

EIR

Executive Intelligence Review

February 7, 2014 Vol. 41 No. 6 www.larouche.pub.com \$10.00

Lyndon LaRouche: 'Economy & Africa'
British Coup vs Clinton Brought U.S. to Hell
Thermonuclear War Countdown Demands Impeachment!

**WWIII Danger! Western Powers
Back Neo-Nazi Coup in Ukraine**



Founder and Contributing Editor:

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Editorial Board: Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.,
Antony Papert, Gerald Rose, Dennis Small,
Nancy Spannaus, Jeffrey Steinberg, William
Wertz

Editor: Nancy Spannaus

Managing Editor: Susan Welsh

Technology Editor: Marsha Freeman

Book Editor: Katherine Notley

Graphics Editor: Alan Yue

Photo Editor: Stuart Lewis

Circulation Manager: Stanley Ezrol

INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORS

Counterintelligence: Jeffrey Steinberg, Michele
Steinberg

Economics: John Hoefle, Marcia Merry Baker,
Paul Gallagher

History: Anton Chaitkin

Ibero-America: Dennis Small

Russia and Eastern Europe: Rachel Douglas

United States: Debra Freeman

INTERNATIONAL BUREAUS

Bogotá: Javier Almaria

Berlin: Rainer Apel

Copenhagen: Tom Gillesberg

Houston: Harley Schlanger

Lima: Sara Madueño

Melbourne: Robert Barwick

Mexico City: Gerardo Castilleja Chávez

New Delhi: Ramtanu Maitra

Paris: Christine Bierre

Stockholm: Ulf Sandmark

United Nations, N.Y.C.: Leni Rubinstein

Washington, D.C.: William Jones

Wiesbaden: Göran Haglund

ON THE WEB

e-mail: eirms@larouche.pub.com

www.larouche.pub.com

www.executiveintelligenceintellereview.com

www.larouche.pub.com/eiw

Webmaster: John Sigerson

Assistant Webmaster: George Hollis

Editor, Arabic-language edition: Hussein Askary

EIR (ISSN 0273-6314) is published weekly
(50 issues), by EIR News Service, Inc.,
P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.
(703) 777-9451

European Headquarters: E.I.R. GmbH, Postfach
Bahnstrasse 9a, D-65205, Wiesbaden, Germany
Tel: 49-611-73650

Homepage: <http://www.eirna.com>

e-mail: eirna@eirna.com

Director: Georg Neudecker

Montreal, Canada: 514-461-1557

Denmark: EIR - Danmark, Sankt Knuds Vej 11,
basement left, DK-1903 Frederiksberg, Denmark.
Tel.: +45 35 43 60 40, Fax: +45 35 43 87 57. e-mail:
eirdk@hotmail.com.

Mexico City: EIR, Calz de los Gallos 39 interior 2,
Col Plutarco E Calles,
Del. Miguel Hidalgo, CP 11350,
Mexico, DF. Tel 5318-2301, 6306-8363, 6306-8361

Copyright: ©2014 EIR News Service. All rights
reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without
permission strictly prohibited.

Canada Post Publication Sales Agreement
#40683579

Postmaster: Send all address changes to EIR, P.O.
Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390.

EIR

From the Editor

This issue of *EIR* leads with a dossier on one of the most shocking provocations of recent memory, the support by Western NATO powers for a neo-Nazi coup in Ukraine. Does anyone think that Russia is going to sit by and let this go on, especially as the explicit aim of the insurgents is to move Ukraine into military alliance with the West? While Ukraine is by no means the only provocation being run by the British monarchy against Russia and China, it can truly be characterized as a hair-trigger.

As you get this magazine, LaRouche movement organizers internationally are circulating that dossier in government circles throughout the world, including the U.S. Congress and European parliament. The demand is obvious: Stop it, before it's too late.

In your subscription copy, the dossier is followed by highly revealing documentation from Russian and Ukrainian sources, demonstrating the manipulated nature of what is lyingly called a "democratic" protest. Helga Zepp-LaRouche then takes up the issue from the European standpoint, and an *EIR* research team takes up another aspect of the provocations against Russia, the London-Riyadh-Turkey Axis behind Chechen terrorism. Lyndon LaRouche has been addressing this threat since his 1999 "Storm Over Asia" video, which we excerpt.

LaRouche's prescription for short-circuiting this crisis, by removing Obama, follows (*National*)—along with an in-depth picture of what LaRouche has identified as a crucial British operation which has destroyed our Presidency—the British coup against Bill Clinton (*Feature*).

Our major economics coverage this issue also comes from LaRouche, who writes in honor of African scholar Peter Alexander Egom. LaRouche's "Economy & Africa" (*Strategy*) is written explicitly to address Egom's appeal for the world to meet the needs of the African continent—an appeal LaRouche is in the best position globally to answer. Short articles on the crisis represented by a currently non-nuclear Japan, the passing of Wilhelm Hankel, one of the few German economists worthy of the name, and significant economic news items of the last week (*Economics*).



Cover This Week

A Ukrainian policeman is set on fire by a Molotov cocktail thrown by an anti-government protester, Jan. 22, 2014.



<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W89uNTmu-UE>

4 Western Powers Back Neo-Nazi Coup in Ukraine

The EU and the Obama Administration are backing an outright neo-Nazi regime-change coup in Ukraine, in the guise of a “democracy movement.” Should this effort succeed, the consequences will be felt far beyond the region, especially in Russia, where it would be seen as a *casus belli*.

8 Documentation: War Against Russia, on Ukrainian Territory

10 Natalia Vitrenko: How the ‘Hot Phase’ Began

11 ‘Project Democracy’ Runs Propaganda in Ukraine

12 Vitrenko: Neo-Nazis Are Taking Over

14 EU Geopolitical Confrontation Risks Thernonuclear World War!

By Helga Zepp-LaRouche.
Two decades of interference in Ukraine by foreign interests, have brought the country to the brink of civil war, and potentially, a nuclear confrontation with Russia.

17 On the Eve of Sochi Olympics: Behind Chechen Terrorism: The London-Riyadh-Turkey Axis.

Investigations into the decades-long history of Chechen and Northern Caucasus terrorism show that the terrorist armies today have an unbroken chain of relations to the Anglo-American-Saudi support of Osama bin Laden, and the precursors of al-Qaeda, going back to the 1980s Afghanistan war with the Soviet Union. That has now become the jihadi war against Russia.

21 Documentation: ‘Storm Over Asia’

An excerpt from Lyndon LaRouche’s November 1999 feature-length video.

National

23 **LaRouche Sees Danger by March: Thermonuclear War Countdown Demands Impeachment Now!**

With the danger that thermonuclear warfare could break out by March 1, Lyndon LaRouche said in his Jan. 31 webcast, the most effective action to be taken to derail the British imperial objective were to remove British agent Barack Obama from office by impeachment.

25 **Grounds for Impeachment**

Feature

26 **The British Coup vs. Clinton Brought U.S. to Edge of Hell**

From the moment Clinton was sworn in as President, a new stage of warfare between the British Empire and the American Republic began. Faced with the financial implosion of its system, the Empire was determined to expand its global looting, and destroy any potential for the U.S. Presidency to take the lead in stymying that policy, by implementing new global measures, best captured in the “The New Bretton Woods” program then being put forward by Lyndon LaRouche.

Economics

32 **A Non-Nuclear Japan Will Implode World’s 3rd Economy**

Japan’s Prime Minister Abe is now riding high on the apparent success of his economic stimulus, but Japan’s ballooning trade deficit hints that all is not well, and that without a return to nuclear power, the world’s third-largest economy is headed for a fall, that will bring trouble for the global economy as well.

36 **In Memoriam: Hankel’s Death Leaves Germany a Poorer Nation**

“In a sea of soulless monetarists and propagandists for an ‘economy that kills,’ as Pope Francis recently called it, Professor Hankel was one of the few German economists worthy of the name,” writes Helga Zepp-LaRouche in her tribute to a longtime friend of the LaRouche movement, who passed away on Jan. 15.

38 **Economics in Brief**

Strategy

39 **In Honor of Peter Alexander Egom: Economy & Africa**

By Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. The Nigerian scholar and author Peter Alexander Egom’s book, *Compass for Economic Reform* “represents an appeal to the needs of the African continent (i.e., Nigeria). . . . Africa deserves all the relevant sort of assistance which might be useful to its inherent mission for the future. My attention to that book then, has now been focused on particularly, a small part of his concluding remarks.”

Editorial

50 **Countdown!**

Western Powers Back Neo-Nazi Coup in Ukraine

by an EIR Research Team

Feb. 2—Western nations, led by the European Union and the Obama Administration, are backing an outright neo-Nazi regime-change coup in Ukraine. If the effort succeeds, the consequences will extend far beyond the borders of Ukraine and neighboring states. For Russia, such a coup would constitute a *casus belli*, coming as it does in the context of NATO missile defense expansion into Central Europe and the evolution of a U.S.-NATO doctrine of “Prompt Global Strike,” which presumes that the United States can launch a pre-emptive first strike against Russia and China and survive the retaliation.

The events in Ukraine constitute a potential trigger for a global war that could rapidly and easily escalate to a thermonuclear war of extinction. At this weekend’s Munich Security Conference, Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov had a heated public exchange with NATO Secretary General Anders Fogh Rasmussen, in which the latter accused Russia of “bellicose rhetoric” and Lavrov responded by citing the European missile defense program as an attempt to secure a nuclear first-strike capability against Russia.

In his formal remarks at Munich and a week earlier at the World Economic Forum at Davos, Switzerland, Lavrov also assailed Western governments for supporting neo-Nazi terrorist organizations in their zeal to place Ukraine under European Union and Troika control to tighten the NATO noose around Russia.

If anything, Lavrov understated the case.

Ever since President Viktor Yanukovich announced that Ukraine was withdrawing its plans to sign the European Union’s Association Agreement on Nov. 21, 2013, Western-backed organizations made up of remnants of the wartime and immediate postwar Nazi collaborationist Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN-B) and their successors have launched a campaign of provocations aimed at not only at bringing down the government of Prime Minister Mykola Azarov, but at overthrowing the democratically elected President Yanukovich.

The EU Eastern Partnership was initiated in December 2008 by Carl Bildt and Radek Sikorski, the foreign ministers of Sweden and Poland, in the wake of Georgia’s military showdown with Russia in South Ossetia. The Eastern Partnership targeted six countries that were formerly republics within the Soviet Union: three in the Caucasus region (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia) and three in East Central Europe (Belarus, Moldova, Ukraine). They were not to be invited to full EU membership, but drawn into an EU vise through so-called Association Agreements, each one centered on a Deep and Comprehensive Free Trade Agreement (DCFTA). The prime target of the effort was Ukraine. Under the Association Agreement negotiated with Ukraine, but not signed, the industrial economy of Ukraine would have been dismantled, trade with Russia would have



Wikimedia Commons

The youth group of the Social-National Party of Ukraine, on the march with their swastika banner in Lviv in 1999. The party's name evoked that of the German National-Socialist ("Nazi") party. The swastika was dropped in 2003 and the party was renamed Svoboda in 2004.

been savaged (with Russia ending its free-trade regime with Ukraine, to prevent its own markets from being flooded via Ukraine), and the European markets' players would have grabbed for Ukraine's agricultural and raw materials exports. The same deadly austerity regime as has been imposed on the Mediterranean states of Europe under the Troika bailout swindle would have been imposed on Ukraine.

Furthermore, the Association Agreement mandated "convergence" on security issues, with integration into European defense systems. Under such an upgraded arrangement, the long-term treaty agreements on the Russian Navy's use of the crucial Crimean Black Sea ports would have been terminated, ultimately giving NATO forward basing on Russia's immediate border.

While Western news accounts promoted the demonstrations in Kiev's Independence Square (Maidan Nezalezhnosti, or Euromaidan as it is now called), as initially peaceful, the fact is that, from the outset, the protests included hardcore avowed neo-Nazis, right-wing "soccer hooligans" and "Afghansy" combat veterans of the wars in Afghanistan, Chechnya, and Georgia. According to Ukrainian parliamentarian Oleh Tsaryov, 350 Ukrainians returned to the country from Syria in January 2014, after fighting with the Syrian rebels, including

al-Qaeda-linked groups such as the al-Nusra Front and the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS).

Already, on the weekend of Nov. 30-Dec. 1, 2013, rioters were throwing Molotov cocktails and seized the Kiev Mayor's Office, declaring it a "revolutionary headquarters." Protesters from the opposition **Svoboda Party**, formerly called the Socialist-Nationalists, march under the red and black flag of **Stepan Bandera's Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN-B)**, the Nazi collaborators who exterminated Jews and Poles as an adjunct of the Nazi war machine, and in fulfillment of their own radical ideas on ethnic purity, during World War II.

The slogan of the Svoboda Party, "Ukraine for the Ukrainians," was Bandera's battle cry during the OUN-B collaboration with Hitler following the Nazi invasion of the Soviet Union. It was under

that slogan that mass executions and ethnic cleansing were carried out by Bandera's fascist fighters. Ukrainian sources have reported that the Svoboda Party was conducting paramilitary training during the Summer of 2013—months before President Yanukovich made his decision to reject the EU Association Agreement.

The neo-Nazi, racist and anti-semitic character of Svoboda did not deter Western diplomats—including U.S. Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs Victoria Nuland—from publicly meeting with the party's leader **Oleh Tyahnybok**, who had been kicked out of the Our Ukraine movement in 2004 for his speeches railing against "Muscovites and Jews"—using offensive, derogatory names for both.

The Bandera fascist revival has been underway in plain sight since the "Orange Revolution" of 2004, when **Viktor Yushchenko** was installed as President of Ukraine through a foreign-backed street campaign heavily financed by George Soros's International Renaissance Foundation and more than 2,000 other non-governmental organizations from Europe and America, after he had been officially declared the loser in a tight presidential contest with Viktor Yanukovich. On Jan. 22, 2010, one of Yushchenko's last acts as President, after losing his reelection bid to Yanukovich by a

wide margin, was to name Stepan Bandera a Hero of Ukraine, which is a high state honor. Yushchenko's second wife, **Kateryna Chumachenko**, was herself a member of the youth group of the Banderist OUN-B in Chicago, where she was born, according to news accounts. In the 1980s, Chumachenko headed the Washington offices of the Ukrainian Congress Committee of America (in which OUN-B influence was great at that

The Bandera OUN-B legacy is critical to understanding the nature of the armed insurrection now unfolding in Ukraine. . . . By the time of the Nazi invasion of the Soviet Union in 1941, Bandera's forces consisted of at least 7,000 fighters, organized into "mobile groups" that coordinated with German forces. Bandera received 2.5 million German marks to conduct subversive operations inside the Soviet Union. . . . In 1943, the OUN-B carried out a mass extermination campaign of Poles and Jews, killing an estimated 70,000 civilians during the Summer of that year alone.

time, according to the Internet Encyclopedia of Ukraine) and the National Captive Nations Committee, before moving over to the State Department Bureau for Human Rights. In January 2011, President Yanukovych announced that Bandera's Hero of Ukraine status had been officially revoked.

The OUN-B: A Bit of History

The Bandera OUN-B legacy is critical to understanding the nature of the armed insurrection now unfolding in Ukraine. The Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists was founded in 1929, and within four years, Bandera was its head. In 1934, Bandera and other OUN leaders were arrested for the assassination of Bronislaw Pieracki, the Polish Minister of Internal Affairs. Bandera was freed from jail in 1938 and immediately entered into negotiations with the German Occupation Headquarters, receiving funds and arranging Abwehr training for 800 of his paramilitary commandos. By the

time of the Nazi invasion of the Soviet Union in 1941, Bandera's forces consisted of at least 7,000 fighters, organized into "mobile groups" that coordinated with German forces. Bandera received 2.5 million German marks to conduct subversive operations inside the Soviet Union. After he declared an independent Ukrainian state under his direction in 1941, Bandera was arrested and sent to Berlin. But he maintained his Nazi ties and funding, and his "mobile groups" were supplied and given air cover by the Germans throughout the war.

In 1943, Bandera's OUN-B carried out a mass extermination campaign of Poles and Jews, killing an estimated 70,000 civilians during the summer of that year alone. Although Bandera was still running the OUN-B operations out of Berlin, the ethnic cleansing program was run by **Mykola Lebed**, the chief of the Sluzhba Bespeki, OUN-B's secret police organization. In May 1941, at an OUN plenary in Krakow, the organization issued a document, "Struggle and Action of OUN During the War," which stated, in part, "Moskali, Poles, Jews are hostile to us and must be exterminated in this struggle." ("Moskal" is derogatory Ukrainian slang for "Muscovites," or Russians.)

With the defeat of the Nazis and the end of the war on the European front, Bandera and many leaders of the OUN-B wound up in displaced person camps in Germany and Central Europe. According to Stephen Dorcill in his authoritative history of MI6, *MI6: Inside the Covert World of Her Majesty's Secret Intelligence Service*, Bandera was recruited to work for MI6 in April 1948. The link to the British was arranged by **Gerhard von Mende**, a former top Nazi who had headed the Caucasus Division of the Reich Ministry for the Occupied Eastern Territories (Ostministerium). Von Mende recruited Muslims from the Caucasus and Central Asia to fight with the Nazis during the invasion of the Soviet Union. At the close of World War II, he worked for the British through a front company, Research Service on Eastern Europe, which was a recruiting agency for principally Muslim insurgents operating inside the Soviet Union. Von Mende was instrumental in establishing a major hub of Muslim Brotherhood operations in Munich and Geneva.

Through von Mende, MI6 trained agents from the OUN-B and dropped them inside the Soviet Union to carry out sabotage and assassination operations between 1949 and 1950. A 1954 MI6 report praised Bandera as "a professional underground worker with a ter-

rorist background and ruthless notions about the rules of the game.”

In March 1956, Bandera went to work for the German equivalent of the CIA, the BND, then headed by Gen. Reinhardt Gehlen, the head of German military intelligence on the Eastern Front during World War II. Again, von Mende was one of his sponsors and protectors. In 1959, Bandera was assassinated by the KGB in West Germany.

Bandera’s top OUN-B killer, **Mykola Lebed**, the on-site commander of the group’s secret police, fared even better at the close of World War II. Lebed was recruited by the U.S. Army’s Counterintelligence Corps (CIC) in December 1946, and by 1948, was on the CIA payroll. Lebed recruited those OUN-B agents who did not go with Bandera and MI6, and participated in a number of sabotage programs behind the Iron Curtain, including “Operation Cartel” and “Operation Aerodynamics.” Lebed was brought to New York City, where he established a CIA front company, Prolog Research Corporation, under the control of Frank Wisner, who was the head of the CIA’s Directorate of Plans during the 1950s. Prolog operated well into the 1990s, getting a big boost when Zbigniew Brzezinski was President Jimmy Carter’s National Security Advisor.

In 1985, the U.S. Department of Justice launched an investigation into Lebed’s role in the wartime genocide in Poland and Western Ukraine, but the CIA blocked the probe and it was eventually dropped. Nevertheless, in 2010, after the release of thousands of pages of wartime records, the National Archives published a documentary report, *Hitler’s Shadow: Nazi War Criminals, U.S. Intelligence, and the Cold War*, by Richard Breitman and Norman Goda, which included a detailed account of Bandera’s and Lebed’s wartime Nazi collusion and involvement in mass executions of Jews and Poles.

It is this Bandera-Lebed legacy, and the networks spawned in the postwar period, which are at the center of the current events in Ukraine.



Oleh Tyahnybok, the head of Svoboda, denounces a “Moscow-Jewish mafia” that he says rules Ukraine, among other unpublishable epithets.

Speaking Out

On Jan. 25, 2014, twenty-nine Ukrainian leaders of political parties, civic and religious organizations, including former presidential candidate and parliamentarian Natalia Vitrenko, sent an open letter to the United Nations Secretary General and leaders of the EU and the United States, decrying the Western support for the neo-Nazi campaign to carry out a bloody coup against a legitimately elected government.

The open letter read, in part: “You should understand that, in supporting the actions of the guerillas in Ukraine ... you yourselves are directly protecting, inciting, and egging on Ukrainian neo-Nazis and neo-fascists.

