

EDITORIAL

The Time Has Come To Turn It Around

July 7—The following paraphrased exchange between Lyndon and Helga Zepp-LaRouche brought together many of the themes of a discussion between the two of them and the LaRouche PAC Policy Committee this morning.

Lyndon LaRouche: Between Helga and me, we've got to make some decisions, right now, about what we're going to do next, because we've got a lot of different directions we can go in. And the question is how Helga and I are going to act, in order to try to resolve the things we've got to settle right now.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche: The Brexit's implications are much, much bigger than we have noted. . . . You can see the fallout now in the financial sector: the six property hedge-funds which had to close down in London; the absolute desperation of the Bank of England. This thing is disintegrating. Then you will have the new election in Austria, which may very well bring in a government which will also lead Austria out of the EU, and that would be it. So we are in a period of absolute, on the one side, disintegration of the EU and the whole trans-Atlantic financial system.

Therefore, what I keep saying is that we have to get the whole organization singing from the same page on both sides of the Atlantic. We need to have this New Paradigm. And the New Paradigm has to be the joining with Russia and China in the "win-win" perspective, building a Silk Road extending into the United States, going for Glass-Steagall, going for a new credit system, and a new paradigm in terms of the identity of mankind. Because when I asked the man from the Naval War College, in light of the coincidence of all these crises coming at the same time,— doesn't it make sense to go to a higher level, and work together for the common aims of mankind? His answer was completely charac-

teristic for the kind of people who are the cause of the problem? He said, "I'm a pragmatic person, and I know about human nature, and this is the way it's always going to be: people will have conflicts." [Not an exact quote.] But that is the problem, because if mankind remains with that image of man, that human nature is such that man is incapable of rising to reason, then we will go under!

And therefore people really have to understand that the new paradigm, defining human civilization from a future standpoint of international collaboration in space travel, getting energy security through breakthroughs in fusion power, eliminating terrorism.

Terrorism is clearly out of control. Look at the number of terrorist events, from Istanbul to Indonesia, Bangladesh, and European countries. It's very clear that this is a showdown time, and the refusal to cooperate to work together against terrorism, and now with the Chechen trend being clearly in the Istanbul bombing,— who is controlling the Chechens? That goes way back to the old Chechen Wars.

So anybody who's not working together with Putin on the elimination of terrorism, is complicit in any future terrorist act which happens. And that's exactly what Senator Bob Graham had said: If the 28 pages had been published, even the Charlie Hebdo terrorist attack would not have happened. It's all one package, and people have to understand that this is now the unique moment, because the Chilcot Report is really not just an affair limited to Great Britain, because the whole [Iraq] war was based on lies, and the whole strategic picture really hangs together, and we must present it that way.

Lyndon: I would say,— just on the emergency level, because we're getting pressure on this question,— but I think the option of the Manhattan struc-

ture, the Manhattan system, is now ready to be used. It's not only ready to be used, it's got to be used, because only with an imperative being launched out of Manhattan or something like that, setting a rolling movement, and spreading it throughout the United States or as much as possible, can we probably break this thing. Because, is Obama going to bomb the world? Or is Obama going to give up? Or is he going to do something else in the middle? So the point is, I would say that the issue here, when you look at the facts, for sane people, the question would be: Shut this thing down! Shut this war down! Shut down the war and what it represents now!

The Real Question

You've got the thing from Britain, you've got things in Europe otherwise; you've got the things in other parts of the world. I think the time has come to push hard, and effectively, to shut this war down! Shut this kind of warfare—shut it down! Which means there's an obligation to create an economic system which will contribute to the needs of the population, both in the United States and elsewhere. Therefore, the question is not war. The question is, are the people of the United States prepared to reassemble themselves, and reconsider their destiny?

Are they willing to create a system of creation of wealth by people, and have that become the answer, the alternative to what's happening, for instance, in the United States, with all the people who are dying and suffering, as now? Therefore, are we going to find the alternative to that kind of nonsense, and similar kinds of nonsense? That's the question. Can we grab this thing, with the base probably around Manhattan? And I think we've got to really go hard on this thing, and get a real solid approach to say we are now really going to do the thing to save civilization, on the basis of what Manhattan has to offer.

We've got the United States. The United States has the ability to turn around. We've got enough to turn the

clock around, to end this perpetual fear and evil. We've got to defend the United States in the sense of the people of the United States, by restoring the kind of system in the United States which is needed by the people, immediately, throughout the United States,— and encourage the people of other nations to accept the same option. I think it can be done; but it certainly *should* be done, regardless.

That's what we should be doing. I think it's our option. Grab it!

You're getting this "maybe" approach from the people [linked to the U.S. government] yesterday,— they are in doubt! And the question is, is Obama also ready to do the job that he wants to do? Or, are the people involved going to back down, and say, "Well, we're not going to go that far." And that's what we're looking for. Like what happened in Britain,— the British case. The government of Britain is now in a crisis of its existence. And similar kinds of situations are arising in other parts of the world. Isn't it possible that we can bring a concert of opinion among some people in some nations, to bring about the kind of agreement which solves this terrible problem?

The point is, we've come to a point in history where the condition of life of mankind, as mankind, can no longer accept the approach to something which is like Obama, and his predecessors. Therefore, the point is, why not struggle to create a movement which gives people a sense of saying, "Yes, you were right!" And doing it on time.

We can develop mankind. Einstein is a model person, to be considered in this connection. He didn't do everything, of course, but he did some things which were very important, and which most scientists have failed to consider.

People don't understand mankind. They get so fascinated with little things that they don't understand what mankind is. Mankind is unique. Mankind is the most beautiful thing known to us in the universe. So therefore, let's do it.