

ever, these, too, are known to be directly linked to Atlanticist intelligence circles.

In general, the stupidity of Communist parties can not be underestimated respecting their susceptibilities to providing rather credible cover for related operations of the Atlanticist political intelligence establishment. However, the probability that the Communist parties engender any blind-terrorist activities as **Communist parties** is nil.

As our attention turns to certain countries of the developing sector, the problem increases. I regret to say that there are some very credulous people in some of these countries, who will come close to embracing an Atlanticist-created blind-terrorist countergang on the general premise that one must not turn against even badly misguided "fighters for liberation."

Such peripheral complications exist, to a presently undetermined degree. However, with respect to the basic problem of "blind terrorism" itself, they are virtually irrelevant.

Social-democratic circles are more significant as political sites of covered aspects of the network behind the "blind terrorists" than any of the so-called extreme left groups, as the political histories of those prominently involved in the Sozialistische Politik "editorial board" then and later, indicates. Also trade-unions play a key, although often ingenious role in facilitating terrorism through their sponsorship of various "mental health programs."

Expose Of Raskin, Institute For Policy Studies Will Rock Europe

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.
U.S. Labor Party Presidential Candidate

WIESBADEN, W. Germany, May 26 (IPS) — The ongoing exposure of the Rockefeller-backed Institute for Policy Studies, an exposure currently erupting around the U.S. Congress, will most probably have far deeper and broader impact upon European governments, leading political parties, and complicit publishing organizations than all of the preceding "CIA revelations" combined.

The fact that the Marcus Raskin-led and Rockefeller-backed Institute has been directly linked to the creation of two major "blind terrorist" operations, and the fact that Raskin's network implicates key European institutions in "black operations" activities, contain all the potential for the most devastating "spy scandal" in modern history.

The probable immediacy of the impact of these exposures is contributed by fears among high-placed security and political circles that a Raskin-linked project for disrupting the July 4 Philadelphia Bicentennial festivities might be a cover for an attempted assassination of President Gerald Ford or some similarly hideous enterprise.

In the midst of this growing general concern to get to the bottom of the Rockefeller-backed Raskin's activities before

July 4, there are other related developments pushing the case forward.

Since initial Labor Committee exposure of the evidence against Marcus Raskin, an escalating public brawl has broken out between two of the principal accomplices in the network, Marcus Raskin himself on the one side, and the implicated — also Rockefeller-linked — Rep. Larry McDonald (D-Ga). In efforts of each to protect his own hide from the ongoing exposure, Raskin has denounced McDonald for "infiltrating" his network, and McDonald, echoed by some of his close factional collaborators, has begun to issue distorted additional exposure material on Raskin's activities.

Anyone in Europe with links to the two overlapping networks involved — either the Raskin network or the intermeshed IBM-linked network including the International Association of Chiefs of Police — should expect the repercussions of this exposure to reach his or her doorstep in the very near future.

A Private CIA

What is involved in this affair is the most massive network of "private" political intelligence and black operations.

Under the cover of the Institute for Policy Studies, McGeorge Bundy-associate Marcus Raskin, working closely with some of the top names of the U.S. National Security Council circles, has utilized the cover of an open "anarchoid-leftist" coopting-and-influencing operation to build up, through a global process of rapid refissioning and regrouping operations, a vast network of political intelligence, political influence, and outright black operations. This network is penetrating an enormous array of private and official institutions in many countries — including North America, South America, Western Europe, and Eastern Europe.

Overall, Raskin's network is a largescale, sodomy-flavored, gimmick-encumbered, degenerated parody of the Fabian Society.

This "left-cover" network directly interfaces another network, in which the IBM-linked International Association of Chiefs of Police is a center-piece. At the top of it all, in addition to the Rockefeller and Watson families, is a representative of the Rosenwald family (Sears-Roebuck) and a list as long as one's arm of the same private foundation conduits previously exposed as CIA-funding conduits in the 1967 National Student Association scandal.

Among the networks specifically interfaced by this Raskin-IACP combination are, in addition to Interpol and regular governmental agencies of several countries, the Atlanticist political intelligence networks based in the International Labor Organization-linked sections of the international trade-union bureaucracy, allied political intelligence networks operating under the cover of the international social democracy, and the Atlanticist networks among various communist parties. The U.S. Communist Party, in particular, is principally controlled, top-down, by Raskin's networks, and is linked to opposite numbers of similar connections within the West German Communist Party.