“None of these oppositionists (Yatsenyuk, Klitschko, and Tyahnybok) hide that they are continuing the ideology and the practices of the OUN-UPA.... Wherever the Euromaidan people go in Ukraine, they disseminate, besides the slogans mentioned above, neo-Nazi, racist symbols.... Also confirming the neo-Nazi nature of the Euromaidan is the constant use of portraits of the bloody executioners of our people, Bandera and Shukhevych—agents of the Abwehr.”

The open letter posed the question to Western leaders: “Have the UN, the EU, and the U.S.A. ceased to recognize the Charter and Verdict of the International War Crimes Tribunal at Nuremburg, where the Hitlerite Nazis and their henchmen were convicted? Have human rights ceased to be a value for the countries of the EU and the world community? Is the Ukrainian nationalists’ devotion to Hitler and his mass murders of civilians now considered democracy?”

Only in the recent days, with scenes of mass violence by armed protesters finally breaking through the propaganda fog, has the Western media taken up the neo-Nazi character of the ongoing destabilization. *Time* magazine, on Jan. 28, headlined its coverage from Kiev “Right-Wing Thugs Are Hijacking Ukraine’s Liberal Uprising,” profiling one group of neo-Nazi hooligans called Spilna Sprava (“Common Cause,” but the Ukrainian initials spell “SS”), as being near the center of the protests.

The next day, Jan. 29, the *Guardian* headlined “In Ukraine, Fascists, Oligarchs and Western Expansion Are at the Heart of the Crisis,” with the kicker: “The story we’re told about the protests gripping Kiev bears only the sketchiest relationship with reality.” *Guardian* reporter Seumas Milne candidly wrote, “You’d never know from most of the reporting that far-right nationalists and fascists have been at the heart of the protests and attacks on government buildings. One of the three main opposition parties heading the campaign is the hard-right anti-Semitic Svoboda, whose leader Oleh Tyahnybok claims that a ‘Moscow-Jewish mafia’ controls Ukraine. The party, now running the city of Lviv, led a 15,000-strong torch-lit march earlier this month in memory of the Ukrainian fascist leader Stepan Bandera, whose forces fought with the Nazis in the second world war and took part in massacres of Jews.”

Counterpunch also published a Jan. 29 article by Eric Draitser, “Ukraine and the Rebirth of Fascism,” which began with the warning: “The violence on the streets of Ukraine is far more than an expression of popular anger against a government. Instead, it is merely the latest example of the rise of the most insidious form of fascism that Europe has seen since the fall of the Third Reich. . . . In an attempt to pry Ukraine out of the Russian sphere of influence, the U.S.-EU-NATO alliance has, not for the first time, allied itself with fascists.”

Documentation

War Against Russia, On Ukrainian Territory’

Leading Russian and Ukrainian figures mince few words, in identifying the strategic dimension of the current irregular warfare against Ukraine’s elected leaders and institutions of state.

President Vladimir Putin: Well-Organized Extremist Activities

Putin spoke in Armenia on Dec. 2, 2013, the day after the first major violence at the Euromaidan.

Regarding the events in Ukraine, they remind me less of a revolution than of a pogrom. And strange as it is, this all has little to do with Ukraine-EU relations. Because if you pay attention, no one is delving into these draft



Russian Presidential Information Office

Presidents Viktor Yanukovich and Vladimir Putin sign cooperation agreements in Moscow on Dec. 17, 2013. Had Ukraine agreed to the Association Agreement with the EU last November, the consequences for its economy would have been disastrous.

agreements, no one is looking at anything or listening to anything. People say that the dream of the Ukrainian people has been stolen. But if you look at the contents of these agreements, then while it is good to dream, many will simply not live to see their dream be realized, never experience it, because the conditions are very harsh.

... [E]verything that is happening now is not a revolution, but a well-organized protest. And in my view, these events were not prepared for today, but for the Presidential election campaign of Spring 2015. What's happening now is just a little false start due to certain circumstances, but is also preparations for the Presidential election. The fact that these are preparations is obvious to all objective observers, judging from what we see on television, how well-organized and trained militant groups actually operate. That's my assessment. Either the opposition cannot always control what happens there, or it's just a certain political screen for extremist activities.

Ukrainian MP Oleh Tsaryov: A Real War

Oleh Tsaryov, deputy head of the majority Party of Regions caucus in Ukraine's Supreme Rada, has been outspoken on the role of outside training and foreign fighters in preparing and waging the current insurgency. In December 2013 he accused the U.S. Embassy of sponsoring social-networking training sessions for the opposition over the past Summer. On Jan. 24, 2014, he publicized information from Russian State Duma sources on the return of 350-some Ukrainian mercenaries from Syria to Ukraine, shortly before the Jan. 19 escalation of violence on Hrushevsky Street in central Kiev. Tsaryov addressed these issues during the Jan. 22 broadcast of Pyotr Tolstoy's "Politics" program on Channel One Russia television.

For some years, guerrilla fighters have been getting trained in special camps within Ukraine. These are the fighters who today are in combat and doing what is happening at the Maidan. People have been trained in the use of cold weapons and on how to take out Berkut [special police force] personnel. This has been pumped up in the wildest way. These people have now broken up into units of a hundred, or of ten. They are highly organized, with iron discipline. What is going on now is a war, a real war. And if you fastidious people think that you are going to be able to just sit here, and this war will bypass you, you're dead wrong! Because what's going on in Ukraine is a war against Russia, and that war, sooner or later, will come from our homes to yours!...

The main thing I want to say, is that the war now going on in Ukraine is a geopolitical war, in which the people of Ukraine have become hostages. My children, my wife, everybody who lives there. This war is taking place on Russia's doorstep. It is a war with Russia, on Ukrainian territory.

Academician Sergei Glazyev: An Insurgency Financed from Abroad

As adviser to President Putin on Eurasian integration issues, economist Sergei Glazyev has worked tirelessly to develop proposals for Russian-Ukrainian industrial projects and improved terms for Ukraine's trade with members of the Belarus-Kazakhstan-Russia Customs Union. On Jan. 31, 2014, RIA Novosti released a pre-publication summary, with excerpts, of his forthcoming interview in Gazprom magazine.

"There are many factors in the current situation in Ukraine," Glazyev said, "but I would highlight one of them, which is usually passed over. That is the enormous outside influence on the attitudes of the public in Ukraine. Influence from the USA and its NATO partners, who in the course of 20 years—through official State Department channels alone—have spent \$5 billion (Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland's figure), issued in the form of grants to develop an intellectual community of experts, oriented against the Russian Federation and directed toward shaping Russophobic attitudes in Ukrainian society."

He continued, "Grants of \$5-10,000 are handed out through thousands of NGOs to young people, students, and young specialists, who then give the payback for these grants through their publications, media statements, and in discussions." Glazyev noted that the main criterion is that all should be anti-Russian. "The money figure should be tripled, if you take into account grants from the EU and other NATO countries, plus unofficial grants arranged through special services, which are not recorded anywhere."

"Thus the factor of outside interference today is factor number one, which needs to be taken into account. We are not dealing with Banderists who have suddenly crawled out of the forest, but rather with the deliberate, systematic operations of a propaganda machine that has already crushed more than one government in the world and which today has created the explosive situation in Ukraine."

Glazyev believes that how the situation will unfold depends on the President of Ukraine. "Either he de-

fends Ukrainian statehood and puts down the insurgency, which has been provoked and financed by outside forces, or he risks losing power, and then Ukraine will face growing chaos and internal conflict, from which there is no exit in sight.”

Natalia Vitrenko: How The ‘Hot Phase’ Began

Natalia Vitrenko, leader of the Progressive Socialist Party of Ukraine, on Jan. 20 posted on her website (www.vitrenko.org) and on YouTube a video briefing titled “The War for Ukraine Enters the Hot Phase” (in Russian). The day before had seen a major escalation of violence by Euromaidan paramilitary units on Hrushevsky Street in Kiev. They attacked police barricades built to defend key government buildings, setting policemen on fire with Molotov cocktails, and shrouding central Kiev in thick black smoke from piles of burning gasoline-doused tires. This was no spontaneous reaction to the strict laws regulating demonstrations, passed by Parliament on Jan. 16, Vitrenko explained, but rather a planned escalation of the deliberately targeted violence that had begun nearly two months earlier.

On Jan. 19, the aggressive Euromaidan in Kiev entered a new phase: the phrase of armed clashes between trained guerrillas—terrorists—and law enforcement.

Let’s recall how this all started. It was initially advertised as “a peaceful demonstration in the Kiev Square.” But this demonstration ceased to be peaceful already on the night of Nov. 29-30, 2013. I maintain that at that point, what was implemented was a pre-planned provocation to exacerbate the situation in the country.

On Nov. 29, 2013 [at the EU Eastern Partnership summit] in Vilnius, Yanukovych did not sign the Association Agreement with the European Union. Everything had been set by the West and the pro-West Ukrainian elites, for the agreement to be signed, and that would be it: the bird in the net. The colonization of Ukraine was pre-determined. Total colonization. Economic, first and foremost; that was obvious, since opening up the domestic market by slashing protective tariffs 70% for all covered types of products would have meant a flood of cheap, competitive imports into Ukraine, blocking those of our still existing domestic industry. All possibilities for the modernization of our manufacturing would have been closed off, because the West has no use for us as a competitor: We are supposed to be a recipient, not a partner. And the Ukrainian economy would have quickly deflated, like a balloon with the air let out.

At the same time, the Association Agreement contained a scenario for political convergence. That means Ukraine’s entry into a joint defense and security policy. That means Ukraine’s joining the NATO system, and the expulsion of the Black Sea Fleet of the Russian Federation from Crimea in the months ahead. Ukraine would be completely defenseless, disarmed, and bankrupt. And this bird would be held in the cage until a convenient moment for the West to swallow it.

And suddenly this broke down. Yanukovych, at the last minute, for a whole array of reasons, . . . doesn’t sign.

The Maidan begins to be



Wikimedia Commons/Mystslav Chernov

Blazing barricades in Kiev, Jan. 19, 2014. Western press coverage has almost universally attributed the violence to “police brutality,” while U.S. Sen. John McCain and others court the anti-Semitic leader of the Svoboda party.

heated up. The heating up doesn't go well. And then, on the night of Nov. 29-30, the provocation takes place. At that time, after it had been announced [from the stage] that the demonstration was winding up, and people were starting to dismantle the sound system and so forth, the Berkut [police] came on the scene to clear the Square, put up Christmas decorations, and so forth. There were only a few hundred people still in the Square, mostly young people, but among them were trained guerrillas. That's why, already at this point, the police started being bombarded with stones, cans, bottles, and chains. The Ministry of Internal Affairs said that some unknown gas was sprayed at the Berkut. And Berkut personnel said that they were attacked by people kicking them and beating them with chains in the crotch.

So you had the provocation against the Berkut, and a harsh response by the Berkut. And this is all filmed—at 2:00-4:00 a.m.—by TV channels that happened to have reporters at the Maidan just then. Which channels? The channels that are completely in the service of the West. The “Orange” channels. So there is an immediate political, informational “explosion,” and the beating of innocent children [by the Berkut] brings masses of people streaming to the Maidan. These people are victims of deception.

Project Democracy' Runs Propaganda in Ukraine

Journalist Serhiy Leschenko

Leschenko is a journalist and U.S. National Endowment for Democracy Reagan-Fascell Fellow. That is, he is funded by the U.S. government through the NED.

In January, Leschenko recorded interviews with three former U.S. officials, one academic, and the head of Freedom House, all overtly supporting the Euro-maidan demonstrations. These video clips are being played back into Ukraine, including in written form in *Ukrainskaya Pravda* newspaper, to further enhance the impression of U.S. support for the ongoing insurgent actions in central Kiev. Highlights of Leschenko's interviews include the following.

Zbigniew Brzezinski

Infamous as President Jimmy Carter's foreign

policy guru in the Trilateral Commission regime of the late 1970s, Brzezinski gave Senate testimony on Jan. 15, calling on the Ukrainian opposition to unite around a single leader who should negotiate a temporary agreement with the current government, leading to the defeat and removal of the current order.

I salute the heroic people of Maidan. What you are doing is historic and vital. It is the kind of action that dramatizes your national identity, your sense of commitment to your independence, and your faith in victory. You now have to translate that into an effective political tool. You have to demonstrate not only to the Ukrainian people, but to the world, that the desire for independence, the determination to be a free European state, to be at the same time friendly with Russia, is something that unites all of you, and that you have a leadership that symbolically reflects that historical commitment. This is why you have to create a committee of national unity, you have to have visible leadership, and you have to indicate to everyone concerned, including in Kiev, in Washington, in Berlin, in Moscow, that the new generation of Ukrainians are committed to the independent Ukraine that is part of Europe, part of a Europe of which Russia eventually becomes a part, as well, and that you will not stop until that objective is achieved.

Melanne Verveer

Verveer is a former U.S. Ambassador-at-Large.

I say to all the Ukrainians who spent many long days and long nights in freezing temperatures on the Maidan in support of democracy and a better future for their country, that you not give up, because you are the future. Your commitment to democracy, your hard work, is what will bring about the future that you want to see.... I hope you realize what you can do if you work together to bring about the kind of flourishing democracy and integration with Europe that each and every one of you wants to see. As an American, I know that you have our respect and admiration, and that my government is working to determine whether there are other tools that can be brought to bear to support you in your efforts. ... I don't know what the outcome will be, but I can tell you that we stand in solidarity with all of you.

David Kramer

Former Assistant Secretary of State for Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor David Kramer currently

heads Freedom House, a Cold War project founded in the 1950s by Anglo-American intelligence figure Leo Cherne.

I have been very impressed by so many Ukrainians, who have called for a Western orientation for Ukraine. . . . To them, I say that we stand with you. . . . I hope that the situation is resolved quickly and without further violence, but I also hope that my government and governments in Europe will take the necessary steps to apply pressure that I think is necessary. . . .

Prof. Francis Fukuyama

The author of The End of History and professor at Stanford University aimed his nasty remarks at Russia.

I was in Kiev in September [2013] and everybody seemed very hopeful that Ukraine would make the right decision and move towards Europe. And I said at the time that this was the only possible future for Ukraine. There's no future in an alliance with Russia. Russia is a petrostate built on robbery, essentially, that has no longer-term goal and no long-term political freedom. And so I think, although I was very disappointed in . . . the decision taken by the leadership of Ukraine [to suspend negotiations with the EU on a radical free-trade pact], the struggle is not over. . . . I am hopeful that the struggle will continue and that Ukraine, in the end, will make the right decision, in favor of Europe.

Vitrenko: Neo-Nazis Are Taking Over

Here are the remarks by Ukrainian economist and political leader Natalia Vitrenko to a seminar on April 15, 2013, following the Schiller Institute Conference, "A New Paradigm for Civilization," in Frankfurt, Germany, April 13-14 (translated from Russian by Rachel Douglas).

During the two days of the conference and today, we have looked very deeply into the crisis situation, as one of global destruction. But we are not the only ones, we people of reason, who are preparing for the crisis. I am convinced that the strategists of the British Empire are also working on their scenarios and preparing for this collapse. Helga [Zepp-LaRouche] al-

luded to this in her remarks, referring to the threat of fascism. And the processes under way in Ukraine are not unique; in Latvia, Hungary, Romania, and I'm sure in Greece and Spain, the same thing is going on. The economy has been destroyed, artificially. The oligarchical paradigm has been established, with the drastic impoverishment of the population. And then everything possible is done, to bring neo-Nazis into the political arena.

This is very clear in the example of Ukraine. We had President Kravchuk, and then President Kuchma. They listened to the IMF, they conducted reforms, but they continued to waver between Russia and the West. The West then brought Yushchenko into play. Who was he? His father was in "six different concentration camps" during World War II. Well, that's patent nonsense, because people did not survive even one concentration camp, never mind six. At the end of the war, he went into the American zone. I believe that from that point on, his father was totally controlled. Then, Yushchenko was assigned a State Department case officer, [Kateryna Chumachenko], who was to become his wife.

Yushchenko became President not by being elected, but under pressure: Javier Solana came, others came in, and pressured the Court to make the decision in favor of Yushchenko [in 2004]. In the streets, at that time, we had the color revolution, paid for by George Soros, [Boris] Berezovsky, et al. Yushchenko becomes President. What is the first thing he does? He essentially rehabilitates all the collaborationists. Monuments begin to be built in Ukraine to Bandera, Sukhevych—to these agents of the Abwehr.

In western Ukraine the Nazi movement takes to the streets. We sound the alarm. We understand that this is even against UN resolutions. But Yushchenko, Tymoshenko, and Yatsenyuk—pro-NATO forces—are in power, and they pursue this policy. In the West, they can see that the South and the East of Ukraine are against such a scenario.

Then, Washington agrees for Yanukovych to become President. Yanukovych makes his first foreign trip to Brussels, to NATO headquarters. Yanukovych allows the Nazis onto national TV. Within six months, the Nazi party Svoboda wins the elections to the regional parliaments in western Ukraine. At that point our movement comes under heavy pressure. We are kept off the air. But the Nazi parties become more powerful.



EIRNS/Christopher Lewis

Natalia Vitrenko addresses an EIR seminar in Germany in 2009. One year ago, she correctly forecast the rise of the Nazis in Ukraine.

Svoboda Nazis Enter Parliament

In the 2009 Parliamentary elections, the Nazi party Svoboda entered the Parliament and obtained Parliamentary immunity, substantial state financing, and guaranteed air time. They proceed to hold their covens without any obstacles. They march through the streets with torches and under slogans like “Ukraine over All!” “Ukraine for Ukrainians!” “Glory to Ukraine, Death to the Enemies!” “Stab the Muscovites, Slash the Russians, Hang the Communists!”

This is a gross violation of the Ukrainian Constitution, because it is incitement to ethnic strife. Nobody does anything about it. Money pours in from the West. For the so-called struggle against corruption alone, NGOs receive \$400 million a year from Europe. They start beating up people demonstrating for other causes: anti-fascists, Orthodox [Christians], etc. We had to set up our own self-defense units to defend our rallies. Several times, already, we have had to make citizens’ arrests of the neo-Nazi thugs and take them to the police station. We would demand that they be prosecuted for impeding our political activity. The police immediately let them go and they have orders from above to do this; “Europe” would be unhappy with the violation of democracy in Ukraine, if they touched these thugs. The government is doing nothing to stop this.

Nazi propaganda is spread openly. Books by [Dmytro] Dontsov, the “Ukrainian Nietzsche,” are openly sold in the Parliament building. Yushchenko, before leaving office, issued a decree that only the nationalists could be considered fighters for Ukraine’s independence. By the logic of this decree, the Red Army were occupiers. Russia was an occupying force. Only the collaborationists are upheld as having defended Ukraine’s independence.

I filed suit against this decree by Yushchenko. Yanukovich could have repealed the decree, but he did not. I lost the case at the local level, the Appeals Court, and I am now preparing a complaint to the Supreme Court. My father fought throughout the war, so how could he be an occupier? There have already been films shown on Ukrainian TV about how Ukrainians greeted Hitler with joy, while Stalin was a demon, the Red Army were occupiers. Then there

are talk shows around these films, in which young people shout out, “Glory to Ukraine!”

The Nazis cultivate soccer fans. There have been several cases during soccer games, when at the 88th minute of the game, a firecracker is set off. This is a code for “HH” or “Heil Hitler!” The International Soccer Association had to penalize the Kiev Dynamo soccer club, forcing them to play a game with no spectators. I wrote a letter of thanks to [ISA President] Michel Platini, for being the only one to raise his voice against Nazism in Ukraine. The Ukrainian Nazis have a headquarters office in Prague. They work directly with those in Poland, the USA, and Great Britain.

I understand very well that at any moment, passions could explode in Ukraine and the streets will belong to the Nazis. Yanukovich has been a 100% puppet of the West. And so it turns out that all the people in power in Ukraine are those who hate Russia. And the fact that our population is dying out, and the fact that we are deprived of the ability to live together with our blood brothers of Belarus and Russia, doesn’t bother them at all. They constantly go running to the U.S. Embassy.

