

ing the fact that Hitler was not a German national creation, but a then-waning protege of Hjalmar Schacht imposed upon 1933 Germany on orders from the same financial circles of New York City and London who are, in fact, presently behind the wave of terror being launched against not only West Germany, but many other nations and targets within nations.

In return for your immoral insults against the people of West Germany, gentlemen of the London *Times*, we blow your MI-6's key Soviet agent, Mr. Kim Philby. With that, we recommend that you reexamine yourselves and contemplate the growing mood in France to decorate the front pages of *Le Figaro* and other periodicals with the time-tested slogan, "*Perfide Albion!*"

BRD Press Links Brandt To Terror

Friday, Sept. 9

Handelsblatt, West Germany's leading commercial daily, editorializes that "Many conversations held on Tuesday (Sept. 6) reflect the certainty that murder and threats of murder are not a promising lever to be applied to the economy. Whatever gap the terrorists might forcibly create, there is no dearth of men who in the national interest are ready time and again to close the phalanx of defense readiness. And there will continue to be journalists who — without special security protection — will remain determined to lash out against and fight terrorism, whatever the dangers may seem to be."

Sunday, Sept. 11

In an interview in the mass circulation Sunday paper, *Bild am Sonntag*, Carl-Dieter Spranger, Bundestag member from the opposition Christian Social Union and a leading member of Bundestag Interior Committee, attacked the various legal reforms in West Germany that have made it easier for terrorists to operate. Spranger said that "liberalizing" lawyers' access rights to prisoners is an attack against the police who are doing their duty. In addition, computer fetishists like Horst Herold, the director of the Federal Criminal Office, the main proponent of computerizing intelligence while he was still police president of Nuremberg, are responsible for all the mistakes. "Nothing has come out of the computer."

Bild am Sonntag reporter Hans Habe continued this attack by switching the target to periodicals that condone terrorism." *Stern* magazine and the weekly *Die Zeit* are recalling the good old days of the extra-parliamentary opposition (APO) through the person of Michael Juergs, former APO member and now...a leading writer in *Stern* magazine's cultural section...Countess Dömhoff, the editor of *Die Zeit*, says that all the reforms and emancipation would not have happened if there were no wave of protests in the sixties...a fine reform it is that needs Molotov cocktails and the destruction of printing houses for its realization...Why in 1968, *Stern* reporter Juergs was writing that Herbert Marcuse hoped Willy Brandt would transform his ideas into practice. And whenever Rudi Dutschke (the West German equivalent of Mark Rudd - ed.) needed money, he got it from such established people as *Der Spiegel* publisher Rudolf Augstein and Gerd Bucerius.

"There is no justification for the APO. Terrorism is nothing but the illegal offspring of the old APO, and do not tell it otherwise."

Bild am Sonntag editor Peter Boehnisch commented that even though West Germans were angry about the French government's refusal or inability to extradite Klaus Croissant, a terrorist lawyer involved in the assassination of banker Jürgen Ponto, "we should not forget that it was people like Heinrich Böll and Gunther Grass, ministers and writers who supplied the ideological backgrounds for terrorism...These are the killers, and not the communists."

Monday, Sept. 12

W. Hertz-Eichenrode writes in *Die Welt*: "It took Böll, Scharf, Albertz and Gollwitzer five days after the kidnapping of Hanns-Martin Schleyer to find words for an appeal to the terrorists...It must nevertheless be asked if this is really a turnaround on the part of prominent public figures, and if this is their rejection of their own role as sympathizers. When Böll and the three theologians remind the kidnapers of their aims, this might possibly pass as an attempt to address the terrorists psychologically. But this is followed by the statement that more killing 'will have unforeseeable consequences for our entire country.' What is this supposed to mean?"

"It can be read on public record — in an article in *Die Zeit* by Willy Brandt in December, 1974. Already at that time he was writing about a pogrom mood and insanity, about a psychosis which will have its accomplices and useful helpers. To get down to essentials, a campaign was to be launched to deny the existence of any political lines of connection between Baader-Meinhof and 'critical-rational thinkers,' so therefore there must be some 'secret cooperation' between 'leftist camouflaged terrorism and right radical aberrations.' In other words, terror does not come from the left, it is only 'camouflaged as leftist,' the real danger is the right-wing radicals, and the major concern is that our country will turn into a police state..."

"This idiotic diversionary maneuver in favor of the real sympathizers could be ignored if it did not also correspond with the lines along which Willy Brandt and apparently Böll and the three theologians are thinking. The fate of the Weimar Republic has not been forgotten. It is true that left-wing extremism can provoke right-wing extremism. But that does not make left extremism more harmless, but rather even more dangerous..."