The Raskin network created and controls the Maoist organizations in the USA and interfaces the allied networks which aggregately created all of the various Maoist groups of Western Europe, an interface coordinated in part through the Amsterdam branch of the Institute for Policy Studies.

The extent of the Raskin network is merely symptomized by the fact that every known slander published by any mass-circulation or "left" publication in North America, Latin America, and both Eastern and Western Europe since mid-1973 has either been directly placed through the Raskin network or has been laundered directly through the Raskin network or has been laundered directly through some agent or dupe used as an intermediary. Furthermore, every known harassment operation conducted against the Labor Committees since 1968 in North America, Latin America, and Western Europe has either been directly conducted by the Raskin network or conducted as part of a coordinated operation under the policy direction of the Raskin network.

The running of anarchoid countergangs, deploying "blind terrorist" gangs, and black harassment operations implicating major publishing organizations and official governmental agencies are by no means the scope of Raskin's network. Taking the intermeshed networks represented by Raskin and the International Association of Chiefs of Police and the private intelligence networks run by multinational corporations (directly interfaced with the IACP generally), the total is a vast, private political intelligence and black operations network, with Watson and the Rockefeller brothers at the top, which, through its interface with governmental agencies, has the capability to manipulate world affairs to a far greater degree than the President of the United States.

Marcus Raskin represents currently the vulnerable exposed flank of that entire private political intelligence network. Pull apart the Raskin-headed networks, and the rest can easily be exposed and dismantled.

How It Was Exposed

Evidently, McGeorge Bundy and Marcus Raskin overlooked a key observation contributed by General Grivas during a Rand Corporation seminar of a decade ago. It is the same principle which the CIA violated in the Bay of Pigs and in Vietnam. According to the doctrine, to run an effective covert operation, the forces deployed should be limited and the operation should be of brief duration.

Any covert operation launched on a massive scale and maintained over a period of years will potentially backfire. As Grivas proposed, oversaturation turns the controllers into targets. That is the general nature of the fatal blunder Rockefeller's forces committed in their black operations against the Labor Committees. It is that blunder which most directly threatens to trigger their downfall now.

The Bundy-Raskin political containment-destabilization operations against the Labor Committees were begun eight years ago during early May 1968. According to official Justice Department disclosures, the U.S. Department of Justice was being deployed to assist Bundy and Raskin in these black operations by the fall of 1968. From the beginning the operation was escalated.

The first major escalation occurred at the beginning of 1973 and involved the same Raskin associate heading up the projected July 4 madness for Philadelphia, Ed Schwartz, an admitted "witting accomplice" of the CIA in the National Student Association scandal. In that instance, the Communist Party USA wittingly deployed itself, under the public direction of the known CIA accomplice and publicly professed "philosophical fascist," in an effort to organize a race riot in North Philadelphia and to foment race riots in

other U.S. cities for the stated purpose of attempting to destroy the Labor Committees.

It was at that time that Raskin's attacks against the Labor Committees began to go wild.

The Konstantin George case, in which Raskin's collaborators attempted to implicate the German Democratic Republic in a drugging and attempted brainwashing of a leading member, was only a prelude to the Chris White case. The bare details of the White case indicate the nature of Raskin's operations.

The White Case

Immediately prior to the incidents of late December 1973, Raskin and his associates had launched a major destabilization campaign against the Labor Committees. This involved the recruitment of several emotionally disturbed individuals into a standard gray operations nag group, to which the shameless controllers had the unabashed temerity to attach the acronym-name of — NAG! Two Raskin conduits, left rags, signaled a major slander campaign. At this point Chris White was about to board a plane from London to New York.

The bare facts of the White case speak for themselves.

On the plane were two individuals later identified to have been registered for the flight as "Mr. Paul Walsh" and "Mrs. Schroeder." The plane developed engine trouble before clearing the British Isles — circumstantial evidence conclusively proves that the plane was never intended to complete that flight. However, to the later-reported astonishment of the pilot, instead of following standard procedure and landing at the available relevant airport, the plane was ordered back to London.

