

## Never Trust A Liberal

# The Fabian Conspiracy Against Congress And The Democratic Party

The insurrection against the U.S. Congress which has been billowing across the pages of the National Security Council-controlled press is the work of a group of "liberals" and "radicals" who, at Wall Street's orders, have been eating away at Constitutional democracy for the last two decades. Marcus Raskin, Arthur Waskow, and the other worms who formed the Institute for Policy Studies in 1963 are responsible for every major atrocity perpetrated against Congress, against the Democratic Party, and — in concert with the National Security Council — against the Office of the Presidency since the late 1950s.

Wall Street and its Institute agents have come very near to succeeding. The Democratic Party is close to nominating a synthetic, Rockefeller-groomed peanut farmer as its Presidential candidate, a coup in the making since 1964. At that time the Institute began a covert campaign to destroy the traditional machine base of the Party built on local organizations such as Mayor Daley's Chicago machine, by throwing a series of synthetic liberal, radical, and terrorist counter-gangs against it. Already sickened by these past disruptions, 30 per cent of the Democratic Party's labor and civil rights base took one look at Carter and stayed home in the spring primaries in industrial strongholds such as Michigan and Pennsylvania.

Congress, within the past week, has partially capitulated in the face of the same insurgency. In the wake of the sex exposés and entrapments, orchestrated by the National Security Council, the liberal "reform" Congressmen carefully emplaced in Congress by the Institute and its fore-runners have come slithering out of the woodwork, demanding that Congress reform itself into a rubber stamp for Wall Street's fascist policies. Every important Congressman leading the current orgy of reform — Obey, O'Neill, Bollings, Thompson, etc. — is a long-standing member of the Institute conspiracy.

Deployed by the National Security Council in tandem with the rest of Wall Street's private intelligence networks and agencies such as the Brookings Institution, the Ford Foundation, and various press, the Institute has imported the methods of decayed British Imperialism's Fabian Society to twist, subvert and increasingly — attempt to destroy the workings of American democracy.

### **American Fabianism**

In 1960, Marcus Raskin and Arthur Waskow published a post-mortem on a short-lived formation known as the Congressional Liberal Project, in which they and a dozen Congressmen launched the conspiracy to destroy Constitutional democracy. They described their activities as follows:

*"There never has been in American life anything comparable to the Fabian Society. Woodrow Wilson and Franklin Roosevelt gathered around them an ad hoc team of advisors ... but (did not) create the ethos or basis for interpreting the relation between specific pragmatic measures and over-all social and political change .. Washington, D.C. is still a city dominated by middle-level civil servants, the military, and the lobbyists, and not a city tied in to avant garde ideas in*

*American society. Several State governments have been in this respect somewhat less badly off in recent history; (in particular) Wisconsin and Minnesota...*

*"In the Congressional elections of the fall of 1958, however, a group of Democrats were elected to the House of Representatives who have banded together as the Liberal Project to change the state of affairs..."*

Fabianism is a particular disease of British imperialism, transmitted to the United States by Anglo-American finance capitalist carriers. As Beatrice Webb, founder of the British Fabians, described in her diary, Fabianism then was a bankers' conspiracy to permeate and penetrate the two traditional parties in Britain — the Liberal Party and the Tories. From their left-cover base within the Liberal Party and later the Labour Party, the Fabians were able to hamstring the European working class through infiltration and in some cases takeover of major sections of the Social Democratic and left-anarchoid movements.

As the Liberal Projecteers point out, the attempts of American finance capitalists (the Morgans, Warburgs, Rockefellers, etc.) to spread the Fabian disease in epidemic proportions in the United States were not successful during the first half of the 20th century. Though Wilson and FDR turned policy-making in their Administrations over to a Fabian-like gaggle of Wall Street bankers and their domesticated academics from Harvard, Yale, Princeton and Columbia, the total penetration and capture of constituency-based institutions — the Congress and the Democratic Party — was never accomplished.

The onset of the 1957-58 recession, dimly perceived by Wall Street as the beginning of a conjunctural crisis for the Dollar Empire, demanded a renewed, intensified attempt at Fabian penetration of the Congress and the Democratic Party.

