

'Carlos Affair' Blows Tavistock Crime Ring

Reprinted from IPS, Vol. II No. 31

July 6—The current furor in Europe surrounding the reported hunt for international terror-gang leader "Carlos" can provide enough leverage to begin to unravel the seamy side of Rockefeller's European army of occupation, provided adequate investigative procedures are established on an international scale and appropriate precautions taken against international intelligence community cover-ups. Such an undertaking, if vigorously pursued, would rapidly lay bare the roots of Rockefeller's European political machine, leaving his key agents in government, trade union and other positions exposed to the popular wrath they so richly merit. It is doubtful whether French Interior Minister Michel Poniatowski, British Home Secretary Roy Jenkins, or the shaky government of West Germany Chancellor Helmut Schmidt could long withstand the implications of a full investigation into the ramifications of the "Carlos" affair, which we shall detail below.

"Carlos," the reported murderer of two agents of the DST (the French FBI) and alleged bomber, is nothing but a common CIA agent, in the strict sense of that term. He is a product of the Tavistock Institute in London and related circles such as the Institute for the Study of Conflict, as well as NATO and military intelligence. The "Carlos" caper confirms that murder, gun-running, and terrorist operations in Europe are controlled by the same kinds of agencies using the same 'modus operandi' as uncovered during the course of U.S. Labor Party investigations into FBI-LEAA-sponsored dirty tricks in the town of Reading, Pennsylvania.

In Europe as in the U.S., international agencies of the so-called intelligence community, as well as national intelligence and police agencies, under the cover of police-controlled Maoist, Trotskyist, separatist, and above-ground terrorist groups such as the Irish Republican Army and West Germany's Baader-Meinhof gang, are collaborating in crimes which include assassination, gun-running, and terror bombing. Such dirty tricks department specialties mesh with infiltrated agents of the Anglo-American occupying forces at the highest levels of European governments, and, further, with controlled sections of the trade union movement (such as the French Force Ouvriere) to form the contemporary equivalent of the Vichy government's collaborators with Hitler.

This much is confirmed as an appropriate subject for honest investigation by politicians and journalists alike by current press attempts, in especially Britain and France, to dismantle the international terror ring led by that elusive Venezuelan "Carlos." The bulk of press accounts describing the present international so-called hunt for "Carlos," as well as of the incident which triggered that hunt, may well be lies, or at best a mixture of lies and half-truths. That is not the point. Leads offered by the press, even in

its psywar attempts to disguise what is really going on, cohere sufficiently with past events and with materials already in Labor Committee intelligence files to open up four primary areas of investigation, each of which would alone be enough to bring down the government figures referenced above.

The avenues to pursue such an investigation already exist. In France, according to UPI dispatches of July 6, two women, Amparo Silva-Masmela, a 29-year old Colombian and Angela Armstrong, a 29-year old resident of the United Kingdom, have been arrested by the French External Security Special Court and accused of belonging to an "international terrorist group." This court deals only with foreign threats to French security. The women are charged with "possession of arms and plotting with agents of a foreign power to affect the military and diplomatic situation of France." In West Germany, similarly, the long-delayed opening of the notorious Baader-Meinhof show trial provides similar opportunities for thorough-going investigative work. If that is inadequate, enough information has come to light during the course of the "Carlos" caper to suggest that the Dutch government would be advised to reopen investigations of the Sept. 13, 1974 attack on the French Embassy in The Hague, by apparent members of the Japanese Red Army, while the British would be well-advised to begin a general house cleaning.

The four areas which could properly be investigated through such channels are:

1. The precise circumstances surrounding the murders of DST agents Raymond Dous and Jean Donatini during the incident at the Rue Toulhier on June 27 — the "Carlos affair."

2. The bombings of three Paris newspapers, L'Aurore, Minute, and L'Arche, by the so-called Mohammed Boudia Commando on Aug. 2 and 3, 1974. The Japanese Red Army attack on the French Embassy in The Hague on Sept. 13, 1974. The bomb attack on Le Drugstore, a chic hangout on the Blvd. St. Germain in Paris, on Sept. 15, 1974. A bazooka attack on an El Al airliner at Orly airport on Jan. 13, 1975.

3. The reported relationship between "Carlos" and two citizens of West Germany, Wilfred Boese (alias Excel Claudius) and John Winrich, who allegedly are related to the theft of munitions by the Baader-Meinhof gang from a U.S. base at Mesau in West Germany.

4. The relationship of the Tavistock Institute in London and its various military and civilian offshoots to a variety of Western European university campuses and academics, and to various Trotskyist and Maoist groups which have been spawned on such campuses for purposes of providing cover for the kind of activities which are laid at "Carlos" door.