Therefore, what happens in the world will happen, and it will happen inclusively in Ukraine, as the formation of a Nazi state. And I think that a Nazi state in Ukraine is a danger for the whole world.

EU Geopolitical Confrontation Risks Thermonuclear World War!

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

Feb. 1—Steered by geopolitical interests, the policy of the West—the United States and the EU—has created a Nazi monster in Ukraine, the consequences of which could finish us all off. As a result of two decades of interference by foreign interests in Ukraine, not only is there a threat of civil war and the possible break-up of the country, but also nuclear confrontation with Russia.

“What? That’s not at all what we hear every day on the TV news! Aren’t these peace-loving protesters who want to escape to free Europe and from the threat of Putin’s dictatorship?”

Let’s imagine that something like what is happening in Ukraine now were occurring in Berlin. The area around the Reichstag and the Chancellor’s Office is barricaded and besieged by supporters of organizations who use swastikas as their party logo and shout out Nazi slogans; some buildings in the area are occupied by the NPD [the neo-fascist National Democratic Party of Germany—ed.]; masked and heavily armed mercenaries who fought alongside al-Qaeda in the wars in Iraq and Syria, are throwing Molotov cocktails and have occupied the Ministry of Justice, and throughout the rest of the Federal Republic, 2,200 foreign-funded non-governmental organization (NGOs) are stirring up their paid activists to occupy local mayors’ offices and city administrations and to demand the ouster of Chancellor Angela Merkel. The duly elected Merkel government deploys police in an effort to clear these plazas, and is then denounced by all the countries of Asia and Africa for ignoring the citizens’ desire for freedom.

This mirror-image scenario is exactly what is going on in Ukraine right now, and there can be no doubt that this is known to the EU, the Konrad Adenauer Foundation, and the chairman of the European Parliament’s Committee on Foreign Affairs, Elmar Brok, since many of their representatives have been in close touch with the demonstrators for weeks. But, at the same time,

many publications, such as *Time* magazine and the British *Guardian*, to name just two, are identifying the fascist character of the opposition in Ukraine. Stephen Cohen, professor of Russian studies at New York University and Princeton, put a point on it, in an [interview](#) with Amy Goodman of Democracy Now: There was a coup by right-wing Nazis against a democratically elected government, he said. “Who precipitated this crisis? It was the European Union.... Essentially, Ukraine was given an ultimatum: Sign the EU economic agreement or else. Now, what was that agreement?” he asked. “It would have been an economic catastrophe for Ukraine.... What did the European Union offer them? The same austerity policies that are ravaging Europe, and nothing more—\$600 million. It needed billions and billions.

“There’s one other thing. If you read the protocols of the European offer to Ukraine, which has been interpreted in the West as just about civilizational change, escaping Russia, economics, democracy, there is a big clause on military cooperation. In effect, by signing this, Ukraine would have had to abide by NATO’s military policies. What would that mean? That would mean drawing a new Cold War line, which used to be in Berlin, right through the heart of Slavic civilization, on Russia’s borders.”

Encirclement of Russia

So let’s take a closer look at these “freedom-loving, pro-European” demonstrators.

The Svoboda organization has hoisted everywhere the red-black flag of the 20th-Century OUN (Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists) of Stepan Bandera and its military wing, the UPA (Ukrainian Insurgent Army). The Svoboda Party’s leader is Oleh Tyahnybok, whom Sen. John McCain and others are so thrilled to be photographed with. Svoboda’s hero, Bandera, was a leading collaborator of the Nazis, and his organization prepared the way for the Nazi invasion of

Ukraine in 1941. The OUN/UPA¹ was responsible for the massacre of up to 100,000 Poles in 1943-44, and, according to the official history of the British MI6, after the war the group was taken over by British intelligence and was controlled in the 1950s by the Gehlen Organization in Germany, after Reinhard Gehlen became head of the BND [Federal Intelligence Agency].

Ukrainian sources report on the participation of another radical group, whose name in Ukrainian is Spilnya Sprava (“Common Cause”), abbreviated “SS,” and who regard themselves as storm-troopers. Anti-Semitic, Russophobic, and racist slogans dominate the scene, and there have already been assaults on other groups. There are also reports of the infiltration of the ranks of the demonstrators by over 300 Ukrainian “Afghansi”—guerrillas who fought in Syria on the side of the pro-al-Qaeda groups against the Assad government, and therefore have considerable combat experience.

There is no question that a fascist coup is in progress in Ukraine.

But as President Putin’s advisor Sergei Glazyev recently stressed, these Nazis did not just suddenly come out of the woods: For 20 years, Ukraine has been the target of the same policy of the Anglo-American policy of regime change—of which the EU has become the regional sub-division—that has been aimed at Iraq, Iran, Libya, Syria, and ultimately Russia and China. During these two decades, it is estimated that various U.S. political circles, NATO, George Soros, and a considerable number of foundations and think-tanks have invested between \$30 and \$40 billion in building up pro-Western, anti-Russian networks and 2,200 (!) NGOs, with only one purpose: to break Ukraine away from any type of association with Russia, and finally to



Wikimedia Commons

German Christian Democrat Elmar Brok, the chairman of the European Parliament’s Committee on Foreign Affairs, is a promoter of the opposition in Ukraine.

achieve its integration into the NATO military alliance and the complete encirclement of Russia.

These networks were also responsible for the so-called “Orange Revolution” in 2004. In Georgia, the same process of subversion was called the “Rose Revolution”; in the Arab world, the “Arab Spring”; in Russia, the “White Revolution” or “Russian Spring” (but there the attempt failed). Following the same *modus operandi*, the mob is also being used against the legitimate government of Thailand. After the outbreak of violence last November, President Putin said that the deployment of these networks was actually planned for the Ukrainian presidential election campaign in 2015, but when the Association Agreement with the

EU was put on hold at the EU summit in Vilnius, they were activated ahead of time.

One must take into account the overall strategy of the U.S., NATO, and the EU towards Russia and China, the *de facto* forward defense of NATO, whose expression is, *inter alia*, the deployment of Patriot missiles in Turkey (the Bundestag just approved the extension of that agreement); the stationing of the U.S. missile defense system in Eastern Europe on the Russian border; and the Air-Sea Battle doctrine against China. These policies, taken together, aim to eliminate these countries’ nuclear second-strike capability. Both Russia and China have made it unmistakably clear that they have no intention of capitulating to this threat, but would answer with effective countermeasures—their nuclear arsenals.

Europe Union’s Hypocrisy

The scandalous hypocrisy of the EU, the Konrad Adenauer Foundation, and such “democratic” monstrosities as Elmar Brok, are motivated by the long-standing encirclement strategy toward Russia and China which has been pursued since the fall of the Berlin Wall in 1989. This strategy, which ultimately aims at regime change or capitulation to the empire of globalization on the part of both of these States, also ac-

1. The OUN/UPA spent the war fighting the Soviet Army and Soviet partisans, even though Bandera was imprisoned by the Nazis when he insisted on Ukrainian independence. For background, see the *International* section in this issue—ed.

cepts nuclear confrontation over Ukraine, as was clear from fantasies published in the *Economist*, in an article dated March 15, 2007. In this “futurological” essay, written as if from 2057, the house organ of the City of London envisioned a nuclear showdown over Ukraine “in the dangerous second decade of the century,” a confrontation to which the EU, in the scenario, is pushing the Obama Administration. “The Ukraine crisis,” wrote the *Economist*, as if from 2057, “became a triumph for the EU, ... promoting the decision to go for a further big round of enlargement.”

The EU’s policy toward Ukraine has shown its true colors. It should not be forgotten that the Greek and Spanish police treated demonstrators no less harshly at the behest of the Troika [IMF, European Central Bank, European Commission], when they demonstrated against the brutal austerity measures that were implemented on behalf of the banks. And the EU, of course, is no more concerned with the welfare of the people of Ukraine, whose economic plight was in significant part the result of the shock therapy of the 1990s. Otherwise, they would have simply agreed to Putin’s offer of a tripartite summit among the EU, Ukraine, and Russia. The fact that the EU rejected this proposal, reveals its

true intent: geopolitical confrontation!

Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov, at the Munich Security Conference today, raised the obvious question of what instigating riots has to do with democracy: “Why is no one condemning those who seize administration buildings, attack policemen and chant racist and anti-Semitic slogans? Why are prominent European politicians actually encouraging the moves in question, although in their own countries they immediately clamp down on any encroachments on the letter of the law?”

If the EU does not immediately correct its scandalous behavior, condemn the Nazi insurrection, stop all financial support to non-governmental organizations, and respond to Russia’s offer for a tripartite summit, then the immediate withdrawal of Germany from the European Union becomes an existential question of self-preservation.

The consequences of EU policy made it necessary for me to write a Declaration of Independence for the European nations (see last week’s *EIR*), as a very seriously intended platform for all of our survival.

Translated from German by Susan Welsh

***EIR* Special Report**

The British Empire’s Global Showdown, And How To Overcome It


In the face of a potential thermonuclear World War III, a confrontation being engineered from London by a desperate British-centered financial oligarchy operating through the vast—yet often underestimated—powers of the British monarchy, *EIR* has produced a 104-page Special Report, documenting both the drive for war, and the war-avoidance efforts of patriotic military/intelligence circles in the U.S., and the Russian and Chinese leaderships. The British hand behind the warmongers, and the concrete economic and strategic programs which can defuse the threat, are elaborated in depth. These include the Russian proposal for collaboration on the Strategic Defense of Earth (SDE), based on Lyndon LaRouche’s original Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI).

The Global Showdown report is available in hard copy for \$250, and in pdf form for \$150, from the [EIR store](#).

Call **1-800-278-3135** for more information.

EIR
Special Report

The British Empire’s Global Showdown, and How To Overcome It



June 2012

Behind Chechen Terrorism: The London-Riyadh-Turkey Axis

Special to EIR

Feb. 2—On Jan. 11, 2000, *EIR* submitted a memorandum to then-U.S. Secretary of State Madeleine Albright, demanding that Britain be put on the list of states sponsoring terrorism. The memorandum provided the evidence from official complaints against London by the governments of a dozen countries, which had demanded that London cease giving sanctuary and assistance to accused and convicted terrorists from those countries. London's role in providing a safe haven for Islamist terrorists to arrange funds, publicity, and recruitment was so flagrant that the U.K. was dubbed "Londonistan" by French intelligence agencies even before 9/11.

London's aid to the terrorists of Chechnya and other Islamic extremist groups from the Russian North Caucasus was one of the major cases. The *EIR* memorandum stated:

"On Nov. 14, 1999, the Russian Foreign Ministry filed a formal protest to Andrew Wood, Britain's Ambassador in Moscow, after two Russian television journalists were brutally beaten as they attempted to film a London conference, where [Osama] bin Laden's International Islamic Front, Ansar as-Shariah, Al-Muhajiroon, and other Islamist groups called for a jihad against Russia, in retaliation for the Russian military actions in Chechnya.

"One of the victims of the beating, ORT cameraman Alexandr Panov, told *Kommer-sant* that he was 'very surprised' at the indifference of the British government. Some of the participants at the 'charity' event were people wanted by Interpol, but Scotland Yard, although evidently aware of their residence [in Britain], does not react.

"On Nov. 10, 1999, the Russian government had already filed a formal diplomatic

démarche via the Russian Embassy in London, protesting the attacks on the Russian journalists, and also the admissions by Sheikh Omar Bakri Mohammed, the head of the 'political wing' of the bin Laden organization, Al Muhajiroon, that the group was recruiting Muslims in England to go to Chechnya to fight the Russian Army. Bakri's organization operates freely from offices in the London suburb of Lee Valley, where they occupy two rooms at a local computer center, and maintain their own Internet company. Bakri has admitted that 'retired' British military officers are training new recruits in Lee Valley, before they are sent off to camps in Afghanistan or Pakistan, or are smuggled directly into Chechnya."

Today, the North Caucasus terrorists—through their key role as commanders in the al-Qaeda-allied rebel armies in Syria—are being supported more than ever



A Jan. 19, 2014 video, seen here on YouTube, shows two jihadi fighters in Russia, claiming credit, in advance, for the Volgograd suicide bombings. The video promised "a present" for the Sochi Olympics and all those who attend the games.

by London and its allies Saudi Arabia, Qatar, and Turkey, with the approval of the Obama Administration. Despite repeated warnings from top U.S. officials in the military and intelligence communities, such as former Deputy CIA Director Michael Morrell, who have identified the al-Qaeda affiliates in Syria as the biggest global terrorist threat today, the Obama Administration continues to facilitate its allies in the so-called “Friends of Syria,” supplying money and arms to these terrorists, who are not only active in Syria, but also Iraq, Lebanon, and in Russia itself.

On Dec. 29 and 30, 2013, the Dagestan-based soldiers of these jihadi armies struck inside Russia with two deadly attacks on major transportation centers in the city of Volgograd. About 35 people died, with nearly 100 others wounded.

On Jan. 19, 2014, a video surfaced showing two jihadi fighters in Russia, who claimed credit, in advance, for the Volgograd suicide bombings. The video promised “a present” for the Sochi Olympics and all the tourists who attend the games, which begin on Feb. 7.

According to the U.S.-based *Long War Journal* (LWJ), the video came from “a group calling itself the ‘Mujahid Subversive Group of Ansar al Sunnah.’” LWJ adds, “The group, which said its leader is ‘Dokku Abu Uthman,’ or Doku Umarov, the chief of the Islamic Caucasus Emirate, released the statement yesterday on the website of Wilayat Dagestan, the Dagestani media branch of the Islamic Emirate of the Caucasus.”

Investigations into the decades-long history of Chechen and Northern Caucasus terrorism show that the terrorist armies today have an unbroken chain of relations to the Anglo-American-Saudi support of Osama bin Laden, and the precursors of al-Qaeda, going back to the 1980s Afghanistan war with the Soviet Union. That has now become the jihadi war against Russia.

Ratline Identified

At the time of *EIR*’s January 2000 memorandum, the London role in the ratline through which Islamist terrorists were being recruited, armed, trained, and indoctrinated in Chechnya was not unknown to official agencies of the United States. And U.S. government documents show that the ratline then was going—as it is now—directly through NATO member Turkey.

An Oct. 16, 1998 report by the Defense Intelligence Agency, entitled, “Usam Ben Laden’s Current and Historical Examples,” released years later to the watchdog organization Judicial Watch under the Freedom of Information Act, states that, “A direct route has been es-



Wikimedia Commons

The Kavkaz Center is a highly sophisticated website which serves as a multi-lingual clearinghouse for anti-Moscow and anti-Putin initiatives.

tablished to Chechnya from Pakistan and Afghanistan through Turkey and Azerbaijan. Abu Sayaf (also written incorrectly as Abu Safay) coordinates this traffic of volunteers, as well as drug trafficking, working as a representative of Ben Laden in the Chechen foreign ministry under the protection of Movlady Udugov.”

After the 9/11 attacks in the U.S., the extent of official knowledge of the Chechen-al-Qaeda connection throughout the 1990s was further revealed.

In late 2001, in Illinois, a Federal indictment was filed against Enaam M. Arnaout, chief officer of the Saudi-created Benevolent International Foundation. Documents in that case, *United States of America vs. Enaam M. Arnaout*, show the depth of U.S. law enforcement’s information about the funding networks used by bin Laden and the al-Qaeda networks.

A document filed by then U.S. Attorney Patrick Fitzgerald in the *Arnaout* case, reveals extensive information about the Chechen operations, and in a February 2002 press release, the Justice Department announced that Arnaout, “the executive director of Benevolence International Foundation, Inc. (BIF), a purported charitable organization based in south suburban Chicago, pleaded guilty to racketeering conspiracy, admitting that he fraudulently obtained charitable donations in order to provide financial assistance to persons engaged in violent activities overseas.” Arnaout admitted to financing Chechen fighters and agreed to cooperate with the U.S. government in some fashion. (Fitzgerald, Patrick J., *United States of America v. Enaam M. Arnaout*, “Government’s Evidentiary Proffer Supporting the Admissibility of Co-Conspirator Statements,” before Hon. Suzanne B. Conlon.)

The above-cited evidentiary proffer in Fitzgerald’s case against Arnaout and the BIF shows that, as early as 1995, BIF, a Saudi-created charity, was funding train-

ing camps for Islamist jihadis in Chechnya. The document says that “in 1995, Madani al Tayyib (then in the Sudan serving as al Qaeda’s chief financial officer) asked an al Qaeda member to travel to Chechnya through Baku, Azerbaijan, to join with al Qaeda in the fighting in Chechnya.” He was “told that he would be joining up with Ibn al Khattab, a mujahideen leader who had worked in Afghanistan with Bin Laden. At about this time, the website of the Chechen mujahideen indicated that Ibn al Khattab led the Arab contingent of fighters in Chechnya. BIF (and the Global Relief Foundation) had been identified on the Internet website as conduits for financial support to those fighters.”

While Ibn Khattab was killed in 2002, his main mission had been accomplished—establishing the link between the Chechen separatists and the Islamists of al-Qaeda, and setting up the training camps that offered the best instruction in irregular warfare, especially bombing techniques using suicide terrorists.

But, while the U.S. intelligence about the real nature of the Chechen-al-Qaeda danger was vast, it was never used to shut down Islamist networks, for pragmatic reasons: Factions of the U.S. establishment in cahoots with London used the Islamist terror apparatus for covert operations against Russia, especially in Chechnya and other areas of the North Caucasus.

At the very time that the DIA was identifying the al-Qaeda-Chechen connection in 1999, a group of neo-conservative Cold Warriors created the Orwellian-named American Committee for Peace in Chechnya (later, American Committee for Peace in the Caucasus) at Freedom House, an anti-Russian Cold War think tank. ACPC was headed by former U.S. National Security Advisor Zbigniew Brzezinski, who developed, along with British intelligence’s Islam specialist Dr. Bernard Lewis, the notorious “Muslim Card” against the Soviet Union, whereby Islamic extremists could be used to wage irregular warfare against the Soviets. That policy, financed by the U.S., Britain, and Saudi Arabia, created al-Qaeda. The roster of the ACPC was a “Who’s Who” of neo-cons, such as Richard Perle, who demanded in 1999 that Russia give up Chechnya completely.

With the West covering up for terrorism, al-Khattab’s operations were very effective. The “Caucasian Knot,” another separatist organization supported by the U.S. National Endowment for Democracy, calculated the toll from terrorist attacks from 2000 to 2012 inside Russia territory. Claimed mainly by Caucasus jihadi groups, 1,216 persons were killed, and more than 3,263 wounded.

And the U.S. under Barack Obama has openly

joined with the Persian Gulf kingdoms, Saudi Arabia and Qatar, with NATO member Turkey, and with London, to finance Islamist fighters in Syria to overthrow the government of Syrian President Bashar al-Assad. As the U.S. itself admitted in December 2013, money and arms that the U.S. and Britain were sending to “non-al-Qaeda” groups in Syria was going directly to al-Qaeda allied groups.

With the Dec. 29-30 terrorist attacks in Volgograd, and the threat to the Sochi Olympics, the spotlight has again been put on the North Caucasus.

But the real threat posed by the West-Persian Gulf-backed jihadis is not a particularized terrorist network against a city or event like the Olympics. The Chechen terror apparatus is an arm of the Anglo-Dutch-led trans-Atlantic establishment that is geared up for war against the Eurasia development bloc led by Russia and China. As Lyndon LaRouche is warning, this showdown, using Islamic terrorism as a tactical weapon, is global, and the threat is nothing less than the early onset of thermo-nuclear war.

Jihadis Called Back to the Caucasus

For nearly three years, Syria has been the playground for the Anglo-Dutch empire, where its irregular warfare troops are hardened. And the Chechens have taken a leadership role in the jihadi armies there.

On Jan. 2, 2013, the *Modern Tokyo Times*, based in the U.S. and Japan, published one of the most thorough publicly available articles on the Chechen and Caucasus terrorists involved in Syria. Entitled, “Russia Hit by Terrorist Attacks: Gulf Petrodollars, Syria and Caucasus Islamists,” the article, by Ramazan Khalidov and Lee Jay Walker, describes the activities of Chechen groups such as “The Jaish al-Muhajireen wal-Ansar (Army of Emigrants and Helpers) Brigade” and the “Riyad-us-Saliheen Brigade” (Sabotage Battalion of Chechen Martyrs). These armies are comprised of Chechens and other North Caucasians, but increasingly also larger numbers of jihadis and neo-Salafi foreign veterans of the rebel war on Syria.