The Liberal Project, founded in 1958 by then-Congressional aides Marcus Raskin and Arthur Waskow, was the seed crystal of this conspiracy. Five years later, the same two institutionalized the Project with the founding of the Institute for Policy Studies. Raskin and Waskow worked out of the office of Wisconsin Democrat Rep. Robert Kastenmeier, and under the direction of banker James Warburg, Wall Street's long-time controller of Fabian left-penetration operations. Besides Kastenmeier, the other Congressional members of the Liberal Project were Jimmy Roosevelt, Wall Street Democrat and later Assistant Secretary of State Chester Bowles, lawyer Charles Porter, now-deceased Byron Johnson, and others whose names have conveniently slipped from the memories of the original participants. In true Fabian form, the Project included academics, such as Harvard's fascist academic David Reissman, "public servants" such as Warburg, and unnamed newspapermen.

The goals of the Project were to "redefine" the policies of the Democratic Party and to restructure the Party into a willing vehicle for Wall Street's policies of international fascist domination. Internationally, this meant a strategy to bludgeon the Soviets into capitulation before Wall Street's onslaught. The Liberal Project defined this strategy on two levels. Principally, the Liberal Project (and later the Insti-

tute) waged an 18-year campaign to insinuate the Rockefeller-“utopian” strategic doctrine of counterforce or “limited” nuclear war into U.S. strategic thinking and into the Democratic Party. This doctrine, first introduced by Henry Kissinger in 1957, is the clinically insane policy of attempting to force a Soviet backdown in the face of a “limited” nuclear attack — the policy Henry Kissinger is trying to force today in the Middle East. Because traditional military men in the U.S. know that the Soviets reject limited nuclear war and adhere to “mutually assured destruction,” as do they, the Fabians have had a running deployment against such military traditionalists.

Secondarily, the Liberal Project Fabians, echoing their British forebearers’ operations against the young Soviet Republic, used the demand for recognition of Cuba and China to launch major espionage penetrations into those countries and, in the case of China, exacerbate the Sino-Soviet split. With Cuba, Fabian “support” for Castro has been a major capability in linking Cuba to Institute-spawned terrorism.

Domestically, the Liberal Project set out to destroy the working class, its institutions and its ability to resist Nazi economic policies. The writings of the Liberal Project reek of the putrefaction of decaying British imperialism and its hatred of progress. They despise those “to whom being American means being a pioneer — a trail blazer and a producer,” means having “new frontiers.” According to the Fabians’ scenario, the “producers” — the working class and the business-oriented middle class — must be replaced by a New Class of administrators, intellectuals, the Under Class (the increasing pool of unemployed minorities and poor), and “enlightened patricians.” The New Class is to oversee the transformation of society into non-materialist, “religious,” locally controlled community work-places — fascist, austerity-crushed hellholes. Should the working class resist, Waskow himself warns, there will be “civil war.”

The immediate targets in this covert civil war were Congress and the Democratic Party.

#### The Fabian Networks

In 1958, the newly formed Liberal Project intersected and gave direction to nascent Fabian formations in both the Senate and the House. The core agents came from the Fabian spawning grounds which the Liberal Project was to later write so appreciatively about — Wisconsin and Minnesota. Rep. Kastenmeier, the Congressional father of the Liberal Project, and Waskow both crawled out of the Wisconsin swamp.

Penetration and permeation of the dominant Democratic wing of the Senate (except for the Southerners) was not a difficult task for Wall Street — they simply inserted their agents. The smaller size of the institution, and the further distance of the Senators from their constituents because of state-wide voting and six-year terms, allows an agent like William Proxmire (D-Wisc.) to brazenly state in his autobiography,

*“Unlike most elected officials, I was anything but a natural people’s choice. My constituents could not possibly consider me as one of them. From the beginning I was an outsider. I carpetbagged into Wisconsin...I had degrees from Harvard and Yale...My last employment had been not just on Wall Street, but with J.P. Morgan and Co. My wife was Elsie Rockefeller at a time ...when the name Rockefeller meant corporate cruelty at its meanest...How do you like that for a ‘liberal Democrat’.”*

