

Exclusive NSIPS Translation:

“Gunboat Strategists”

July 17 (NSIPS) — The following is the full text of an article appearing in the German Democratic Republic Army weekly journal Volksarmee, number 29-76. The article is signed Major H. Rabe.

This photo was taken on June 1, 1976 in Bonn. Two NATO admirals are displaying themselves in front of the world map. They are the Supreme commander of the U.S. Navy, Admiral James L. Holloway, and the inspector of the Navy of the Federal Republic of Germany, Vice-Admiral Guenther Luther. Their positions are striking: while the head of the U.S. Navy covers the Atlantic and Pacific, the Western sphere of operations of his fleet, his host is found in front of the Indian Ocean. As luck would have it, the photograph makes visible the long-term tendencies of imperialist naval strategy.

In the “Europa Archiv” of October 25, 1975, U.S. Admiral Worth H. Bagley demanded: “Traditional Western sea powers should deploy their armed forces beyond the formerly delimited spheres into other regions as well.” The Federal Republic of Germany ought to go beyond the limits of the North Sea and the Baltic. “Permanent new NATO naval fighting forces,” the author continues, formed from the units of the U.S.A., Great Britain, the Federal Republic of Germany, Canada, Norway and the Netherlands as well as the Southern European NATO states “must regularly cruise in the Mediterranean, in the Indian Ocean and in the South Atlantic.”

South Atlantic Squadrons

Admiral Bagley should know. Until July 1975 he was the deputy of Admiral Holloway and commanded the U.S. Sixth Fleet in the Mediterranean in the October War of 1973. His concept of the world’s oceans as the domicile of imperialist navies is supported by the plans of NATO headquarters to extend this war pact’s traditional sphere of operations southward beyond the Tropic of Cancer. The Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung had an exclusive report from NATO headquarters on Feb. 5, 1976 that a Southern Atlantic squadron, with the participation of the West German navy, was being prepared as “something perfectly natural.” According to the Social Democratic Party newspaper Vorwaerts of February 26, 1976, the commander of the North American Sixth Fleet, Admiral Turner, is inclined to further “stake out NATO’s horizons.”

This goal stimulates Bonn’s admirals to intervene worldwide as well, with full maintenance of the aggressive “Baltic mandate” of their fighting forces. The DPA news agency on February 13, 1975 quoted from the appearance of Vice-Admiral Hartwig, then head of the fleet, before the Naval Society in Kiel: “None of the Western powers is by itself in a position to oppose the Eastern challenge at sea.” Apart from the well-worn anti-communist pretext for imperialist naval armament, this is at least a new and utterly eager tone vis-a-vis the American senior partner, which is thinking of demanding more of its allies also on the seas.

On the offensive, the BRD offers imperialism its growing maritime potential for a continuation of the imperialist gunboat policy which in the future is to be supported by all of NATO. This is also clear from the BRD government’s military White Book 1975-76, where, among other things, it says of the “naval mission:” “Sea and air-sea forces are pre-eminently a means of political leadership for crisis management.” This does not only subsume the use of the fleet for political pressure. The explosiveness of this mission lies above all in the fact that, with its interventions around the world, imperialism seeks to “manage” crisis that it has itself previously provoked. Thus the Vietnam aggression in 1974 was broken with the ready lie that two U.S. destroyers deployed with

aggressive intent off the coast of the Democratic Republic of Vietnam had been “attacked.” Moreover, such a crisis-management passage was not contained in the naval section of the 1973-74 White Book.

“Crisis Management”

Among the most solid evidence that the mission of the West German navy has been expanded is the decision early this year to build six (later twelve) additional guided missile frigates of the “122” variety. Chiefly destined for aggression against the socialist community of states, these units are geared for worldwide adventures. Together with U.S. aircraft carriers and ships from other NATO countries, they are intended to threaten national liberation movements of Africa and Asia and act as partners of the South African racists. Their camouflage is “securing oil routes.”