Returned to London, all but three of the plane's passengers were directly forwarded to Heathrow for another flight. The three exceptions were White, and "Mr. Paul Walsh" and "Mrs. Schroeder." Those three were sent to a hotel some distance away. This is another curious feature of the case, since that particular hotel is reportedly not regularly used by that airline for holdover passengers and the more nearby hotels usually used had adequate vacancies at that time.

The three were placed in adjacent rooms, ostensibly to wait for a new flight the following day. This social situation afforded "Paul Walsh" the means to place a powerful, long-lasting psychotropic drug in White's tea, and so other developments ensued.

Subsequently, through investigations by a leading British investigative journalist and by Labor Committee members, those and the additional following facts were established. First, that "Mr. Paul Walsh" and "Mrs. Schroeder" had been booked on the flight and at the hotel in rooms adjoining White's. The pilot of the craft was interviewed. White's description of the pair was proved to conform to the descriptions of two known MI-5 operatives of the same names.

By the time White reached the USA, a major operation linked to Raskin circles was in operation, including complicity by Nat Hentoff of the Village Voice and the publishers of the New York Times. Although both the Voice and the Times published falsified, slander pieces against the Labor Committees, virtually none of the other press dared to touch the case, except to echo the Times' lies — according to one editor, on orders from the CIA.

This operation was directly linked, in key personnel, to a later Raskin-linked operation involving agent and Raskin associate Fred Newman.

Major slander operations in mass-circulation media in Europe, most notably Sweden and Denmark but also the Frankfurter Rundschau, Süddeutsche Zeitung, and some major Italian press, were directly or one-step-removed placed by Raskin's network. This was in addition to slanders by publications directly linked with Raskin's network, such as Berlin Extradienst, the Frankfurt area Informationsdienst, Nouvel Observateur, Paris Liberation, Unsere Zeit and other assorted rags.

The level of official and other major agencies directly complicit in the White case — high-level British organizations placed at risk in an operation intended principally to complement a Raskin-directed U.S. affair — the use of the New York Times to conduit slanders as part of the same campaign, the reported (but credibly reported) statement by a leading editor that the CIA was controlling news coverage policy on the Labor Committees, and so forth, are exemplary of the way in which Raskin's filthy network is able to manipulate governmental and other agencies with apparent ease.

What Broke The Case

It was persistent investigation of each detail of principally the anti-Labor Committee operations which accumulated the dossier finally leading — inevitably — to uncovering this network. The final break in the investigation occurred as a result of three interconnected developments.

The first is a federal lawsuit, in New York's Southern District court, LaRouche vs. Nelson A. Rockefeller, involving illegal activities by Rockefeller during his New York State governorship, a case which, incidentally, provides full grounds for Rockefeller's impeachment. The second was a letter from Rep. Larry McDonald to the Labor Committees, stating that he has assembled a core of 50 congressmen — known as the "filthy 50" — to sign a letter to Congress demanding a full investigation of alleged KGB infiltration of Capitol Hill. Last year, McDonald entered a statement into

the Congressional Record that the Labor Committees were "East German agents." The third development was the same filthy McDonald's unconscionable public letter in support of the present Rockefeller efforts to mobilize a right-wing coup against the Mexican government of President Luis Echeverria.

In consequence of these three combined developments, the appearance of Rep. McDonald's name as complicit in the Rockefeller illegal operation prompted the Labor Committees to pursue legal discovery concerning McDonald's detailed connections to the New York case.

In consequence of that legal discovery, several highly important — and extremely odorous — features of the Rockefeller-linked McDonald were brought to light, including the link between Raskin's networks and the IACP.

The significance of that discovery was as follows.

Labor Committee security files had shown two attributable groups of private agencies behind the total pattern of illegal harassments: One track on private organizations led through IBM and the IACP, while another track led to a group of operations of generally anarchoid cover, in which Raskin had been seen as one included important element. Cumulative proof that the two networks were wittingly interfaced, the McDonald evidence, and further information from Raskin's own published documents solved the investigation. All the major pieces from eight years of accumulated investigations came together and crosschecked.

Now, barring the immediate declaration of general thermonuclear war or the sudden imposition of police state rule by Kissinger et al., the House of Rockefeller must fall.

A lot of men and women, faced now with the early prospect of broken careers for reason of their complicity in this network, will zealously wish that Rockefeller had heeded the advice of General Grivas, and had left the Labor Committees alone.