Proxmire’s wretched boast was then shared by that great whore Hubert Humphrey of Minnesota, by OSS-agent Frank Church, and in 1958 by Minnesota’s Eugene McCarthy. Since

then, the Senate ranks have been swelled by such scum as Sen. Dick Clark of Iowa, who probably received personal training from arch-Tavistock brainwasher Kurt Lewin at the University of Iowa before going on to the British-Fabian-linked Frankfurt Institute; Sen. Gary Hart (Colo.), George McGovern’s campaign manager in the 1972 wrecking operation; Sen. Floyd Haskell (Colo.), who was Nelson Rockefeller’s Colorado campaign manager before “converting” to the Democratic Party; Sen. Alan Cranston (Cal), founder of the CIA-run and Warburg-funded World Federalist movement.

But, the House of Representatives has always represented a tougher problem and, as such, has been targeted more vigorously by the Institute conspiracy.

The problem became acute in 1956, when Wall Street moved to tighten control of the Democratic Party hierarchy with the formation of the Democratic Advisory Council. The DAC, composed of such “Democrats” as “utopian” Paul Nitze, Nazi economist John Kenneth Galbraith, Humphrey-Hawkins bill author Leon Keyserling, and bankers Henry Fowler, Hans Morgenthau, and Herbert Lehman, immediately ran into trouble with the “Congressional wing” of the party, as they defined it. Lyndon Johnson warned that he didn’t like the idea of “appointive professional politicians supervising the policies of democratically elected Congressmen” and others warned that the DAC was acting like a “shadow government” and an “extra-legal liberal cabal.”

To deal with this Congressional resistance to Wall Street, Rep. Eugene McCarthy — an intelligence agent during World War II and a Humphrey protégé — founded a gang of “liberal” Congressmen known as McCarthy’s Marauders and later institutionalized as the Democratic Study Group, the DSG. The DSG was immediately consolidated under Liberal Project control when the latter was founded a year later by Representatives Chester Bowles and Jimmy Roosevelt, who were in both groupings. The members of the DSG have for 20 years taken a wrench to the institutions of the House. Each of the principal actors in today’s House-wrecking reform operation was a founding member of the DSG:

\*David Obey (D-Wis) is the author of the just-passed “reform” proposals which mandate the once-powerful House Administration Committee out of existence and which has set up a Fabian self-policing apparatus for Congress, including non-Congressmen.

\*Frank Thompson (D-NJ) has just replaced the “Elizabeth Rayed” Wayne Hays as head of the House Administration Committee.

\*Tip O’Neill (D-Mass) is scheduled to replace Carl Albert as Speaker of the House — a position greatly enhanced by the reformers. O’Neill assumed his current position as House Majority leader after traditionalist Hale Boggs was murdered in an Alaskan plane crash.

\*Richard Bolling (D-Mo) has led the House “reform movement” throughout the 1960s and 1970s, which has gradually eroded the power of tradition committee chairmen and old-liners.

\*Henry Reuss (D-Wis), who earned his Wall Street credentials collecting the bankers’ debts in the Occupation Government in Berlin and in the ‘Marshall Plan’, replaced long-time Wall Street foe Wright Patman as head of the Banking Committee after Bolling reforms gave the insurgents more power.

#### The Fabian Conspiracy

The Liberal Project itself died in 1960 when half of its members were politely removed from positions of potential harm

by their constituents. Policy direction for the DSG and the Senatorial networks shifted straight into the national security apparatus of the Kennedy Administration. Marcus Raskin served on the National Security Council special staff under McGeorge Bundy (later President of the Ford Foundation). Numbers of future Institute Fellows went into the newly formed Arms Control and Disarmament Agency created by Rockefeller banker and henchman John J. McCloy and old friend James Warburg. Others polluted the State Department and other top-security-clearance positions. Many retain that clearance today.

Yet despite this vast deployment, actual command and control of domestic institutions was not proceeding apace with the disintegration of the world economy. In 1963, Wall Street was forced to qualitatively intensify its conspiracy against domestic institutions. Increasingly Wall Street's vast, Cold-War-nurtured intelligence networks, public and private, were set loose to destroy whatever domestic institutions stood in the way. Besides the assassination of John Kennedy, the formation of the Institute for Policy Studies was the most obvious manifestation of this policy shift.

