching orders.

The chronology of events speaks volumes about the fact that the content and personnel combination of this conference constitute the intellectual roots of the WBGU’s “great transformation.” The so-called decarbonization of the German economy, which would, within the shortest possible period of time, displace Germany’s energy-intensive industries, and therefore break the backbone of the German economy, is a policy which demonstrably comes directly from Queen Elizabeth II and her population-reduction-loving consort Prince Philip. And Schellnhuber, chief advisor on cli-

mate questions to Chancellor Merkel since her days as Environment Minister, has held, since 2004, the highest order of the British Empire. Therefore, this represents a geostrategic grip by the British Empire on Germany as an industrial nation. This is no conspiracy theory, but we see here the Emperor's new clothes. Oh pardon me, naturally, the Queen's new clothes!

Not surprisingly, the Queen's quaint son Prince Charles, whose tea cakes Green party parliamentarian **Renate Kunast** so admired, was just as intensely active as Shellnhuber in the preparations for the December 2009 **Copenhagen Climate Summit**, and they visited each other for its preparation in Potsdam and at St. James Palace.

Fortunately, right before the Copenhagen summit, the East Anglia University e-mail scandal exploded, through which it was exposed, without a doubt, how the **Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)** network of so-called climate scientists had systematically falsified the alleged documentation of anthropogenic climate change. This exposure of the greatest scientific scandal in history, and the fact that the members of the Group of 77 in Copenhagen had no desire to sign on to a "suicide pact," as their spokesman Lumumba Di-Aping put it, led to the total failure of the summit.

Lord Monckton, a former science advisor to British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, explained it this way:

"The Copenhagen Treaty says that it is going to establish a world government. This has been an ambition of certain bureaucrats, certain political groupings, fascists, freemasons, Marxists, for hundreds of years. All these different groups, all at once, or one after another, wanted to achieve world domination. Previously, it was thought it might happen by force, by military force. Now they found a way of doing it by what one might call a bureaucratic coup d'état in the name of saving the planet, which doesn't need to be saved. There is no threat to the climate. They have decided that they can persuade even the free nations of the West to give up their democracy, give up their freedom, and transfer all ultimate economic as well as environmental power to an unelected world government."

After the Copenhagen Summit, not only was the IPCC totally discredited, but China and India especially

had made it clear that they would not subject themselves to the diktat of this world government. Actually, this whole business should have been put to rest, after this disgrace for the liars. But, betting on the short memory of the population and the functionaries of the media dictatorship, the **European Climate Foundation (ECF)**, whose advisory board chairman, naturally, is our British Order winner, Professor CBE Shellnhuber, proceeded immediately to finance the activities of the so-called Climate Alliance (**Klima-Allianz**), to which, for example, belong such organizations as Compact.de, Attac, BUND, and the German branch of Prince Philip's WWF. Whether the subject *du jour* was the shutdown of nuclear power plants or stopping new construction of coal-powered plants, the Stuttgart 21 project, or removing track ballast from the railways to sabotage the transport of Castors [Casks for Storage and Transport of Radioactive Materials, heading for temporary storage], the money for the activists and their equipment flowed abundantly.


And how does the ECF finance itself?

The ECF's 'Financing Partners'

Among those participating in the founding of the European Climate Foundation was **Jamie Cooper**, whose husband, **Chris Hohn**, heads a hedge fund called **The Children's Investment Fund (TCI)**. Another person with a significant role is **George Polk**, who became chairman of the ECF's board of directors. Polk received assistance in founding the ECF from **EcoFin Ltd.** in London, a worldwide investment finance advisor for "renewable investments." EcoFin furnished the ECF with £1 million of startup capital. Polk also heads an initiative "for Climate-Change Technologies and Business Models," into which George Soros promised to invest \$1 billion.

The **Children's Investment Fund Foundation (CIFF)** is based on the money amassed by the hedge fund TCI. In 2008, Hohn's CIFF—headed by wife Jamie—reported a £2.5 million contribution to the ECF. TCI's founder Chris Hohn was formerly a manager with **Lord Jacob Rothschild's** RIT investment fund. In 2005, Hohn and TCI made headlines for having blocked a takeover of the London Stock Exchange by the German Stock Exchange. TCI's legal counsel at the time was Friedrich Merz, who also represented the Appellas real estate fund (tied to Soros), along with other hedge funds which came to Germany

The “financing partners” of the European Climate Foundation include the Arcadia Fund and the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation (note the plug for “population programs” on its website). The Chicago Climate Exchange is raring to go, promoting speculation in climate change futures.



heir of the Swedish firm Tetra Pak, who liquidated her share of the family fortune and transferred it to London, as a tax dodge. Sitting on the advisory board of Arcadia Fund is Lord Jacob Rothschild himself, who bears the “Grand Cross of the Order of the British Empire.”

The **William and Flora Hewlett Foundation** is not just a “funding partner” of the ECF, but also participated in founding the **ClimateWorks** organization, a funding vehicle for climate-change activism, as well as being another of the ECF’s “funding partners.” The Hewlett Foundation has committed itself to subsidizing ClimateWorks for the next five years, to the tune of \$100 million annually. George Polk is a senior advisor to ClimateWorks.

Other ECF “funding partners” include the **Oak Foundation** of the Geneva-based **Alan M. Parker**, who made his billions with duty-free shops, and who was one of the early investment partners in Soros’s Caribbean hedge funds; and the Geneva-based **McCall McBain Foundation** of Canadian billionaire **John McCall McBain**.

in 2004-05 in order to create a speculative bubble similar to those which have meanwhile burst in Spain and Ireland.

According to the **Arcadia Fund**’s website, in 2008, it gave \$5 million to the ECF, which went toward financing campaigns against coal-fired power plants in Germany and Great Britain. “Our contribution to the European Climate Foundation will help strengthen these new organizations, and to positively influence environmental decision-making in the EU. Furthermore, Arcadia’s contribution will support the organization’s campaigns against coal as an energy source in the United Kingdom and in Germany.” The foundation is based on funds provided by **Lisbet Rausling**,

And here we come to the preparations for what we identified earlier as the British Empire’s assault against Germany as an industrial nation. The European Climate Foundation played, and continues to play, a decisive role. It was founded in 2007-08 in connection with preparations for the Copenhagen Climate Summit, in order to raise funds from super-rich circles, bundle the proceeds, and then pass them on to selected climate propaganda groups.

Shortly after the ECF was founded, the Klima-Allianz, which was formed in 2007, and of which, as we

have already stated, WWF-Deutschland, BUND, and Campact.de, are members, received EU500,000 from the ECF. This startup capital equipped these groups' activists, enabling them to build up an organizational infrastructure. In 2008 and 2009, the ECF gave an unknown sum of money to campact.de, BUND, and WWF-Deutschland, to finance protest demonstrations and legal challenges against the building of new coal-fired power plants.

And thus, we have the following astounding picture:

- Back in 2004, Queen Elizabeth II considered Professor Schellnhuber as the best man for a sensitive operation to pressure President George W. Bush into agreeing to the anthropogenic climate-change swindle.

- Also in 2004, the Queen traveled to Berlin to open the German-British Climate Conference, on which occasion she dubbed Professor Schellnhuber a Commander of the Order of the British Empire.

- With Professor Schellnhuber as chairman of the advisory board, the ECF, from 2007 on, generously funded German "climate activists" from the above-named sources, while at the same time, Schellnhuber was working with the EU Commission on guidelines for the reduction of CO₂ emissions.

- As Angela Merkel's current energy advisor, Shellnhuber bears the main responsibility for inducing Merkel to make the climate-change question the top agenda item during Germany's presidency of the EU in 2007—something which not only wrecked Germany as an industrial nation, but also seriously compromised Merkel's personal integrity as a scientist.

- In 2009, Schellnhuber, in close collaboration with Prince Charles, coordinated preparations for the Copenhagen Climate Conference; the conference failed, after representatives of developing and emerging countries realized that the intention behind the climate question was massive population reduction.

- And in 2011, in his capacity as chairman of the WBGU, Shellnhuber presented a master plan for a "Social Contract for a Great Transformation," a proposal for establishing a worldwide eco-fascist order!

So, we can now justifiably ask: Could it possibly be, that Professor Schellnhuber is a British agent of influence? One could just as easily ask: If something digs like a mole, smells like a mole, makes noises like a



Creative Commons/Olivier

A French geophysicist estimates that it would take 30 million of these windmills in France to replace its nuclear energy grid.

mole, and looks like a mole, perhaps it might, in fact, be a mole?

Rational Debate, Not Media Hysteria

It has been no easy matter for the population to judge the current situation rationally, given the hysteria created Germany's lock-stepped media. Television shows have almost exclusively featured "experts" from Greenpeace and aging '68ers from sundry eco-institutions. And if one were to believe the shrill cries of anchorwomen scarcely encumbered with scientific knowledge, one might believe that there had been at least a dozen super-disasters in Japan.

No, what we need is a rational discussion about the actual situation of Germany, and of our planet. Claude Allègre, a geophysicist and France's former Minister of Education and Research, recently remarked that it would take 30 million windmills in France to replace the country's nuclear energy grid. The planned "decarbonization" of Germany would

assume similar proportions, covering the country with ugly transmission lines and “asparagusizing”⁹ whatever landscape has been spared up to now. As the German Federation of Industry’s Hans-Peter Keitel has just warned in the daily *Handelsblatt*, Germany’s renunciation of nuclear power would mean a 222% rise in energy prices for the economy’s energy-intensive sectors by the year 2017, putting these branches’ very existence into question.