The "Carlos Affair"

The current press cover-up of what would otherwise be revealed by honest investigation of those

four areas was triggered by the reported shoot-out in the Rue Toullier in Paris on June 27. According to early press accounts two DST agents and their Lebanese informer were killed, their DST supervisor Inspector Herrantz wounded when the Lebanese Moubarkel was introduced into the apartment where "Carlos" was staying. Present investigations of the ramifications of the incident, according to highly placed French sources, are being directed from the office of the French Minister of the Interior himself with the assistance of the CIA, Interpol, the Israeli Shin Beth, the British MI5, and Scotland Yard's Special Branch and Bomb Squad. Two DST agents have been dispatched to London, and from there investigations are being led and coordinated by British counter-espionage experts.

As will become clear, such a high level of reported deployment is in fact designed to stop investigations rather than further them. Already, for example, all layers of French society are calling for the removal of Poniatowski from his government position because of his recent provocative behavior. Clearly the involvement of his own office in the development of this affair is a strictly defensive-maneuver.

Before we move on to an analysis of the four areas which demand further investigation, it is important to establish just what follows from press portraits of "Carlos." Press spokesman for British Security Services have definitively identified "Carlos" as 25-year old Illich Ramirez Sanchez. According to UPI dispatches of July 6, "Carlos" was educated at the London School of Economics, long an outlet for the Tavistock-inspired counter-insurgency nostrums for the control of the Rockefeller empire's subject populations. The LSE is presently directed by Ralf Dahrendorf, member of David Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission, who was assigned at last December's Washington meeting of that Commission to collaborate with Elliot Richardson, present U.S. ambassador to London and also a Trilateral agent and prime mover of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in the production of final blueprints for David Rockefeller's so-called "Renovated Internal System."

It was reportedly at the LSE that "Carlos" turned from Soviet orthodoxy, joining a Maoist or Trotskyist police gang. He dedicated himself to a study of guerilla tactics, according to UPI.

Since it is common knowledge on both sides of the Atlantic that study of guerilla organizations and tactics in Britain is nothing but a cover for the activities of military intelligence, it follows that "Carlos'" political studies were lessons in the counter-insurgency techniques developed by British Brigadier Frank Kitson, the operative who bears prime responsibility for the present horrors perpetrated against the enslaved population of Northern Ireland, whether Catholic or Protestant. The plethora of identification documents attributed to "Carlos" in both Paris and London, including U.S., Chilean, Venezuelan, Peruvian, Brazilian, and Kuwaiti papers, corroborates "Carlos'" status as an agent of the Rockefeller-controlled Anglo-American occupying forces of Europe.

The fact that in 1970 "Carlos" was thrown out of Moscow, where he was "studying," as an "anti-Soviet provocateur" should come as no surprise.

With "Carlos'" identity as an Anglo-American agent established, two things follow. First, the "foreign power" that his collaborator Angela Armstrong is accused of working for is nothing less than the Rockefeller's Anglo-American establishment, the very establishment which is presently wrecking French security by destroying the European economy and attempting to provoke nuclear war. Second, French Interior Minister Poniatowski has to be fully involved.

Indeed, the involvement of Poniatowski must be investigated as a major priority if the shooting at the Rue Toullier is to be understood. Such a line of investigation would clarify who "Carlos" is, if he is still alive. There is every reason to suspect that the DST agents who were shot at the Rue Toullier were done away with because they had uncovered an operation whose exposure would have compromised Poniatowski himself. It is evident that those agents went to "Carlos'" apartment that evening unsuspecting of any potential danger. They were unarmed. They did not stake out the apartment. They knew "Carlos" already. They were expecting to finish their business rapidly and get home. In short, they were set up. A freak-out two weeks earlier by the Deputy Chief of the SUBAC, the subversive action section of the DST, confirms this; M. Canoet was committed to a mental hospital after he began to reveal that he had uncovered a conspiracy, that he was surrounded by spies, that he did not know why he had jumped into such a mess. Only such a hypothesis could explain why enquiries in Paris are handled directly out of the Interior Minister's office, and why no police officer believes the official version of events.

More than that, Poniatowski would be held responsible for all the bombing outrages listed under the second of our subjects for investigation. Anglo-American agent "Carlos" is definitely linked to all six of those attacks. Hence, Poniatowski and his superiors, the American occupying agencies, can in turn be held responsible for the destruction of life and property perpetrated by their agent.

Yet Carlos is not the only common denominator of those attacks. In three of the cases the explosives involved were reportedly stolen from a U.S. base at Meslau, West Germany by members of the Baader-Meinhof gang. This in turn indicates collaboration between and among the U.S., British, French, Dutch and West German governments and their agencies, including the agencies which control those governments, to ensure that the policy objectives of the bombing attacks were achieved and that all tracks were covered. On this point, it should be remembered that last summer's French terrorist attacks were the pretext for Poniatowski's massive Operation Coup de Poing (Clenched Fist), a series of huge seal-and-search and related police maneuvers against the French population, and the cover for tightening international "command and control" over police operations. Without such government-level collaboration, how could a CIA-terrorist have been allowed to run around Paris setting up ex-

plosions, to commute between Paris and the Hague to set up a terrorist attack, all with weapons procured in West Germany from a U.S. NATO base?