The Institute's earliest assignment was the destruction of the Democratic Party. That task accomplished, Congress was expected to succumb to the Fabian insurgency operating within. This is clear from the Institute deployments between 1964 and 1968. While a tremendous amount of policy direction for Congress came from the Institute in this period, the no-holds-barred wrecking operation couldn't commence until 1968, after the Democratic Party itself was severely weakened by the Chicago convention events.

Between 1964 and 1968, the Institute held regular seminars for Congressmen and Congressional assistants on strategic topics such as "Defense and Disarmament," where utopian strategies were fostered, "Impact of the Vietnam War on American Society," "The Corporate Economy," where fascist corporatism was mapped out, and "New Trends in Public Policy," attended by five Congressmen (now out of office) including Rep. Robert Ellsworth — now Assistant Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Affairs.

A 1964 Seminar on Civil Rights under the direction of Arthur Waskow provides the clearest example of the dual conspiracy operating within Congress and the Democratic Party launched by these plotters. Attending the Seminar were Congressmen Robert Kastenmeier and Augustus Hawkins (D-Calif.), Stokely Carmichael of the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee (SNCC), itself an Institute creation, government officials from the Civil Rights Commission, the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, the Committee on Equal Employment, and the Urban Renewal Commission. Out of the Seminar were launched the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and the Mississippi Freedom Democratic Party began the attacks on the "racist" regular Democratic Party machine.

The 1964 Civil Rights Act, by and large merely a restatement of already existing code, was transformed into a vehicle of counterinsurgency. Two members of the House Judiciary Committee, Kastenmeier and member of the original Liberal Project Byron Johnson, "toughened" the legislation up so much that even then-Attorney General Robert Kennedy, who tore up civil liberties as well as the next guy, choked on the amendments, warning that they set a precedent for a "national police force." One of these amendments gave the Justice Department the right to take "preventive action" to "protect" the civil rights of a citizen; others set up the Equal Employment Opportunities Com-

mission and set the "affirmative action" union-busting apparatus in motion.

### Convention-Wrecking

The organizers for the Mississippi Freedom Democratic Party (MFDP) were deployed by the Institute and included SDS-founder Tom Hayden, later architect of the McCarthy campaign Allard Lowenstein, top labor agent Staughton Lynd, and House Judiciary Committee impeachment prosecutor-to-be John Doar. The MFDP was given the go-ahead to challenge the credentials of the Democratic Party's regular Mississippi delegation by notorious Fabian agent and then UAW-attorney Joe Rauh. Walter Reuther gave his blessing (and probably his union's money) at a meeting with Rauh, the MFDP, SNCC and SDS. Up at Institute headquarters, Waskow gloated that "the stage had been set for a major confrontation between orderly politics and the politics of creative disorder."

The disorder was pulled off at the convention with the complicity of the media, with Carmichael and SNCC outside demonstrating on the boardwalk and with Kastenmeier inside, on the Credentials Committee of the Convention, prodding the insurgency forward there. While the regular Mississippi delegation remained seated, and visible damage to Lyndon Johnson's landslide victory was minimal, the press trumpeted about "racism" vs. the new politics in the Democratic Party. It was a short jump to 1968.

But the jump was well prepared for by the Fabians. In 1967 and early 1968, the Institute swung into an orgy of seminars which, exploiting the counterinsurgent Great Society legislation which the Congressional agents had shaped, laid the basis for a "new politics" assault on the traditional Democratic machine. Seminar topics included "The New South," "Local Government," "Neighborhood Economy," "Community Development," and "Regional Development," which set the counterinsurgency machines in motion against urban and southern Democratic machines. "Issues" seminars on the Cold War and Vietnam whipped up the frenzy for the fight. All the while, the section of an entire generation of youth which had been turned into counterculture proto-fascists, drug-ridden lumpens, and New Left terrorists was mobilized and funded by the Institute to be used as shock troops against the Democratic Party.