The sheer shortsightedness and destructiveness of the current energy debate have been clearly demonstrated once again by the decision of the Bundesrat, Germany’s upper house of parliament, to cease all funding for fusion energy research at the Greifswald facility, under the pretext that there is to be “no continuing research on new types of reactors.” No less insane, is the sabotage of financing for the ITER international nuclear fusion research program, under the excuse that it would be taking funds away from research into renewable energy sources. Thomas Klinger, head of the Greifswald stellarator project, has warned that the shortfalls will soon make the gap between living standards, energy requirements, and dwindling raw materials unbridgeable.

The Actual Threat

But in a much more profound sense, the “Great Transformation” of decarbonizing the global energy sector, along with the rejection of nuclear fission and fusion, would be tantamount to sacrificing the future of the entire human species. The reason Japan suffered a magnitude 9.0 earthquake and a series of violent aftershocks, and the reason the entire so-called Pacific Ring of Fire region will continue to be ravaged, has to do with the cyclical processes of our Solar System and our galaxy, and their effects on the electromagnetic spectrum and complex physical-chemical processes.

Fossil evidence provides us with evidence of the correlation between the approximately 62-million-year galactic cycle,¹⁰ whose phase-changes have led to increased volcanic eruptions and seismic activity, along with significant increases and decreases in biodiversity. During the last change approximately 65 million years

ago, the Cretaceous-Tertiary event, the dinosaurs, along with the majority of all other species, became extinct. If man is to avoid a similar fate, we must strengthen in ourselves, the quality which distinguishes us from other living organisms: our creative reason, and thus our power to understand and master the laws of the universe.

On the occasion of the 50th anniversary of Yuri Gagarin’s legendary first space flight, which opened a new chapter in the history of mankind, Russian Prime Minister Vladimir Putin also spoke about the significance of space flight for our ability to forecast earthquake events. “Our colleagues have told us that we detected certain precursors of the earthquake which shook Japan,” he said. “And we know all too well the consequences of that catastrophe. In this context, of course we also need serious programs for space exploration. There is still much that we must learn about the way Nature functions. And without space research programs, and without space industry, that will be impossible.” Putin also mentioned the ongoing program for new research into nuclear propulsion systems, which “are based on very promising projects.” For example, this program will “make interplanetary flights possible, as well as more far-reaching lunar research, and will serve for research of the primary planets.”

The “master plan,” on the other hand, repeatedly harps on our “finite planet,” on “complex interdependencies of global society in the framework of our planetary limits,” and on the “limits of our natural environmental space.” The idea of a finite planet dovetails perfectly with the desires of an oligarchical elite whose obvious goal is to maintain their privileges of perverse luxury, in the face of a strictly limited number of backward, subservient subjects. It should therefore be no surprise that the Greens have now supplanted the Free Democratic Party (FDP) as the party of the highest income brackets.

Our planet is an integral part of a world in which mankind’s potentially infinitely perfectible creative reason, corresponds to an unlimited, anti-entropically developing universe. The reason that an immaterial idea can effect a change in the physical universe, is related to the fact that what Nicolaus of Cusa identified as the coherence between the microcosm and the macrocosm, what Leibniz conceived as the monad, and Vernadsky, the significance of the Noösphere, corresponds to reality, whereas history is otherwise rife

9. *Verspargeln* is a newly coined German term indicating the similarity of windmill farms to groves of monstrous asparagus stalks.

10. “The Extraterrestrial Imperative Part 2: Cosmic Rays,” *EIR*, Oct. 22, 2010, <http://tiny.cc/5y8yf>.



irfu.cea.fr

Fusion power is also on the chopping block: Shown is Thomas Klinger, director of the Institute for Plasma Physics at Greifswald, Germany. The upper house of parliament voted to cut off funding for the facility. Fusion reactors, once they become commercially viable, could produce virtually infinite amounts of energy, from seawater. Klinger warned of the disastrous impact of cutting this research.

with court scribblers and singers who merely sang their masters' song for their supper.

The great German scientist Krafft Ehrlicke was therefore right when he characterized manned space flight as man's natural next evolutionary step. Just as life developed from the oceans to the land, our research and future settlement of nearby space, such as the Moon, Mars, and the asteroids, is a necessary next step. All astronauts and cosmonauts who have already been in space, unanimously agree with other great scientists around the globe, that this is the only way we shall be able to realize the common aims of mankind.

China, which, like Russia and India, has its own ambitious space program, reacted to the events in Japan by intensifying its research into early earthquake warning systems, stepping up progress on inherently safe reactor types, such as the high-temperature reactor, and declaring programs for achieving fusion power as their top priority. China has also made it clear that it aims at becoming one of the leading scientific powers, or even the top scientific power, by 2050. By the time the Copenhagen Climate Conference was held, it should really have been clear to even the worst ignora-

mus, that China is going to do everything to ensure that it does not become subservient to a new British imperial Leviathan.

In view of the considerations we have sketched out here, there can be no doubt that powerful interests will attempt every means to stop not only nuclear power, but also all future-directed research—and that scientifically oriented people will have to try to save both of these. That also means that Germany is going to remain an industrial country, and will remain in the forefront of mankind in basic research, and that we are not going to rely on the all-too-predictable results of a so-called Ethical Council consisting exclusively of Green scientifically under-qualified persons (with perhaps a few exceptions).

Chancellor Merkel has set June 17 as the day when the moratorium on nuclear power expires. That day must become a day of resistance for all human beings who want to defend the Germany of Nicolaus of Cusa, Johannes Kepler, Gottfried Leibniz, Carl Friedrich Gauss, Bernhard Riemann, Albert Einstein, Max Planck, Lise Meitner, Otto Hahn, and Krafft Ehrlicke, to name only a few.

Germany: Poster-Child For the Club of Rome?

by Andrea Andromidas

This article was translated from German.

April 22—With the events at the Fukushima nuclear plant in Japan, it has become clear that the German Republic responded fundamentally differently than the rest of the world. The news coverage exhausted itself in a lockstep panic-mongering, and within a few days, the large parties following this pattern of behavior, also fell into line, and immediately lost their minds. In other parts of the world, people were not a little surprised by this, and spoke of “German *Angst*.” If one goes to the core of these things, one comes to the conclusion that what’s inherent in this phenomenon is less *Angst* than an ideological smokescreen, for which there have previously been plenty of signals.

We will report onevents here, which convey a graphic picture of the roots of this irrationality and its origin:

1. “The Energy Concept for an Environmentally Friendly, Reliable and Affordable Energy Supply” of Sept. 28, 2010.

2. The draft of a Commission of Inquiry on the theme “Prosperity Without Growth.”

3. The WBGU paper: “Articles of Incorporation for a Great Transformation.” (The WBGU is the Federal Scientific Advisory Board for Global Environmental Changes.)

The Energy Concept

You can’t really assess the so-called Energy Concept of the German government if you haven’t previously considered what path we might have taken, had there not been the dangerous revival of the Malthusian ideology called “limits to growth.” We would have long ago employed much

less coal, oil, and gas; we would have had modern forms of nuclear technology instead; and we would have also been much further along in the development of nuclear fusion.


That’s why the government’s Energy Concept is so reprehensible—because it will force us to march backwards; because it commits us to commence an industrial shrinkage process, whose results are not only destroying us, but also the rest of the world. The concept presented in September 2010 means both the exit from nuclear energy, and the end of the use of power plants that create electricity with fossil-fuel energy sources. The buzzword of the whole program is “decarbonization of industry,” under the pretense of something devised specifically for this goal, the so-called man-made climate catastrophe.

The report reads: “According to the coalition’s agreement, greenhouse gas emissions are supposed to be reduced by 40% by 2020, and according to the goals set by the industrial states, by at least 80% by 2050—each measured against usage in 1990. This means a development path through reduction of greenhouse gas emissions up to 2050: –55% by 2030, –70% by 2040, –80-95% by 2050.”

At the outset, that means an assault on our whole economic life, because the normal functioning of society

always and everywhere produces CO₂: For example, wherever we have to heat or cool something, wherever we use means of transportation, and naturally, wherever something is produced. The federal government wants us in the future to use *neither* effective nuclear energy, *nor* fossil fuels like coal or gas.

As this Energy Concept describes it, our economic life should function, starting in 2050, with 80% of its energy from so-called alternative energy sources, which are neither available around the clock, nor are they reliable. We are not going into the many unsolved questions involved with this here, but one thing is clear: Experts who are familiar with energy questions, have provided sufficient evidence that the phenomenal expense of this undertaking has not the slightest rational relation to the result. Thus




The Club of Rome’s genocidal, fraudulent argument in this 1972 book lies at the heart of current economic policy in Germany—portending total destruction of that former industrial giant.

it is clear that electricity in Germany will become so hugely expensive that it will pull down productive industry.

Prof. Dieter Ameling from Thyssen-Krupp has cal-


FIGURE 1
The Federal Government's Energy Concept: Radical 'Energy Change'



Dieter Ameling Consulting

According to the government's plans, nuclear energy should be totally eliminated over the next 40 years, electricity production from fossil fuels should be massively reduced, and energy production from renewables quadrupled.

FIGURE 2
Planned Reduction of CO2 Emissions
 (Millions of Tons)



Dieter Ameling Consulting

The reduction of CO₂ emissions by 80% would mean the corresponding shutdown of industrial capacity—practically a new edition of the postwar Morgenthau Plan, which called for the total deindustrialization of Germany.

culated that the steel industry, under these circumstances, would, in 2050, be only a quarter (!) of what it is today (Figures 1-2). It wouldn't be much different with other energy-intensive industries, such as glass, ceramics, paper, metal production, chemicals, wood-working, and more.

