

The anti-Carter mood on the Hill is also being reflected in the unfavorable reception given most of the administrative and structural "reforms" which the Carter camp is hoping to use for reorganization of the Congress into a rubber-stamp for the Carter program. Although several of the "reforms proposed by the Commission on Administrative Review, chaired by Wisconsin Carterite Rep. David Obey, were voted up by the House Democratic Caucus this week, the most important ones were roundly defeated. In addition, a resolution strongly backed by the Rockefeller-funded Common Cause to set up a House Select Committee to

investigate allegations that Congressmen received bribes from the South Korean lobby was also overwhelmingly rejected by House Democrats. On the Senate side, a number of leading figures from both parties have vowed to fight the Stevenson plan for streamlining the Senate committee structure because, as one Southern Senator said privately, "It's a play by Carter to ram his legislation through Congress." A final bad omen for the Carterites on Capitol Hill this week is the fact that Sen. Robert Byrd (D-W. Va.), a prominent conservative, is favored to win the race for Senate Majority leader over Sen. Hubert Humphrey of Minnesota.

Air of Rebellion In D.C. Over Rockefeller Push to Ensure Carter Presidency

WASHINGTON WEEK

Exclusive to NSIPS

An air of rebellion reportedly exists among Republican Congressmen and party officials in the D.C. area after they were openly informed last week by the Rockefeller-controlled Republican National Committee that it intends to sabotage four court cases (Ohio, Wisconsin, Pennsylvania and New York) aimed at overturning the fraudulent election of Jimmy Carter as President of the United States. One California Republican Congressman was the first to be told. Executive Director Eddie Mahe told him that the National Committee is well aware that President Ford actually won the states of Ohio and Wisconsin, but the Committee still intends to have nothing to do with the court cases.

The treachery was more publicly displayed by Robert Carter, Vice Chairman of the D.C. Republican Central Committee and one of the top five representatives of the National Committee. At a Dec. 9 meeting of the D.C. executive, Carter forced the meeting to reject a proposal offered by 8 other Central Committeemen to endorse the four relevant court cases. Carter told the men that the northeast section of the Republican National Committee under New York's Rockefeller-puppet, Richard Rosenbaum had met Dec. 2 to plan sabotage of the cases, and then threatened them with dire consequences if they dared buck the National Committee on this issue.

As a result of all this, rebellion is simmering in Republican ranks. Why Mr. Rockefeller is acting to ensure a Carter presidency became a heated topic of discussion at a Dec. 8 meeting of the Republican Congressional Caucus. At least 50 congressmen there gave an overwhelmingly favorable response to a U.S. Labor Party spokesman who discussed the court cases, all initiated by the USLP. Several individual Congressmen admitted they are contemplating personal action to help the legal fight.

This sentiment has been aided by the continuing flood of facts concerning Carter vote-fraud which have been hitting the D.C. area. To top it off, the official report of the Carter for President campaign expenditures filed with the Federal Election Commission show that Carter

overspent the FEC limit by 175,000 dollars in the closing weeks of the campaign — because of more than \$6 million spent to "Get Out the Vote" in the last six campaign weeks. The FEC has acknowledged that their \$2.5 million limit was exceeded by Carter, and that this violation entailed a jail sentence and a fine on the order of \$500,000, but did not indicate what action the FEC will take.

There may have been some hanky panky in Carter's past no one knew about, but which will soon surface. Sources indicate that Carter has been receiving financial and other forms of "aid" from the scandal ridden Lockheed Aircraft Corporation since at least 1970, when Lockheed, with headquarters in Georgia, funded a private Carter junket to Latin America. Consternation in political circles in D.C. was enhanced by the fact that one of the first people Jimmy sought out when he arrived in Washington was Richard Cook, chief Lockheed lobbyist. Cook, it so happens, has devised a plan for Executive Branch reorganization which is indistinguishable from one developed by the Rockefeller-owned Brookings Institution.

Smart alects here point out that Lockheed's activities have been used to "watergate" opponents of the Rockefeller family, but have covered up illegal involvement with the firm by such figures as Gianni Agnelli of Italy's Fiat. Agnelli is a member of the Trilateral Commission, set up by David Rockefeller. So is Jimmy Carter!

In off-the-record discussions this week, mainstream Democratic Congressmen expressed opposition to Carter's cabinet nominees; the Carter cabinet will be the Trilateral Commission by another name. Some Democrats are even threatening to break with Carter on key aspects of the Trilateral Commission's fascist programs. The most visible sign of this discontent was the upset election of Texas Democratic conservative Jim Wright as House Majority Leader, defeating Carter's selection, arch-Fabian Phil Burton by one vote. Burton directs the Democratic Study Group, one of whose staff members complained bitterly that Wright's election will make "many businessmen and industrialists, like the oil interests in Texas and the South, very very happy." Carter's more "radical" legislation like the Humphrey-Hawkins bill for forced labor will have tough going, he

said. Columnists Evans and Novak, known as Rockefeller retainers in D.C., denounced the Wright election as "Thermidor, a counter-revolution" giving constituency based traditionalists and conservatives like Mayor Daly's Rep. Rostenkowski (named Majority Whip) and southerner Joe Waggoner "a new lease on life."

Rumors have it that this mainstream Democratic leadership in the House and the southern powerhouse in the Senate are now engaged in substantive discussions to arrive at an alternative to Carter's "zero-growth" economic program. These layers plan to "start fireworks" in January against Carter's plan to reorganize Congress, the Stevens Plan, as well as Trilateral Commission schemes for regional "development" which will wreck the American industrial economy.

Carter's appointment of Cyrus Vance as Secretary of State is giving him similar headaches in the diplomatic community. According to one Third World diplomat "the

Vance appointment clinched it. Vance is known for his Vietnam war involvement and his Cyprus involvement. It's going to be Kissinger all over again." Another Third World diplomat summed it up: "We have conducted a thorough study of Carter and he is 100 per cent Trilateral."

A bevy of laughter broke out last Friday in conservative circles when the Buckley family's latest *National Review* magazine came out with a lead item by columnists Evans and Novack. Evans and Novack in the *Washington Post* had just attacked House Majority Leader Jim Wright and whip Dan Rostenkowski, the hope of conservatives in their opposition to Carter's Trilateral Commission policies. The honor accorded Evans and Novack by the "conservative" Buckylys led one card to quip: "Jim Buckley deserves a 100 per cent rating from the ADA."