

Young Recites IPS Script To Provoke Race Riots

Andrew Jackson Young's rampage over the last two weeks, in which he has characterized the Soviets, the Swedes, the people of the New York borough of Queens and every U.S. President except Jimmy Carter as "racists," is not due to the United Nations Ambassador having suddenly gone berzerk. These lines were written for Young a year ago by Anthony Lake, a scenario writer of the Institute for Policy Studies as part of the "Tar Baby Option," a plan to provoke global race war and the military occupation of America's urban areas.

"If you have a race war in Africa, there's no way we (in the U.S. — ed.) won't be affected," said Young to interviewers from the porno magazine Playboy, released this week. While this unattributed quote from Lake's 1976 "Tar Baby" document was making the front pages across the U.S., other elements of the scenario were being put into operation by the IPS terrorist controllers.

* In Chicago, riots were provoked over the weekend by Puerto Rican gangs known to be controlled by the federal Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. Several "left-cover" agent groups in the community which are linked to the Institute for Policy Studies (IPS) now plan demonstrations calculated to sustain the violence.

* In Britain, a group of "community control" fascists have mobilized to form black "defense squads" allegedly to stop white lynch mobs. The move, which is sure to provoke violence, was made by a supposed split-off of IPS's European satellite, the Transnational Institute-Institute for Race Relations group — of which Anthony Lake was a leader before he came back to the United States to be appointed by Carter as Deputy Director of Policy and Planning of the U.S. State Department.

* In Angola, the socialist government of Agostinho Neto is still battling a U.S.-backed subversion of the regime by agents who are organizing around the same line that Young mouthed throughout his African tour two weeks ago: that politics is not the issue, race is. Last week, these Young supporters murdered several top Angolan political leaders.

Young's role in "Tar Baby" is to make statements which give these National Security Council-engineered provocations some credibility and, especially, to heat up racial antagonisms in the U.S. If "Tar Baby" can be successfully ignited, then its corollary plan "Garden Plot," a scenario for military takeover of U.S. cities under the pretext of quelling racial disturbances, can go into effect. "Garden Plot" was authored by Young's fellow Trilateral Commissioners Cyrus Vance and Joseph Califano, when they were part of the Kennedy and Johnson Administrations.

Attacks on Young, the token black of the Rockefeller family's Trilateral Commission, will be useless in stopping the violence that has already begun. A root-and-branch removal of all of the "Tar Baby" operatives — starting with the entire Trilateral Commission Cabinet in the White House and moving down through the IPS-Transnational terrorist controllers — will be necessary under the circumstances.

Evangelism for Race War

In his evangelical enthusiasm for race war, Young got to a point by today where it is unclear if *anybody* wasn't a racist by his definition: U.S. Presidents were racist — "especially Abraham Lincoln," said Young to the delight of all revisionist historians — the preacher-Ambassador confessed that even he himself was a racist. Embedded in these ravings, however, is an IPS script. "I think racial tensions in this country are always just below the surface," Young told Playboy. "You start getting white reactions against blacks. You get the Nazi Party predicting the same thing will happen here as is happening in Africa. It would start with whites attacking blacks."

He further asserted that cities like Detroit and Atlanta are likely targets for race war. It is no accident that these two cities are chose by Young. Both cities have in place the apparatus built during the Johnson Administration "War on Poverty" years for running a race war. With various cutbacks in poverty programs continuing, forced work programs beginning and an increasing climate of discontent, Young's statement is deliberate psychological warfare aimed at convincing the black population that racism is the cause for their poverty rather than the collapse of the dollar economic system.

What is planned for those cities was previewed in the Humboldt section of Chicago, where young Puerto Rican gangs began rioting following a shoot-out with police. The gangs, the Latin Kings and Spanish Cobras, were created through LEAA money conduited through the poverty programs in Chicago, and used the Puerto Rican Day celebrations as the take-off point for two days of rioting. The Ford Foundation-controlled Socialist Workers Party and other so-called leftist groups in the Puerto Rican community manipulated by IPS plan further demonstrations for this Saturday.

Over the past several weeks, articles have appeared throughout the U.S. press retailing the black-white confrontation scenario laid out by Young. Several incidents of FBI-controlled KKK cross-burnings and violent confrontations with blacks have been played. The most publicized of these events was the Camp Pendleton fight between black and white Marines.

In addition, the recent Supreme Court decision to support the cutting off of federal HEW funds to school districts which do not comply with federal court desegregation orders will aggravate the situation. The decision, pushed by HEW Secretary Joseph Califano and Attorney General Griffin Bell, is designed to reinforce a climate of distrust between black and white communities. It is an attempt to replay the busing demonstrations generated over two years ago.