Quoting Chechen terrorist leader Doku Umarov, the article says that Russia is now targeted by the same Islamist battle-tested networks that travel through the “NATO Turkey” ratline and are financed by Gulf Arab petrodollars.

“[V]ast numbers of jihadists from the Caucasus region are entering Syria via NATO Turkey. Therefore, the knock on effect for the Russian Federation is abundantly obvious,” the article says. Umarov is quoted boast-

ing that his “Riyad-us-Saliheen Brigade is now replenished with the best among the best of the Mujahideen and if the Russians do not understand that the war will come to their streets, that the war will come to their homes, so it is worse for them.” “Emir of the Caucasus” Umarov also threatened the Sochi Olympics, saying, “We, as the Mujahideen, must not allow this to happen by any means possible.” The “best of the best” that Umarov says are “replenishing” his forces, are the al-Qaeda networks in Syria that are the direct recipients of the Gulf petrodollars.

Citing published material from the Jamestown Foundation, a conservative U.S. think tank with extensive ties to the U.S. military, from Russian news agencies, and from the London *Times*, the article names the Chechen and other Caucasian leaders who have become commanders in the al-Qaeda-affiliates in Syria, the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS) and the al-Nusra Front.

The threat to the Russian Federation is “just like Afghanistan in the 1980’s,” authors Khalidov and Walker

write, adding that Western intelligence agencies, especially those of Britain and the U.S., used Islamist terrorism as a surrogate warfare attack against Russia.

But this is not ancient history. Khalidov and Walker also note that the Gulf oil sheikhdoms in league with the NATO powers, and using Turkey as a logistics hub and ratline, have created a renewed jihadi threat that goes in an unbroken line from the 2011 overthrow and murder of Libyan President Muammar Qaddafi to the Volgograd attacks of December 2013.

In recent months, Umarov has been calling jihadis back from Syria to fight for their own emirate.

Chechen Commanders in Syria

To stop the new al-Qaeda onslaught, the Chechen and Caucasus terrorism network has to be the first piece of the Anglo-Dutch-Saudi-backed jihadi empire to be shut down.

According to Jamestown Foundation specialist

For Further Reading, From our Archives

- [EIR, April 12, 1996](#). “Britain Rapes the Transcaucasus, Again” provides essential historical background to manipulated conflicts in this northeast corner of Southwest Asia.

- [EIR, Sept. 10, 1999](#). “Brzezinski Plays Britain’s ‘Great Game’ in Central Asia,” includes “[Russia’s North Caucasus Republics: Flashpoint for World War](#).” It appeared days after the outbreak of the Second Chechen War between Russia and the Chechen separatists, which began when the Islamic International Peacekeeping Brigade of Chechen field commanders al-Khattab and Shamil Basayev invaded Dagestan, the largest of Russia’s North Caucasus republics. This article highlights the role of Britain’s Margaret Thatcher and her ally, Lord McAlpine, in promoting North Caucasus separatism, including through financial ties with an early financier of the Chechen insurgency, Hozhahmed Nukhayev.

- [EIR, Jan. 21, 2000](#). “Put Britain on the List of States Sponsoring Terrorism.”

- [EIR, Sept. 17, 2004](#). “LaRouche: Putin Re-

sponds to West’s ‘Storm over Asia,’” deals with the horrific terror attack on the elementary school in Beslan, North Ossetia, in the North Caucasus, by forces under Basayev’s command.

- [EIR, Press Release](#), March 29, 2010. “LaRouche: Look to British Intelligence Behind the Moscow Bombings.” This release was prompted by an escalation of the North Caucasus terror network’s project of reaching deep into the Russian interior, which began with the “Nord-Ost” Moscow theater hostage-taking in October 2002, in which 40 attackers and 130 theater-goers died. Two Russian civilian airliners were downed by suicide bombers in August 2004. Moscow subway bombings killed dozens in two instances in 2004, followed by the March 2010 attack, killing 40, that occasioned this release. In January 2011, 37 died in a suicide bombing of Moscow’s busy Domodedovo Airport. Assassination attempts (one of them successful) on Islamic clerics in Kazan, Tatarstan, in Summer 2012 and terror bombings in Volgograd in 2013 marked a long-anticipated expansion of the North Caucasus-based operations into the Volga River basin. There have been many more terrorist attacks in the North Caucasus proper and the adjacent regions of southern Russia.

- [EIR, Jan. 10, 2014](#). “The Empire Deploys Wahhabi Terrorism against Russia.”

Andrew McGregor, writing for the *Terrorism Monitor*, on Dec. 13, 2013, a major Islamist rebel army, headed by Chechen commanders, has sworn its allegiance to the al-Qaeda-affiliated ISIS, aka ISIL (Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant). The group, the Islamist Army of Muhajirin and Ansar in Bilad al-Sham, is “dominated by fighters from the Northern Caucasus,” and led by “Abu Omar, an ethnic Chechen from Georgia’s Pankisi Gorge.” McGregor doubts the group’s claim that it has several thousand fighters, but a Dec. 12 report from the Jamestown Foundation warns that “the Chechen groups are among the top ten strongest military groups of the Syrian opposition and, therefore, have substantial influence among them. As the conflict in Syria deepens, the role and influence of the Chechens is likely to increase.”

Like the Syrian rebels, the Chechens are highly dependent on outside assistance, especially from Turkey and from the drug trade that helps finance Islamist terrorist operations. At a press conference on Sept. 9 2013 in Moscow, Syrian Foreign Minister Walid Muallem once again brought the Chechen issue to the fore, emphasizing that the Syrian government is not fighting an internal rebellion but an international coalition. “People from the Caucasus and Chechnya are among the terrorists,” Muallem said, adding that “Turkey trains terrorists from 83 countries.”

In May 2013, Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov pointed to another key point of coordination—the highly sophisticated website KavkazCenter, which serves both as a mouthpiece for Chechen leader Umarov, and also as a multi-lingual clearinghouse for reporting on every possible anti-Moscow and anti-Putin initiative and development, including up-to-date reports on the ongoing destabilization of Ukraine. During a visit to Sweden, Lavrov asked the Swedish government to ban the KavkazCenter website, which was put on the sanctions list of the UN Security Council in July 2011, and had moved to Sweden after being shut down in Estonia, Lithuania, and Finland.

Allegations have been made by Voice of Russia and on the U.S. website, infowars.com, that KavkazCenter, which has operated since 1999, has been funded in the past by the U.S. State Department. Whether or not that allegation can be substantiated, there is no lack of cheerleaders, including former officials of the Chechen separatist government, well-ensconced in Western capitals and the Persian Gulf kingdoms. And the money-flows to the jihadis are not hidden in a maze of front companies, but come directly from government coffers.

Documentation

‘Storm Over Asia’

Here is an excerpt from the transcript of Lyndon LaRouche’s November 1999 “Storm Over Asia,” a feature-length video, now available at <http://larouchepac.com/node/21709>.

Section 1: War in Central Asia

What you’re seeing is a war in the North Caucasus region of southern Russia. What you’re also seeing, is a war which has broken out simultaneously in the border between Pakistan and India.

The forces behind these attacks on Russia and on India are the same. They are a mercenary force which was first set into motion by policies adopted at a Trilateral Commission meeting in Kyoto in 1975: policies originally of Brzezinski and his number-two man there, Samuel P. Huntington; the policies which were continued by then-Trilateral Commission member, that is, back in 1975: George [H.W.] Bush, before he became Vice President.

These were policies which were continued by George Bush as Vice President. Under Bush, this became known as the “Iran-Contra” drug-financed link operations of mercenaries deployed with private funding all over the world: recruited from Islamic and other countries, and targeting Russia’s flank.

This mercenary force, created then, still exists. The primary responsibility for creating the force, was the government of the United Kingdom—most notably, most emphatically, the government of Margaret Thatcher, a policy which has been accelerated and continued in full madness by the present Prime Minister, Tony Blair, of the United Kingdom.

This war, if continued, using mercenaries, can lead to nuclear general war. The major powers principally threatened today by this mercenary operation, are two of the world’s largest nations: China and India; China on its western borders, India on its northern borders.

Iran is also threatened; but, more notably, Russia. If these nations are pushed to the wall by a continuing escalation of a war which is modelled on the wars which the British ran against Russia, China, and so forth, during the Nineteenth Century and early Twentieth

Century, this will lead to the point that Russia has to make the decision to accept the disintegration of Russia as a nation, or to resort to the means it has, to exact terrible penalties on those who are attacking it, going closer and closer to the source, the forces behind the mercenaries—which include, of course, Turkey, which is a prime NATO asset being used as a cover for much of this mercenary operation in the North Caucasus and in Central Asia.

This is our danger. The weapons the Russians have, are no longer the large armies, the capabilities we thought of under the old Ogarkov Plan of the 1980s. Those vast armies are dissipated, weakened. Russia is ruined almost, by a vast economic destruction, caused by IMF policies, and related policies. But Russia still has an arsenal, an arsenal of advanced weapons, and laboratories which can match the weaponry—most advanced weaponry—being developed in the United States, Israel, Britain, and elsewhere.

If Russia is pushed to the wall, or decides to disintegrate willfully, or fight back, the likely thing is, it will fight back. It will use the weapons it has. It does not have the weapons to win a war, but it has the weapons sufficient to impose a powerful, deadly deterrent on the nations behind the mercenary forces which are presently attacking it. There lies the danger.

Unfortunately, most people in the United States are living under the delusion, that with the collapse of the Soviet Union, the combined military power of the United States and its British Commonwealth allies—including Australia, New Zealand, and so forth, countries that are really under the British Queen personally, as the United Kingdom is—believe that these forces, Anglo-American forces, are so powerful, that they can ignore the United Nations Security Council, and conduct wars on their own, with impunity.

Most Americans tend to believe that, and believe they don't have to worry about foreign wars. They don't have to worry about terrible things happening in Africa or South America, or Eurasia generally. "It won't come here," just as many Americans said before Pearl Harbor about the war then ongoing in Europe.

In reality, it *can* come here. I'm not predicting that it *will*; I'm saying the likelihood—the danger—exists. And as long as the present policies of our government continue, especially the policies of the right-wing Stone Age faction inside the Congress, the right-wing policies of Vice President Al Gore and of Madeleine Albright, a Brzezinski associate. As long as these policies on the



A war breaking out in the North Caucasus region of southern Russia, or elsewhere in Asia, could rapidly lead to general thermonuclear war, LaRouche warned in the 1999 video, "Storm Over Asia."

United States' part continue, the danger of war is growing.

It's not immediate, not tomorrow, and not the day after tomorrow. But wars come on like that: you get to a point of no return, there's still no war. Then, somewhere down the line, maybe a couple of years later, the war actually breaks out.

And war is breaking out all over the world now; not only in the Balkans, as we saw recently, not only in an insane bombing attack on Saddam, for no reason whatsoever—the continued war against Iraq. Now the crazy intervention in Timor, which can lead to chaos in that region of the world. War is breaking out in small wars, all over the world.

If that process continues under present conditions, we are headed in the direction of something terrible—possibly even a nuclear war. Americans have to wake up and realize that problem.

Think back to New York in the old days. We once had a man who sold merchandise cheaply with radio ads. He called himself "Crazy Eddie." And he used to say "my policies are insane." Crazy Eddie's policies and way of thinking, apparently have been picked up by Al Gore, and some people in the Defense Department and elsewhere in the United States. We've got to get the "Crazy Eddie policies" out of the United States government.

As I shall indicate, these—the problems we face are deadly ones, but they're problems which can be solved....

LAROCHE SEES DANGER BY MARCH

Thermonuclear War Countdown Demands Impeachment Now!

Special to EIR

Feb. 2—With the danger of thermonuclear warfare breaking out between now and the month of March, Lyndon LaRouche said in his Jan. 31 webcast, the crucial action which is most likely to derail that British imperial objective is to remove British agent Barack Obama from office by impeachment. This is the strategic reality which trumps all other so-called issues.

After presenting LaRouche's argument, we append the already obvious grounds on which this President merits impeachment immediately.

"So, when you're talking about this kind of business, you've got to get down to, *especially now*, when we're at a point when the whole United States is in jeopardy; we're at a point where we're on the verge, within a period, which I can fairly estimate, between *now*, and sometime in March: We are, on a world scale, now preparing, for a *global thermonuclear war*. The war is to be fought, and is set up to be fought, as a *thermonuclear war*, and the thermonuclear war is intended to be, and *being prepared to be* a thermonuclear war, between, on the one side, the trans-Atlantic region and the Eurasian region.

"And, what you're seeing right there, on the edge of Russia, you're seeing conflict, the conflict is actually a prelude to *an intended early thermonuclear war*. A thermonuclear war intended to be fought on one side with the help of the Saudis and so forth, a war being fought as a *thermonuclear war, from the start*, between the power of the trans-Atlantic region, which is actually an imperial British power essentially; and on the other side, Eur-

asia, not just Russia, not just China, India and so forth.

"And in the midst of this you have a squabble, the terrorist buildup, which has been built up actually since the initial Chechen wars in the North Caucasus. And the North Caucasus area is still the center. And the war there is being fought by what? By Nazis! Because the Nazi regime, with British consent, took the SS and related forces recruited from that area, and made them permanent Nazis. The protest groups inside there today, that protest group is actually a Nazi organization; it still has insignia based on the time that the parents of these families were all Nazis. So therefore, you have the British Empire, again, using Nazis against Russia. And the United States, under this Presidency, is complicit in the whole dirty business.

"So therefore, the question is: We're now looking at a *global thermonuclear war*. The parties are, on the one side, the trans-Atlantic region—the United States, and so forth, and Western Europe; on the other side, the Slavic area, Russia in particular, Ukraine actually involved in this; China, a major power; India, a major power. And therefore you have these two regions of the planet, who are now armed, and mobilizing, and playing the preliminary games of pre-warfare, aiming: *We are now at the point that the real war has begun*. The real thermonuclear war has begun by various actions to prepare for that war. We are in a short-time countdown, between now and probably sometime in early March, by which time a thermonuclear war under present conditions will happen.



White House/Chuck Kennedy

Obama's Jan. 28 State of the Union address (shown here) "would be an excellent reason for impeaching him," LaRouche stated. It is one of many.

"Now, the question is how do we stop it from happening? Well, there are two things I have to say at that point: First is, remove Obama from the Presidency. He's a liar, he's no good, he's really an enemy agent, and whatever the guy is: He's may be just a bomb. But we know what he is—he's useless. Pulling Obama out of the Presidency by impeachment—and all the preconditions for impeachment, summary impeachment, exist. If he were removed, my guess is that by releasing the British control represented by Obama from the United States government, you would actually create a situation in which other reactions would occur, and the United States would refuse to go into a thermonuclear war. As long as Obama is able to continue his role as leadership in the United States, we are headed for a thermonuclear war of mutual destruction.

"So, therefore, anyone who's trying to keep Obama in the Presidency—he's not really the controller, but the British Empire *is*. But in order to tame the American people into a state of stupidity, they have to believe that this monkey—and he is a monkey, essentially, as far as politics are concerned—as long as they believe that he is the President, that he can give the authorities of the President, you're in danger of an actual thermonuclear war. If he is impeached, as he should be—as a matter of fact, this speech we just heard this week would be an excellent reason for impeaching him, one of the best: He nakedly has shown how worthless he is in office. Throw him out of office! Impeach the guy. He's an animal, not a human being!

"But, now let's look at what the other problem is,

the other side of this. Most people, citizens in the United States, and in general in Europe, too, with some exceptions here and there, have no understanding of the principle of warfare. What do you get? They say, 'So-and-so's gonna fight So-and-so.' What, you mean a boxing match? A wrestling match? Is that what you're talking about? Maybe with knives involved, maybe with bullets involved? Maybe with a hand grenade or two! *But that's not strategy!* That's insanity!

"So, therefore, as long as people think that warfare, and going to war against an adversary, is playing those kinds of tactical games, like street war, on the extended basis—they have no comprehension whatsoever of what strategy is! And most people, except top military and a few other people in the world, have a very, almost incompetent—they're not MacArthurs! Douglas MacArthur was a *real* thinker. And we had other people who were real officers, real commanders, and they understood strategy. But, strategy is not necessarily warfare. Warfare will break out to become a subject of strategy.

"The way we organize the human species on the planet is as a whole; or it used to be. There are certain parts of the planet which were relatively isolated regions—transportation and other things of that sort. You would have the most serious war where there were large migrations of mounted horsemen. And that way you could extend the area which would become actually a strategic area. But in larger part, the communications system was such that you had very poor strategic relations with different territories of the planet. For example, you take the case of Europe and Asia, Eurasia as such, and compare that to what became the Americas. There was almost no strategically significant relationship across the Atlantic Ocean, and certain parts of the Pacific Ocean, the same.

"What happened with modern society and the mobility of populations—now the world as a whole becomes a cockpit of potential warfare. And under these circumstances, the question of strategy becomes a military strategy. At the same time, strategy becomes an economic strategy, not just a military one. It becomes an economic strategy and a cultural one: How nations trade, how they agree, how they communicate, how their economies are connected, how they reverberate in connections from one to the other. Any territory on the surface of planet Earth, which has that kind of integrity, is global, is strategic. If you get an area of the world which does not have connections outside that limited area, that's also strategy, but it's not global strategy; it's

strategy still. And, strategy is not the intention for warfare. Strategy may *lead* to warfare, within the context of warfare.

“But therefore, we have to look at the process as a strategic question. The point is, if the President of the United States were to be removed from office *now*, it would be the probable case that by removing this fellow from being an instrument of the powers of the President, you would suddenly get an uncorking on the threat of thermonuclear war. Because people in general do not want to be assassinated in a global frying expedition of thermonuclear warfare.

“And, the fact is that the people out there, our people, do not understand this. Therefore, they are

stupid enough, or *stupefied* enough, that as long as they believe they have to learn to work with our President, we are headed for thermonuclear war. So if someone says, ‘Strategy is how we’re going to win the war’—strategy, if you understand it, and have any brains at all, strategy is to *not* have the war. Strategy is to change the relations among nations throughout the planet, and throughout regions of the planet, in such a way that you have an economic policy rather than a genocide policy. And the point is, when you confront people, you confront them with the reality of what strategy really means, which the poor fellows never really did understand. Well, once they get the idea what strategy really is, *they will not allow war to occur*.

Grounds for Impeachment

As pointed out by numerous legal scholars, Barack Obama has already penetrated more impeachable offenses than Richard Nixon, in his assertion of dictatorial powers against the Constitutional requirements of law. The leading such offenses follow.

There is a strong argument to be made that Obama is also guilty of treason, due to his alliance with avowed enemies of the United States, including, but not limited to al-Qaeda, and its sponsors, Saudi Arabia and the British monarchy. In addition, he has “acted in a manner contrary to his trust as President and subversive of constitutional government to the great prejudice of the cause of law and justice and to the manifest injury of the people of the United States” (one of the counts against Nixon).

The following counts are self-evident:

Count 1: Violation of Article I, Section 8, the Constitutional provision that Congress has the unique responsibility to declare war, through his preemptive war against Libya without Congressional approval.

Count 2: Prosecution of aggressive war in pursuit of regime change in Libya, an action explicitly condemned as a war crime by the post-World War II Nuremberg Tribunal, and in treaties signed by the United States.

Count 3: Violation of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, the “due process clause,” through

his murder of American citizens, including Anwar Al-Awlaki, his 16-year-old son, and Samir Khan.

Count 4: Violation of the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, the “right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures,” through the expansion of the Bush/Cheney program of warrantless interception of electronic communications of millions of Americans.

Count 5: Conspiracy to commit Crimes Against Humanity, through the crafting and promotion a health-care “reform” which he, and his co-conspirators “knew or should have known” would lead to the “inadequate provision of surgical and medical services” to large sections of the population, and lead to an increase in the death rate among those considered to have have lives “not worthy to be lived.”