In the program headlined "Key Question, Energy Efficiency," one comes to the astounding realization that the federal government welcomes this rise in prices: "Rising energy prices are, for the user, an important incentive to save energy and use efficiently." This is an unambiguous declaration that electricity consumption in Germany should fall by 25% by 2050, compared to 2008. Because under current conditions you can't speak of higher efficiency, the increase in prices will be a welcome means for regimentation and scarcity. Immediately on the next page, comes a further astounding insight, namely that already, long ago, significant imports of power (up to 30%) had been planned:

"Thus the scenario assumes that for reasons of cost-efficiency, Germany in the year 2050 will import a huge percentage of its energy needs." This shows that the authors believe that the importing of power will still be lower-priced (more advantageous) than the whole fuss about wind and solar power, and non-existent storage capacity.

We should mention the planned increase in the percentage of the biological components of fuel—also for rail, inland water transportation, and air travel—which means, plain and simple, in the face of the worldwide shortage of food production, a worsening of the hunger crisis, which is expected, or at least tolerated, by the federal government.

Who Benefits?

Looking at this state of affairs, one cannot avoid posing a couple of questions: What is the intention? Who wants the takedown of industry? Who or what is dreaming this up? Whence comes this insanity, which has been discussed for ten years in certain executive suites? Already in the opinion of the Advisory Council on the Environment in 2001, the goal of a 40% reduction in CO₂ was mentioned: "The Advisory Council on the Environment considers that a structural change away from energy-intensive old industries, if its social impact is cushioned and it is

introduced in a timely way, would be totally reasonable over the long term.”

Very obviously, therefore, hand in hand with the introduction of the euro, a structural change was put in place, which, as can be gathered from the quotation above, had already been planned. Nine years later, in September 2010, the federal government presented a concept whereby the established goal was nonchalantly doubled: CO₂ reduction at least by 80% by 2050.

The constantly quoted experts on the environment who were at work here, were naturally not sought among the energy experts of the engineering departments, but elsewhere: They are the academic flunkies of the international investor interests, assigned by the European Commission. In October 2009, the very same Commission decided that the CO₂ emissions of the developed European states (with reference to 1990) should fall by 2050 by 80-95%. The program was managed and paid for by the European Climate Foundation, and became known as “Roadmap 2050”; it was uncritically adopted in its essential features by the German government, as demanded.

The text says explicitly: “The European Climate Foundation is the sole author of the report Roadmap 2050, is alone responsible for its content, and will act as a guardian of the content.”

According to its own statements, the European Climate Foundation was supported by foundations that are tied in with mostly notorious hedge funds. The funds of the ECF originated from six big foundations of hedge funds and the super-rich, such as London’s Children’s Investment Fund Foundation (CIFF). This foundation was financed by an aggressive British hedge fund, The Children’s Investment Fund. Its first big actions were in 2008, the demonstration at the Staudinger power plant in Hesse, and at Jaenschwalde in Brandenburg. That year, the World Wildlife Fund in Frankfurt was supported by the ECF “for the filing of a legal objection” against the coal-fired power plant in Lublin.

The shocking thing is not that some international foundations are writing studies. The shocking thing is that the EU is demanding that the recommendations of these studies be carried out, and that the federal government actually understand itself as the trailblazer in terms of implementation. It is therefore in no way an exaggeration to point out that policy in this country, especially the all-decisive energy policy, has been made

by investors whose registered office is not even in Brussels, but in London or in the Bahamas or some such place. Especially obliging academic flunkies therefore also gain appropriate honors, as in the case of Hans Joachim Schellnhuber of the Potsdam Institute, who is a Commander of the British Empire.

Commission of Inquiry Against Growth

Once the overall direction had been determined, the next leap down into the abyss was taken head-first. In November 2010, all parties of the German Bundestag, with the exception of the Linke [the Left party], applied to have a commission of inquiry set up, titled “Growth, Prosperity, Quality of Life—Ways to Sustained Economics and Progress Within a Social Market Economy.”

Don’t be fooled when reading proclamations in the headlines that sound good, as has become general practice: There is no intention whatsoever to make good on any such declared purposes. It’s not about growth, and also not about the social market economy [the term is associated with Germany’s second post-war Chancellor Ludwig Erhard—ed.]; what they mean is the exact opposite. They talk about uncertainties on the job market, troubling indebtedness and widening social imbalances; but instead of thinking about how to change this trend, the Commission is tasked with the opposite: How can the population, under conditions of acute national and international crisis, be taught to forgo growth and to start preparing for the scarcity that awaits us through the Energy Concept?

Apparently they are not ashamed to heap praise upon the infamous and politically motivated zero growth policy of the Club of Rome: “Already in 1972, the Club of Rome made the limits to growth and the decoupling of economic growth from raw materials consumption an issue. In view of the current challenges, increasing scarcity of raw materials and the necessities of climate policy, this debate had become more relevant than ever before. . . .”

As soon as the Commission of Inquiry went to work, old and new fans of the Club of Rome came out of hiding. Kurt Biedenkopf [former governor of Saxony, of the Christian Democratic Union party, the CDU], of course, had to be part of everything, and the Konrad Adenauer Institute even went so far as to abuse the name of Ludwig Erhard for this issue. “Prosperity Without Growth” has suddenly become everyone’s favorite slogan, and the “C” in CDU now stands for Cap-

ital—a situation which has been developing for some time, but is now a fact.

Who in the world would gain from seeing Germany give up its best traditions? Was it not once the nation of engineers, and also of poets and thinkers? After all, we used to know that human beings are different from cows, by virtue of their creativity; that we use this ability to overcome any limits to growth, and create new degrees of freedom. To accept the ideology of limits to growth also means to carry out the bestiality of the Club of Rome, denying billions of human beings the right to life.



The so-called Kalkar Wonderland, shown here, demonstrates just how crazy the environmentalists are. This amusement park was built on the remains of the former Kalkar fast-breeder nuclear reactor, which the German government never completed in the face of anti-nuclear hysteria, in 1991.

The Great Transformation

On March 10, the Swiss financial daily *Neue Zürcher Zeitung* quoted EU Commissioner Günther Oettinger, that because EU member states had not done enough to reduce energy consumption, the Union would therefore assess the situation and, if deemed necessary, would proclaim mandatory energy-saving targets. It was to be expected, that the same people that came up with the absurd Energy Concept already knew quite well, that it could not be pushed through on a voluntary basis. Therefore, the Federal Scientific Advisory Board for Global Environmental Changes (WBGU) published a tract entitled “Social Contract for a Great Transformation,” comprised of recommendations for establishing a worldwide ecological dictatorship under the pretext of a fictitious, man-made climate catastrophe—invented for just this purpose.

Here we must first ask ourselves: Why does Minister of Education and Research Dr. Annette Schavan, who ostensibly is still ashamed of the dishonesty of Mr. Guttenberg,¹ now heap praise on her website upon this eco-tract, the which is comparable with Maoist fantasies of world dictatorship?

We must ask whether the Members of Parliament and the Ministers even read these documents, and, if they do, whether they are capable of weighing the con-

sequences. On page 3, it states: “The necessary decarbonization of energy systems means higher pressure to act not only in industrialized nations, but also in dynamically growing emerging nations and developing countries. Poor developing countries must also orient towards low-emission development in the mid-term. The era of economic growth based on the use of fossil fuels must be ended.”

Notwithstanding this strategy of depopulation, the next page reads: “In order to cover the food demands of a growing world population, the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) projects that worldwide food production would need to be increased by 70% by 2050.” Back when Germany still counted as an educated nation, and in contrast to these ridiculous academic flunkeys, every high school student could clearly explain that a 70% increase in food production cannot be achieved with a 30% reduction in energy consumption. The climate conferences of Copenhagen and Cancun have shown that much of the rest of the world is distancing itself from such bald-faced lies.

Where ideology reigns, dictatorship becomes necessary. The Great Transformation paper calls for, first, the dirigist state; then, a few pages later, the strong state; and then, later still, the strong eco-state. “The WBGU recommends that these goals be pursued on

1. Karl-Theodor Guttenberg resigned as defense minister on March 1, 2011, under pressure resulting from the scandal over his plagiarism of large parts of his doctoral thesis.

four interconnected levels: the material and legal level, by setting aims for climate protection by law; the constitutional level, through determination of state aims for climate protection; the procedural level, by expanded possibilities for informing, incorporating, and legally protecting citizens and non-governmental organizations; and the institutional level, by mainstreaming the state institutions along climate policy lines (for example, setting up a Ministry for the Environment, Climate, and Energy).” Identical demands are made for the European Union, and all the way up to the UN Security Council—in short, for world government.

The ill-named scientific advisors have no qualms in stating that the aim of decarbonization can best be achieved by the greatest fraud of all time, namely, the intended trading of CO₂.


“The WBGU is of the opinion,” the report states, “that putting a price on CO₂ is the most important political measure towards achieving decarbonization, and is a necessary part of a regulatory framework for transformation into a climate-friendly society. The price of CO₂ must therefore be high enough to have the required

transformative impact, i.e., it must be several times higher than the current price level for emissions trading in Europe.”

Such a regulatory framework will lead to such a cost increase in the productive process, that it will strangle itself. What is meant to grow, on the other hand, is the financial sector—the gamblers in the financial casino—whose overlords fantasize about a world that will never exist.

The German government is then called upon to use its trailblazing role in the Renewable Energies Act and to emphasize at all international conferences, the importance Deutsche Bank’s mechanism for insuring investors.


