

coverage of terrorism, the debate has been deliberately manipulated to condition the population into believing that the only effective way of dealing with terrorism is with police state measures. The aim is to play on people's feelings of helplessness by making it appear that terrorism is an uncontrollable phenomena with no end in sight. Only the most stringent, even unconstitutional measures can suffice in limiting terrorism, is the basic line.

A computer print-out "debate" is conducted in order to set the stage for furthering the legislation and guidelines for police state rule. One of these so-called debates is centered around hostage negotiations. It is important to note that in each of these "debates" the phenomena of terrorism is always treated as a lawful sociological and psychological problem, not as deliberately created and fostered by private political intelligence networks.

In last week's *Washington Post* article on hostage negotiation, two police chiefs participated in such a debate. On one side was Maryland's Montgomery County Police Chief and top LEAA operative Robert DiGrazia, previously involved in setting up the Boston "busing riots." On the other side was D.C. Police Chief Maurice Cullihane. Cullihane is a protégé of the LEAA's Jerry Wilson. The controlled debate proceeded as follows on the question of deals with terrorists:

DiGrazia—Promise them everything, but give the terrorists nothing. Once the hostages are secured, the police should not be accountable for promises given to terrorists.

Cullihane—Promise the terrorists everything, and keep the promise. In this way, you assure the future use of hostage negotiations as a credible instrument for saving lives.

This hard-cop-soft-cop routine is being used to shape the discussion in Congress and prevent the real measures from dismantling the terror apparatus.

The other side of the conditioning process is maximum

play for articles concerning all facets of terrorism.

****Christian Science Monitor*—two feature articles on the "Terrorist International" cite LEAA sources to portray a vast, loose-knit network of terrorists from the Middle East to Europe to the U.S. The Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine (PFLP), IRA, Weatherunderground and scores of other groups are mentioned to paint a picture of a spreading "underground" conspiracy to destroy democratic institutions.

****New York Daily News*—a centerfold by Joseph Fried pictures a tightly-controlled operation commanded by the PFLP's George Habash which runs a vast network of IRA, Baader-Meinhoff, Basque, Dutch and Mideast terrorist operations funded by Iraq and Libya. Practically all the groups and individuals identified in all these articles, with the exception of Habash and the named governments, are agents of Interpol and the Institute for Policy Studies. These institutions are at the core of terrorism.

Potential Scenarios

Two of the most talked about areas for the Phase II round of terrorism are Mexico and Canada. In interviews conducted with various terrorist controllers, all kept referring to operations about to be unleashed in the two cited areas. As both Mexico and Canada border the U.S., terrorism there would produce the needed excuse for border closings — a key feature of the Garden Plot scenario.

According to intelligence sources, the city of Houston is the center of U.S.-run terrorist operations in Mexico and employ Cuban exile groups. Other "Mexican" groups under direct U.S. control are the 23rd of September League and the Chicano Liberation Front, which has operated on both sides of the border. In Canada, "old" separatist networks run out of the Paris Quebecois and the Front for the Liberation of Quebec (FLQ) are being reactivated. Their scenarios will directly interface with ongoing terrorist operations in the U.S.

The Real Organized Crime Behind The Goldwater Scandals

This week, *Long Island Newsday* began publication of a 25 part series of article by Investigative Reporters and Editors (IRE) targeting Sen. Barry Goldwater (R-Ariz) for involvement in a so-called Arizona organized crime syndicate.

High level sources close to the Carter administration first reported to NSIPS this week that the Arizona "scandal" was the combined work of private intelligence operatives under journalist cover working with Justice Department and National Security Council backup to insure that the already heavily controlled major media outlets run the Goldwater "attack" precisely by the script. Since Goldwater's public identification of the Tri-

lateral Commission control over the U.S. government and his direct involvement in derailing a number of cabinet appointments and policy initiatives deemed crucial to the Carter Administration, there has been no love lost between him and the White House. The operating principle is that if by the current "trial by character assassination" Goldwater can be crushed on the basis of the flimsiest of unproven allegations, then the message will effectively be conveyed to every potential opponent of the Carter-Trilateral policies: capitulate or be crushed.

The following report, based exclusively on material available in the public domain, answers the crucial questions of who initiated the planned program of

character assassination, why it was initiated, where the financial and logistical support came from and who are the personnel directly and indirectly responsible.

*General Background of the Conspiracy:
Russell Sage Foundation and FIJ*

The two principal institutions through which the lower Manhattan financier group has developed the capacity to run blackmail, character assassinations, frameups, and watergatings over the past decade are: the Russell Sage Foundation and the Institute for Policy Studies adjunct, the Fund for Investigative Journalism.