This is the way the future joint action looks inside imperialist naval strategy. The BRD admiralty is angling for a share in the “big stick” to the self-appointed world-gendarme, the USA. And even before the new frigates are docked at Kiel, the naval officers’ corps is receiving instruction in “crisis management.” This is confirmed by a contribution in the Bundeswehr magazine *Truppenpraxis* April 1976, by Captain Werner Rahn, teaching staff officer for military history at the West German naval school in Flensburg-Muerwik. Rahn formulates the “perceptions” he accrued from the Nazi naval interventions into the national revolutionary war of the Spanish people in 1936-39. The occasion is the Spanish People’s Army attack on the fascist armored ship *Deutschland* on May 29, 1937 at Ibiza. He evaluates the “quasi-war experience” as “doubtless useful” but “too dearly bought,” for lack of greater battle-readiness.

“Similar Missions”

What the BRD admiralty is up to emerges clearly from Rahn’s “summary and conclusion.” There it bluntly states: “Today we must open ourselves to the thought that the larger units of our navy could suddenly be entrusted with similar missions in a crisis zone, which requires long deployment periods and in which untoward incidents cannot be excluded.”

“Similar missions” to the aid given by German fascism at the side of Franco in throttling the struggle for freedom of the Spanish people. It is with such provocative plans that the NATO horizon is to be “staked out further” and, in the words of Admiral Bagley, the “mobility” of NATO sea power increased.

In October 1973 Bagley learned at first hand that the U.S. Sixth Fleet no longer had the effective potential for supporting the Israeli aggressors that it still could mount during the 1967 aggression. The cause lay in the peace-securing mission of the Soviet fleet in the Mediterranean.

No NATO admiral can maneuver past the new correlation of forces on the world map, to say nothing of the ocean.

Stage Set For Olympic Terror

July 18 (NSIPS) — The Montreal Olympics began yesterday in an atmosphere of chaos with 25 primarily African countries withdrawing from the games. Swarms of “religious” zombie gangs have been allowed into the Olympic grounds in violation of every sound principle of security precautions.

For the past two weeks, racial and national tensions have dominated the Olympics, mirroring in microcosm Henry Kissinger’s instigation of brutal Thirty Years War-type destabilizations in South Africa and East Africa. Kicking off the Kissinger destabilization while preserving his progressive cover Maoist Canadian Premier Pierre Trudeau forced the withdrawal of Taiwan from the games over their use of the name, Republic of China.

Following this, the International Olympic Committee rejected the demand by 24 African and Mideast countries to exclude New Zealand for recently sending a rugby team to racist South Africa.

These tensions supply the context for a terrorist attack as outlined by Rockefeller insider Sen. Jacob Javits (R-NY) at the recent New York Ralph Bunche Institute Conference on Terrorism. Javits predicted an escalation of terrorist "surrogate warfare" attacks of targetted countries over racial minority and nationalist concerns.

Olympic Village: 1984 Concentration Camp

Under the pretext of protecting the Olympic athletes from terrorism, the entire Atlanticist international police apparatus including Interpol, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) and the NATO countries' intelligence services have turned the Olympic Village in Montreal into a nightmarish concentration camp, complete with hidden, closed-circuit television cameras and electronic listening devices placed everywhere within the village complex. Residents in the village and people in the surrounding area have been ordered to wear identification cards around their necks with pictures and ID number prominently displayed.

Deployed in this test run of Rockefeller's 1984 police state machinery will be a combined police-military force totalling 16,000 men. These forces will be in constant patrol of the Olympic village grounds, the stadium and the route in between. They will be guarding the underground walkways that lead from the village housing areas to the buses that take the athletes to the stadium, and

riding inside the buses with shotguns. Complementing the uniformed forces will be a mass of undercover spys from the various NATO security and intelligence services, mixing in the crowds, fully armed and alert for "terrorists."