The policy, which the federal government and the parties represented in parliament are so obviously ready to follow, is eco-fascism in service of the imperial Club of Rome and its British-controlled financial lobby. There is much talk these days of debt burdens and the coming generations. Debt is definitely not the biggest problem. Are we really willing, once again, to leave to our children a nation destroyed by political failure and zero growth?



FIDELIO



Journal of Poetry, Science, and Statecraft

From the first issue, dated Winter 1992, featuring Lyndon LaRouche on “The Science of Music: The Solution to Plato’s Paradox of ‘The One and the Many,’” to the final issue of Spring/Summer 2006, a “Symposium on Edgar Allan Poe and the Spirit of the American Revolution,” *Fidelio* magazine gave voice to the Schiller Institute’s intention to create a new Golden Renaissance.



The title of the magazine, is taken from Beethoven’s great opera, which celebrates the struggle for political freedom over tyranny. *Fidelio* was founded at the time that LaRouche and several of his close associates were unjustly imprisoned, as was the opera’s Florestan, whose character was based on the American Revolutionary hero, the French General, Marquis de Lafayette.

Each issue of *Fidelio*, throughout its 14-year lifespan, remained faithful to its initial commitment, and offered original writings by LaRouche and his associates, on matters of, what the poet Percy Byssche Shelley identified as, “profound and impassioned conceptions respecting man and nature.”



Back issues are now available for purchase through the Schiller Institute website:

<http://www.schillerinstitute.org/about/orderform.html>

GLASS-STEAGALL WOULD STOP SPECULATION

Geithner Runs Protection Racket for Goldman Sachs

by Paul Gallagher

May 2—One of the leading U.S. Senate advocates of restoring the Glass-Steagall Banking Act denounced Obama's Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner on April 30, for declaring a huge chunk of financial derivatives off-limits to regulation. The bipartisan Glass-Steagall reinstatement bill, co-sponsored by Sen. Maria Cantwell (D-Wash.) and Sen. John McCain (R-Ariz.), had been forced off the Senate floor by the White House in August 2010 when it appeared to have the votes to pass as part of the "Wall Street Reform Act." Now, on Geithner's sudden move April 29 to protect \$30 trillion in foreign-exchange-swap derivatives from regulation, Cantwell said, "I can't believe the first decision the administration would make to carry out Dodd-Frank would be an anti-transparency decision. The idea that the foreign exchange markets are not at risk is preposterous—we now know that they required multi-trillion-dollar bailouts. Anytime you have a lack of transparency, there is potential for abuse."

The global Federal Reserve bank bailout these derivatives triggered in late 2008, to which Cantwell referred, was \$5 trillion in U.S. dollars printed and pumped out to foreign central banks, to provide to international banks playing the foreign exchange, or "ForEx" markets, which had frozen up. As Sen. Bernie Sanders' (I-Vt.) legislation forced the Fed to admit, this was one of the largest chunks of the vast \$16-17 trillion in bailout loans extended to banks by the Fed during the crash in

2008, and rolled over many times since then. Geithner's April 29 announcement, which claimed that foreign exchange markets were so liquid and stable they needed no regulation, was a flat-out lie, aimed to protect the cohorts of Goldman Sachs. It showed how dead set against Glass-Steagall the Obama White House is.

If FDR's 1933 Glass-Steagall Law were reinstated, such bailout protection of investment-bank-type speculation would be impossible, and bank insurance and protection would be limited to commercial depository banking and lending, period. The credit of the United States, now crippled by the vast bailouts and subsequent austerity drives against government, would be restored. And Geithner and the British puppet President would be finished.

Saving Goldman Sachs

Geithner's move prevented the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) from even attempting to regulate this derivatives mess, the destructive legacy of the elimination of fixed currency exchange rates in 1971, when the British wrecked FDR's Bretton Woods system. In particular, Geithner was protecting Goldman Sachs. Former CFTC top official and University of Maryland professor Michael Greenberger commented that these ForEx derivatives are among Wall Street's most profitable speculative operations, and made \$2.2 billion in trading revenue for Goldman in *one quarter*



White House Photo/Pete Souza

Treasury Secretary Tim Geithner announced, incredibly, that foreign exchange markets are so liquid and stable that they need no regulation—a sign of just how dead set the White House is against restoring the Glass-Steagall Law. Geithner and the President are shown here, discussing fiscal policy on April 9.

of 2010. Greenberger also noted wryly that if Wall Street gave out Academy Awards, Geithner would be “best supporting regulator” every year.

Geithner was trying to protect this most influential and notorious of speculative investment firms, just when it had been the central target of attack in an exhaustive, two-year investigative report of the 2007-08 financial crash, released April 13 by the Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations. This subcommittee is chaired by Sen. Carl Levin (D-Mich.), who held blistering “Pecora Commission-type” hearings in April 2010, exposing Goldman’s subprime mortgage manipulations.

Levin said of Goldman, in the new report: “Using e-mails, memos and other internal documents, this report tells the inside story of an economic assault that cost millions of Americans their jobs and homes, while wiping out investors, good businesses, and markets. High risk lending, regulatory failures, inflated credit ratings, and Wall Street firms engaging in massive conflicts of interest, contaminated the U.S. financial system with toxic mortgages and undermined public trust in U.S. markets.”

Two other things are striking about the Subcommittee investigation. Its assault on Goldman Sachs (and on the bought-and-paid-for credit rating agency S&P, now arrogantly trying to downgrade U.S. sovereign credit) was publicly backed by Republican conservatives:

ranking member Sen. Tom Coburn (Okla.), and Tea Party Senators Scott Brown (Mass.) and Rand Paul (Ky.). As one blogger exclaimed, “Liberals and Tea Party Senators demand: ‘Bring me the head of Goldman Sachs.’”

And secondly, the report clearly backed restoring Glass-Steagall: “Under the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, certain types of financial institutions had been prohibited from commingling their services. For example, with limited exceptions, only broker-dealers could provide brokerage services; only banks could offer banking; and only insurers could offer insurance. One reason for keeping the sectors separate was to ensure that banks with federally insured deposits did not engage in the type of high risk activities that might be the bread and butter of a broker-dealer or commodities trader. . . .

“Glass-Steagall was repealed in 1999, after which the barriers between banks, broker-dealers, and insurance firms fell. U.S. financial institutions not only began offering a mix of financial services, but also intensified their proprietary trading activities. . . . The expanded set of financial services investment banks were allowed to offer also contributed to the multiple and significant conflicts of interest that arose between some investment banks and their clients during the financial crisis.

“Investment banks were a major driving force behind the structured finance products that provided a steady stream of funding for lenders to originate high risk, poor quality loans and that magnified risk throughout the U.S. financial system. The investment banks that engineered, sold, traded, and profited from mortgage-related structured finance products were a major cause of the financial crisis.”

The Committee meant, above all, Goldman Sachs, and asked Attorney General Eric Holder to begin criminal proceedings against the firm which had “misled its clients, misled the public, and lied to Congress,” while helping build a gigantic global debt bubble and trigger its crash.

Shades of 1999

Geithner’s Treasury, leaping to the defense of the likes of Goldman Sachs, directly echoed the 1999

claims of Fed chairman Alan Greenspan, Sen. Phil Gramm (R-Tex.), and the other destroyers of Glass-Steagall then. Gramm said that energy derivatives (Enron) and commodity futures and derivatives (Goldman) should be completely deregulated, because they were “liquid, self-regulating markets”; Greenspan repeatedly insisted to Congress that investment banks and hedge funds were better “regulators” of debt securities and debt securitizers—particularly mortgage securitizers—than were government regulators.

Treasury said the same about ForEx swaps on April 29: The market was “highly transparent, liquid and efficient. Fixed terms of shorter duration, physical exchange of currency and an existing well-functioning settlement process means there is no need to drag the instruments into a more restrictive regime. Central clearing requirements ... could actually jeopardize practices in the foreign exchange swaps and forwards market that help limit risk and ensure that it functions effectively.”

But this “liquid, efficient” market froze after the Lehman Brothers bankruptcy in September 2008. Banks internationally could not obtain dollars, and the Fed had to pump *\$5.4 trillion* into foreign central banks to prevent a collapse. The securities repo market, another touted “short-term, highly liquid” (and unregulated) market, also blew up at about the same time.

Senator Levin joined Senator Cantwell, and also Sen. Tom Harkin (D-Iowa) in sharply criticizing Geithner’s move. Levin said on May 1, “I have concerns that his proposed exemption relies on current industry practices that are inadequate and could be changed by the industry unless the exemption is conditioned upon their remaining in place.”

CFTC chairman Gary Gensler warned in 2010 of precisely that: Investment banks, hedge funds, etc. would “change their practices,” if foreign-exchange derivatives were exempted from regulation. They would disguise tens of trillions of other derivatives as ForEx swaps—child’s play to these financial predators—protecting much of the \$600 trillion-\$1 quadrillion derivatives market from regulation, and protecting themselves from being thrown out in the cold by Glass-Steagall.

250 Million More Are Hungry

Another very serious charge against Goldman Sachs appeared in *Foreign Policy* magazine for April, which published a review of the ten-year history of

the Goldman Sachs Commodity Index (GSCI). This is an investment vehicle for banks and speculative funds, which—with its recent imitators like the Deutsche Bank Commodity Index—has progressively generated a devastating hyperinflation in food staples.

This was made possible when energy and food commodity futures/derivatives were completely deregulated in 1999 (by the enemies of Glass-Steagall), meaning that any bank or large fund could put an unlimited amount of “investment” into “long-only” bets on future food prices. Goldman Sachs created the Index by which to do so. Such massive “up-only” bets drove prices ... up only.