Twenty years of counterinsurgency culminated at the 1968 Chicago convention. The Democratic Party was torn apart by a "fight" between Fabian McCarthy and Fabian Humphrey. The McCarthy campaign was one of the vilest amalgamations in post-war history; besides McCarthy himself, at the top of the structure stood Allard Lowenstein, veteran of the MFDP and reportedly a CIA recruiter in the National Student Alliance. But even other NSA scum show Lowenstein brought in were not low enough for McCarthy. He replaced them with a just-retired CIA agent from Defense Secretary Clark Clifford's law firm, whose assistant was a career CIA man. This was the candidate the Institute-deployed disruptors rallied to!

While the Institute-funded, Waskow-conducted National Mobilization Committee to End the War rioted outside, while chaos reigned inside — highlighted by delegate Waskow's demand that the nomination vote be halted so that delegates could join the rioters — while Chicago Mayor Daley, head of one of the Democratic Party's stronghold urban machines, was branded a racist and a fascist, the regular Democrats looked on in horror and wondered what alien disease had gripped the Party.

It was not a disease — it was a conspiracy. In the wake of

the convention, it intensified in Congress. The Democratic Study Group brought in a new chief counsel — Richard Conlon, a newspaperman from the Humphrey Minnesota stable. In tandem with Conlon and the DSG, DSG member Bolling began to consolidate his assaults on the seniority structure and the committee system. A Special Committee was established with Bolling as chairman, which step by step passed key Fabian reforms. But again while the DSG increased its activity, it could not push through the final coup d'etat against Congress until the Democratic Party was furthered weakened.

The seeds for that operation were laid in 1968. Shaken by the chaos around them, the delegates at the 1968 convention had allowed several party reforms to pass which laid the basis for the final 1972 takeover — reform of the delegate selection process and of the platform process. The Delegate Reform Commission was headed by Fabian liberal Sen. **George McGovern**, who was replaced when he announced his candidacy by Humphrey crony Rep. Don Fraser of Minnesota — a member and chairman of the DSG. As the conspirators happily admitted, no one understood the new rules except McGovern! Exploiting this, various Fabian formations such as the residue of the McCarthy campaign (the New Democratic Coalition), the DSG, the Congressional Black Caucus, New South, and other “new politics” outlets captured significant delegate strength in the primaries. At the convention itself, the regular Democrats were massacred — the Daley delegation and other urban and southern delegations were unseated for failure to comply with the new Fabian-crafted rules.

The new “Democratic” platform proceedings allowed every splinter interest group to seize prime-time coverage and scream about the sell-out of women, poor people, In-

dians, blacks, gays, youth, the disabled, ad infinitum. The working class took one look and pulled the Republican lever in November.

#### **All-Front Assault**

Since then, the Institute conspiracy has crescendoed on all fronts. In 1973, a cross-section of Fabians — Paul Warnke, Rep. John Conyers of the Judiciary Committee, Ralph Nader, a United Auto Workers attorney, journalists, lawyers, and Institute creatures — met to discuss “Issues of Impeachment” and set in motion the “liberal” outrage necessary for the Watergating of President Nixon. (Nixon had been primed for the Watergating by his reaction to the release of the Pentagon Papers — itself the result of an Institute Seminar in 1970 with Daniel Ellsberg, New York Times reporter Leslie Gelb, Morton Halperin, and various Pentagon people and think tankers.)

By 1975, the Fabians had succeeded in a coup against four traditionalist Congressional Committee chairmen, most notably Patman of the Banking Committee (replaced by Reuss) and Wilbur Mills of the Ways and Means Committee, who wouldn't capitulate until Fanne Foxe was thrown into his lap and he was sent to Bethesda Naval Hospital for brain-washing.

Today, the conspiracy initiated in 1958 with a dozen Congressmen and the future founders of the Institute has totally exposed itself. Traditional Congressmen are in open battle with the Fabian reformers. A grotesque Wall Street creation is the almost certain nominee of the Democratic Party. Still unable to capture the American working class, despite 20 years of trying, the failed junior Fabians at the Institute for Policy Studies have no “persuasion” left but mass terrorist assaults against the population.