

NEW SOLIDARITY INTERNATIONAL PRESS SERVICE

U.S. Political Newsletter



Trilateral Commission Steps Up Drive For Supranational Gov't; Republicans Lead Counterattack

By Richard Cohen

July 24 (NSIPS) — Heralding an escalation of Nelson Rockefeller's insurrection against the U.S. government, the July 23 New York Times announced that this week's Congressional override of President Ford's veto of the \$4 billion slave-labor public works bill is the opening shot in a drive to carry out all important features of the Democratic Party's program for domestic fascism this year. The Times' euphoria was seconded the following day with the announcement that the hated Humphrey-Hawkins bill, the Brookings Institution authorized blueprint for a national fascist economy based on slave labor public works, will be pulled off the backburners and brought to the floor of both the House and Senate within a matter of weeks.

While an all-out fight looms over the implementation of their domestic fascist programs, David Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission is now reviewing plans for a "cold coup" to impose a supranational government over the shards of destroyed constitutional governments in North American, Western Europe, and Japan. Trusted Atlanticist henchman Sen. Hubert Humphrey (D-Minn.) was trotted out July 22 to call upon Congress to dissolve its role in foreign policy, replacing it with a Joint Congressional Committee on National Security in order to pave the way for a Trilateral fascist governmental system.

Keynoting the Republican Party counterattack Sen. Howard Baker (R-Tenn.) warned July 22 that Rockefeller forces operating through Wall Street-controlled Democratic Congressional layers and Trilateral Commission zombie Jimmy Carter's presidential campaign have launched a legislative assault to implement the essentials of domestic fascism. Baker's warnings that this year's Congressional term could be the most disastrous in the nation's history were taken a step further by Sen. John Tower (R-Tex.), who appearing on CBS' Face the Nation, declared that the American population will soon see that Carter's "Messianic" rhetoric is nothing more than a ruse for dictatorship.

The mass outrage in Republican ranks over the Carter-Kissinger platform of war and fascism moved President Ford to make repeated moves last week to reign in the crazed Secretary of State Kissinger and announce his own legislative counterattack. Pockets of Republican forces who have gravitated towards U.S. Labor Party programmatic proposals on fusion power, agricultural production, and debt moratorium have reported that the strictly defensive counterattack by Ford forces will fail unless the question of debt moratorium is resolved.

The Fascists Move

The moves by Ford and his Congressional spokesmen came after the override of the President's veto of the public works bill. On the same day the Times editorially issued its marching or-

ders to its congressional henchmen to commence an all out battle for domestic corporatism, the paper announced its endorsement of Sen. Hubert Humphrey for the position of Senate majority leader. Bouyed by this vote of confidence from his masters, Humphrey testified July 22 before the House International Relations Committee for the creation of a Joint Committee on National Security which would become the sole congressional link between the Congress and the Executive. The creation of the committee, according to Humphrey, would remove the troublesome authority of Congress to influence "delicate diplomatic question" of foreign policy. It would thereby restrict the handling of such questions to the handful of trusted Rockefeller hacks on Capital Hill who would stack the new committee. The Humphrey proposal follows the same insurrectionary theme of two documents now circulating in the Trilateral Commission as well as recommendations made last year by the Rockefeller-controlled Murphy Commission on the Reorganization of Foreign Policy. These documents authored by Brookings operative C. Fred Bergsten and former U.S. Ambassador to NATO Shatzel, propose the creation of a corporatist dictatorship over North America, Japan, and Western Europe through the mediation of powerful Trilateral "consultative" bodies.

Shatzel openly calls for "the trusted advisors" (i.e., Trilateral Commission) of the heads of state of the Trilateral countries to meet regularly as a consultive body. He also urges that the foreign ministers of these nations meet on a quarterly basis. This explicit structure of "supranational" governments are to be aided according to Shatzel by the creation of supra-governmental regulatory bodies. He concludes that a new international agency to oversee "foreign investment and the multinational enterprises" be created in concert with the formation of a new international institution dealing with questions concerning the Oceans. Finally, he asserts the necessity of an international body to regulate commodity trade to be financed through an International Resources Bank, the "dead horse" proposal of Secretary of State Kissinger.