The magazine puts the scale of this inflationary impact starkly: “In 2003, the commodities futures market still totalled a sleepy \$13 billion... But in the first 55 days of 2008, speculators poured \$55 billion into commodity markets, and by July 2008, \$318 billion was roiling these markets,” primarily through Goldman’s GSCI. The worldwide price of food in 2008 was up 80% over 2005; in early 2011 it is up 150% over late 2008. “Imaginary wheat dominates the price of real wheat, as speculators (traditionally one-fifth of the food commodity futures market) now outnumber bona-fide hedgers four-to-one.”

And the consequence: “The average American, who spends roughly 8 to 12% of her weekly paycheck on food, did not immediately feel the crunch of rising costs. But for the roughly 2 billion people across the world who spend more than 50% of their income on food, the effects have been staggering: 250 million people joined the ranks of the hungry in 2008, bringing the total of the world’s “food insecure” to a peak of 1 billion—a number never seen before.” By early 2011, another 150 million on top of that were “officially hungry,” and this was helping trigger mass strikes against governments throughout the Mideast, Africa, and South Asia.

This devastating inflation—and Goldman Sachs and the other speculators driving it—is what Timothy Geithner is defending, when he tries to insist on their right to speculate in unlimited, unregulated “dark” markets with derivatives.

Geithner’s action is another indication that President Barack Obama and his British string-pullers would not be able to withstand the public upheaval that would accompany passage of a restored Glass-Steagall law through Congress.

Glass-Steagall Is Now in the Debate

by Our Wiesbaden Bureau

April 30—In what *EIR* sees as a clear reflection that European bankers understand that the current monetary system has reached the end of the road, a number of those bankers have come forward over the past week to declare as much, and discuss the Glass-Steagall option for what otherwise appears to be a hopeless situation.

Many of the bankers and economists now talking about Glass-Steagall are converging on the ideas being circulated by the LaRouche movement, which is active in the European political arena. What they are beginning to realize, as the LaRouche movement has emphasized, is that the European Union, and its euro, are not only bankrupt, but that the bailout/austerity approach that has been taken so far, is going to lead to the social disintegration of the continent, while still doing nothing to solve the real economic problems that exist. While their statements may still pay lip service to the economic gurus who caused the crisis, they are beginning to move toward the exits.

Most startling was a call issued April 26 by George C. Karlweis, a Swiss banker, known as a longtime associate of the Rothschilds, and the man who gave George Soros the set-up money to create his Quantum Fund in 1969. Karlweis was quoted in an April 28 article by UPI editor-at-large Arnaud de Borchgrave, endorsing the policies of Hjalmar Schacht!

The Blowout Crisis

The most obvious manifestation of the worsening bankruptcy continues to be the so-called sovereign debt crisis of Greece, Portugal, and Ireland, and the fact that the bailouts of Greece and Ireland are being used to prop up the bankrupt Inter-Alpha Group banking system. The bond yields of all three nations continue to go through the ceiling. The yield on Greek two-year bonds was higher than 25%, which is unprecedented, while 10-year bonds hit over 15%. Portuguese 10-year bond yields reached 10%, and Irish bonds were also shoved up.

The Lex column of the *Financial Times* on April 28 declared that Greece's condition is unsustainable. By 2013, at this rate, the Greek debt will reach 170% of the country's gross income; by the end of this year, the economy will contract 3%, after having contracted 4.5% in 2010. Annual interest payments on the debt will reach 9% of GDP and absorb no less than 27% of all tax revenue, almost three times the EU average. The *FT* writes that, barring a "huge and politically unacceptable fiscal transfer from the eurozone," a restructuring or default is inevitable.

Desmond Lachman, a former IMF official who now works at the American Enterprise Institute (AEI), told the Italian daily *La Stampa*, "The ECB is desperately trying to postpone a eurozone default." The ECB is exposed for EU375 billion to Portuguese, Irish, Greek, and Spanish banks for which it is holding collateral of junk status. The ECB exposure to Ireland alone is \$180 billion: "Irish banks no longer have financial instruments which produce no losses for the ECB," Lachman noted.

Lachman said that the ECB is in "an endless dilemma. They know that those countries are on the verge, but also that German and French banks are highly exposed. The risk is systemic and the attempt is to postpone the catastrophe.... The ECB is desperately attempting to postpone the inevitable default of one or more states in the Eurozone. And a debate on this would be important."

But, within the current terms of the game, there is no solution, and the European bureaucrats, at the behest of the bankrupt banks, will proceed to tighten the austerity screws and bail out the bankers—until the situation explodes, as it must.

The Glass-Steagall Debate

From Italy, to Sweden, Switzerland, France, and the Low Countries, the debate on Glass-Steagall is breaking into the public.

Italy: On April 21, Giacomo Vaciago, former mayor of Piacenza, and now an economics professor at Catholic University in Milan, called for a debate on a Glass-Steagall reform, in an article in the financial daily *Il Sole 24 Ore*. The "too big to fail" problem has not yet been solved, Vaciago wrote. "In 1999, the legislation was abolished, the Glass-Steagall Act—but already in previous years exceptions had been approved—which had clearly separated banking credit and finance, since the 1930s. This Act had been thought of as a defense of customers, to avoid banks securitizing bad loans and putting

them in customers' portfolios. [Glass-Steagall] had indirectly ensured stability to the world financial system.

"Should we go back to Glass-Steagall and separate again, radically, the two institutions? Or will 'internal walls' suffice?" Vacigo asks.

Sweden: An editorial written by Social Democratic political editor Svante Saewen on April 23 in *Laenstidningen*, and, on the same day in another regional Social Democratic paper, *Dagbladet*, called for a Glass-Steagall separation of banking activities. The headline was "Split Up the Banks." A picture of Bank of America was the only illustration, with the caption, "The financial crisis is over. But for how long? Bank of America is having problems again."

The editorial then describes the dangers for the Swedish banks in a new anticipated crisis, and concludes: "This cannot continue. Start by splitting up the banks. Separate normal banking activity without which society will not function, from the speculative economy of the financial sector. Then their shareholders could take the blow in the coming crisis, instead of, as now, the taxpayers."

Switzerland: While leading bankers, such as a former board member of UBS, have supported Glass-Steagall in this nation, the contribution of the Swiss banker George C. Karlweiss is particularly noteworthy in showing the mentality of a predator class.

"Everyone is realizing we have gone too far," Karlweiss wrote, according to his interlocutor Arnaud De Borchgrave. "The coffers are depleted... The excessive spending of the past has created a huge overhang, and no one knows how new borrowing can be financed." People who live on their savings "have been fleeced. Their investments yield nothing, chances are they have lost everything. Times ahead do not look pretty," Karlweiss stated.

De Borchgrave adds: "After turning their countries into Weimar Republics by printing more and more money, says Karlweiss, 'they will all need a currency commissioner like Hjalmar Schacht [Hitler's economics minister-ed.] ... to save them from hyperinflation. Let's just hope they don't turn their regimes into Third Reichs in the meantime.'" Hitler economics without Hitler? That does seem to be what he's proposing.

He concludes with what De Borchgrave describes as a "post-mortem" on the system, saying: "We will need a full reinstatement of the Glass-Steagall Act (repealed in 1999), which made it impossible for a single legal entity to conduct or control all types of financial business."

France: Eric Verhaeghe, a leading official of the French businessmen's association, recently responded to questions from the LaRouche movement in France by saying: "In the absence of Glass-Steagall, big banks can force taxpayers to pay to fix the pots broken by bankers... A return to the Glass-Steagall law is obviously a fundamental condition for solving this question."

Belgium: Also speaking up for Glass-Steagall were Eric de Keuleneer, an economist at the Solvay Business School of the Free University of Belgium, who was also a member of the advisory board of the Belgian Bank, Finance, and Insurance Commission. De Keuleneer recently gave an interview to *Nouvelle Solidarité*, the newspaper of the French LaRouche movement, in which he expressed his views on why the banking system collapsed, and the need for a Glass-Steagall-type reform.

While de Keuleneer does not subscribe to *EIR's* views on many points, his full interview, appearing in French on the Solidarité et Progrès website, reflects his understanding of the death of the system, and a directionality toward embracing Glass-Steagall.

America's Untold Story

How the trans-Atlantic republican movement waged a continuous fight for freedom, beginning with John Winthrop's Massachusetts Bay Colony in 1630.


\$19.95

ORDER FROM
EIR News Service, Inc.
P.O. Box 17590
Washington, D.C.
20041-0390

Order by phone, **1-800-278-3135**

OR order online at **www.larouchepub.com**

Shipping and handling: Add \$4 for the first book and \$1.00 for each additional book. Virginia residents add 4.5% sales tax. We accept MasterCard and Visa



ENDING NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY

The British Empire Is Using ‘R2P’ To Destroy the U.S.

by Michele Steinberg

April 29—Sudan, Somalia, Libya, Syria, is the beginning of the list of British Empire wars to be fought by a crumbling United States under Barack Obama in the name of a twisted doctrine known as the “Responsibility To Protect,” or R2P. Self-confessed Nazi-collaborator and British agent George Soros is one of the world’s leading promoters of this doctrine of perpetual war, which Nerobama used in starting the bombing of Libya, and is using to start new wars in Africa and Southwest Asia. The doctrine, according to Soros, as stated in a January 2004 article in *Foreign Policy* magazine, is that “Sovereignty is an anachronistic concept originating in bygone times when society consisted of rulers and subjects, not citizens. It became the cornerstone of international relations with the Treaty of Westphalia in 1648. . . . The rulers of a sovereign state have a responsibility to protect the state’s citizens. When they fail to do so, the responsibility is transferred to the international community” (see box).