"It can be perceived that long-time sympathizers of the terrorist scene are constructing a big lie in the dangerous form of a stab-in-the-back legend. This is a

dishonest way to dispute about terrorism, which must be counteracted. Otherwise the killing will never come to an end."

Tuesday, Sept. 13

Hans Bartsch comments in the conservative regional daily *Münchener Merkur* on the suspicious circumstances around Klaus Croissant's recent television appearance: "When Croissant indicates that he is moving freely in Paris, undisturbed by the police search, this can also be interpreted as a deliberate provocation, just as was his choice of France as his place of hiding, in order consciously to disturb French-German relations. Also, when the kidnapers of Schleyer chose the French press agency *Agence France Presse* to broadcast their demands, this can only point in the same direction..."

"The Paris government could certainly have forbidden the transmission of the interview or at least could have attempted to stop it. Admittedly, the French television network is in principle independent from the government. But there have been frequent exceptions to this rule in the past, especially in questions of domestic news reporting. Was the government sleeping on the job in this case, or did it intentionally close its eyes? This question remains unanswered."

Die Welt attacks two aspects of Willy Brandt's campaign to paint West Germany as an "emerging police state." In one article, Franz Löwenstein writes that the anarchist author Heinrich Böll "already endorsed the destruction of the West German governmental system in 1966, 1967, and 1968,...and Willy Brandt's first decision as Chancellor was to give Böll his official blessings. Brandt has tried to manipulate the population's guilt feelings deriving from the Nazi experience...The major problem is that the citizens of this country did not act against this, otherwise the mess would not be as great as it is today. We will need some time to repair the damage he has done to society." Another article emphasizes the stupidity of Brandt's "ugly German" regarding the escape of former SS officer Kappler from an Italian prison hospital to West Germany: "Italy should realize that they — as well as France — all sit in the same boat as the Federal Republic...Take note that during the war Jean Genet was praising the Nazis. He's not what you would consider a real resistance fighter."

Thursday, Sept. 15

Heinz Lathe, Moscow correspondent for a number of regional West German newspapers, writes in the industrialists' daily *Kieler Nachrichten* that "intellectuals" in the Soviet Union are in favor of severe measures by the West German government against terrorist networks. First, an author whose books "are read by millions in the west" says that "all parties in your country are clear that these terrorists have declared war on the state. A state can only answer a declaration of war with their own declaration of war. There must be enacted a temporary emergency law, aimed at fighting terrorism and kidnappings...That means short trials, and then shoot them."

Second, a Soviet journalist "who speaks excellent German" comments that he cannot understand why the West German police let terrorists get away. There are

two possible explanations for this: either the terrorists have informers in the police who warn them beforehand, or else "your police, because of the soft prosecution conducted during the past years, because of the premature release of terrorists, and because the police are attacked for their use of weapons to shoot to kill, are frustrated because, in their fight against terrorism, they feel they have been left in the lurch by the government and by considerable parts of public opinion."

Mentioning the danger of an increasing chaos in the country as a result of terrorist acts, the journalist concludes that "In Moscow today there would be general understanding if the federal government, together with the opposition, adopted harsher countermeasures against terrorism. The overall mood of the Soviet side makes it clear that there is concern in Moscow that the federal government, with whom very positive relations have developed, could be weakened because of a lack of success in fighting terrorism."

The Brandt Line of Defense

Coming under strenuous attack for their defense of the murderers of banker Jürgen Ponto, Willy Brandt and his fellows in the Second International "left" which operates out of Britain have switched their line, now calling the Baader-Meinhof and the other gangs "Hitler's children," deployed from the "right." The catch is that the Brandt networks then point a finger to what they conceive as the greatest danger, the threat of right-wing repressive reaction, allegedly to be carried out by precisely those conservative and related industrialist forces who have been targeted by the Anglo-American terror networks for murders and kidnappings. The following is one of the best examples of the new Brandt line which appeared particularly in the British and West German press.

Paul Oestreicher, chairman of the British section of Amnesty International, Sept. 12 warns in an editorial page feature in the *London Times* that the West German government must stand up to the threats of the Baader-Meinhof group since they pose a threat to society equal to Hitler. "There may appear to be no direct line from Adolf Hitler to Andreas Baader...Their left and right-wing labels, however, are less relevant to understanding them than their messianic dreams. The real link is a deeply romantic idealism that will stop at nothing to prove itself."

