

nuclear fusion power, or do you find it acceptable that Rockefeller intends human beings to gnaw each other's bones in a final ghastly feast? Do you find it criminal that two major daily newspapers in North America have recommended cannibalism as a practice to be encouraged?

Staff reporters at the *Philadelphia Bulletin* guffawed: *If cannibalism actually happens in Philadelphia we'll print it.* Presumably, if it happens in India they'll take it in stride. Philadelphia reporter Jim Davies declared: *"Add my name to the list — I intend to do nothing about it."*

Vera Gewanter, New York City correspondent for the Italian daily *L'Espresso* frantically sought "neutral" moral ground: *"Well, it is not cannibalism if you do not kill the person to eat him. I suppose if I were in the mountains and starving and someone died..."*

The United Nations researcher for West German TV NDR, Steve Westheimer, couldn't understand what the outrage was about — *"After all, cannibalism was only in two newspapers. You're making too much of an issue out of it."*

During a lengthy exchange Ulf Nilsson, Senior Correspondent for the Swedish daily *Expressen*, broke down and screamed: *"I am 100 per cent in favor of cannibalism."*

A related instance of journalistic depravity surfaced when the *Seattle Post-Intelligencer* carried a prominently featured article August 30 on the uses of the human body as fertilizer — as an abundant source of nitrates and nitrites, for example.

New York Times Scoops Them All

However, the reporter who can claim the "scoop" on cannibalism is the *New York Times'* own Paul Montgomery, now being sued by the Labor Committees for slander (the *Times* carried his two monumental pieces aimed at the Labor Committees in January and July of this year). In March 1974 Montgomery wrote a piece on the Uruguayan survivors of last year's Andes plane crash — they survived by eating the flesh of their dead. The occasion for Montgomery's article was a party held in New York City to celebrate the first anniversary of their rescue — spent recalling the good old days in the mountains. Montgomery, whose tastes in writing otherwise run to covering homosexual murder rings, has the distinction of being the first U.S. journalist to cover cannibalism as an understandable fact of life.

In the next weeks IPS will continue polling reporters and editors across the country, demanding that every journalist who finds cannibalism abhorrent publish the alternative: Moratorium on all farm debt; development of nuclear fusion power as the energy source for expanded agricultural production; and the political destruction of Nelson Rockefeller and his vampire brothers.

IPS Directory

Lists of reporters who find cannibalism amusing or tolerable will continue to appear in IPS and *New Solidarity*. When workers get hungry, they will know where to go. *We will make these vultures eat their words!*

Knight Journalists Stripped of Identity

Sept. 9 (IPS) — The *Charlotte Observer* (North Carolina) is one of Rockefeller's Southern mouthpieces, consistently spewing forth editorials lauding Rockefeller and his Zero Economic and population policies. IPS efforts to find the criminal responsible for a particularly blatant anti-working-class editorial resulted in the denial by the *Observer* of any individual responsibility. In fact, IPS was told, the editors of the *Charlotte Observer* "collectively" write their editorials!

The reason behind this collectivist mentality — removing personal responsibility from individuals — becomes clear if we examine the *Observer's* executive and editorial boards. The Knight family tightly controls the *Observer* — as well as other major newspapers including the *Detroit Free Press* and the *Philadelphia Inquirer* — within their family newspaper empire, Knight Newspapers, Inc. Like the rest of the Rockefeller press, Knight has its roots in the U.S. intelligence and

propaganda agencies. John Knight, the Editorial Chairman, was Chief Liaison between U.S. and British Censorship from 1943 to 1944 — only one example of the extensive psychological warfare training of Knight chain personnel as a whole.

KNIT: Creation of Journalists

To ensure uniformity in its personnel, Knight maintains a training center, Knight Newspapers Institute of Training (KNIT), in Miami, Florida. This behavior-modification training center, specifically geared to inculcating journalists with the virtues and skills of "objective" news reporting, uses sophisticated methods to work over participants. Undoubtedly, KNIT victims emerge so separated from their "unobjective" selves that they become entirely amoral.

One of KNIT's books, entitled *Precision Journalism*, states explicitly the "training techniques" used: "... the

application of powerful new research tools of the social and behavioral sciences to the practice of news gathering." The "collectivist" editorial policies of the *Charlotte Observer* are the direct result of the destruction of human identity stripped from the journalist through methods that include role-playing — one of the more insidious types of destroying positive individual identification with humanity.

The person responsible for this dehumanizing training program is a psychologist named Byron B. Harliss. Psychologist Harliss' credentials include 21 years of service as "consultant" for more than 40 newspapers.

With this kind of training, it is no wonder that the systematically declassed journalist is in a state of moral decay, indifferent and often hysterically opposed to the interest of his potential class brothers.

West German Union Leaders Create Planned Chaos in Labor Movement

Sept. 7 (IPS) — On the eve of major West German contract negotiations, Heinz O. Vetter, head of the West German Trade Union confederation, the DGB, and Eugen Loderer, the head of its most powerful union, the two-million member I.G. Metall, issued apparently contradictory pronouncements on the cure for the depression now gripping the West German economy.

In a speech in Wolfsburg, the site of the massive Volkswagen complex, Vetter demanded that the West German central bank, the Bundesbank, "regain tight control of the national economy with a strict deflationary policy."

Meanwhile, Loderer, a solid ally of Rockefeller's chief fascist labor organizer, UAW president Leonard Woodcock, feeling the pressure of auto workers caught in the collapse of the German auto industry, spoke out for subsidies to bail out that depressed sector.

Such "disagreement" among the two powerful labor leaders must be placed in the proper context. Both are members of the Rockefeller family's International fascist planning body, the so-called Tri-lateral Commission, serving with Rockefeller's chief American labor lieutenants, Woodcock and the United Steelworkers President I.W. Abel, under the direction of CIA operative Zbigniew Brzezinski.

The disagreement on economic policy between Vetter and Loderer, neither of whom can be regarded as having anything more than a minimal understanding of economic questions, has been engineered to maximize confusion in the already confused West German situation. West German workers hit hard by unemployment and rising inflation have forced trade union leaders to raise wage demands in excess of 20 per cent. In this context Vetter's call for deflationary policies is tantamount to political suicide. Meanwhile, Vetter has made other statements which emphasize that the trade unions will adopt **"an everyman for himself" policy this time around** — effectively cutting their own throats. Individual trade unions are in agreement not to orient themselves towards each other, he stated.

But Vetter goes even farther to create political instability as required by Rockefeller. With the ruling SPD coalition growing increasingly shaky, Vetter declared that the DGB would not bind itself to any one party, stating that he will opportunistically end the DGB's formal love affair with the SPD provided someone makes him a good offer. Loderer has thus far remained silent on the question of continued I.G. Metall support for the **SPD**.