Dating back to British Empire pollution of United Nations debates around “humanitarian intervention” in the mid-1990s, after the dissolution of the Soviet Union, R2P is not a new concept, but it is now in danger of being pushed through as official U.S. policy, under the mentally unfit Obama, through a network of British agents financed by Soros. Included among its personnel are: Lord Mark Malloch Brown, Soros’s British controller since the 1990s, who now heads the *Financial Times* Global Initiative; White House advisor Samantha Power; and U.S. Ambassador to the UN Susan Rice. After the



swiss-image.ch/Michael Wuertenberg

Mega-manipulator George Soros, who got his start working for the Nazis in Hungary, is the key promoter of the anti-nation-state policy, “Responsibility To Protect” (R2P), aka, perpetual war.

Libya resolution and action, it will be far easier to bulldoze through military actions against any country that is deemed to have “attacked its own population.”

Attack on Westphalia Concept

R2P is a direct assault on the 1648 Treaty of Westphalia that ended the Thirty Years War, and on the principle of national sovereignty embedded in the UN Charter—but it has *never* been accepted as international law by the United Nations. It is simply the military side of the British Empire’s campaign to destroy the United States using perpetual war, at the same time as the Empire’s financial oligarchy crushes every nation’s economic sovereignty.

And R2P was explicitly Nerobama’s justification for starting the war in Libya. Don’t believe the press lies that Secretary of State Hillary Clinton was the muscle behind the Libya war policy: The R2P doctrine has been the British Empire’s drumbeat since Tony Blair’s 1999 Chicago speech calling for a ground invasion of Kosovo, and it has been the policy of Clinton-haters George Soros and Soros-owned Samantha Power since the mid-1990s, when Soros was creating the International Criminal Court, and trying to take over the nearly failed states of the former Soviet Union through his Open Society operation. Soros’s little handmaidens, Power and Rice, have been drooling to implement R2P ever since it was first cooked up in the inner sanctums of the Empire in 1999.

But despite decades-long efforts of the British Foreign and Commonwealth Office, and the myriad media empires they control, R2P has *never* been accepted by the UN General Assembly. In fact, at the lengthy debate covering several General Assembly sessions in July 2009, only a weak resolution to continue to consider R2P was passed. The Non-Aligned Movement (NAM), which has 118 members and 18 observer nations, opposed the R2P concept as a danger to national sovereignty, and a tool of selective punishment. At the same debate, China’s UN envoy, Amb. Liu Zhenmin, presented an eloquent statement that warned against “abuse




White House/Pete Souza

A network of Soros agents, including Samantha Power (left) and Susan Rice (center), has actively pushed through R2P as official White House policy, under the hapless President Obama.

of the concept” of R2P, which threatened to become “another version of ‘humanitarian intervention.’” Liu also said emphatically, that R2P “remains a concept. It does not constitute a rule of international law. . . . States must refrain from using the ‘R2P’ to exert pressure on others.” Most importantly, the Chinese Ambassador asserted, “[T]he basic status of the purposes and principles of the UN Charter remain unchanged. There must not be any wavering over the principles of respecting state sovereignty and non-interference of internal affairs.”

Obama’s embrace of R2P in justifying the action against Libya is yet another reason for the immediate invoking of Section 4 of the 25th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution—before it’s too late. Remember, that on Feb. 25, in a speech at West Point, Defense Secretary Robert Gates forcefully reiterated Gen. Douglas MacArthur’s famous, repeated warning to President John Kennedy against the Vietnam war madness. Gates said, “In my opinion, any future defense secretary who advises the President to again send a big American land army into Asia or into the Middle East or Africa, should ‘have his head examined,’ as General MacArthur so delicately put it.” But, by March 15, Obama was starting just such a war in North Africa, thus demonstrating that under MacArthur’s warning, he should “have his head examined.”



DOD/R.D. Ward

Defense Secretary Robert Gates warned: "In my opinion, any future defense secretary who advises the President to again send a big American land army into Asia or into the Middle East or Africa, should 'have his head examined,' as General MacArthur so delicately put it."

R2P's Pedigree

At the UN Millennium Summit meeting in 2000, then-Canadian Prime Minister Jean Chrétien announced the formation of an "independent commission" to study how to bust up the protection of national sovereignty in Article 2 of Chapter 1 of the UN Charter, which says that "nothing contained in the present Charter shall authorize the United Nations to intervene in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any state," and that the UN "is based on the principle of the sovereign equality of all of its Members." The Commission was never part of the United Nations, and proudly based itself on the precedent of the environmentalist Brundtland Commission, which enshrined the Malthusian idea of "sustainable development."

On Sept. 14, 2000, the International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty was established by then-Canadian Foreign Minister Lloyd Axworthy with a mandate (by the Commonwealth) to "promote a comprehensive debate" on intervention and sovereignty. The Commission members drew from the pantheon of World Federalists, Karl Popperists, and British Empire agents. Funding the original Commission were the governments of Canada, the United Kingdom, and Switzerland, and a selection of *fondi* of the Anglo-American establishment, including the Rockefeller Foundation, the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation,

and the Carnegie Corporation.

Unveiled on Sept. 30, 2001, during the same UN General Assembly session as idiot George W. Bush's declaration of preventive war (backed again by Tony Blair) in the aftermath of the 9/11 attacks, R2P was and always has been a version of the same "preventive war" drive for world fascism.

Throughout the last decade, the R2P cause has been being built up through a score of organizations led by the International Coalition for the Responsibility To Protect, headquartered at the World Federalist Society offices in Washington, D.C., and funded by the Soros operations. It has affiliates in about 20 countries. A leading co-thinker outfit is the Global Centre on the Responsibility To Protect (GCR2P) in New York, created in February 2008, to "transform the principle of the responsibility to protect into a cause for action" and turn R2P into the doctrine of perpetual war.

In January 2009, a book *Responsibility To Protect: The Global Moral Compact for the 21st Century*, was published as the blueprint for R2P interventions. The Foreword is written by White House aide and Soros lackey, Samantha Power.

In March 2011, GCR2P called for the UN Security Council to turn its Resolution 1970 condemning Libyan leader Muammar Qaddafi's attacks on the rebels into military action—which happened on March 15 with Obama's announcement. Now, GCR2P is calling for similar action against Syria.

Soros's Role

Barack Obama's early Daddy Warbucks was none other than George Soros, the British "golem" who has promoted the end of the system of nation-states, established by the 1648 Treaty of Westphalia, throughout his political existence.

Soros's 2004 article in *Foreign Policy* titled "The People's Sovereignty," was not just journalistic drivel, it was a part of an operation that is coming to end game. It argues that "true sovereignty belongs to the people," and that "if governments abuse the authority entrusted to them and citizens have no opportunity to correct such abuses, outside interference is justified. By specifying that sovereignty is based on the people, the international community can penetrate nation-states' bor-

ders. . . .” He also boasts that any country that rejects financial aid, such as from the Open Society Foundation and other Soros fronts, is committing a crime of not protecting its people. “Such objections [to nongovernmental outside funding] make a prima facie case that those regimes are violating the people’s sovereignty. . . .”

“That principle has guided my network of foundations,” says Soros, implying that rejection of his money for “civic society” could eventually be viewed as part of the cause for military action. “Indeed, the rulers of a sovereign state have a responsibility to protect the state’s citizens,” Soros concludes. “When they fail to do so, the responsibility is transferred to the international community.”

In addition to propagandizing for R2P, Soros has poured billions into creating tandem institutions that will smash the sanctity of sovereignty, especially as it was defined in the UN Charter. In the 1990s, Soros financed the propaganda for the creation of the International Criminal Court (ICC), and in 1999, he jumped immediately into supporting the International Commission on Intervention and State Sovereignty (ICISS, a.k.a. the Responsibility To Protect Commission), an independent initiative of the British Commonwealth that spewed out of the mouth of then Canadian Prime Minister Chrétien during the UN Millennium summit.

It took the Anglo-Saudi-engineered attacks of Sept. 11, 2001 to get the ICISS to the next stage: The Commission revealed its findings at the UN just weeks after the 9/11 attacks, in tandem with the Blair-Bush war-mongering.

Having been frustrated for years over the slow pace of success in destroying the UN Charter’s “enshrining” of national sovereignty, despite support for R2P from both Secretaries-General Kofi Annan and Ban Ki-moon, Soros, Malloch Brown, and their lackeys consider UN Libya Resolution 1973, which justified the no-fly zone, to be the greatest chance in a century to destroy sovereignty.

But, Malloch Brown wants another UN resolution to finish the job. Writing in the *Financial Times* on March 31, he advised the UN to “declare victory and get on with ousting Gadaffi,” arguing that while the Resolution was a “diplomatic triumph” in asserting



R2P was the explicit justification cited by the Obama White House for starting the war in Libya. Shown: NATO troops arriving in Libya. NATO

R2P, it was too weak.

In addition to the economic sanctions, the referral of Qaddafi to the International Criminal Court (which Malloch Brown helped design with Soros in the 1990s, and helped to implement as a top UN official, under Kofi Annan), and the no-fly zone, Malloch Brown calls for several other measures: Declare the Transitional National Council as the “legitimate interim government” of Libya, so that it can take Libya’s UN seat and all the foreign embassies, and set up a UN free zone of territory declared to be under the Council, and send ground troops in to fight Qaddafi and ensure delivery routes—oil, arms, and of course, humanitarian goods.