Bergsten adds to this 1984 formula by asking that the Paris-based Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) be delegated the authority as a consultative body to intervene and advise member nations on questions of policy planning. This would create the basis for an international centralized looting mechanism, with the Atlanticist's OECD at the center of it. He further urges that Iran, Brazil and Mexico be invited into the OECD's Schachtian orbit, while Saudi Arabia be included into the International Monetary Fund's Group of 10.

These proposals, therefore, represent the real thrust of Humphrey's innocuous sounding remarks — the total subordination of U.S. Foreign policy to Atlanticist interests.

The announced Trilateral drive for domestic and global

corporativism has been coupled with a direct threat to Ford's presidential nomination. As described by the Atlanticist press throughout the week, Rockefeller has the capability to completely destabilize the Republican Party Convention in Kansas City. With many of the pledged Ford delegates in trugh Rockefeller-controlled, the possibility looms that a determining portion could abstain on the first ballot, thus freeing themselves on the second ballot to vote for Reagan. Rockefeller himself has been encouraging the destabilization by leaking that in return for his delegates he has demanded veto power over the selection of the Vice President and the Secretary of State. Opposition forces to Rockefeller within New York state report that the Vice President has been committing and uncommitting his delegates at will in order to gain blackmailing leverage with Ford.

Without resolving the critical question of debt moratorium, Ford forces have been restricted to a limited defensive posture against the Rockefeller-Trilateral conspirators. Employing tactics aimed at stalling this assault, Ford sabotaged the creation of a perpetual Congressional watergating machine called for in the proposed Watergare Reform Act by proposing his own legislation. He followed this by delegation to James Lynn, Director of the Office of Manpower and the Budget, the task of leading a legislative fight against the conspirators and for passage of his own legislative package. The President's attack on Congress came one day after Sen. Baker's warnings.

The Debt Question

The Ford forces and broader layers in the Republican Party, layers whose committment to pro-growth jobs policies was reaffirmed in the Rep. Marvin Esch (R-Mich) counter-bill to the fascist Humphrey-Hawkins legislation, have yet to deal with the debt question.

A lead editorial in the July 23 Chicago Tribune, an organ of Midwest Republican industrial and farm layers, warned that the current worldwide drought will lead to mass starvation if modern technologies of mass reforestation, irrigation, and cultivation are not immediately exported to food producing countries and to the Third World. Small pockets of Republican machines in Texas, Mississippi and New York reported last week that these programs would be impossible without the implementation of debt moratorium, which they privately favor. With the Republican Party Convention only weeks away

the debt question cannot be put off.

Kissinger On The Line?

President Ford in a press conference earlier this week argued that thanks to his Administration's diplomatic initiatives with the Arab world, "We will never get to the point of an oil boycott." Carter, mouthing the script written for him by his Trilateral and Brookings' controllers, has publicly declared that as President he would launch all out economic warfare against the Arabs if there was a new oil boycott.

The President's policies are in sharp contrast to his Secretary of State, agains raising the question of how long will Ford allow the insurrectionary Kissinger to stay in office. He has already put Henry on a leash in public. The President ordered the Secretary of State to deliver a pro-peace speech to a group of Washington state businessmen. Kissinger was forced to contradict his own recent statements and drop talk of a U.S.-China understanding as being the basis of peace in Southeast Asia and was also forced to acknowledge that the Administration had a policy of unrestricted grain sales. Choking on such unfamiliar words, he added the warning the grain sales policy could have "serious consequences."

According to a highly placed Washington D.C. source close to the Administration, Kissinger's Seattle speech is part of a "whole series of speeches he was ordered to do by the White House." The source added that "if Ford gets in Henry won't stay, further adding that Ford has personally opposed Kissinger on his insane China policy.

Ford's efforts to reign in Kissinger reflected a broader move in the Party to oust him at the Republican Party Convention. As reported by a leading Midwest Republican Senator, there is a broad move afoot to force Kissinger's removal and "I'm for it."

At the same time both Ford and Reagan forces reported that they feared that Kissinger was leading the U.S. into World War III. The office of a leading southern Governor reported that "Kissinger's policies are based on nuclear confrontation with the Soviets" and the he and Rockefeller can only hold power through the creation of crises. A Washington State Republican leader and a Virginia Congressman both reported that Kissinger's present course is leading us directly into thermonuclear holocaust.