Young's Credentials

Young's history in the civil rights movement is a classic one. Originally part of the late Dr. Martin Luther King's Southern Christian Leadership Conference, Young worked closely with the agents provocateurs like Stokely Carmichael and Ivanhoe Donaldson of the

Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee — of the so-called black nationalist movement, itself a Ford Foundation-Institute for Policy Studies-created synthetic movement. With his fellow poverty pimp Jesse Jackson, Young emerged as one of the “new leaders” of the civil rights movement following the Justice Department-linked assassination of Martin Luther King. Young’s profile of being acceptable to both young, militant blacks and old-line civil rights moderates made him perfect for government-assisted elevation up the ranks of the civil rights leadership.

The Rockefeller family’s sponsorship of their new

protege continued when Young was brought onto the family’s Trilateral Commission. There, Andrew Jackson Young learned the real “color question”: making sure that U.S. greenbacks kept flowing into the coffers of the Rockefeller banks. Following his African tour, Young was asked by the House International Relations Committee what he thought about debt relief — without which millions of Third World inhabitants will die. “I don’t think debt relief is needed by African nations,” replied the pious preacher, “I’m against it because it leads to corruption.”

Schlesinger Caught In Lies Before House Science And Tech Committee

James Rodney “the Robot” Schlesinger, Carter’s would-be energy czar, got his programs scrambled when he appeared before the House Science and Technology Committee hearings June 7 and consequently found himself trapped in several fat lies.

When questioned as to whether the plutonium fast-breeder was more environmentally sound than the light water reactor, Schlesinger replied, “I don’t know, there’s no way to tell the difference.” Congressman John Wydler (R-NY) then angrily reminded him of a memo released by Schlesinger only two weeks earlier — which Wydler pronounced. In the memo Schlesinger had definitively stated that the fast-breeder was more environmentally sound than a light water reactor.

Wydler also pointed out that the charts Schlesinger was using were radically different from those he had brought with him in previous weeks. Schlesinger thereupon thanked Wydler for “reminding him” of the contents of his previous memo, and continued: “The *facts* indicate that the fast-breeder *is* more environmentally sound than the light water reactor!”

Gary Myers (R-Pa.) took on the “non-proliferation issue” which the Administration sprung earlier this year in efforts to halt Europe’s fast breeder programs. Myers said he had recently visited Europe and discussed the fast breeder at the Joint International Atomic Energy Agency, where he was told that the agency had been taken off guard by Carter’s policy. “Is this how inter-

national cooperation works under Carter’s Administration?” he demanded. Schlesinger first replied, “Ask the State Department,” but when pressed cited the London Summit results as a “good example” of cooperation — “a number of countries had agreed to cooperate with the U.S. on the fast breeder and proliferation.

Congressman Dale Milford (D-Tex) then asked: “Which countries will cooperate? Name one.” Schlesinger: “I can’t.” At this point, Schlesinger was accused of outright lying to the committee. He pouted: “Well, they may not cooperate now, but they will in the future. Anyway, if they don’t cooperate and go ahead and build the fast-breeder, we can always built a replica of the French Phoenix here at a later date after they’ve developed the technology.”

The grilling shifted to other aspects of Carter’s energy package, particularly coal conversion. Schlesinger proclaimed its “cost effectiveness.” “Have you figured in the cost of transportation, etc. in this ‘cost effectiveness?’” queried Harold Hollenbeck (R-NJ). “No, we didn’t figure it in,” said Schlesinger.

Schlesinger’s Committee performance recalls his Rand Corporation paper, “Pieties, Arms Policy and the Scientist-Politician,” in which he advanced the proposition that the successful policymaker lies as much as he can get away with. Based on his performance June 7, it looks like this policy works little better than his windmills and solar energy-based conservation proposals.

ABC, CBS Factions Debate — Risk War Now Or In 1980?

June 9 — A factional debate has broken out at ABC and CBS and spilled out over the airwaves over whether to support the Carter Administration’s plunge toward thermonuclear war confrontation in the immediate period, or go for a Hjalmar Schacht-style massive military build-up to prepare for war against the USSR around 1980. The debate roughly reflects the diverging

lines between bankrupt David Rockefeller’s Trilateral Commission which is running the Carter Administration, and the Nelson Rockefeller-Henry Kissinger circle who are trying to rope in Republican conservatives and industrialists with the Rockefeller family fall-back position of militarizing the economy and imposing vicious austerity on the population.