Count 6: Conspiracy to violate the separation of powers provision of the U.S. Constitution, Title I, Sections 7 and 8, through pre-emption of Congress’s powers, on behalf of imposing fascist austerity programs, and the express intent to continue that policy.

Count 7: Conspiracy to commit the United States to an international genocide policy, through embracing a Green depopulation agenda, which includes the destruction of the high-technology capabilities of the United States, especially NASA.

Count 8: Conspiracy to destroy the sovereignty of the United States, through acting to cede U.S. sovereign powers to institutions loyal to the British Empire, and supranational institutions such as the International Monetary Fund.

The British Coup vs. Clinton Brought U.S. to Edge of Hell

by an EIR Research Team

Feb. 1—From the moment Bill Clinton entered office as the 42nd President of the United States, in January 1993, a new stage of warfare between the British Empire and the American Republic began. Faced with the financial implosion of its system, the British Empire was determined to expand its global looting, and destroy any potential for the U.S. Presidency to take the lead in stymying that policy, by implementing new global measures, best captured in the “The New Bretton Woods” program then being put forward by Lyndon LaRouche. That British policy demanded that the Empire control the U.S. President, in driving a system of economic genocide, and global confrontation against the resistance expected from major powers Russia and China, as well as others.

At the same time, LaRouche, having been impris-



The EIR cover story of Feb. 6, 1998, warned that “The British monarchy, in league with elements of a vast Anglophile apparatus inside the United States, is running a high-risk, high-stakes assault against President Bill Clinton and, more broadly, the institution of the U.S. Presidency, in the midst of the gravest financial and monetary crisis of the twentieth century.” On Dec. 9, the House Judiciary Committee voted up a bill of impeachment against the President.

oned by the Bush crowd, was putting forward the concrete policies for organizing exactly such a new financial architecture, through promoting new cooperative relationships among the U.S., Russia, and China—relationships which would effectively serve as a basis for leaps in economic development and the avoidance of war. From the earliest days of his administration, President Clinton had indicated that he was receptive to this point of view.

This is the strategic backdrop to the unending campaign of media slanders, assassination attempts, entrapment operations, and finally the impeachment of Clinton in December 1998. At the culmination of the attempted coup—run by British Intelligence and its treasonous assets within the United States, including the Republican Party leadership and Brit-

ish agents-of-influence such as Vice President Al Gore—in 1998-99, a full-scale assault was underway on economic and foreign policy, in which President Clinton expressed his intention to go with a revival of FDR-like policies against the economic and financial collapse, and with close cooperation with Russia and China.

During that 1998-99 period, LaRouche was actively intervening to build support for his New Bretton Woods program, promulgated in 1997-98.

It was to prevent that action that the British and their agents played their “Lewinsky card,” and bludgeoned President Clinton into submission. One of the crucial, intended results was the repeal of FDR’s Glass-Steagall act in November 1999, with the passage of what would appropriately be called the Gramm-Leach-Bliley-Lewinsky bill. Other results included the capitulation of the United States to the Tony Blair policy of preventive war—a shift which began with the lawless assault on Serbia in March 1999, and today leads inexorably toward thermonuclear confrontation with Russia.

In other words: One of the most proximate reasons why the world today stands at the brink of thermonuclear war, a meltdown of the trans-Atlantic financial system, and an Obama dictatorship, is the relative success of the British Empire’s impeachment operation against President Bill Clinton. The very same players—including notable Republican Party traitors to the United States—are today continuing that operation, under conditions in which the British literally control the Presidency of Barack Obama.

To save the United States and the world from a war of extinction today, the British Empire’s assault on the Presidency must be understood, and its lessons learned. As LaRouche said in his March 24, 1994 introduction to the mass pamphlet “Assault on the Presidency!”, “the global financial crash is now inevitable. It might happen this week next, a year from now. It will happen, and soon.... President Clinton must act according to the precedent set by the first President of the United States, George Washington, and the Secretary of the Treasury, Alexander Hamilton.... We can not permit a pack of hysterical London freaks to destabilize our U.S. Presidency....”

That Hamiltonian solution still exists—but the time for realizing it is much, much shorter—perhaps as short as the time it takes to launch thermonuclear Armageddon.

The British Buildup

The groundwork for the impeachment of Clinton was set up even before he entered office. It included the activation of a wide network of right-wing, allegedly Republican Party networks around the likes of Mellon family scion Richard Mellon-Scaife, who got his start in media operations in London in the early 1970s; he was then a primary funder of the Bush operations in Iran-Contra in the 1980s, and was a known enemy of LaRouche as well. These networks were interfaced with British-owned publishing outfits such as the Hollinger Corporation, the *American Spectator*, and others. Joining them were a host of unwashed televangelists dedicated to whipping up fanatical opposition to Clinton’s intention to promote Middle East peace, and the pro-war Likudniks in Israel, with the U.S. supporters. Finally, there was Clinton’s own Vice President, Al Gore, himself a longtime British asset and comrade of Blair’s, buttressed by other so-called Democrats who marched to the tune of London and Wall Street.

In addition to the cast of British agents-of-influence, there was one direct British intelligence agent on the scene to drive the process: Ambrose Evans-Pritchard is a second-generation MI6 operative (his father, E.E. Evans-Pritchard, was a top British intelligence “academic,” focused on Libya and North Africa), the younger Evans-Pritchard was deployed to the United States as the Washington bureau chief of the *Daily Telegraph* (then owned by Canadian Conrad Black), where he launched the media assault on the Clinton Presidency in November of 1993. Not only did Evans-Pritchard publish near daily columns against Clinton, dredging up the Paula Jones and other scandals, he was a direct MI6 liaison to radical “militia” networks throughout the United States who were waging their own campaign of threatened violence and vile slanders against the President. Evans-Pritchard was a regular source for leaks from the Kenneth Starr Independent Counsel probe of Clinton, and the starting point for a wave of publicity shopped from London, into the mainstream U.S. press.

Evans-Pritchard was also a publicly avowed enemy of LaRouche.

On April 6, 1994, Democratic Party consultant and combative supporter of President Clinton, James Carville, effectively exposed the game. He invited the Washington, D.C. press corps to a breakfast where he presented a “Media Food Chain” chart (later dubbed by the White House as the “Communications Stream of Conspiracy Commerce”), in which he documented that every


single “Clinton scandal” story that had appeared in the American press and media, had first appeared in the British press.

As *EIR*’s Debra Freeman reported his presentation in 1998: “First, the story would be published, usually in its most scurrilous and vicious form, in the British press. Then, a week or two later, the story would come out in the *Washington Times*, or the *American Spectator*, or on the editorial page of the *Wall Street Journal*. Finally, the story’s last stop would be under the by-line of some so-called investigative reporter, in the pages of the *New York Times*, the *Washington Post*, the *Los Angeles Times*, or some other ‘authoritative’ outlet.”

(Carville’s documentation later was picked up by Hillary Clinton, who, in an interview with NBC’s Matt Lauer in January 1998, dubbed the apparatus that went after her husband a “vast right-wing conspiracy.”)

On the afternoon of April 6, 1994, there was another press event, this one hosted by LaRouche’s Presidential exploratory committee, the Committee To Reverse the Accelerating Global Economic and Strategic Crisis. At that event, LaRouche spokesmen presented the 64-page dossier “Assault on the Presidency,” which documented that it was *British Intelligence* that was behind the creation of the “Whitewater” scandal against President Clinton, as a means of preventing him from taking U.S. policy off the Thatcher-Bush track, and acting in the George Washington/Alexander Hamilton tradition to save the United States and world economy from the inevitable impending crash.

The press conference began with a review of how the attacks on the U.S. Presidency, the recent Hebron massacre to derail the Mideast peace talks, and the destabilization of Mexico, were all part of a British attempt to maintain the empire’s oligarchical control in a



In March 1994, LaRouche’s Committee To Reverse the Accelerating Global Economic and Strategic Crisis issued the mass pamphlet, “Assault on the Presidency,” in which LaRouche wrote, “The global financial crash is now inevitable.... President Clinton must act according to the precedent set by the first President of the United States, George Washington, and the Secretary of the Treasury, Alexander Hamilton.... We can not permit a pack of hysterical London freaks to destabilize our U.S. Presidency....”

time of financial collapse. Spokesmen stressed how important it was for the British, now without an independent power base, to maintain the “special relationship” with the United States, to keep themselves in power, and how this was threatened by certain independent tendencies shown by the Clinton Administration.

EIR Counterintelligence Editor Jeffrey Steinberg then reviewed the workings of the British media operation which—left, right, and center—launched the scandals against Clinton, emphasizing the role of the Hollinger Corporation. He detailed the history of Hollinger from its origins in the British intelligence front, War Supplies Ltd., and traced its tentacles into the United States, through media outlets run by Iran-Contra financiers such as Richard Mellon-Scaife, and then into the so-called mainstream press.

As *EIR* later elaborated in a March 1998 video, this conspiracy could not honestly be called “right-wing.” Rather, it was a full-blown mobilization of British assets, from Wall Street bankers, to Confederate sympathizers, to the Bush wing of the Republican Party, and the treasonous wing of the Liberal Establishment, epitomized by the *Washington Post*.

The scurrilous lies retailed in the press helped to build a climate of hate against the President, which led to a flurry of assassination attempts and threats, as well as the legal actions that ultimately led to the appointment of Republican Party operative Kenneth Starr as Independent Counsel, and Clinton’s impeachment.

The Lewinsky Affair

Among the set-up operations to “get” Clinton was the planting of Monica Lewinsky in the White House.

In July 1995, Lewinsky, a sexual predator who had a track record of stalking older men in positions of au-

thority, was brought in as an unpaid intern on the staff of White House Chief of Staff Leon Panetta. President Clinton was already, at the time, a target of a Republican Party assault, Starr having been appointed Independent Counsel on “Whitewatergate” in August 1994. Lewinsky got into the White House position through family connections. Her mother, Marcia Lewis, was engaged to wealthy New York City media mogul R. Peter Strauss, a top Democratic Party donor who served as director of Voice of America under President Jimmy Carter. A New York real estate executive close to Strauss and Lewis, Walter Kaye, called the White House to secure the post for Lewinsky. At the end of the internship, Lewinsky was hired to a paid position in the White House Office of Legislative Affairs.

Lewinsky’s profile as a sexual predator became so obvious, that in April 1996, she was transferred out of the White House to a post at the Pentagon’s Public Affairs office, explicitly based on her stalking of President Clinton. It was at the Pentagon that she was picked up by Linda Tripp, a crony of the “Get Clinton” networks, who passed word of Lewinsky’s account of her “affair” with the President to Independent Counsel Starr.

In reality, Clinton was entrapped, on the basis of his known weakness. Although Lewinsky’s contact with Clinton occurred during 1995 and 1996, it was only at the crucial moment when Clinton was making a major policy shift around the accelerating global financial crisis, two years later, that the plug was pulled—specifically in August-September 1998, when Clinton had denounced the \$1.5 trillion-a-day market in short-term currency speculation, and vowed to change the system. He established an international commission to study ways to reform the global financial system, in sync with LaRouche’s campaign at the time for just such an overhaul.

To prevent him from implementing a return to American System methods, Clinton was targeted for removal from office by a concert of Wall Street bankers, Likud fanatics, right-wing Republicans, and two top Democrats, Vice President Al Gore and Sen. Joe Lieberman. Had Clinton gone forward with the New Bretton Woods initiative, there would never have been a repeal of Glass-Steagall, which was only possible under the conditions of the concerted right-wing Republican/Wall Street assault on the Clinton Presidency.

As it happened, the efforts by Wall Street, the Likud, the right wing, and treasonous Democrats like Gore and Lieberman—all British assets—failed to bring down

the Clinton Presidency. The initiative launched by Helga Zepp-LaRouche in September 1998, with the Committee To Save the Presidency, beat back those forces, but enough damage was done that we are still paying the strategic consequences.

The Showdown of 1998-99

The “Asia crisis” launched by British financier George Soros in the Summer of 1997, with a speculative attack on Thailand, Malaysia, and other Asian nations, proved to be (as LaRouche insisted at the time) a *global* crisis of the system as a whole. When, in August 1998, the Russian financial system under British puppet Boris Yeltsin exploded, in part because the just-created Russian bond market had been flooded with hot money fleeing Asia, the U.S. hedge fund Long-Term Credit Management (LTCM) was thrown into default, with \$4 billion in losses on Russian GKO bond-related derivatives, nearly busting the entire world financial system.

This action coincided with the point at which Clinton was compelled to testify before a Federal grand jury, that same August.

Here are some key inflection points in that process, showing the interrelationship among the crisis, Clinton’s response, and the British mobilization to stop him.

1998

March: LaRouche’s *EIR* releases a 55-minute video on the “Assault on the Presidency,” in which LaRouche urges Clinton not to be pushed into war against Iraq, and to follow his advice on how to resolve the world economic crisis.

March 18: LaRouche at a Washington, D.C. *EIR* seminar elaborates on his policy for a New Bretton Woods reorganization of the world economy, based on national sovereignty, bankruptcy reorganization, and major development projects.

June: President Clinton visits China, for a summit meeting with President Jiang Zemin, with the aim of improving relations, despite an enormous outcry from Republican critics.

August: Clinton is hauled before a grand jury to testify on the Lewinsky affair.

Sept. 2: Clinton makes state visit to Russia, despite huge opposition.

Sept. 9: In the face of the gathering momentum, including by a faction of the Democratic Party, de-



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

British Intelligence agent Ambrose Evans-Pritchard orchestrated a media assault on the Clinton Presidency beginning in November 1993. Evans-Pritchard was a source for leaks from Kenneth Starr's pornographic charges against Clinton, and an avowed enemy of Lyndon LaRouche. Evans-Pritchard is shown here at the news conference at which LaRouche's "Assault on the Presidency" dossier was released, April 6, 1994.

manding that President Clinton resign, Helga Zepp-LaRouche founds "Americans To Save the Presidency," with the support of dozens of state legislators and others around the country, and begins to organize nationwide.

Sept. 10: Yevgeni Primakov is appointed Prime Minister of Russia after a failed attempt by British and other international interests to reinstate Victor Chernomyrdin as prime minister (one of the executors of London-directed genocide against Russia in the mid-1990s), and put Russia under the direct dictates of London-selected finance specialists Boris Fyodorov and Domingo Cavalho. Primakov appoints an economic policy team that is committed to reviving Russia's collapsed physical economy, paying back wages, and renegotiating the country's foreign debt, while creating a Russia-China-India alliance, and conducting crucial war-avoidance diplomacy with Clinton.

Sept. 14: President Clinton, at the Council on Foreign Relations and related meetings later that week, calls for reform of the world financial system in keeping with FDR's policies to "limit swings in the economy," noting that one-third of the world was living in

economies undergoing collapse. The CFR event is the first public appearance by Clinton since he was dragged before the "Starr Chamber" (under Special Prosecutor Kenneth Starr) grand jury in August on the Lewinsky affair.

Sept. 27-29: Chinese Foreign Minister Tang Jiaxuan visits the U.S., where cooperation on the financial crisis is discussed.

Sept. 21: Under the aegis of House Speaker Newt Gingrich, the House Judiciary Committee turns the Congress into a pornographic witchhunt against Clinton, releasing the tapes of the grand jury testimony.

Sept. 23: LTCM collapses over its Russia-related losses.

August and September: Al Gore holds a series of meetings on Wall Street with Edgar Bronfman, Hank Greenberg (AIG), Jon Corzine (Goldman Sachs), Steven Ratner (Lazard), D.E. Shaw, and others, promising to use his influence with the Russian financial mafia to assure full payment of the GKO bonds, and planning joint attacks on Russia over anti-semitism charges from the Anti-Defamation League and Bronfman's World Jewish Congress.

Sept. 24: LaRouche issues a statement attacking Blair for his advocacy of a phony New Bretton Woods, in fact, a supranational world dictatorship.

Sept. 27: LaRouche issues a statement on world economic reorganization, entitled "What Nations Must Do."

Sept. 29: Clinton announces, together with Treasury Secretary Robert Rubin, that "a new era in U.S.-China relations" will be launched, after several private meetings with Chinese Foreign Minister Tang Jiaxuan, specifically to collaborate on the global financial crisis.

Oct. 1: LaRouche issues a document entitled "People First!" outlining concrete measures for dealing with the world financial emergency.

November: Clinton cancels his scheduled attendance at the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) meeting in Malaysia, where he had been expected to pursue his cooperation with China and the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) in dealing with the global financial crisis. Gore goes in his place, and utters a diatribe against Malaysian Prime Minister Mahathir's stand against the speculators and the IMF, and backing British agent Anwar Ibrahim's anarchist demonstrations going on in the street outside the meeting.

Nov. 13: Blair ups his push for more armed action

against Iraq, for alleged violations of sanctions.

Nov. 21: British establish new closer defense pact with Israel, now in the hands of warhawk Benjamin Netanyahu.

Nov. 23: *New York Times* author James Risen reports that Gore, who had co-chaired the Gore-Chernomyrdin Commission (U.S.-Russian Joint Commission on Economic and Technological Cooperation) since 1993, “did not want to hear allegations that Mr. Chernomyrdin was corrupt, and was not interested in . . . intelligence reports on the matter.” Risen writes that one secret CIA report that went to Gore, containing what was considered “conclusive evidence” of Chernomyrdin’s personal corruption, was returned to the agency with a “barnyard epithet scrawled across its cover.”

Dec. 9: After two months of widely publicized debate, the House Judiciary Committee votes up a bill of impeachment with four articles, based on the Lewinsky and Paula Jones affairs.

Dec. 16: U.S. and British planes bomb Iraq, allegedly for failure to comply with weapons inspectors.


Dec. 19: President Clinton is impeached by the House of Representatives on a nearly party-line vote.

1999

Jan. 1: LaRouche issues statement “To Defeat Impeachment, Defeat the New Confederacy,” to mobilize patriots against the impending impeachment trial in the Senate.

Feb. 12: Clinton is acquitted by the Senate, with only Republicans (but not all Republicans) voting for conviction.

March: Primakov’s planned visit to Washington on March 22, for a meeting of the bilateral intergovernmental commission, is upgraded to include a one-on-one meeting with President Clinton, as well as emergency efforts to avert the NATO bombing of Yugoslavia.



A climate of assassination threats and attempts was created by the media witchhunt against the President. Larry Nichols, a former aide to Hillary Clinton, is shown brandishing a handgun at a May 11, 1994 rally in Boulder, Colo. Nichols vowed to have a showdown with Bill Clinton at “high noon” and threatened, “One of us is getting out of town.”

March 11-15: Chernomyrdin and Russian moneybags Boris Berezovsky visit Washington, and meet with Gore and others in D.C., and on Wall Street.

March 22: Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu gets Primakov to postpone his U.S. visit by a day, meeting first with him in the Kremlin on March 22.

March 23: While on the plane to Washington, Primakov receives a call from Gore saying that the U.S. will not postpone the planned bombing raids against Yugoslavia. Primakov ordered the plane turned around in mid-flight and returned to Moscow. *EIR*’s information is that the decision on the bombing was made by the so-called Principals Committee, against the will of President Clinton.

April 4-14: Chinese Premier Zhu Rongji pays an official visit to the U.S. at the invitation of Clinton, the first visit to the U.S. by a Chinese Premier in 15 years.

April 23: British imperial leader Tony Blair gives a speech in Chicago calling for the end of the Westphalian system of national sovereignty, and launching the campaign of military intervention for “humanitarian” purposes, so-called preventive war.

May: Russian President Yeltsin fires Primakov, after Berezovsky and Chernomyrdin visit Primakov to tell him he is finished.

May 6: The U.S. Senate passes the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, repealing Glass-Steagall.

May 8: NATO fires five bombs at the Chinese Embassy in Yugoslavia, killing three Chinese journalists and injuring 20 embassy staff. Who ordered that?

June: LaRouche issues “New Marshall Plan for the Balkans.”

July 1: The House of Representatives passes the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act.

Nov. 12: Clinton signs the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act into law.