The Russell Sage Foundation (RSF) has been the Rockefeller family's private intelligence vehicle dating back to the pre-World War I period in which it was founded as an American parallel to the British Roundtable's Fabian Society project.

It was the RSF that spawned the Fund for Investigative Journalism through a decade-long pilot project during the 1960s in "precision journalism." "Precision journalism" is based on the application of computer-based artificial intelligence methods to the preparation of news material intended to shape the opinions and interests of particularly designated audiences. The idea is that with the use of the proper symbols, linguistic structure and news "angle", opinions and intensity of interest can be shaped; and furthermore, that these characteristics can be inputted into computers to add a degree of "precision" to this propaganda process.

The RSF pilot work in this mass psychological manipulation dovetailed with the 1969 founding of the Fund for Investigative Journalism by a nest of intelligence operatives all associated with the same Rockefeller-lower Manhattan grouping. In effect, FIJ was the implementation phase of the Russell Sage laboratory tests.

Among the founding members of the FIJ Board of Directors and Board of Advisors were the following leading Fabian political intelligence agents:

****Phillip Stern:** President of the Stern Family Fund which has been the principal financial backer of the FIJ (and a well known conduit of CIA monies), founding Trustee of the Institute for Policy Studies and a former State Department official under John Kennedy;

****Charles W. Yost:** Longtime State Department operative involved in coup d'états in Africa and Southeast Asia, member of the Council of Foreign Relations, member of the Democratic Party Advisory Council, international correspondent for the Trilateral Commission-controlled *Christian Science Monitor*;

****Richard Barnett:** Founder and co-director of the Institute for Policy Studies, member of the Council on Foreign Relations, former State Department operative, and head of the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency;

****William Attwood:** Publisher of *Long Island Newsday* which nominally "sponsored" the Arizona investigation, member of the Council on Foreign Relations, former campaign staffer and later State Department official under Kennedy;

****Seymour Hersh:** *New York Times* "investigative journalist" responsible for such widely exposed CIA-staged black propaganda operations as the My Lai Massacre coverup of CIA and Wall Street control over the Vietnam war, the Pentagon Papers and the winter,

1974 "CIA revelations" that precipitated two years of Fabian-controlled Congressional investigations;

****Robert Woodward and Carl Bernstein:** The team of *Washington Post* correspondents who penned the series of stories that set the Watergating of Richard Nixon in motion, both regular participants in Institute for Policy Studies seminars including the six-month seminar that effectively mapped out the Watergate attack;

****Robert Novak:** Syndicated columnist (with Roland Evans) closely associated with both the Buckley family pseudo-conservative network and the Washington, D.C. think tank Center for Strategic and International Studies.

The above-cited individuals constitute a representative sample of the several dozen agent-journalists who make up the core membership of the FIJ. While their so-called political "views" place them at varying points in the left to right political spectrum, this serves to facilitate the targeting of stories at particular audiences. The invariant feature is the allegiance to Rockefeller monetarist institutions.

Not the least of the factors binding the FIJ network to the Rockefeller group is the fact of the funding of the investigative journalism project emanating from such known Wall Street funding conduits as the Stern Family Fund, the Rand Corporation, the Russell Sage Foundation and the Eli Lilly Endowment.

The Arizona Operation

The particular chain of events that led to the fall, 1976 deployment of 37 FIJ affiliated operatives into Arizona to prepare an attack against Goldwater and the network of southwest industrialists and politicians firmly opposed to the Trilateral Commission can be summarized as follows:

During February, 1975, a core group of FIJ operatives including Jack Anderson, *New York Times* D.C. reporter David Burnham, *Washington Post* reporter Len Downie, and *Chicago Tribune* terrorist-controller Ron Kozeol met secretly in Reston, Va. to plan out an attack against the Midwest and Southwest-based political faction and relevant regional media outlets that represented potential points of resistance to the next phase of the Wall Street coup program. Out of that session, the FIJ spawned the Investigative Reporters and Editors group to focus its activities and membership efforts in the targeted regions.

In mid-June, the IRE held an open founding convention in Indianapolis, at which all of the above-cited planners were featured speakers. It was Seymour Hersh, however, who provided the critical marching orders. In a plenary session speech, Hersh called on the audience of reporters and editors, many of whom were far from won over to the "precision journalism" pitch, to concentrate their efforts towards the Watergating of "the Mafia of the 1950s who are legitimate businessmen and industrialists today."