Labelling their "deep hatred" and "perverted idealistic passion" like Hitler's, Oestreicher warns that they have no claim on actual 'revolutionary' politics," in fact, "they are not revolutionaries at all." He continues, "For the German political left it is a tragedy. And for German liberalism. The effect of the terror is to strengthen substantially every reactionary tendency in German society. The Baader-Meinhof hard-core are, I believe, so totally isolated by now from social reality, so *weltfremd*, (alien from reality—ed.) that I doubt if they even recognize the paradoxical degree of their own success...The deep question to West Germany and to western society as a whole is whether such threats to law and order can be faced calmly and self-critically rather

than with fear and bitterness...Ultimately, the roots of alienation in modern society, be it capitalist or socialist, will not be eradicated by police with automatic weapons and computers. They lie deeper in us all."

In an accompanying editorial, the *London Times* offers its "help" to the beleaguered West Germans for the kind of "introspection" they must now undergo because of the terrorist challenge. In a scarcely veiled threat, the *London Times* brings up Walther Rathenau, signer of the Soviet-German Rappallo pact, who was murdered in 1922. "Unlike Herr Schleyer his enemies were mainly right-wing nationalists. But the uproar after he was killed brought in the Law for the Defense of the Republic

which was used largely against the left and played some role in facilitating the rise of the right..."

The editorial continues that West Germany now needs to look for the social motivation behind the Baader-Meinhof terrorism: "...what they want is nothing less than the destruction of the society which produced them. With such enemies, society hardly dares ask whether it is in some way responsible for producing them. The temptation is to try to see the threat as somehow external. The necessary introspection is then avoided...If there is one thing West Germany needs just now it is a little bit of help from its friends. Without this there is a danger that its internal politics could drift gradually away from the central common ground which holds Europe together."

Italian Press Exposes Wall Street Funding Of Anti-Nuclear 'Ecology' Campaigns

Printed below is the full translation of an article which appeared in the Sept. 14 issue of Il Settimanale, an Italian conservative news weekly close to the Andreotti government. The article is signed "M.B." The title in Italian, "Rockefeller and Pannella Arm-in-Arm on Energy," refers to the odd Marco Pannella, who as head of Italy's minuscule Radical Party has become prominent as the spokesman for "gay rights" and the anti-nuclear power movement.

The fact is strange, and is worth being recounted for the behind-the-scenes situation it reveals. Protagonist: the *Washington Post*, one of the most prestigious American newspapers, noted for having conducted the famous anti-Nixon campaign to the bitter end. On Aug. 16 the U.S. daily publishes a prominent, formidable attack against a tiny party of the American extreme left, the U.S. Labor Party, which has a few thousand members in the United States. "The Left Joins the Right," is the headline of the piece: in it the Labor Party is accused of collaboration with the right-wing American groups.

The Labor Party is a pro-Soviet formation (its newspaper regularly publishes articles of the agency *Novosti*), without any apparent weight in the American political scene: its leader Lyndon B. (sic) LaRouche, who ran for the last presidential election, received — according to official returns — fewer than forty thousand votes, a pittance in a country of 200 million inhabitants. While it is already strange that a paper like the *Washington Post* should dedicate so much space to speaking of a formation so small, even stranger is what comes next: the *Washington Post* article, kept quiet (because of insignificance) by all the main European papers, is punctually picked up in Italy by *Quotidiano die Lavoratori* of Aug. 24 and by *Avanguardia Operaia*, (two ultra-left dailies —ed.), and in Germany by *Arbeitspresse*, an extremist rag which

Cheerleads for the Baader-Meinhof gang. Why?

It is not the first time that the European ultra-left seem to take their cue from the radical-chic circles who identify with the *Washington Post*. "In fact," explains the Italian section of the U.S. Labor Party, which counts about thirty members, "the Italian extremists have it in for us because we have unmasked them as fake communists: we have accused them of getting money from Wall Street financier-petroleum networks, with the aim of orchestrating 'ecological' campaigns against nuclear power plants." The first to seriously launch this accusation, last August 8, was the French *Bulletin de l'industrie pétrolière*, according to which even "the outrage against Marcel Boiteux, director of Electricité de France and supporter of nuclear plants, the assassination of Jurgen (sic) Ponto, manager of the Dresdner Bank, linked to German builders of power plants," would be "intimately linked" to the "energy policy of President Carter:" who, as is well known, advocates a policy of oil austerity and giving up using plutonium as an energy substitute for oil.

It is obvious that the oil "Seven Sisters" don't like the coming to fore of uranium as an energy resource: all the more since, thanks to nuclear power, "Germany," the French *Bulletin* goes on to write, "is beginning to play a role too independent from Washington." In short, does Rockefeller finance Pannella? This is a possibility which *Bulletin* does not discard. "For certain very influential USA political circles, supporting left movements is second nature."

These are very grave accusations against the Carter administration. In reality, the affair is situated in the knives-drawn fight between American industrial and financial groups. Ambition has unleashed against each other factions which are headed by Rockefeller and Kissinger (unified around the heavily indebted Chase Manhattan Bank), and by Walter Mondale with Senator