

OPERATIVE SPILLS BEANS: WAR OF PACIFIC IS A HOAX

NEW YORK, N.Y., Dec. 10 (IPS)--A top operative of Rockefeller's softline counterinsurgent apparatus for Latin America confessed to IPS yesterday that the "progressive" softline and the "reactionary" hardline in the growing war hysteria between Peru and Chile are merely different aspects of Rockefeller's plan for a Second War of the Pacific. Charles Frank, a member of the recent State Department Planning Staff tour of the targeted war zone, told IPS, "We talked about it [the war] an awful lot, especially in Chile and Peru." Frank thus totally contradicted the official line that the tour did not touch on the subject and that rumors of war were coming strictly from hardline cold warriors.

The barrage of war rumors was released in the wake of this semi-secret trip, in an effort to ignite a clash between Peru and Chile. Such a War of the Pacific would allow Rockefeller to organize a "hemispheric security force," as well as remodel the regimes of the entire Southern Cone in line with his "fascism with a democratic face."

Middle East Repeat

Hardliners such as James Theberge of David Rockefeller's Center for Inter-American Relations (CIR) have been openly fanning the flames by declaring that it is "highly probable" that Peru, with backing from the Soviet Union, will invade Chile. Rockefeller's CIR "softline," led by Sol ("Recognize Cuba") Linowitz and acknowledged adherents of Linowitz' line, like Frank, had until yesterday repeatedly told IPS they knew nothing beyond "what has been in the papers."

Frank's extensive slip effectively short-circuits Rocky's entire system by connecting the two opposite hard/soft poles, revealing the extent to which the softliners have been quietly counter-organizing for war beneath the cover of the hardline's warmongering. To use Frank's terms, Peru and Chile have been "boxed."

"Peru feels war is inevitable," he said, "and it might be better to have it earlier than later....The slightest thing could set it off." There is "lots of internal dissension in the Peruvian military," according to Frank, and a war would "pull the regime together."

On the other side, "The Chileans are paranoid," he said. Frank commented that it was stupid of Allende not to have brought arms from Russia, since today Chile "can't get a damn thing from anyone."

When Linowitz, David Rockefeller's left-hand man on the CIA and head of the Xerox Corporation, was informed by IPS that Theberge's hardline war-mongering is connected to the softline camp,

he replied, "Jesus!" He then tried to smother Frank's slip of the tongue by saying, "What we've got to do is stop peddling the thing, be quiet about it. There's no need to make a newspaper story out of this." Pushed further on the importance of publicizing what Rockefeller is planning, Linowitz tried to brush the reports off as "rumors." "We have to verify these things," he said, to which IPS answered that given his expertise he should know better. "Even if I did, I don't have to tell you," Linowitz pouted.

Finally, it was recommended that if Linowitz were serious about preventing a war, he should speak with Theberge and the Policy Planning Staff. This was a bit too much for Sol's ego: "I just spent all morning at the State Department, my friend, so I don't think I need any of your recommendations. Good-bye."

COMMUNIST PARTY HARDLINE HITS AMENDOLA

Dec. 10 (IPS)--In a campaign clearly coordinated with hardliners in the Communist Party leadership in Moscow and the Communist Party of East Germany (SED), the Western European Communist Parties are now coming out with a clear factional answer to the CIA agent clique in the Italian Communist Party leadership, led by Giorgio Amendola, a self-confessed CIA agent. This faction for the moment controls the machinery of that party.

The campaign has been brought to the forefront by the outbreak of all-out factional war in the leadership of the French Communist Party (PCF), the mass-based Communist Party most closely tied to the Soviet Union. The PCF struggle is currently providing the main focus of the most significant Europeanwide Communist Party turn since the aftermath of the January Brussels Conference of European Communist Parties, which produced the short-lived February-March "Left Turn," calling for a "United Front of Communist and Workers' Parties."

A hardline core in the PCF leadership, centered around Politburo members Leroy and Flissonier and leading Central Committee member Jean Kanapa--all with close ties to Moscow and Berlin--is demanding absolute rejection of PCF participation in the Giscard government and the denunciation of CIA agent Mitterrand's Socialist Party for advocating this. The factional polemic contains daily attacks against the agent-infested Second International, coupled with blasting the Giscard government's "cold-war, anti-Soviet" policies.

In sharp opposition to the PCF right wing, led by the PCF Secretary General Georges Marchais, the language of the anti-Mitterrand polemic explicitly rejects any Left participation in any bourgeois government. Extending this attack to denounce anyone on the Left who supports the participation of Workers'