Malloch Brown is now the chairman of Global Affairs for the Financial Times International (consulting body), a position he assumed in 2009, after leaving the British Foreign Office, a post for which he received a Lordship. He has been an operative for Soros going back to the Soros Advisory Committee on Bosnia in 1993-94, and while holding top posts at the UN from 1999 to 2006, he was given a Soros-owned luxury apartment to live in, at a nominal rent. On leaving the UN, he became vice chairman of Soros Fund Management and the Open Society Institute.

Closely tied to the British Empire’s financial oligarchy, Malloch Brown has been lecturing in the United States through the month of April, elevating R2P to a “doctrine,” and pushing for more American backing.

Another reason to get rid of Obama.

London's Thai Monarchical Outpost Out To Destroy Southeast Asia

by Mike Billington

April 26—Despite the fact that Thai Prime Minister Abhisit Vijjajiva is a British subject—born, bred, and educated in London—and despite the fact that he was placed in office through a series of British-backed military and judicial coups, nonetheless, the decision has been made by the Thai military and retired generals in the Privy Council, all answering to London, that Abhisit is no longer useful to their broader purposes. The British have a higher target—breaking up the unity within ASEAN (Association of Southeast Asian Nations), as part of the imperial project to create discord and crisis in the “ring around China.” This is the only way to understand the insane effort of the Thai military to provoke a war with neighboring Cambodia.

With the world financial system in a state of terminal collapse, the survival of the bankrupt British financial empire, centered on the Inter-Alpha Group of banks, depends on the ancient imperial mandate of “divide and conquer” to break up alliances of sovereign nations, and to force through its global financial dictates. The stability of Southeast Asia under the umbrella of ASEAN has long been on the imperial target list, largely because of ASEAN’s dogged commitment to maintain unity through respect for the sovereign rights of its ten member-states, and to maintain close relations with China.

Thailand, a founding member of ASEAN, is today the weak link in the Association, as a result of the coup carried out against the popularly elected Prime Minister Thaksin Shinawatra in 2006, by a faction of the military which is closely aligned with the monarchy. The coup was followed by a series of dictatorial actions by the military junta and its corrupt courts to impose a British-puppet regime on the country, and to carry out several bloody crackdowns on popular opposition to the dictatorship.

Now, over the past several weeks, throw-

ing aside all pretenses of either democracy or the rule of law, the head of the Thai military has declared through its actions that the military—not the government—rules Thailand. Claiming to be defending the monarchy, it is proceeding to destroy both Thailand and ASEAN as a whole, on behalf of British imperial designs.

The Military Moves

Beginning on April 22, the military, with the support of Thai Foreign Minister Kasit Piromya and the fascist gang of fanatic royalists known as the Yellow Shirts, has launched new military assaults on Thailand’s far poorer and weaker neighbor, Cambodia, resulting in several days of artillery exchanges, hitting targets 21 km within Cambodian territory, and leaving a dozen or more dead on the two sides. Supposedly provoked by territorial disputes which had in fact been settled by international agreements decades ago, the Thai actions



The British-controlled Thai military, now running the country, has rejected mediation of its conflict with Cambodia, despite massive international pressure. Shown: a Thai artillery station near the Thai-Cambodian border.

ernment's agreement to allow such observers.

The fact that ASEAN is being told to drop dead by one of its founding members is a serious threat to the institution of ASEAN itself—which would be a victory for the British imperial design to set Asia aflame and encircle China with chaos. On April 21, three days after the generals told the Indonesians to keep out, the Thai military launched its current round of attacks on Cambodian positions across the northeast border.


General Prayuth, hardly interested in remaining “above politics,” has openly instructed the Thai people that they must “vote to protect the monarchy,” i.e., vote against the pro-Thaksin opposition. To drive home the point, Prayuth personally directed that *lèse majesté* charges, which are deadly serious in monarchical Thailand, be brought against many of the pro-Thaksin Red Shirt leaders; 13 radio stations, associated with the opposition, were also shut down.

Among the probable casualties of this insanity are the great infrastructure development projects across the region. A primary purpose of ASEAN has been to facilitate regional infrastructure development policies, crucial if the region is to escape from the poverty which

still prevails as a legacy of the colonial era. These projects include the Mekong River development plans among Vietnam, Cambodia, Thailand, Laos, Myanmar, and China, based on the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) program under President Franklin Roosevelt. Also threatened is the “Asian Railroad,” high-speed rail connections through Thailand, to be built primarily by China, both north-to-south from China through Laos and Thailand to Malaysia and Singapore, and east-to-west from China through Vietnam, Cambodia, and Thailand to Myanmar. Again, the British Imperialists could not be more pleased.

This fascist/monarchical cold coup in Thailand is not only a direct threat to ASEAN, but also to China and to the world, in the midst of the general collapse of the global financial system. Thailand's opposition would do well to identify the British imperial interests behind this cold (but getting hotter) coup, restore the nation's historic ties to U.S. republican forces which have helped Thailand battle the British Empire, and join the fight for a new world financial order based on Glass-Steagall principles.

mobeir@aol.com



21st CENTURY SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

In the Winter issue

LUC MONTAGNIER'S REVOLUTION IN BIOLOGY

New Evidence for a Non-Particle View of Life

France's leading virologist, Luc Montagnier, demonstrates the emission of low-frequency electromagnetic waves from bacterial DNA sequences, and the apparent ability of these waves to organize

THE GREAT SEA-LEVEL HUMBUG

There Is No Alarming Sea Level Rise!

While the IPCC and its boy scouts present wilder and wilder sea level predictions for the near future, the real observational facts demonstrate that sea level has remained virtually stable for the last 40-50 years.

RUDOLF SCHULTEN'S HIGH TEMPERATURE REACTOR:

A Technology Ready for Today

Let's Tell the Truth About Plutonium and Hanford

Space Technology Can Transform Africa

INTERVIEW: DR. MOHAMED ARGOUN

'Bring the Benefits of Space to Developing Countries'



Subscribe! Electronic subscriptions are

\$25 for 6 issues, \$48 for 12 issues

Single electronic copy is \$5.

Available at www.21stcenturysciencetech.com
or send check/money order to

21st Century

P.O. Box 16285, Washington, D.C. 20041

Surprise, Surprise!

MI6 Helping al-Qaeda Kill American Troops

by Ramtanu Maitra

A recent release of Wikileaks documents must have created a flurry of concern among the anglophiles in the White House. One such document shows that through interrogations of the Guantanamo Bay detainees, it has come to light that Adil Hadi al-Jaza'iri Bin Hamlili, an al-Qaeda assassin of Algerian descent, was found "to have withheld important information from the Canadian Secret Intelligence Service (CSIS) and British Secret Intelligence Service (BSIS or MI6) (*for whom he served as a HUMINT [human intelligence] source*), and to be a threat to U.S. and allied personnel in Afghanistan and Pakistan" (emphasis added).

Hamlili, the Wikileaks document shows, "has a long history of involvement with Islamic extremists and extremist groups. Detainee has admitted to his affiliation with key terrorist groups including the GIA [Armed Islamic Group of Algeria] and HIG [Hizb-I Islami Gulbuddin], traveling to Afghanistan to participate in combat (initially against the Soviets), training at terrorist camps, and of being involved with the Taliban Foreign Ministry and Intelligence Ministry. Detainee has provided a good deal of consistent information regarding his activities for earlier periods of his life, but has omitted key details of his pre-arrest history. The significant gaps during key parts of detainee's timeline make it difficult to fully assess his threat and intelligence value. Detainee has provided little information about his activities between November 2001 and his capture in Pakistan in June 2003."

In other words, it is not likely that Hamlili, without any background to check, walked into the SIS building in Vauxhall Square in London looking

for a job. It is most likely that Hamlili was a prize catch of MI6, which runs a gamut of terrorists, some of whom are based in London, while others are scattered around those parts of the globe where Britain is planning to use them at a later time.

The British elites, who are fully cognizant of MI6's role in nurturing and protecting the terrorists, and consider these actions necessary to further the aims of the empire, expressed their routine concerns about "mistakes that were made." Lord Alan West of Spithead, a former security minister in the Blair Labour government, issued a canned statement saying that ministers had failed to get a grip on the problem. "The counterterrorist strategy was not working as well as it should have been," he said. "I hope that this Government is looking at it very closely, I am sure they are. We need to keep this pressure on." West said that Britain, in the 1990s, was "very slow in realizing the danger of the radicalization that was going on."

In Canada, where the Wikileaks revelations caused more uneasiness, Ottawa trotted out Wesley Wark, a professor with the University of Toronto's Munk School of Global Affairs, who tried to console his fellow Canadians saying, "It would be 'beyond the pale' for CSIS and MI6 to run 'a valuable intelligence agent if they knew him to be involved in acts of violence.'" He even



Wikimedia Commons

New Wikileaks documents once again point to the role of "Londonistan" in running international terrorism. Repeated warnings, included from Washington, to stop granting asylum to Islamic radicals, were ignored, even after London's own transit system was bombed on July 7, 2005, killing 52 people. Shown: ambulances at the Russell Square station after the bombings.

suggested that the information that the Guantanamo interrogators prized out of detainees could be “tainted.”

However, Wark should note that the connection between London and Islamic radicals has been documented so widely, that by now, books have been written, identifying London as “Londonistan.”

Aligning Itself with the Devil

It is important to realize that, while Hamlili was working as an informer to the Canadians and the British, he was also killing people all over Pakistan. The Wikileaks document points out that Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, who has reportedly admitted to masterminding the 9/11 attacks, told his interrogators that Hamlili was behind a March 2002 grenade attack on a church in Islamabad, which killed five people. Hamlili was also known to be responsible for an attack on a church in Pakistan in December 2002 which killed three children.