A Non-Nuclear Japan Will Implode World's 3rd Economy

by Ramtanu Maitra

Feb. 2—While harsh words and epithets are being exchanged between Beijing and Tokyo, which could develop at any point into a military conflict, Japan's Prime Minister Shinzo Abe, who led the Liberal Democratic Party (LDP) to a December 2012 landslide victory in the Lower House of Japan's Parliament, is riding high on the early results of his economic stimulus. But Abe has new economic worries, as the year-end trade figures show that Japan incurred a \$112 billion trade deficit in 2013. This is Japan's biggest trade deficit since comparable data began to be collected in 1979, according to the Finance Ministry, with the December 2013 figure alone doubling from a year earlier. As the third-largest economy in the world, what happens in Japan has a dramatic impact on the entire world.

Problems of Being Nuclear-Free

The most significant reason why Japan's trade deficit ballooned is the self-imposed cost of energy to the Japanese economy. The high-cost and high-volume energy imports that have been thrust upon Japan, stem from the fact that, thus far, Abe has failed to restore the nation's nuclear power reactors, shut down by the previous administration in the wake of the earthquake/tsunami disaster in March 2011. Although two plants were reopened early in Abe's administration, the closing of the Ohi 4 nuclear reactor for routine maintenance on Sept. 15, 2013 meant that *all* of Japan's 50 nuclear reactors are now off-line, and the 30% of the country's

power that had been nuclear-generated must be met by imported oil and gas, at a much higher cost and greater environmental risk.

This is the first time since 1966 that the country has been without nuclear power.¹ What was foreseen last September has now become a subject of immediate concern. On Jan. 14, Economics Minister Akira Amari said the government must be vigilant to the widening current account deficit, as it suggests that the country's standing as a major trading nation is wavering. Amari made the comment in a news conference after data showed Japan's current account logged a record deficit in November, as a bulging trade deficit weighed on the country's balance of payments.

But trade is only part of the problem. Japan is a major trading nation, but it is not an export-dependent nation. Actually, exports are a smaller part of Japan's economy (16%) than that of most rich nations, and it hasn't had a big trade surplus since 2011, despite the fact that manufacturing still makes up slightly more than one-fifth of its economy, which is more than most rich countries, barring Germany and South Korea.

The larger problem that Abe faces centers around his economic policy, widely known as "Abenomics," which allowed him to come to power and provide economic optimism to Japanese industrialists, business-

1. See "Japan Without Nuclear Energy Is a Disaster for the World," *EIR*, Sept. 27, 2013.



Prime Minister of Japan

Japan's Prime Minister Shinzo Abe faces grave economic challenges, that can only be resolved by reviving Japan's nuclear industry, which has been totally shut down following the 2011 Tohoku earthquake/tsunami.

men, and citizens alike, but is now being torpedoed by the nuclear-free, costly energy-dependent economic measures.

Abenomics' 'Three Arrows'

"Abenomics," according to Premier Abe, sought to re-energize Japan's economy—which has remained virtually stagnant for almost two decades—through what is referred to as the "three arrows of growth." The first arrow refers to raising government spending to boost economic activity; the second refers to the increase in money supply by the Bank of Japan to increase liquidity and counter the recurring deflation; and the third targets structural reforms in the labor market, agriculture, health care, capital allocations, and international relations.

The first two arrows have been shot since Abe took over in December 2012, but they are already causing concerns. In addition to his inability to reopen the nuclear power plants, the policy has dramatically added to Japan's debt burden. Japan is a very heavily indebted nation, with a public debt of almost \$10 trillion—that is, more than 200% of its GDP. Although Japan does not face a serious threat of externally driven speculative attacks, due to the fact that almost all the debt is domesti-

cally held (unlike all other advanced-sector nations), nonetheless, more than half of Tokyo's tax revenue must go toward servicing this debt, and its increasing weight on the economy has forced Abe to increase sales taxes and other taxes to relieve that burden.

The worsening debt problem could mean more drastic measures from Tokyo will be necessary in the near future, especially when the next collapse strikes in the trans-Atlantic banking system, which is inevitable.

Physical Economic Stimulus


Setting their mission to re-energize the economy, Premier Abe and his economic team did two things which, while potentially very positive for the real economy, risked accruing further debt. In January 2013, Abe announced a massive stimulus package of about \$100 billion earmarked to improve the infrastructure of the country and boost confidence. This was in stark contrast to the policies of the United States and Europe, where austerity rules the roost.

Coupled with this, the leadership of Japan's Central Bank was handed over to Haruhiko Kuroda, a close ally of Abe who formerly ran the Asian Development Bank, who promptly announced an inflation target of 2% (after years of deflation) to be met in the next two years through an increased purchase of government debt.

As a corollary to the stimulus, the yen's value was driven down from 82 to the dollar in February 2013, to 104 to the dollar today—a sharp 20% loss in value. The argument made at the time was that the lower value of the yen would provide Japan's exports a boost at a time when China was capturing the world consumer markets. The rise in import costs would jack prices up within Japan, which the Abenomics advocates considered to be a "good thing" since it would enhance consumer expenditures. Many analysts had concluded over the years that Japan's economic stagnation was caused by the Japanese people's propensity to save, while the low inflation rate that the Bank of Japan had maintained had further encouraged this saving, leaving the consumer market high and dry.

Worst Trade Figures Since 1979

However, all these grand and intricately calculated plans come at a time of a deep global financial/economic



Wikimedia Commons/Mugu-shisai

Japan's highly advanced and innovative heavy industry, on which the world economy depends, requires nuclear power. Here, the Tomari nuclear power plant in Hokkaido (2008); it was shuttered in May 2012.

breakdown, with the trans-Atlantic banking system being pumped up artificially with massive, hyperinflationary bailouts and “quantitative easing,” and the entire global financial system on the edge of collapse.

Premier Abe, keeping up the brave front at the Global Economic Forum at Davos last month (a non-event according to all evaluations), told the audience that his country is winning the battle against deflation, the persistent low prices that have plagued Japan's economy for years. “This Spring, wages will increase, and higher wages will lead to greater consumption,” he said. “It is not twilight, but a new dawn that is breaking over Japan.”

Statements issued by the Bank of Japan were somewhat more reserved. It said the economy continued to “recover moderately,” and predicted that inflation would remain well below its target of 2% for some time.

One other factor that led to Japan's long-standing economic stagnation is its corporations' unwillingness to invest. Japan off-shored large chunks of its industries to use locally available resources, and manufacture locally, mostly in China. It is reported that much of the money made in these off-shored industries is not coming back.

Buying Costly Energy from Abroad

Although it is evident that Abe's reflationary policies have driven up the value of export receipts in yen terms,

and boosted exporters' earnings, this is due primarily to the devalued yen. What the feel-good economists had told us earlier is that a spike in import costs would, over time, be more than offset by gains in exports—in a so-called “J-curve” effect from the weak yen.

What is now feared is that the predicted spike may never occur, and that could deliver a death blow to Abenomics. “I don't think many people in Japan want a yen decline to around 120 or 130 to the dollar,” said BoJ economist Nobuyasu Atago, who is now on a stint at the Japan Center for Economic Research. “Many companies have already moved production overseas and may also become hesitant to boost exports for political considerations.”

Why is that spike not occurring? Look at the spike in oil imports and energy prices. The 2013 trade figures tell us that the value of Japan's imports rose by 15% to a record high, while imports of crude oil rose 16.3%, and LNG imports increased 17.5%. Imports of mineral fuels, including crude oil and LNG, accounted for one-third of the total value of imports. What Japan ruefully notes is that the cost of LNG in Asia is rising, to nearly four times the price in the U.S., driven up by the huge Japanese purchases

In other words, Abe's policies could push Japan, one of the most technologically advanced nations in the world, onto a steep economic downhill slope, because he has done little to revive Japan's highly advanced nuclear power sector, which contributed almost 30% of

the country's power requirements before the shutdown. Moreover, under a tsunami of environmentalist lies, a significant segment of the political leadership and citizenry turned anti-nuclear following the Fukushima breakdown, despite the fact that *no one* died from the nuclear accident. If the Prime Minister wants Abenomics to succeed, and to give Japan an economic re-birth, he and his political collaborators must make clear to the people why Japan must have nuclear power, and why it is intrinsically safe.

Japan's Nuclear Is Globally Crucial

Failure to restore the nuclear industry will not only cripple his Abenomics, but Abe will preside over the destruction of a significant portion of Japan's highly productive and innovative heavy industries. Here are some of the reasons why the slowing down of Japan's heavy industry threatens not only Japan, but the entire world's nuclear power-generation capability:

- The largest and best-known supplier of heavy forgings in the world is Japan Steel Works (JSW), founded in 1907 by two British companies and a Japanese partner, Hokkaido Steel & Iron Co. It produces large forgings for reactor pressure vessels, steam generators, and turbine shafts, and claims an amazing 80% of the world market for large forged components for nuclear plants. It supplied the pressure vessels for the first two 1650 MWe Areva EPR plants in Finland and France. It has a 2008 contract with Dongfang Electric Corporation in China to supply forged components, including for reactor pressure vessels to Dongfang (Guangzhou) Heavy Machinery Company Limited in China. JSW is contracted to supply the French nuclear company Areva with large forged parts until at least 2016.

JSW's Muroran plant has 3,000-14,000-ton hydraulic forging presses, the largest of which can take 600-ton steel ingots; and a 12,000-ton pipe-forming press. Its capacity in 2007 was only 4 reactor pressure vessels and associated major components per year, but this was tripled to 12 by early 2011.

JSW has been manufacturing forgings for nuclear plant components to match the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission standards since 1974, and some 130 JSW reactor pressure vessels are in service around the world today. New orders are coming from China, India, and the USA, as well as Europe. And if Abe can restore nuclear power's prominence to where it should be, JSW will be required to supply pressure vessels for the future

Japanese reactors as well.

The world's nuclear industry is interconnected and interdependent on Japan-manufactured engineering products. For instance, France's Areva has secured a contract from India to supply two 1600-MW reactors for installation in Jaitapur in Maharashtra, as part of a plan to set up 9,900 MW of nuclear reactor-generated power in Jaitapur, which would make it the single-largest nuclear power-generating cluster in the world.

However, Areva cannot deliver these large pressure vessels—they have to be forged at JSW! Moreover, India has plans to build 20 GWe of new nuclear reactors in the next 10-15 years. Some of those pressure vessels have to be cold-forged by JSW, no matter who in the West gets the contract to set them up.

- Also, take the case of IHI Corporation, formerly Ishikawajima-Harima Heavy Industries. It is one of Japan's leading heavy-machinery manufacturers, with its 19th- and 20th-Century origins in shipbuilding. Its energy plant segment provides boilers, gas turbines, nuclear-power equipment, BWR pressure vessels and containment vessels, and oil and gas plants. IHI holds a 3% interest in Westinghouse, and collaborates with Toshiba in building power plants. It expects to make pressure vessels and steam generators for Toshiba and Westinghouse PWR nuclear plants, and in 2011, completed a new 2 billion yen factory for steam generators at Yokohama. In February 2009, it received an order from Westinghouse for two AP1000 reactor containment vessels for a U.S. plant.

- Babcock-Hitachi KK was set up by Babcock & Wilcox of the U.K. in 1908 as a boiler parts supplier. In 1953, it became a joint venture of Hitachi Ltd with B&W, and in 1987, the Hitachi Group took it over. It produces reactor pressure vessels, steam generators, containment vessels, and other nuclear-power equipment. It has supplied 15 pressure vessels for nuclear plants, and is also focused on major components for high-temperature gas-cooled reactors and fast-breeder reactors.

If the Abe Administration cannot revive nuclear-power generation in Japan and resolve the ensuing power shortage crisis, it is unlikely that these Japanese firms will be able to deliver any of these pressure vessels and other nuclear-reactor parts needed in India, China, or any other nation in the coming years. A flourishing nuclear industry in Japan, especially in light of the near collapse of the U.S. and German nuclear industries, is a necessity not only for Japan, but for the world.

In Memoriam

Hankel's Death Leaves Germany a Poorer Nation

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

In a sea of soulless monetarists and propagandists for an “economy that kills,” as Pope Francis recently called it, Prof. Wilhelm Hankel was one of the few German economists worthy of the name. He despised the mainstream apologists for globalization and the euro. From the outset of globalization, he pointed out unerringly that it was a gigantic “impoverishment machine” for the majority of people, during which the profiteers began their “permanent escape into lawlessness.” And from the inception of the euro system, he insisted that it would fail, because the conflict between countries with huge trade and current account surpluses, and those with gigantic deficits, could not be overcome in the longterm. The attempt at the pooling of debt—i.e., to make Germany the paymaster for the whole of Europe—would not only lead to the impoverishment of the Germans, but also the failure of the euro, and ultimately, of the European Union

The final confirmation of his predictions is obviously still to be seen, but the aptness of his warnings about the phases preceding this collapse is already painfully obvious: the catastrophic impoverishment of southern Europe and a part of the German population, as well as the drying up of credit for the productive economy, in favor of speculation and maximizing the profits of the banks.

The fact that the Federal Constitutional Court dismissed the legal complaints by Hankel and the other

euro-critical professors against the introduction of the euro and the hundreds of billions in loans to Greece, does not in any way diminish the accuracy of his argument. The judges in Karlsruhe are, by their own admission, no economic specialists, and were always under enormous pressure to accede to the argument that a judgment unfavorable to “the markets” would lead to the total collapse of the global financial system. Behind this argument stood always the principle of Carl Schmitt,¹ that he who controls the state of emergency wields the power. Professor Hankel will be proven right from the standpoint of history, and his critics will be seen as incompetent amateurs.

In personal conversations, as well as in public dialogues and lectures, he demonstrated an intellectual brilliance which provided a refreshing contrast to the widespread mediocrity and politically correct group-think in Germany. He was one of the now unfortunately extremely rare independent thinkers, for whom the search for truth was always more important than the applause of the powerful.

Professor Hankel, who passed away on Jan. 15, spoke at a number of international conferences and seminars sponsored by the Schiller Institute and *EIR*, often sharing the podium with Lyndon La-

Rouche, whom he also invited as a guest lecturer to the University of Frankfurt, where Hankel taught as an honorary professor of currency and development policy.

Professor Hankel, who thought of himself as a Keynesian, did not always agree with representatives of the Schiller Institute on theoretical questions. With respect to the euro, there was 100% agreement, while in relation to other aspects of overcoming the systemic crisis, there were different degrees of emphasis. On the restoration of the Glass-Steagall Act in the United States, he said repeatedly that this belongs in “Lyndon LaRouche’s department.” But disputes always pro-



Dr. Wilhelm Hankel (1929-2014)

EIRNS

1. Schmitt (1888-1985) was the “legal” apologist for the Nazi dictatorship.

ceeded from the standpoint of the common good, and the sovereignty of the State as its sole guarantor.

The Stability and Growth Act

Hankel was head of the Department of Money and Credit in the Federal Ministry of Economics under Karl Schiller (1967), and in this capacity, he was the father of the Federal Treasury note—an extremely safe form of investment for the so-called small savers—which was unfortunately later abolished. He repeatedly pointed out that the Stability and Growth Act of 1967 would still provide the tools needed today for overcoming the crisis. This law, which at the present time, for all intents and purposes, has been overridden by such horrors as the debt brake, is nevertheless in fact incorporated into the Constitution (Article 109) as a task of the State, and could be instantly applied in case of “macroeconomic imbalances” by the finance ministers, and used for government investments such as in our decrepit infrastructure.

“The real value of this law would only have been apparent today,” Hankel told a conference of the Schiller Institute in Germany in February 2009,² and he ex-

pressed confidence that the law would experience a renaissance. In the event of a crisis such as we have today, federal and state governments are empowered, even obligated, to pursue an active, deficit-financed growth policy. So unemployment and the crisis could be tackled with low interest rates, government investment, and employment programs.

This perspective, oriented toward the growth of the real economy, reflected the philosophy of the Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau (KfW, Reconstruction Finance Corporation), where Hankel served as chief economist from 1959 to 1967. The KfW in turn was based on the model of Franklin Roosevelt’s Reconstruction Finance Corporation; it was the driving force for German reconstruction after the Second World War.

If Germany wants to survive the imminent breakdown crisis of the euro and of the trans-Atlantic financial system, then we must return to the theoretical orientation that brought us the economic miracle of the postwar period, and for which Professor Hankel was the main spokesman in recent years. We will not only miss him, but his economic ideas will be urgently needed in Germany very soon.

Translated from German by Daniel Platt


2. The remarks were published in the German weekly *Neue Solidarität*.

EIR Special Report

The New Economics | A Science-Driver Program for Recovery

EIR
Special Report

The New Economics



February 2012

Price: \$100
(Plus \$10 shipping; Va. residents add 5% sales tax)
Includes a 3-month trial subscription to **EIR Online**

Order from
EIR News Service
1-800-278-3135
Or online:
www.larouche.pub.com

This new 80-page report leads with Lyndon LaRouche’s **State of the Union** address, followed by:

- I. NAWAPA**
Project Overview
NAWAPA, from the Standpoint of Biospheric Development
- II: Arctic Development**
Economics for the Future of Mankind
- III: The Moon-Mars Mission**
From the Moon to Mars: The New Economics
‘The Woman on Mars’ (excerpt)
- IV: Appendix**
Constitutional Principles for a Recovery
Franklin Roosevelt’s 1933 Glass-Steagall Act
Alexander Hamilton’s Economics Created Our Constitution

Economics in Brief

Glass-Steagall

Resolution Introduced In New Mexico Senate

Jan. 29—Sen. William P. Soules (D) introduced a Glass-Steagall Memorial Resolution into the New Mexico Senate yesterday, Senate Memorial 37. Its preface “urges the New Mexico congressional Delegation in Washington, D.C., to support efforts to reinstate separation of commercial and investment banking functions in effect under the Banking Act of 1933.”

The Memorial devotes one “whereas” to the fact that “many financial industries entities were bailed out by the U.S. Department of the Treasury at a cost of hundreds of billions of dollars to American taxpayers.”

Another “whereas” reports Rep. Marcy Kaptur’s H.R. 129, and a third describes “The 21st Century Glass-Steagall Act of 2013 (S. 1282) introduced by U.S. Sens. Elizabeth Warren, John McCain, Maria Cantwell, and Angus King.”

New Mexico is the fourth state where Glass-Steagall has been introduced in 2014. Others are: Washington State, Senate Joint Resolution 8012, sponsored by Sens. Bob Hasegawa (D) and Maralyn Chase (D), with 17 cosponsors; Virginia, Senate Joint Resolution 22, sponsored by Sen. Richard Black (R); and Alabama, where House Resolution 75 was introduced by Rep. Tom Jackson (D).

Italy

Venetian Council Backs Glass-Steagall

Jan. 29—The Venetian Regional Council today passed a resolution for Glass-Steagall-style banking separation. The signers include the entire Lega Nord faction plus three councilmen from three other parties; there were two abstentions.

The motion had been introduced by a Lega Nord faction on Nov. 14. It was composed of the text voted up by the

Lombardy Regional Council and includes a section dedicated to the regional economy. The group is the same that organized a conference in Treviso on Nov. 23 for Movisol (LaRouche movement) expert Massimo Lodi Rizzini.

“Credit represents an indispensable driver for growth and development of an economic system,” the motion states. The five most developed Italian regions (Lombardy, Latium, Veneto, Emilia-Romagna and Piedmont) provide access to 66.6% of total credit issued to firms in Italy. . . . The total amount of bank loans to firms in Veneto as of June 30, 2013, is slightly above EU100 billion. Compared to one year before, loans to firms have dropped by 3.4%, less than the national average indeed, where the drop was 4.7%. This confirms the hypothesis of a contraction in the volume of credit issuance (credit crunch) to firms in our region too.”

Since 2008, “the Veneto economy has been thrown back seven years”; unemployment has risen to 7.5% and household consumption has fallen by 2.3%.

The Motion calls on the Italian government to draft a bill for “separating commercial banks and investment banks after the principles and the model of the Glass-Steagall Act,” and promoting banks linked to local communities. It also calls on the European Parliament, the European Central Bank, and the European Economics and Finance Ministers to review the bail-in mechanism in order to exclude all depositors’ and firms’ accounts, “even those not protected.”

