

If such a firm policy is adopted, China will accept it. The worst factional forces in China will accept what they can not change because the evil within them is consistently the evil of stoicism. The best factional forces within China will rejoice — at least discreetly — because they will recognize that we are acting to put the future into their hands.

How much General Singlaub might agree with the foregoing, I shall not estimate. Whatever the proper judgment on that point, the fact remains that pulling the Second Division out of Korea does mean uncorking the evil within China throughout Asia, and so destabilizing that and other regions of the world that the very survival of civilization would stand in jeopardy. Anyone who does not agree with Singlaub on that point is either innocently ignorant or a moral imbecile.

However, it is not sufficient to attack such matters negatively, merely to attack wrong military policies and so forth. Unfortunately, so far, the flaw of our leading military professionals is that their reaction to incompetent strategic policies from the White House and

Pentagon has been chiefly negative. They do not put forward the positive strategic political policies necessary to define a basis for competent strategic military postures. They err, as does Admiral Moorer's recent proposal, in producing recipe-like military-posture configurations, not positive policies. Although I often sympathize with them as well as respecting the cause of their concern, I must point out to them that their approach to such matters has been so far overall incompetent because it has been incompetent in respect of political strategy.

My task is to intervene in this situation with full appreciation of the importance and certain basic elements of correctness in what General Singlaub and some others have stated. My task is to provide what they have so far been unable to provide: the essential political strategy within which terms a sound military posture and capabilities can be developed. I shall do more — much more — but what I have said here serves as an initial, summary outline of what I propose.

## Black Guelphs: The Elite Must Rule

*Otto von Habsburg, eldest son of the late Emperor Charles of Austria-Hungary, recently declared his longing to rid the world of humanism and return the globe to the rule of a feudal elite.*

*Von Habsburg, a close associate of William F. Buckley and Henry Kissinger's mentor Fritz Kramer, said in a June 10 speech:*

We enjoy our wealth today only because our grandfathers reduced their consumption...man hasn't changed. The idea of modern man has sprung up all the time, but it has usually turned out to be the most reactionary idea. Man is still the same...Europe should be arranged according to tribal and regional principles. The principle of the tribe has proven to be the most stable in history.

*Denouncing Soviet industrial expansion, von Habsburg warned:*

The Soviets are basically very unstable. Their economy is so run down that they depend on the Western economies for support. And they are also oppressing their own people. Most people think that all Soviets are white, but that is not true. The majority of Soviet citizens are of the yellow race, and this will be significant one day.

There is the illusion of giving money for Siberian development. But these projects are just maintaining colonialism in that area. Siberia does not belong to Russia. The Chinese will take this up some day when the yellow race rebels....The Russian race will one day be reduced to that area they had before the colonization began...but in the West there are a lot of illusions about Russia. If it happens again, it will not

be the first time the Americans are paying those who will murder them one day.

*Privately, von Habsburg confided to European Labor Party organizers that the British monarchy was the force to oversee this return to tribal-based feudalism.* The genius of the British aristocracy is that they have always been able to produce new elites. There are natural elites in this world. and they should do the ruling.

*At Harvard University on June 8 Alexander Solzhenitsyn, playing the boyar analogue to Habsburg's Black Guelph, called for a return to spiritualism and abandonment of the humanist tradition of material progress.*

Should someone ask me whether I would indicate the West such as it is today as a model to my country, frankly I would have to answer negatively. Through intense suffering our country (Russia) has now achieved a spiritual development of such intensity that the Western system in its present state of spiritual exhaustion does not look attractive....How did the West decline from its triumphal march to its present sickness? The mistake must be at the root, at the very basis of human thinking in the past centuries. (An erroneous world view) became the basis for government and social science, and could be defined as rationalistic humanism or humanistic autonomy: the proclaimed and enforced autonomy of man from any higher force above him. It based modern Western civilization on the dangerous need to worship man and his material needs.