Government-level involvement is further indicated by the very composition of the DST squad which was sent to the Rue Toullier on June 27. The team was headed up by Inspector Herrantz, an expert in international terrorism; his two subordinates were reportedly experts on internal French separatist movements. This division of labor merely reflects the DST's own understanding that there is no practical distinction between the two kinds of groups — appellations are merely provided to fit the circumstances. Thus "Carlos," who collaborated with Baader-Meinhof members and set up bombing attacks under the cover of Palestinian and Japanese groups, lived in an apartment rented by a supporter of Belgian Trotskyist Ernest Mandel's Ligue Communiste, which in turn provides cover for separatist Basque, Breton, and other forms of domestic terror activity in France.

It is the third area for investigation which provides the firmest leads so far. Two citizens of the Federal Republic of West Germany, Johannes Weinrich and Wilfried Boese, are reported to have been close to both "Carlos" and the Lebanese informer Michel Moubarkel. Weinrich, arrested as a member of the Baader-Meinhof gang, was reportedly the person who hired the car which was used in the rocket attack on Orly airport on Jan. 13, 1975. Boese, known to the DST as a collaborator of "Carlos," was apparently arrested on charges of using falsified identity papers, and deported from France shortly before the June 27 shoot out. Both reportedly collaborate in the publication of a Frankfurt-am-Main based Maoist newspaper calling itself the Red Star.

Despite the best efforts of the French and British press, which have made hay reporting massive seal and search operations, hundreds of arrests, seizures of arms supplies in West Germany, there is no evidence yet to show that West German officials have moved in any way on the real leads provided them in this case. This points to a simple truth. Boese and Weinrich, the West German Maoists, are themselves police agents whose assignments on an international level are co-ordinated by the CIA and NATO military intelligence, in collaboration with French and other government agencies.

Public accounts of the activities of Boese and Weinrich testify to the fact that the European Maoist movement, like the Maoist movement in the United States, is nothing but a cover for the criminal activities of intelligence, police, and other governmental and supra-governmental agencies. Such activities include, as in this case, the logistics of terrorism, gun-running, and explosives supplies. It is already public knowledge in West Germany that the plethora of Maoist groups which infect that country were beefed up during the 1967-69 period by an influx of ex-Bundeswehr soldiers into leadership positions. It is also public knowledge that these operations formed a pool from which to select victims for such NATO brainwashing enterprises as the

NATO-financed Deutsche Forschungs Gemeinschaft which specializes in studies of stress and violence and is associated with the University of Hamburg-Eppendorf. It is also public knowledge that, as in the case of the Socialist Patients Collective in Heidelberg, such formations are the basis for recruitment into Baader-Meinhof and other terrorist outfits and hence into deployment in operations such as the recent attack on the West German embassy in Stockholm.

The case of Weinrich and Boese provides the leverage with which to begin to clear up that whole mess, but that is only at the level of the scummy operatives who do the leg-work. These two also provide a point of entry into the fourth area of enquiry, policy and planning divisions of the occupation army through the offshoots of the Tavistock Institute in London. Daniel Cohn-Bendit, notorious French Maoist, is associated with Boese and Weinrich in the publication of "Red Star." Danny the Red, infamous for his infantile antics during the French May events of 1968, is a graduate of the sociology faculty of the University of Nanterre in Paris. While there he studied under not only the two founders of French Maoism, Tourraine and Geismar, but also under Michel Crozier, the leading Tavistock agent in France.

While NATO's control of Maoists, police agencies, and government alike on behalf of the Rockefeller Anglo-American establishment would be self-evident to any thinking European, Tavistock's prime function is not. As the career of Michel Crozier exemplifies, Tavistock prepares profiles of populations and interest groups in order to predict and, so the theory goes, control the behavior of these populations and groups. Crozier's work on the French administration, his profile of General de Gaulle and the Gaullist movement, are exemplary in this regard. Such profiles then form the basis for policy deliberations at top levels of government and NATO, and are implemented through the kind of "combined operations" we have indicated here.

Serious investigation of any of the four areas we have delineated will quickly reveal the spider's web of national and supranational military and police intelligence agencies behind the much-publicized international wave of terrorist crime. Sitting at the center of this web, are Rockefeller's psychological warfare strategists of the Tavistock Institute, deploying their terrorist gangs to help create a social environment in which Rockefeller can impose an open police state. Nothing less can credibly explain the revelations now being brought into the sunlight as a result of the "Carlos affair".

The immediate weak link in the entire set-up is the Maoist connection, the international deployment of brainwashed psychotics and witting agents to carry out the criminal assignments of their Tavistock controllers. In the U.S. as in Europe, the dismantling of this Maoist subnetwork by the working-class forces will not only deprive Tavistock, NATO, et al. of deployable troops, but will threaten to bring down the whole house of cards.