

least one shooting." Viguerie, the publisher of "The Right Report" conservative newsletter, ran a feature article containing the same slanders by William Buckley in the Nov. 19 issue of the Report. Buckley associate William Rusher penned the same slanders in his nationally syndicated column, which appeared in the San

Francisco Chronicle Dec. 7.

One knowledgeable conservative source confided to the USLP that honest conservatives do not give any credence to the Buckley slanders. "People dismiss it as a personal vendetta against the Labor Party by the Buckley family," he said.

Revolt Brewing Against Carter on Capitol Hill

CONGRESS REPORT

WASHINGTON, D.C., Dec. 11 (NSIPS) — Developments this week on Capitol Hill, where members of the Democratic and Republican Congressional caucuses convened to elect a new leadership and to vote on a slate of "reform" proposals, strongly indicate that a growing number of Southern and urban-based Democratic Congressmen are inching towards an open break with their nominal party leader James Earl Carter, his backers, and his programs.

A significant number of these traditionalist-oriented Democrats are "horrified" as the aide to one Alabama Representative put it, at the fact that nearly every prospective Carter cabinet appointee belongs to the Trilateral Commission, Rockefeller Foundation and other Wall Street policy groups. They are also becoming increasingly uneasy as court challenges to Carter's election in several key states expose the nature and scope of the conspiracy which stole the election for the puppet president-elect and which is now mapping out austerity economic measures which will destroy the constituency base of their own political machines.

The first public signs of this brewing revolt came Monday at the House Democratic caucus meeting when a bloc of southern conservative Representatives teamed up with their colleagues from Midwestern industrial areas to elect Texas moderate Jim Wright as the new House Majority Leader by one vote over the Carterite "Liberals," Richard Bolling of Missouri and Phil Burton of California. Since Bolling and Burton are known as leading Congressional advocates of those policies for stripping down U.S. industrial capacities and labor skill levels, and Congressional "restructuring" proposals which have been closely identified with the Carter campaign, Wright's surprise victory over the two front-runners implies a partial repudiation of Carter.

Although Wright himself is by no means a staunch conservative — he supported the bailout of the New York City banks by the Federal government last year and generally favors public works jobs programs — his election as Majority Leader is a sign of Congressional resistance to Carter for two main reasons. First, in contrast to Carter, Wright personally advocates industrial development especially in the areas of high-technology and energy, as the Dec. 9 *Christian Monitor* noted. Second, and more significant, is the nature of the coalition which formed to win Wright the post. Consisting

of Congressmen from the South, Southwest and Northern cities, this bloc presents a potential to contain the Carterite push towards hyperinflationary policies based on pick-and-shovel labor programs.

Although the pro-Carter media have tried to play Wright as a "yes man" who will obediently assist the new Speaker of the House, Thomas "Tip" O'Neill of Massachusetts, in lining up votes for the Carter legislative package, their anxiety over the unexpected turn of events has been surfacing. Exemplifying this jitteriness was an Evans and Novak column in Friday's *Washington Post*, in which the duo decry the coalition of urban Democrats, such as Dan Rostenkowski of Illinois (who was just named Assistant Majority Whip), and the "Dixiecrat faction" which joined forces to elect Wright. "Wright," moan the columnists, "will contribute to Thermidor in the House — moderate counter-revolutionary reaction following turbulent radical reform... Wright moves the House power equation rightward... Bewildered liberals hope Wright will be crushed between O'Neill... and the Liberal aggressive new Majority Whip, Rep. John Brademas of Indiana." Brademas is a member of the Trilateral Commission.

Why The Revolt?

Key urban-based Democrats are privately blasting Carter's hideous schemes for the cities, knowing they would destroy their constituencies. An aide to one high-ranking Democratic Congressman from the Midwest this week specifically singled out the "planned shrinkage" proposals advanced by Roger Starr, mooted as Carter's Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, as "something we are absolutely against. This smacks of Big Brother and 1984." Significantly, this Congressman belongs to the Northeast-Midwest Economic Advancement Coalition which was founded several months ago to gain Congressional support from these areas for schemes like Starr's. Southern Democrats are highly suspicious of Carter and his Wall Street connections; an assistant to a member of the Alabama delegation told a Labor representative: "We were behind Carter — but we'll buck him if we have to. Nobody around here is really sure what he's all about."

The massive vote fraud which elected Carter has made both Republicans and Democrats fearful of him. Several Democrats have said of the vote fraud suits: "We're all watching them very closely."