Unemployment

Greek Rate Is Highest In Europe

Feb. 1—The latest figures from Eurostat, the European Union’s statistical agency, show that Greek unemployment stood at 27.8% as of October 2013, up from 27.7% in September. Overall, the rate of unemployment in the Eurozone between October and December 2013 remained at a very high 12%. The figures for Spain are

25.08%, Croatia 18.6%, and Cyprus 17.5%.

Meanwhile, austerity accelerates. The government has told doctors of the main public health-care provider, EOPYY to reduce their number of referrals for patients. EOPYY called on doctors to “assume their share of the responsibility for the excessive and provocative use of health services, especially with regard to outpatient exams.”

The Panhellenic Medical Association said it would take the case to the Supreme Court. Doctors and medical staff have also taken to the streets to give a direct message to the fascist Health Minister Adonis Georgiadis.

Nuclear Power

EC Moves To Block British Project

Feb. 1—The European Commission is trying to sabotage nuclear power in Europe, this time in Great Britain. The EC published a 70-page report attacking the British government’s subsidy for the first new plant at Hinkley Point, claiming it may constitute illegal state aid. This plant is the first of what some sources have said will be up to 50 new nuclear power reactors over the coming decades.

In a letter to the U.K. government, Commission Vice President Joaquín Almunia, announced the EC’s December 2013 decision to launch an investigation into subsidies for the plant, based on the claim that energy companies could build new nuclear reactors without a penny of public support.

Putting aside the complexities of the financial arrangements for the plant, the EC reveals its anti-nuclear intentions, stating that support for nuclear power may affect investment in so-called renewables, which require huge subsidies, and even questions whether nuclear energy can be “argued to be aimed at a common EU objective in terms of environmental protection in general, and decarbonization in particular.”

IN HONOR OF PETER ALEXANDER EGOM:

Economy & Africa

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

January 29, 2014

In 2006, I had received an announcement of a book, which had been sent to me, with a hand-written message superimposed, by, apparently, the author, or on his account, titled: **Compass for Economic Reform** (2006). Since the author has been, (had been, hopefully, still an African scholar today) whose published book represents an appeal to the needs of the African continent (i.e., Nigeria), I think it appropriate to turn, finally, to a publication of his, even, now, a decade later. Africa deserves all the relevant sort of assistance which might be useful to its inherent mission for the future. My attention to that book then, has now been focused on particularly, a small part of his concluding remarks.¹

I do not share, by any means, a view common to his references taken from the *British imperial, monetarist school*, of, such British authors as David Hume, Adam Smith, David Ricardo, and Karl Marx (authors with

1. *I had, already, years before that time, my conviction that, the human soul outlives, in the consequence of its existence, as having been, an immortal soul, to be remembered as having been a still living person, that, emphatically in his, or her contributions to the future existence of mankind. I had not yet been certain of his demise, but, for that reason which I have given, above, my message is pertinent now, regarding him, as if he were, presently, still alive. I regret his passing, in a redoubled memory of a warrior for a noble cause.*

whose work I had been already familiar, seven decades ago). That issue of differences continued, on that account, has not diminished, since that time, for me, in the least: the worth of my proffering contrary recommendations, would be a better source of aid in the rescue of African nations from the toils left over since the predatory, Seventeenth-century Dutch imperialist school, and, its outgrowth, in the nominally British, imperial empire of today.

This has a history (and, the only history which could be known as the truth to be sought in, and from human experience). I continue that consideration, briefly, now, on essential background, here, to begin, as follows:

Man, unlike mere animals, is an actually, the only, wittingly creative species: the only one which is a consciously creative one presently known to us. The human species, is the only such species known to us presently. Only the human species' member is given the potential means to foresee the future, and, in this way: *to make discoveries of those universal principles, the which continue to be expressed after the mortal existence of the person is enabled to continue, in principle, for the benefit of society, even long after that person is deceased.*

This fact, is to be recognized, rather more readily, among those great poets and scientists whose personal discoveries of new, man-created discoveries in the principles of our universe, are the only true source of a

knowledgeable future: a power of which no other *known* creature from this planet has ever been capable of accomplishment: only the greatest creative scientists and poets have ever, actually accomplished this. This principle of creativity, has never been expressed among the creatures of our planet, except by mankind.

In particular, the specifically human history of chemistry, shows the proof of this fact; progress in chemistry is a willful capability which exists only within the bounds of the human species as beings. Man is, potentially, a virtually universal chemist of the human will, that in both terms of physical-scientific progress, and in the passion of the great poetic experiences. No mere animal is capable of commanding either of those two, interdependent qualities.

The truth had been demonstrated, for example, in relatively modern times, and remains, so, still today: human creativity remains as being one which, by means of hostility to the absence of creativity, is to be recognized as the threat which has poisoned the souls of the tyrants reigning still today, as being within the imperial system which is currently still seated in its reign over the British Isles: that done, for example, by murderous, imperial, Dutch predators, from Europe's Seventeenth Century, and by those Dutch imperialists who imposed, by force, the British empire, during the Eighteenth Century.

These imperialists, were those who had, actually, turned the British isles into what has been, since, still, a Dutch concoction, that of an empire which has been an-eating-out-of the very soul of humanity, as since under the reign of the empires of this planet, still today.

In the matter of the modern history of humanity, as since the noble, great Golden Renaissance, or, the qualities of the humanity expressed in Shakespeare's legacy, that of William Shakespeare's scientific sort: and, as such as that of Shakespeare's excellently humanist approach to the subject of such matters (as shown by the case of the character (*Chorus*) in **King Henry V**): of a truly great tradition. Such was the tradition of William Shakespeare, as that tradition had been represented in



Nigerian scholar Peter Alexander Egom's book "Compass for Economic Reform" (2006) represents an appeal to the needs of the African continent."

his own magnificent insights into the creation of a sustainable, truly modern, pre-Twentieth-century, literate quality, of a then-educated, English-speaking culture: all that, in spite of the particular spirits of imperialist evil actually predominant in Europe's political structure throughout the history of successive centuries, still to the present day: an evil which has dominated European civilization, in particular, since far earlier than since the Roman Empire.

The facts to be stated, herein, in the light of current history, lie, in, inherently, an abhorrence of the particular horrors created by the transition into the Dutch butchery, an abomination which had been conducted as a dominant


force in Europe during the European Seventeenth Century: a force created in explicit imitation of the Roman empire, and, of the continued destiny as imposed upon Africa, so widely, still today.

The True American?

I am, therefore, a "true American," which is to say, one who despises the treason-in-fact now still prevalent among many of the leaders of that evil which is that current British world-empire, which still actively represents the enemy-in-fact of my United States' founding principle, still today: that, as this legacy is now expressed in the specific sense of the meaning of actually dedicated patriots of my own specific tradition; that, which is to be found in the birth of the foundations of those, which are our own principles of economy, the which, are to be associated with what is, actually, precisely identified, indelibly, with the name of "The American System."

That same system, since the founding of the U.S. republic, has been that which had been consolidated under the definition of, the intrinsic meaning of the principle created by the actions of such as the Alexander Hamilton most closely associated with, the then great strategist President George Washington.

For me, today: there should be no toleration for a systemic difference, anywhere, today, for any dedica-



LPAC-TV

"I am," LaRouche writes, "a 'true American,' one who despises the treason-in-fact now still prevalent among many of the leaders of that evil current British world-empire, which still actively represents the enemy-in-fact of my United States' founding principle, still today..."

tion which is not coherent with the most essential principles of Hamilton's notion of the economic foundations of the original "American System." This would be a system of, also, not only Hamilton, during his crucial role, nor, for not only, President George Washington's administrations; but, implicitly, for such later Presidents expressing the principle of the same school: Presidents James Monroe, John Quincy Adams, Abraham Lincoln, and, as, also, the excellent (but, also assassinated) magnificent William McKinley, whose assassination had cleared the way for the inherently treasonous administrations of Presidents such as Theodore Roosevelt, Woodrow Wilson, Calvin Coolidge, Herbert Hoover, and Harry Truman, as to be contrasted to the magnificently great President Franklin Roosevelt (for as long as he had lived), and, most notably, later, President John Fitzgerald Kennedy: but also certain others in good personal intentions, and more modestly successful degree; but, then, the actually treasonous administrations of foolish George W. Bush, Junior, and the actually evil, the not merely evil, but, also, otherwise sillier in mind, mere London-based, then, on the imperial-London-directed, President Barack: "basketball-brained," sweating Obama, roaming betwixt the

private and public sides of the "White House" structure.

During the immediate post-war period, during and following "World War II," I had been actively associated in personal political support for President Dwight Eisenhower (a colleague of the General Douglas MacArthur), and, later, of my disposition toward the several achievements of President William Clinton, the last actually respectable U.S. President which the U.S.A. has now experienced—to the present date—since that time. Since the assassination of a truly great President John F. Kennedy, the U.S.A. economy, and, the western European economies, have been gripped by a persistent and accelerating continuous, physical-economic, overall trend toward degeneration: that since the assassination of President Kennedy.

At this moment, since the close of the second term of President Clinton, the United States has passed over from Clinton, into a plunge toward the current, breaking-point for the North-Trans-Atlantic "western European" nations: nations which had, since, fallen, so far, to the brink of a general financial, as, also, a physical-economic collapse, as, now, currently very much in a state of an accelerating—steeply downward-plunging—collapse-phase, presently—during this presently new, current year of an evil President Barack Obama:

Hence, now, during the dwindling, actually collapsing days of the January 2014 economy (under that Obama's direction), of the entire trans-Atlantic system (as distinct from the somewhat, actually advancing, Eurasian sector): Betwixt and between those contrary trends among the ranks of the trans-Atlantic region, trends which must be contrasted to the currently rising physical economy of the leading components of the Eurasian region. Now, the threat of an early outbreak of a thermonuclear outbreak-holocaust, now virtually readied to be launched, that brought about for the reason of the collapsing trend in the trans-Atlantic sector, were presently not unlikely to have broken-out in an early, global, thermonuclear warfare, during an interval, probably, at this present time, betwixt now and relatively early March.

Such a thermonuclear outbreak, globally, is likely, under any continuation of presently ongoing, global trends. Such intrinsically evil trends, unless promptly

reversed, will be seen, probably, at this moment, no later than March of this present year: unless a sudden turn-over in a change of the President of the United States were to prevent a general thermonuclear casus-belli affair, but, probably, no later than the month of March; that presently threatened, thermonuclear collision, is already actively in progress as a global-strategic phenomenon, in a building-process currently.

However, I am reasonably certain, at this moment,

Zero-growth, such as the effect of a continued "green policy," is characteristic of beasts, not actually that of human beings: unless mankind's own mental and physical growth-rates, were to reject the "green political disease," to prevent a deadly disaster for both man and beasts.

that an alternative is a presently serious option; what remains inevitable, in either case, are the alternatives of peace, or globally thermonuclear warfare. The crisis-point, is now, inevitably, peace or war, either way, and, that, within the fairly estimated interval of a month or two, during the immediate future. There is no option, presently, but to have a state of "no-war" between the respective, principal global factions-in-fact, or a war between the "trans-Atlantic-region," versus Eurasia. Only the great fools who might fail to grasp the distinction between tactical and strategic conflicts, could be, or, would become confused on this crucial point presently at hand.

My certainty on that just-stated point, is now, otherwise, almost virtually inevitable, for the relatively short term ahead. The possible solution to this crisis-point, lies, explicitly, within the domain of the essential difference in principles of economy separating the actual American-System approach of Alexander Hamilton's design of the "American System:" as versus that, which is conventionally named as that British Empire," which is, currently, associated with the policies under Queen Elizabeth II, as under her continuing, pro-genocidal policy of a global, "green," population-reduction policy (rapidly): from the recently estimated seven billions living human souls, to approximately one billion, that to be continued under a continuation of the British empire's current "green doctrine," under her imperial household's currently active direction.

That tragic element in current global trends, now, for the very short term, must be removed, quickly. It must be changed, for the sake of a policy of population re-growth, through the means of emphasis on physical-scientific increase of the per-capita growth of the rate of increase of the physical-productive powers of labor (per-capita). This means, a required rate of increase of applied energy-flux density per-capita, that as a trend throughout the planet, and, implicitly, beyond.

The Role of the Political Will

I have now, up to this point of my account here, set forth, publicly, the terms which must meet the requirements for the avoidance of global thermonuclear warfare during the near term. The threat of thermonuclear war, which could still be halted among nations, by an act of a superior political will.

The ability to sustain continued peace and human progress, once peace were once established, would require an increase in the productive power of labor, *per capita*. That is the only way in which a presently accelerating decline of the potential economy of Earth² could be successfully defeated, and that defeat sustained, through such means as a *rising rate of energy-flux density per capita and per square kilometer of Earth-surface*. The resort to emphasis on the gross applications of increase of energy-flux-density, per capita, would be required, now, even to withstand the ongoing collapse in the fertility of the surface of Earth generally. Otherwise, the present collapse, in fertility of land-surface areas, will tend to cause a relatively, politically-forced effect: the presently continuing acceleration of collapse of the viability of the human population, such that the collapse might therefore be presently reversed: that for defeating what would be, otherwise, a terrifying economic disaster for those who are looking toward the coming few years ahead.

That is the proper choice of leading strategic concerns, a lighter baggage to be carried for the sake of carrying the intention of my years, in particular; but, also, more significant, the essential elements of the relevant luggage would be sufficient for my essential mission at hand.

What Is the Proof of This?

The proof should be considered as elementary: were the population to come to understand why all the terri-

2. Especially, the present, trans-Atlantic part.

ble things which I have mentioned, in due course, here, so far, are an inevitable tragedy for mankind: unless, we understand the principle which separates the human species from the beasts. Zero-growth, such as the effect of a continued “green policy,” is the characteristic of beasts, not actually that of human beings: unless mankind’s own mental and physical growth-rates, were to reject the “green political disease,” to prevent a deadly disaster for *both* man and beasts.

The Human Factor

The other side of what I have just described, up to this point in this report, so far, here, lies in the absolute distinction between mankind and the current crop of the “green,” political beasts. For example, as follows:

A so-called, “fixed standard of human behavior in productive practices,” requires, with absolutely needed intention, the turnabout from a presently catastrophic trend of presently accelerating decline (in the trans-Atlantic sector), presently, a disaster for any human culture which resists the urgent need for what is usually to be termed, accelerated rates of, in turn, accelerated energy-flux density, in support of “technological progress,” upwards.

On this point, which I have just introduced, thus, here and now, the entire thesis which I shall have now, named: requires an effective understanding of physical-scientific principles on which the successfully continued existence of the human species depends, and, the related fate of the animals, too. This is, precisely, where the inherent incumbent of the British system of political-economy has repeatedly destroyed the economies (and populations) of entire societies, precisely so, under the same modality as the catastrophic fall of the ancient Roman empire, and the catastrophic decline of both the western European and general area of the Americas, currently, reveal.

Man Versus Ape

To understand both the meaning of the *American System of political-economy*, as opposite in meaning, and in terms of physical science, the American System, is an effect opposite in direction to what is called the category of “monetarism;” we must go further than merely describing the *systemically physical* meaning of economy under the original U.S. Federal Constitutional system; one, which had been one of an original devotion to leading emphasis on *physical science*, as contrasted with the inherent bestiality of the still presently

British, imperial, system of *monetarism*; it is essential, to take the direct approach, that of studying the physical-scientific method of the American system which had been pre-defined for the U.S. Federal Constitution, a definition made by the solution presented, and proven, as, unique, by the crucial work of Hamilton in his three great theses for government: **Report on Public Credit**; **Report on a National Bank**; and, **On the Subject of Manufactures**: the three reports on which the continued existence of the United States of America had depended for its survival, even during the course of the Washington Administration, and which only American fools decry today.

However, to locate the physical principle which underlies Hamilton’s unique genius in this matter, more broadly considered, in retrospect now: it is indispensable to concentrate attention on the same physical principle which distinguishes the species of the human being, absolutely, from the characteristic behavior of a mere ape—actually, or, merely aped by humans: the British system of political economy, has been based on the implicit notion of a mere ape called man: as the British imperial system imposes such sickening drudgery and drivel upon both its imperial subjects, and the credulously unwitting.

To state the difference clearly: it is also necessary, to recognize the identity of that British imperial system, when considered in the context of bestial, ape-likeness of the *Zeusian* premises of the oligarchical system: premises which are, presently, as if in absolute opposition to that humanistic principle opposed to the name of Zeus (also known as the Biblical “Satan”): the adversary of the Prometheus typified by the Christian principle. (For example: The Roman Empire was, intrinsically, a Zeusian, i.e., a pro-Satanic, system). In other words, the difference of beast (Zeus) to the humanistic principle implicit in the axiomatic implications of the name of “Prometheus.”

That specific difference between the two, mutually contesting, cultural types, is the same as that of the name of *oligarchy*, in its opposition from the name of *democracy* in the sense of a *Promethean* principle. In other words, the oligarchical policy demands a changelessly destructive principle in human behavior; a fact which we should recognize in the case of the simply “traditional slave-type,” as sometimes named as that of the “serf.” In other words, “serf” means “slave.” The ruling classes, as such, are also to be named as “oligarchs,” (e.g. “Wall Street”) while the human cattle

are rightly named “slaves,” a notion which is interchangeable with the word “serf.”

The underlying difference in meanings between the two, counterposed sets of contesting ideas, is that between, “master-versus-slave” connotation, as “animal-like,” as distinct from “human.” These same connotations had been traced from the early Classical Greek usage, an intended distinction of “master (oligarch)” from “slave,” or names to the same effect of such a division, in any language implicitly in prevalent use, historically.

The two categories can be precisely located by the scientist, as being the same principle of difference as “man” from (the oligarchs), the “beast.” The same sets of actively contrasted meanings, are key to the difference of “oligarch” from the “creative human minds,” such as those of “good and talented scientists.” The human being, when living in his, or her truly natural condition, here, is, biologically, an inherently creative species; whereas, the typical oligarch is, functionally, a mere “beast” as so defined, in practice, as being inherently defined by his or her culturally perverse, adopted nature.

The essential distinction of the human species, as systemically distinct from all other, presently known living species, is what is most efficiently identified as a specifically unique, characteristic underlying, absolutely, to be located, practically, in the difference of man from merely animals—and as also to be shown from the distinction of practice locatable in the varying quality of behavior among human beings: culturally, as man-as-a-creative-being, unlike any other presently known. It is precisely, *the specifically noëtic distinction of principle of the human mind*, which has been, so far, the lawfully defined, unique distinction of the human species, from all, otherwise, presently known species.



Creative Commons/Vitold Muratov

Marie and Pierre Curie in their laboratory in Paris, 1901.

“The human being, when living in his or her, truly natural condition, is, biologically, an inherently creative species; whereas, the typical oligarch is, functionally, a mere ‘beast’ as so defined, in practice, as being inherently defined by his or her culturally perverse, adopted nature.”

Zeus, as against the enemies of Zeus, the prototypes of that Prometheus who freed the slaves to become fully human.

This is the history of modern chemistry’s progress, up to the present point, at which chemistry, as we had defined its development until the point of a new view of the meaning of a human factor for an evolutionary conception of physical chemistry currently struggling to emerge in a higher conception of itself, which is in the process of threatening to emerge soon, now, for the end of all empires as such. We must have nation-states which are respectively sovereign, but, never-again should we permit nations to play the role of Roman gladiators in a Roman-imperial-like arena, under the imperial system of the monstrously evil, international notion of Zeus!

In point of fact: that correction must be made, fully in practice among nations now; should happen, globally, now. It could be actually realized, now. That is my purpose, here.

In the nature of the practice of human slavery, in each and all of its peculiar manifestations, is the systemically unique distinction of man living as man, from man suppressed into a crucial likeness to a mere beast with reduced qualities of a human species, as done, in an exemplary fashion of notability, by slavery in its sundry manifestations. *Whoever employs slavery, or in such a guise-in-fact, is, himself, or herself, a beast to other mankind: as Wall Street merely typifies that political and cultural essence of criminal expressions of the extremes of human bestiality.*

Hence, the conflict between the satanic tyrant, Zeus, and the children of Prometheus: the distinction from those bestial tyrants reigning over the tyrant’s intended slaves: the victims of

I. Empire vs. Hamilton

“What is the evil essence of Wall Street predators, today?”