Separate U.S. intelligence reports said that Hamlili was “possibly involved” in a bombing outside Karachi’s Sheraton Hotel in May 2002, which killed 11 French engineers and 2 Pakistani citizens.

To refresh the memory of the anglophiles walking the corridors of power in Washington, the March 2002 grenade attack on the church killed an American woman and her 17-year-old daughter. More than 40 people were injured, including at least 10 Americans.

Following the incident, then-U.S. Ambassador to Pakistan Wendy Chamberlin, now president of the Middle East Institute in Washington, identified the American dead as Barbara Green and her daughter Kristen Wormsley. She described them as “members of the American Embassy family in Islamabad.” “There is a hard lesson to be drawn from today’s tragic events,” Chamberlin said.

Yet, it is evident that no “hard lesson” has been learnt. The United States continues to share intelligence with those who run terrorists, who are presently committed to kill Americans first.

In addition to Hamlili’s links to MI6 and CSIS, the Wikileaks documents also show the BBC’s links to the terrorists. According to Guantanamo Bay interrogators,



Creative Commons

Lord West of Spithead, a former security minister in the Blair government, admitted, with typical British understatement, that, “The counterterrorist strategy was not working as well as it should have been.”

the phone number of someone at the BBC’s Bush House headquarters was found in phone books, and programmed into the mobile phones of a number of militants seized by the Americans. The assessment on one of the detainees at the Guantanamo camp, dated April 21, 2007, said: “The London, United Kingdom, phone number 0044 207 XXX XXXX was discovered in numerous seized phone books and phones associated with extremist-linked individuals.”

What, however, eventually triggered Washington to feebly

inform London of its concerns, was the finding that Britain ignored repeated warnings to stop granting asylum to Islamic radicals wanted in other countries for terrorist offenses. This came in a leaked U.S. diplomatic cable, sent five days after the July 7, 2005 bombings that killed 52 people on London’s mass transit system. The cable stated that Britain “should have expected such blasts.” Lord West, the minister in charge of counterterrorism, admitted that the last government had failed to get a grip on the problem. The cable revealed that Washington was told that politicians had allowed “Londonistan” to develop.

According to the cable, obtained by the WikiLeaks website, and passed on to London’s *Daily Telegraph*, a former military attaché to the Algerian Embassy in Washington told U.S. diplomats that Britain had been warned years ago to stop granting asylum to members of two “very dangerous” terrorist groups. An Algerian politician said Britain invited the attacks by “aligning itself with the devil,” as stated in a cable sent five days after the attacks on July 12, 2005. The attaché asked: “Did the English consider the risks of allowing Londonistan to develop? The British thought that sheltering terrorists was a good solution, but they did not realize that one can never align oneself with the devil, and they did precisely that for years and years.”

Londonistan

Britain’s Londonistan is a hydra-headed monster, fed and harbored by British intelligence. For instance, another set of Wikileaks documents provides narratives from a detainee, Al-Afghani, about how Osama bin

Laden, surrounded by American troops, escaped from the Tora Bora area of Afghanistan in early December of 2001. In addition to this account, CNN terrorism analyst Paul Cruickshank said that he was given an account of this by Noman Benotman, then, a senior figure in the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group (LIFG), which had ties to al-Qaeda. Benotman was in phone contact with Abu Leith al-Libbi, a Libyan al-Qaeda official close to bin Laden, after 9/11.

Benotman told Cruickshank, that when planning his exit, bin Laden knew not to trust local people across the border in Pakistan—a lawless area where criminals and drug traffickers would not have thought twice about trading the al-Qaeda leader for the \$25 million bounty offered by the United States.

No matter how much filtering of this story has been done, it is evident that Benotman was involved in the effort to find a safe passage for Osama. Benotman is now a senior analyst at the Quilliam Foundation, a U.K. counterterrorism think tank.

The Quilliam Foundation was created by Tony Blair & Co, when the British Prime Minister was under pressure to outlaw the Islamic terrorist group Hizb ut-Tahrir (HuT), which was already banned in Germany, and all the Central Asian “-stan” nations, among many other countries. Since HuT is an asset of Londonistan, Blair, and Gordon Brown later, hemmed and hawed about lack of evidence needed to brand it a terrorist outfit, and then set up Quilliam, run by “ex-HuT” senior members.

Benotman is a senior analyst of the Foundation, established by Maajid Nawaz, Ed Husain, and Rashad Zaman Ali. Both Ali and Nawaz are former members of the U.K.-headquartered Islamist HuT, and Husain studied with the group or group members. The creation of the Quilliam Foundation by Blair & Co. was aimed at legitimizing the HuT. Now, the funds and donations to this foundation are surely finding their way to the HuT, and at the same time, Quilliam, an MI5/MI6 front, functions ostensibly as the watchdog, so that the HuT never gets blamed for any terrorist act.

In the context of the current conflict in Libya, the role of Benotman and other LIFG members assimilated into Quilliam should be of interest. Although the LIFG, for all intents and purposes, no longer exists as a func-



Noman Benotman, formerly, a top figure in the al-Qaeda-linked Libyan Islamic Fighting Group, is now a senior analyst at Quilliam, a “counter-terrorism” think tank, created by Tony Blair & Co.

tioning organization, some of its members in recent weeks have taken advantage of the recent chaos in Libya to form a new political organization, al-Haraka al-Islamiya Lil Taghier, the Islamic Movement for Change.

This group’s spokesman, a former LIFG member (not named) based in London, has appeared on al-Jazeera, and expressed support for international intervention to remove Qaddafi. Quilliam, acting as the mediator, claims that the spokesman holds positive views about Mohammed Abdul Jalil, the head of the Transitional Government in Benghazi. Thus, while the non-existent LIFG, as an organization, cannot be considered to be involved in the opposition to Qaddafi, some of its members are actively involved in the political opposition to Qaddafi on behalf of Britain.

In other words, Britain, using the HuT and Quilliam Foundation, is busy trying to get control of Libya after the removal of Muammar Qaddafi.

THE EURASIAN LAND-BRIDGE

An EIR Special Report

‘The New Silk Road’—
locomotive for worldwide
economic development

(1997) 260 pages \$100
(EIR 96-007)

Available from

EIR News Service

P.O. Box 17390 Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Phone: 1-800-278-3135

or www.larouchepub.com

Obama Basking in Osama Demise

Lyndon LaRouche commented May 2 on the killing of Osama bin Laden, noting that the successful commando raid that took out the al-Qaeda leader could not have come at a better moment for President Obama and his London and Wall Street masters. Obama was in a psychological meltdown, and many people in the Democratic Party were aware of it, particularly after the President's paranoid outburst at Nancy Pelosi in San Francisco last month (see our cover story).

If nothing else, the killing of bin Laden, and Obama's well-scripted Sunday late-night announcement of the successful operation, aimed to halt, for the moment, the President's meltdown, a meltdown that had sent shockwaves through the Democratic Party and had panicked Obama's sponsors.

LaRouche elaborated: "Clearly the intelligence on bin Laden's whereabouts had been developed over a long period of time, and his location had been largely confirmed some time last Autumn. This is the best information I have received. You can not look at the timing of the successful attack on his compound in Pakistan without considering all of the global factors, the ramifications on the strategic scale, of this operation. I do not rule out that one of the driving factors, from the standpoint of London and Wall Street, was that Obama was in a meltdown mentally, and something had to be done to prop him up. I doubt that the effort will have any long-lasting impact, and in fact, the crash after the euphoria could be even more dramatic. We are, after all, dealing with a severe narcissistic disorder."

LaRouche highlighted another danger: The killing of bin Laden can now trigger a wave of nominally "Islamist" terrorism, actually hatched in London, with complicity from Britain's Riyadh "Al-Yamamah" associates. Ultimately, the British

have few strategic objectives for dealing with the existential breakdown of their monetarist empire. First, they intend to destroy the Westphalian nation-state system entirely. The destruction of the United States holds the key to that objective. Second, they intend to reduce the population of the planet from the present level approaching 7 billion people, to below 2 billion. Key to achieving that radical Malthusian genocide is the fomenting of a permanent war on a global scale. London's intent is to use Islam as the weapon against civilization, and, ultimately, to destroy Islam through a sectarian war between Sunni and Shi'a. In this regard, the Saudis are London's chief ally and surrogate, and Pakistan is rapidly emerging as "Saudi Arabia East." A breakup of Pakistan, in the wake of the bin Laden killing cannot be ruled out. This would spread British-engineered instability into China, Central Asia, and Russia.


LaRouche warned: If the Obama Administration, over the next days, releases the grotesque photographs of a dead Osama bin Laden, you will know that the die has been cast, and that the most lunatic faction within the British oligarchy is moving to blow up the planet. In the meantime, their immediate, desperate objective is to prop up their White House tool Obama, by waving bin Laden's scalp. That effect may last a week, but nothing that London can do will long postpone President Obama's Nero moment.

The remedy is the immediate invoking of Section 4 of the 25th Amendment, removing President Obama from office and replacing him with Vice President Joe Biden. A team of competent patriots could be rapidly assembled, and the immediate passage of Glass-Steagall would set the world back on a proper course. The British Empire would suffer its greatest defeat ever.

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Youth Movement, we are changing politics in Washington, day by day.

EIR Online

Issued every Tuesday, EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- \$360 for one year
 - \$180 for six months
 - \$120 for four months
 - \$90 for three months
 - \$60 for two months
- Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

Name _____
Company _____
Address _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____
Phone (_____) _____
E-mail address _____

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my MasterCard Visa

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____