The anti-Carter mood on the Hill is also being reflected in the unfavorable reception given most of the administrative and structural "reforms" which the Carter camp is hoping to use for reorganization of the Congress into a rubber-stamp for the Carter program. Although several of the "reforms proposed by the Commission on Administrative Review, chaired by Wisconsin Carterite Rep. David Obey, were voted up by the House Democratic Caucus this week, the most important ones were roundly defeated. In addition, a resolution strongly backed by the Rockefeller-funded Common Cause to set up a House Select Committee to

investigate allegations that Congressmen received bribes from the South Korean lobby was also overwhelmingly rejected by House Democrats. On the Senate side, a number of leading figures from both parties have vowed to fight the Stevenson plan for streamlining the Senate committee structure because, as one Southern Senator said privately, "It's a play by Carter to ram his legislation through Congress." A final bad omen for the Carterites on Capitol Hill this week is the fact that Sen. Robert Byrd (D-W. Va.), a prominent conservative, is favored to win the race for Senate Majority leader over Sen. Hubert Humphrey of Minnesota.

Air of Rebellion In D.C. Over Rockefeller Push to Ensure Carter Presidency

WASHINGTON WEEK

Exclusive to NSIPS

An air of rebellion reportedly exists among Republican Congressmen and party officials in the D.C. area after they were openly informed last week by the Rockefeller-controlled Republican National Committee that it intends to sabotage four court cases (Ohio, Wisconsin, Pennsylvania and New York) aimed at overturning the fraudulent election of Jimmy Carter as President of the United States. One California Republican Congressman was the first to be told. Executive Director Eddie Mahe told him that the National Committee is well aware that President Ford actually won the states of Ohio and Wisconsin, but the Committee still intends to have nothing to do with the court cases.

The treachery was more publicly displayed by Robert Carter, Vice Chairman of the D.C. Republican Central Committee and one of the top five representatives of the National Committee. At a Dec. 9 meeting of the D.C. executive, Carter forced the meeting to reject a proposal offered by 8 other Central Committeemen to endorse the four relevant court cases. Carter told the men that the northeast section of the Republican National Committee under New York's Rockefeller-puppet, Richard Rosenbaum had met Dec. 2 to plan sabotage of the cases, and then threatened them with dire consequences if they dared buck the National Committee on this issue.

As a result of all this, rebellion is simmering in Republican ranks. Why Mr. Rockefeller is acting to ensure a Carter presidency became a heated topic of discussion at a Dec. 8 meeting of the Republican Congressional Caucus. At least 50 congressmen there gave an overwhelmingly favorable response to a U.S. Labor Party spokesman who discussed the court cases, all initiated by the USLP. Several individual Congressmen admitted they are contemplating personal action to help the legal fight.

This sentiment has been aided by the continuing flood of facts concerning Carter vote-fraud which have been hitting the D.C. area. To top it off, the official report of the Carter for President campaign expenditures filed with the Federal Election Commission show that Carter

overspent the FEC limit by 175,000 dollars in the closing weeks of the campaign — because of more than \$6 million spent to "Get Out the Vote" in the last six campaign weeks. The FEC has acknowledged that their \$2.5 million limit was exceeded by Carter, and that this violation entailed a jail sentence and a fine on the order of \$500,000, but did not indicate what action the FEC will take.

There may have been some hanky panky in Carter's past no one knew about, but which will soon surface. Sources indicate that Carter has been receiving financial and other forms of "aid" from the scandal ridden Lockheed Aircraft Corporation since at least 1970, when Lockheed, with headquarters in Georgia, funded a private Carter junket to Latin America. Consternation in political circles in D.C. was enhanced by the fact that one of the first people Jimmy sought out when he arrived in Washington was Richard Cook, chief Lockheed lobbyist. Cook, it so happens, has devised a plan for Executive Branch reorganization which is indistinguishable from one developed by the Rockefeller-owned Brookings Institution.

Smart alocs here point out that Lockheed's activities have been used to "watergate" opponents of the Rockefeller family, but have covered up illegal involvement with the firm by such figures as Gianni Agnelli of Italy's Fiat. Agnelli is a member of the Trilateral Commission, set up by David Rockefeller. So is Jimmy Carter!

In off-the-record discussions this week, mainstream Democratic Congressmen expressed opposition to Carter's cabinet nominees; the Carter cabinet will be the Trilateral Commission by another name. Some Democrats are even threatening to break with Carter on key aspects of the Trilateral Commission's fascist programs. The most visible sign of this discontent was the upset election of Texas Democratic conservative Jim Wright as House Majority Leader, defeating Carter's selection, arch-Fabian Phil Burton by one vote. Burton directs the Democratic Study Group, one of whose staff members complained bitterly that Wright's election will make "many businessmen and industrialists, like the oil interests in Texas and the South, very very happy." Carter's more "radical" legislation like the Humphrey-Hawkins bill for forced labor will have tough going, he