Again: “The most pervasive of the evils practiced in the mere name of ‘economy,’ is typified by the work of the scoundrels-in-fact, such as those bearing their publicized names as David Hume, Adam Smith, David Ricardo, and, at bottom, Karl Marx, presently. Marx represents a separate case, historically, but, in the end, the results belong to the same category of error: a different species of footprints, but not credible, in the end.” The common error shared among those named figures, is that none among those had considered the underlying principle of humanity which actually distinguishes mankind, essentially, from beasts.

That is, to sum up the point which I emphasize here, hereafter, the true distinction of mankind, an essentially, fundamental distinction of the human species, from all other cases of the characteristics of *merely* animal life-forms. Any attempt to derive the principle of human behavior from merely biological life in general, is, in effect of practice, a crime against humanity. There lies the living essence of the distinction of mankind from all other known categories of living species.

The notion of monetarism is, inherently a crime against mankind, and Creator, alike. Such are the little errors of most so-called economists in general, presently, and of mass-murderers, such as Wall Street itself, presently.

That error to be located there, is properly summarized as the essentially systemic distinction of *Zeus* from the specifically humane *Prometheus*, still presently. The Roman Empire, as such, had been a relatively

recent manifestation (within the larger scheme of things), of the same pure evil otherwise to be recognized as the essential characteristics of the Classical Greek notion of the name of Zeus. Likewise, the Dutch tyranny of the Seventeenth Century, and the Dutch-manufactured British Empire today. The notion of *money-per-se*, points out the pivotal distinction of the American System, as understood correctly, by Alexander Hamilton for, still, the whole wide-world of today, otherwise.



“The Roman Empire, as such, had been a relatively recent manifestation (within the larger scheme of things), of the same pure evil otherwise to be recognized as the essential characteristics of the Classical Greek notion of the name of Zeus.” The Zeus statue at Olympia, by the Greek sculptor Phidias (ca. 430 B.C.).

The problem of our planetary society, still today, lies in the inherently permanent conflict, between what might be a rough description of the unresolvable difference between the American System, and the British systems of recent history, as “British” is opposed to the conception of the great Renaissance legacy of Nicholas of Cusa: or, spoken otherwise: the conflict of Christianity against the British imperial Satan of the evil of the all too-prevalent, implicitly, religious worship of heathen Empire which had been conceived in the image of the evil legacy of a purely predatory and thoroughly beastly, Zeus: the enemy, in particular, of a faithfully professed Christianity, for example.

The founding principles of our U.S. Republic, were betrayed by President Thomas Jefferson, and by all later Presidents in their terms of

office, since from the Aaron-Burr stooges, from Andrew Jackson until President Abraham Lincoln, and, often, after Lincoln’s assassination (done in British imperial interests), for all but a relatively few, precious exceptions, until the assassinated excellence of a William McKinley’s term in office, and from the moment of his assassination, effected, in service to the Confederacy tradition, under the Presidency crafted by Theodore Roosevelt’s treasonous uncle, James D.

Bulloch, and, later, through the Presidential Administrations of Woodrow Wilson and his Ku Klux Klan revival (from within “The White House,” itself), and such despicable creatures as Calvin Coolidge and Herbert “Wall Street” Hoover, who were of the same stripe, at bottom.

Then, four elected terms in office, under President Franklin Roosevelt (not, Franklin Roosevelt’s, politically very-distant cousin, Theodore, who had been implicated in connections to the McKinley assassination which had brought Vice-President Theodore Roosevelt into the office of President, earlier):

Harry S Truman (no middle name), and Richard Nixon, had been most typical of those frequent electoral travesties from among those named U.S. Federal elections; these had remained examples of the worst, until the still worse, three most relevant Bushes, Prescott Bush (who had heaved Adolf Hitler into power financially), and two other notable Bushes, George H.W. and George W. Junior. Then, worst of them all until, now, has been, virtually, the actually most impeachable President Obama: the absolutely worst of them all, so far!

U.S. trends in public opinion, are not exactly pretty evidence for any seriously well-informed citizens: those who are reasonably well-informed respecting the entire history of our Presidency considered to present date. I have not mentioned all among the relatively very good, or actually decent cases, otherwise, in that foregoing listing; but, the gist of the matter will serve adequately as a good one for our intended purposes, here. In fact, the British empire has dominated the overall balance of cases, since after the completed incumbencies of President George Washington and Washington’s crucially important Treasury Secretary, Alexander Hamilton—a Hamilton murdered by a nest of British whores situated then in the region of New York City: scoundrels such as the later U.S. President Martin Van Buren, who was, always, through to his own end, an agent of the British Empire’s banking institutions, institutions whose major elements were in control of the central policy-making, that under the direction of the professional British assassin who had actually created and occupied the U.S. Presidencies, Aaron Burr, and of his successors in that same treasonous role, explicitly, Andrew “loudmouth” Jackson, and professional swindler, Van Buren.

The assassinations of crucially important Presidents, such as those such cases which I have men-

tioned, above, have been a crucial feature of the process continued through the deadliest enemy of our Republic, the so-called Confederacy, until recently. Our nation has been swindled and looted, as by such foreign-directed military assaults on our Presidency and its Constitution, up through the presently notable cases of the two Bush Presidents, and President Obama (to date).

How silly! Most citizens, and also, more so, members of our Federal Governments, have been lured into support for absolutely foolish, and even wittingly corrupted, leading positions in our Federal Governments, dupes who have been, even up to the present moment, as, variously ill-witted, or only virtually dupes of interests, such as Wall Street’s, over the course of the existence of our republic, to date!

II. The Remedies!

The urgently needed changes in the practiced policy of our United States, are typified by the remarks which I have outlined during the course of the preceding chapters, here: during the course of my review of the trends in the quality of the order among chronically arrayed Presidencies of that Republic, as such issues are typified by the brilliant achievements of Secretary of the Treasury Alexander Hamilton.

Competence has been limited to within the bounds of practice defined by Hamilton, which have been, speaking in broad terms, limited to those who have been among a precious minority of our Presidencies, such as Presidents Monroe and John Quincy Adams: as opposed too often to implicitly treasonous, or simply foolish ones, such as those which I have denoted within the bounds of the preceding chapter (most notably).

I write in terms of reference to Presidencies which should have not happened, but for one, or another, kind of corruption within the Presidency itself: such as that is exemplified, for reference, most recently, by the silliness of President George W. Bush, Jr., and the vicious non-entity who pretends to be actively President, Barack Obama (who is merely a loudmouth who has been, a stand-in, essentially, for the combination of the reigning influences of Wall Street and the British imperial monarchy). In brief: there is no really honest principle expressed from the mouths of either President George W. Bush, or his father, the earlier George H.W.

Bush, who now sometimes amuses himself in the setting of a virtual kiddy-car, and, has been witnessed in strangely decorated socks. Harsh words? But, true for the record of their actual records as incumbents with a past: the past of the man who had placed Adolf Hitler into power, financially, Prescott Bush. Truth in such matters, as I have spoken here, and now, as, essentially puppets in history, each in their relevant manner and way.

It is a matter of a long history of truth about our republic's Presidencies. A record which recommends some important, and, often massive changes in precedents of practice, in the standards for elections and policies, alike. Most of the errant Presidencies to which I have made reference here, this far, have been more or less, thoroughly vicious as de-facto traitors to the principles of our Federal Constitution's origins.

This concern occasions an urgent call for sweeping reforms on this account, respecting citizens, attorneys, and judges and courts, alike, over the course of history since our Republic was originally established. The evil hand of the Anglo-Dutch empire, has been the relevant, principal source of corruption on this account, that since the Seventeenth-Century rise of the Dutch system of mass-murder, then, and throughout, in the main, since the birth of the British Imperial system was declared to be a restoration of the Roman imperial tradition.

My criticisms here, this far, pertain not so much to incidental turpitudes, as to the evil borne by highly-placed intentions stated as in law, or, in its perversions in matters of practice. Nonetheless, mere negatives, however truthful they may be, are just that: merely negatives. It is the perversions which ruin that which might, and must be, the implicit demands of the clearer manifest, intentional Will of the Creator, which is the only serious consideration, in treating this matter as a whole: as, otherwise, for the proper case of the clear intention of the properly required Constitutional design and spirit for the Constitution of the United States of America, itself.

The basis for any judgment respecting those subject-matters concerning moral intention, must be returned to the actually appropriate court, for consideration. What, then, we must ask, is the actually higher authority, on which the validity of moral standards among nations, must be properly adjudged?

There is a clear pathway, in history, which should enable us to resolve that issue presently at hand: a decision which has been already prescribed by the given implications of the U.S. Federal Constitution. That is an expression of actually constitutional merit, to be brought into consideration for the purpose of treating the matter at hand.

The Prometheus Principle:

I plead here, on behalf of the true law which underlies, still, that intended concept of law which underlies the foundations of our Federal Constitution. There is no higher law, excepting the Creator Himself, which has any rightful claim to authority over the nations of our planet, and our republic, in particular—and beyond.

The issue of the conflict between *Zeus* and *Prometheus*, is the highest of the presently known proper standards, for this purpose.

The crux of that issue, in turn, speaks to the effect, that the doctrine of Zeus prohibits the recognition of the members of human society, as constituting a lawful basis for reigning authority in this matter. That is the issue, underlying, most profoundly, the crafting and establishment of the Federal Constitution of our United States of America, and the inherent enemy, the evil expressed in the British Empire, presently most notably. Any proposed, contrary conclusion, is, wittingly, or not, a moral obscenity against our Creator, *per se*. Our own proper law, has been adduced from a true insight into the manifest intention of the Creator. No purported notion of "moral law," otherwise, conforms to the standards to be met.

There can not be reconciliation between Heaven and the imperial quality of Hell which is the Zeusian principle. So, I plead here, on behalf of the true law which underlies, still, that intended concept of law which underlies The foundations of our Federal Constitution. There is no higher law, excepting the Creator Himself, which has any rightful claim to authority over the nations of our planet, and our republic, in particular—and beyond.

Adducing the Proper Law

What, then, is appropriate standard for “measuring” the Creator’s intention, as such? There are four degrees of distinction to be addressed immediately, before any conclusions could be properly considered for further consideration here, now.

1. Where no evidence of the existence of life is evident.

2. Where the lowest rank of sense-experience is found.

3. Where active life’s intention, as such, is found.

4. Where human life is found: the highest ranking evidence, is found.

Since it is the human species which we are considering here, what is the practical distinction of merely life-in-general (such as merely non-human, animal life) as contrasted to the systemic elementarity of human noëtic processes, as such? Idiots, or, liars, may contest that thesis; but, there is no available present evidence to warrant a contrary view. Human life, is the characteristic manifestation of mankind’s specifically manifest-as-demonstrated, noëtic performances shown by the crucial evidence of the living human mind, a living mind of the soul, which outlives the mortality of the human individual.

This acceptance of devotion to immortality, applies, and that done absolutely: in opposition to the explicit follies of atheism, which, on that account, will find no “reasonable” agreement with a competently practiced physical science, in fact. It could only lie “above the plane” of merely biological humanity as such.

Such, precisely, is the case in fact: it is located, precisely, in the actually noëtic powers specific to the human mind: in the higher source of the specifically



“Human life, is the characteristic manifestation of mankind’s specifically manifest-as-demonstrated, noëtic performances shown by the crucial evidence of the living human mind.” Here, “The Reading Lesson,” Auguste Toulmouche (1865).

higher “strata” of the actually creative (specifically noëtically creative) powers specific to the individual member of the human species: to the distinction of the human (noëtic) powers of individual, and also shared experiences, of uniquely human creativity: a creativity, which, properly understood, foresees the actual future: as *all true creativity of the human mind, as in physical science inseparable from Classical-artistic creativity.*

The most notable effect of all this presented, this far, here, is the fact, that, for precisely the reason which I have stated, immediately above, the death of the human individual, does not terminate the active influence of the deceased person’s still living soul on the future of mankind. That immortal aspect of the once-

established human individual, is (insofar as we presently have proof) a unique principle: the manifestly unique quality of what we may be enabled to recognize as “the individual human soul,” an entity which does not end its existence with the death of the incumbent.

That is the only competent category to be considered as the foundation of the fruits of physical science, and, also, the inspiration of mankind generally. It is not, “in the flesh,” but, rather, in that by which the death of the human individual, escapes the corpse of the deceased, in its influence on the shaping of mankind’s future. The mind of the deceased continues the fruits of its performance, but the author of those specific opinions, remain silenced, while the principles discovered, thus, radiate, as if living principles, throughout the efficient spread of that efficient influence, into eternity.

That for those among us, who are actually, fully

sane, is the ultimate source of the meaning of the mortal human individual: as the brief, but excellent **I. Corinthians** 13, states, without a shred of doubt, in that occasion. It is not the life of the person, but his, or her, continuing consequence for future humanity, which is the Holy mission assigned to the mortal human individual, a mission for service to the future of mankind.

III. Therefore: The Future of Mankind

The mission of our mortal human existence, is to be located in an attempted estimation of an anticipation of the development of the remarkably unique powers specific to the human mind. Call this, otherwise, the specifically human, intention. The conventional name for this requirement, is: human personal creativity: a creativity which raises the powers of mankind within the universe at large, according to an implied mission pre-assigned, implicitly, by the will of a universal Creator. That Creator we know, only, chiefly, as the effects of His reign. However, we are, nonetheless, permitted, increasingly, if we are wise, to observe, and to heed his works within the universe, as we may have discovered evidence in patterns which proffer suggestions of *His Intention*.

The disclosures, as just broadly identified by their efficient actuality, guide our intellects-as-such to the apprehension of the rules which are presented to us as implications of the evidence of His Reign. These general shadows of universal wisdom and its will, teach science to the more sensitively efficient intellects from amid the current existence of the human species. We, thus, in that degree, are enabled, if we are willing, to apprehend *His Intention*, and report, among we mortals, the revelation of those adducible laws in the universe, which should guide our steps to higher degrees of practicable reason.

The entirety of what is presently known as such conclusions as those, is modelled, heretofore, to our knowledge, in a conception typified by the well-known “Book of Genesis.” Hence, that Book reflects the actual implications of the singular importance of that “Book of Genesis” itself. From this source, we are enabled to set forth, practically, verifiable notions of the interdependence of what we name presently, as commonly to-

be-unified, in conception, as Classical-Artistic-and-Physical-Scientific Discovery as a single, holistic conception, inseparable from what we name, commonly, thus, as Science as a whole unity: an intrinsically indivisible unity.

All these, including these considerations, express, as in a single, often mysterious, pattern. From that, we properly adduce a shadow cast upon us, and upon our mortal existence, by the Creator. It is within that mortal limitation that we worship rightfully, for mankind as such, that which can be presently known to us, but with confidence in an unknown future as a whole thing: as **I. Corinthians** 13’s, most powerful imperative, forcefully, but, ever-so-gently, and compassionately, implies.

We dwell within a Solar System, which inhabits a Galaxy, as the Galaxy inhabits, in turn.

Yet, we are not chattel. We are as workmen in a great enterprise, the universe, which employs each of us to a common end, out-of-sight; yet, it is also, the mysterious domain which we inhabit, all the more certainly.

That is the essential fact in every aspect of our knowledge of existence in the whole. The benefit to be sought, is *the actual principle of creative human genius*, a unique quality, which depends upon comprehension of the purpose expressed in the hope of the future which now confronts us, always, and the promise from the future, which the creative specificity of the human creative mentality reveals for our convenience, step by step, and, layer by layer. That is our future, and, is the meaning of the yet-to-be-known result of that which lies beyond mere mortality: in the implications of our creative powers of mind touching upon the future of mankind.

Hence: the crucial destiny of Africa and its population, then, and for the sake of the future of mankind. The ultimate reality for us all, is an intimation of that future of mind, but also a prescience of the future of its consequences: that which is known to us, otherwise, as the immortally creative powers of the sovereignly immortal human soul.

[The above text is the finished product of the author:]
February 1, 2014.

As properly uttered in the appositive voice

**N.B. The best and the finest which
I had ever done!**

Editorial

Countdown!

From the British assassination of President Kennedy, to the sabotage of the SDI, to the impeachment operation against President Clinton, the process of the British monarchy's intended manipulation of a thermonuclear confrontation between NATO and Russia has been building. Now the showdown is nigh. The world's leading forecaster Lyndon LaRouche estimates it could arrive by early March—and you would be an idiot not to heed his warning.

Americans don't like to think about such global matters, of course. Our citizens have shrunk terribly since Franklin Roosevelt's death, and are walking as if blindfolded to their doom.

To those who take off the blinders, the intent and nearness of a showdown are obvious.

For example, an editorial in the Feb. 2 *Financial Times*, voice of a significant section of London establishment, headlined "Ukraine faces moment of truth," not only declares that the elected government of Ukraine, now under siege, is "a spent force," but threatens Russia: "If Ukrainians push the man in Kiev out of power, Russians might wonder why they should not do the same to the man in the Kremlin."

Regime change with the world's second-largest thermonuclear power? What do you think that portends?

The Russians are also making it abundantly clear that they understand the nature of the challenge. Among the more direct statements were those made by Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov at last weekend's Munich Security Conference, in which he said:

"It is impossible to overlook the symbolism of the date of today's meeting. 70 years ago one of the most difficult, bloody, and crucial battles of World

War II, the battle of Stalingrad, was over. Hundreds of thousands of my compatriots who gave their lives for this victory on the banks of Volga were not only defending the Motherland, but also fighting for the attainment of universal peace, just as all our allies were doing.

"The diplomacy efforts were also aimed at the purpose of not permitting the tragedy of world war to repeat. They resulted in the creation of the United Nations Organization. However, soon afterwards the Cold War drew the dividing lines in Europe, putting off the opportunities for building a system of collective security, which is embodied in the UN Charter, for a long time..."

Lavrov went on to point out the leading areas where this "NATO-bloc" thinking is still active against the Russians, most specifically, in Ukraine, Syria, and on so-called ballistic missile defense, which he identified as not being defensive at all, but rather a preparation for *offensive* measures against Russia.

A new phase of that BMD program was initiated this week, with the deployment of the Aegis destroyers to Spain, as NATO and the Obama Administration still refuse to give Russia assurances the system is not aimed at it.


The tragedy of a new world war *is* looming—with direct challenges to Russia's sovereignty. Recall Prime Minister Dmitri Medvedev's May 2102 remarks, that such a challenge could result in the use of "nuclear weapons." Yet the British monarchy and its assets continue that challenge—threatening global extinction.

There *are* options for peace. They start with removing British agent Obama, followed by smashing the system that controls him, with Glass-Steagall. Get your head out of the sand, and act now.

SUBSCRIBE TO

EIR Executive Intelligence Review

EIR Online



EIR Online gives subscribers one of the most valuable publications for policymakers—the weekly journal that has established Lyndon LaRouche as the most authoritative economic forecaster in the world today. Through this publication and the sharp interventions of the LaRouche Movement, we are changing politics worldwide, day by day.

EIR Online

EIR Online includes the entire magazine in PDF form, plus up-to-the-minute world news.



I would like to subscribe to **EIR Online**

(e-mail address must be provided.)

- ☐ **\$360** for one year
☐ **\$180** for six months
☐ **\$120** for four months
☐ **\$90** for three months
☐ **\$60** for two months
- ☐ Send information on receiving **EIR** by mail.

Name _____

Company _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Country _____

Phone (_____) _____

E-mail _____

—EIR Online can be reached at:

www.larouchepub.com/eiw

e-mail: **fulfillment@larouchepub.com**

Call **1-800-278-3135** (toll-free)

I enclose \$ _____ check or money order

Make checks payable to

EIR News Service Inc.

P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390

Please charge my ☐ MasterCard ☐ Visa
☐ Discover ☐ Am Ex

Card Number _____

Signature _____

Expiration Date _____