It is a most relevant point for further investigation that within 48 hours of the Indianapolis meeting, Don Bolles, a local Arizona journalist was murdered under circumstances that FIJ and IRE spokesmen conveniently labeled "Mafia linked."

By fall 1976, a 37 man IRE-FIJ team was deployed into the Southwest under the Bolles pretext and under the

above-cited funding umbrella.

NSC Muscle

The Trilateral Commission is finding it difficult, however, to ram totally unsubstantiated charges down the throats of any but its most unscrupulous press organs. Goldwater's threat to sue for libel is accelerating the desertion from the smear campaign. The following are statements from editors who refused to print the IRE-FIJ allegations against Goldwater:

***In a front-page editorial statement, the *Arizona Republic*, Arizona's largest paper and Don Bolles' former newspaper, stated that the stories contained "statements and allegations" that the *Republic* had not been "able to obtain sufficient documentation and proof of to justify publication." The *Republic* and the *Phoenix*

Gazette further disclaimed any connection with the project that issued the fabricated charges.

***Benjamin Bradlee, the executive editor of the *Washington Post* commenting on the lack of evidence for the charges on Goldwater stated, "My favorite paragraph says (in part 3 of the series) 'police also have a report from an informant who overheard a complaint by Joseph (papa Joe) Tocco, operator of a restaurant that serves as a post office for Chicago crime syndicate transplants, that Rosenzweig and other businessmen had prostitution so locked up that the Cosa Nostra could get only a small bit of the action.' Here you have an anonymous police officer quoting an unnamed informant who overheard an unspecified complaint in a restaurant by a crook. You can't get away with that..."

EXCLUSIVE

The LEAA Report On Disorders And Terrorism

The March 2 Law Enforcement Assistance Administration's (LEAA) "Report of the Task Force on Disorders and Terrorism" predicts increased terrorism of an ever more alarming nature, presents a blueprint for emergency powers as a law enforcement response to these situations, and in a special appendix presented by the BDM Corporation, details U.S. scenarios for a phased introduction into "high-technology" terrorism. As such, the Report is a manual for implementation of a U.S. police state, through a controlled terrorist onslaught against the American population.

The LEAA, created in 1968 on prompting from the Ford Foundation, has been the domestic police arm which has penetrated and entirely taken over operations against local law enforcement agencies. That unconstitutional role of the LEAA and other related agencies continues. The Task Force report proposes the integration of this LEAA function into a broader, state-by-state, city-by-city, "counter-terror" legislative apparatus.

Last week's Executive Intelligence Review identified the key individuals responsible for the Report; notably New Jersey Governor Brendan T. Byrne, Jerry V. Wilson, and the report's author: H.H.A. Cooper, and the reader is referred to that section and this issue's section on terrorist profilers, planners, controllers and institutions.

The Report was issued right before the Hanafi sect's siege in Washington, D.C. and other terrorist incidents around the country. The following quote, taken from the Report's concluding chapter, summarizes that theme:

"Given the historical character of civil disturbances in the United States it seems safe to predict that they will continue to recur with regularity, for much the same reasons as they have occurred in the past. Economic conditions and labor unrest must always be particular causes for concern. Many of the traditional indicators for disorders are clearly present and need but little stimulus to activate them. The contagion factor in civil distur-

bances is strong, and outbreaks of violence, especially in settings such as university campuses, quickly produce imitators elsewhere. The strength of ethnic sentiment over issues such as school busing should not be overlooked as a disorder-producing factor....Violence breeds violence, and in a potentially explosive atmosphere, sentiment over quite minor issues might well become difficult to control without recourse to repressive measures. The present tranquility is deceptive; it is urged that it not be taken as a sign that disorder in the United States is a thing of the past...."

The "Standards and Goals" of the Task Force Report are excerpted at length below and need little explanation. The excerpts are not intended to supply the reader with every facet of the 661-page report, but instead represent the key features of that report from two standpoints:

1) *Providing information that is indispensable for competent executive evaluation.*

2) *Identifying those aspects of the Report which stand out in glaring violation of the principles of the U.S. Constitution.*

Standards and Goals for Non-Criminal Justice System Civil Authorities

Employment of Military Force

Civil authorities should develop a clear, publicly declared policy on the calling out and employment of military forces in the event of an emergency situation involving civil disorder, terrorism, or other acts of extraordinary violence....

The appropriate military authorities should be included in the general interagency planning process....The civil authority, however, subject to these general considerations, should avoid interference with tactical and operational decisions that might need to be