Reesian Choice

In a recent article in the New York Times magazine, Gerald Clark, an editor of the Montreal Star, details the "security precautions" for the Montreal Olympics and concludes with an observation by "terrorist expert," Dr. Gustave Morf, that "because of these precautions an attempt by professional terrorists is unlikely." However, in a recent interview, Harry Brandes, RCMP liaison to the FBI in Washington D.C. and a member of Interpol, states that he is "deeply concerned" about terrorist attacks on the Olympic games.

In short, Rockefeller has two options. Unless his centralized Interpol-Institute coordination of both sides of this hideous psychological warfare manipulation — "terrorist" and "counterterrorist" — is exposed and dismantled, Rockefeller may either use a terrorist attack to justify even greater police state measures, or credit the absence of a terrorist attack to his 1984 "security precautions," also justifying even greater police state measures.

Over the last week the North American Labor Party has issued 50,000 leaflets pinpointing U.S. Attorney General Edward Levi as the controller for the Institute for Policy Studies and Interpol networks that would carry out a terrorist attack against the Olympics.

Another SLA? Boston 'Left' and 'Right' Terrorism Traced To LEAA, IPS Prison Programs

BOSTON, July 17 (NSIPS) — Brainwashing and training programs inside the Thomaston, Me. and other New England prisons are the breeding ground and safehouse for the pool of terrorists who have carried out almost all the bombings, "political" bank robberies, and assassinations wracking this city over the last six months, and is linked to every major terrorist incident in this region since the early 1970s. NSIPS investigators were alerted to the existence of this operation through leads uncovered by the July 3 arrest of terrorist Joseph Aceto and the subsequent recovery of nearly 2,000 pounds of dynamite by New England police officials. Two weeks of intensive investigation, including numerous interviews with government officials and other sources who insisted that their names not be used for fear for their lives, have uncovered an ugly Institute for Policy Studies network paralleling the case of the Symbionese Liberation Army, and concealed by highest circles of elected and appointed officials, including Maine Governor Longley and the Maine State Board of Corrections.

The Included Elements

This week grand juries here and in Portland, Me. indicted four men — Joseph Aceto, Richard Piciarello, Everett Carlson, and Edward Gullion — in connection with the recovered dynamite and a series of bombings dating from early May, for which responsibility had been claimed by the "leftist" Sam Melville Jonathan Jackson Brigade and the Fred Hampton People's Force, and the "right-wing" South Boston Defense League. All four men had served time in the Thomaston, Me. prison, and all four had been members of an organization there called SCAR — Statewide Correctional Alliance Reform.

The network this led the NSIPS investigative team to is the classic four-level control operation identified in the "Memorandum

to Parliamentarians and Security Officials" issued May 24 by U.S. Labor Party Presidential Candidate Lyndon LaRouche. As in every previously documented case of "blind terrorist" operations run jointly by the Rockefeller faction's private intelligence agencies and the Institute for Policy Studies, from the Weather Underground to the Black Liberation Army, this newly uncovered terrorist network includes the following elements:

(1) Key private political intelligence and related institutions, typified by Marcus Raskin's Institute for Policy Studies. All of the SCAR terrorists were created in environment shaped by noted MIT linguistics brainwasher Noam Chomsky of the Raskin outpost, the Cambridge Policy Studies Institute, along with Institute for Policy Studies Fellow and ex-Weatherman Eric Mann. Both are policy-makers for the North East Prisoners Association (NEPA), the umbrella group which created SCAR.

(2) Official government police and criminal justice agencies interfaced with the private network. Through the Lemberg Institute for the Study of Violence at Brandeis University, in Waltham, Mass., the New England Law Enforcement Assistance Administration and former Thomaston warden Mullaney implemented parole and prison "reforms" demanded by NEPA and concealed the drug traffic in the prison, the visits to Thomaston by known terrorists, and the implementation of behavior modification brainwashing programs.

(3) A proliferation of Maoist groups and Institute for Policy Studies-related countergangs such as the Socialist Workers Party and the so-called Communist Party. All of these outfits operated in NEPA. Besides providing the general political "cover" for the creation of zombie terrorists, these groups directly furthered the programming by providing drugs and "political