

staring like empty eye-sockets — that is what became of attempts by certain nearsighted politicians to direct the German aggression to the East. Those who make analogous efforts today and who nurture identical illusions would do well to remember this....

One American politician even hastened to perch upon the Great Wall of China to deliver anti-Soviet tirades there....

Washington is trying to use the "China card" in its global game, as indicated by its intrigues — or more precisely "mini-intrigues" — around China. But it forgets that its Chinese "friends" are playing their own game, dictated by their great-power interests. Their goal is to aggravate USSR-USA relations to the limit. Soviet-American confrontation — or better still, war — that is Peking's long-nurtured dream.

Pravda, "The Voice of Peace-loving Society," June 26:

...A British exhibition of military technology...has been taking place in the city of Aldershot for the past several weeks....The British exhibition,...first held at Aldershot two years ago, showed that the British military industrial complex intended to operate right out in the open. It is indicative that this year among the honored guests at the exhibition was a Chinese military delegation, displaying keen interest in the most modern types of weapons. . . .

Trud (trade union daily) June 26, "In the Hand of the Enemies of Détente," by Tass observer E. Babenko:

...Britain is one of the many West European countries that Peking's emissaries are visiting in search of new military technology...

The Maoists' policy of rapidly strengthening its military potential fully corresponds to its aggressive, hegemonistic designs...

The western circles selling or encouraging the sale of weapons know this. They know it and they are continuing to arm, because it suits them fine that the main barbs of Peking's militaristic efforts are presently directed against the USSR and the other socialist states. In NATO capitals they nourish the idea that it will always be possible to "channel" the adventurism of Mao's heirs in an anti-Soviet direction beneficial to them. But where is the guarantee that the enemies of peace and détente who would like to warm their hands over a conflict between the USSR and the PRC, will not get burnt themselves?

5. The Opposition: Nobility Calls For Zero Growth

"His Imperial Highness" Otto von Habsburg presided over a remarkable meeting of his fellow European aristocrats in Paris June 29. The ostensible purpose of the session was to work out a "new right" strategy for next year's European Parliament elections. But judging from the unprecedented press coverage the aristocrats received and their urgent tone, their actual goal was much more short-range: to halt the "Grand Design" strategy

of West German Chancellor Helmut Schmidt and French President Giscard d'Estaing before it is pushed through the European Economic Community summit in Bremen and the July 16 OECD summit in Bonn.

What must be prevented, the assembly declared, is the creation of a "Europe of the Fatherlands" — a term coined by General DeGaulle to denote a system of interdependent humanist republics. In its place these aristocrats wish to establish a "Europe of the Regions," a collection of feudalized fiefdoms divided according to Basque, Breton, Corsican, Walloon, Flemish, Languedoc, Alsatian, and Bavarian "nationalities." Such a system would of course prohibit the development of nuclear energy, and the aristocrats made it clear that they are counting on environmentalists and terrorists to get the job done.

Otto von Habsburg, who led the meeting, was recently granted West German citizenship by the state of Bavaria, over the objections of federal government leaders. His new citizenship papers were handed over to him by Bavarian Interior Minister Seidl, well known for his legal defense of Nazi Rudolf Hess at the Nuremberg trials. Other participants at the Paris gathering included Henry VI de Bourbon, who has excellent connections to the Spanish terrorist *Guerilleros del Christo Rey*, and representatives from the Italian fascist MSI and Spanish fascist *Fuerza Nueva*. Opening mass was said by Archbishop Lefebvre of Geneva, who has become the darling of these circles through his refusal to follow the Vatican's progressive church reforms.

Unfortunately, Prince von Schwarzenberg was unable to attend. While under investigation last month for suspected involvement in the murder of former Italian Prime Minister Aldo Moro, he died in a mysterious automobile accident.

August Count Kageneck, writing in the Hamburg daily *Die Welt*, gave the meeting a rave review, and especially raised up the plight of South Tyrolean People's Party, which is accusing the Italian government of ruining the "ecological system of the Alps region."

In order to demonstrate that they are dead serious about their "Europe of the Regions" strategy, a wave of bombings hit France only days after the meeting's conclusion. Many were small bombs set off locally by Corsican and Breton terrorist groups, but one quite large explosive destroyed a gallery of the palace at Versailles. So far, two Breton terrorists have been arrested. Count Kageneck explained that the attack on Versailles was a protest against "fanatical Jacobins" such as President Giscard d'Estaing and the Gaullists.

Stopping Schmidt

Other aristocrats have been concentrating on halting Chancellor Schmidt's domestic nuclear policies:

* A meeting occurred last week between Otto Count Lambsdorff, Schmidt's out-of-favor Economics Minister; Andreas Count von Bernstorff, a large landowner in Lower Saxony; and Christoph Freiherr von dem Bussche, a lay head of the German Evangelical Church (EKD). The three noblemen agreed that neither Bernstorff nor the EKD itself would sell any land to the state government to construct the much needed nuclear

waste dump near the town of Gorleben (causing great rejoicing among the "greenies" known to operate out of the cellar of Count von Bernstorff's castle).

* The Hamburg weekly *Die Zeit*—whose editor is Countess Marion von Dönhoff—published a letter from Rainer von dem Bussche pleading for the inclusion in state and federal parliament of the environmentalist "citizens initiative" groups, in order to fight against the "autocracy and uncontrollability of the government."

* Friedrich Freiherr von Westphalen, Chairman of the Association of German Forest Owners, wrote in the newspaper *Rheinische Merkur* that West German conservatives must become more environmentally minded, and must follow the example of Erhard Eppler, a Social Democratic associate of Willy Brandt, in conducting a "reevaluation of values," such as industrial growth and nuclear energy.

* Otto von Habsburg himself has praised Willy Brandt for his opposition to Schmidt's nuclear policies. Brandt recently stated that the antinuclear groups "can do a lot of good," but it would be even better if the Social Democratic Party as a whole adopted their policies.

The Vatican and the Grand Design

The fact that last week's meeting in Paris was kicked off with a mass from Archbishop Lefebvre is a significant indicator of the aristocrats' attitude toward the ascendant humanist faction within the Vatican, at present a crucial international mover of the Grand

Design. At the Paris meeting, Lefebvre accused the Vatican of having been "infiltrated by Freemasons" and of being under the influence of the Devil. Otto von Habsburg agreed, stating that the Vatican "cannot represent Christianity because it is implicated in the Ostpolitik" (i.e., Italian development deals with the Soviet Union in coordination with the Schmidt-Brezhnev economic treaty signed in May).

Responding to these and other attacks, Pope Paul VI sternly warned Lefebvre that "the moment of truth has come": he must either recant or else he will be excommunicated. The West German left-liberal daily, *Frankfurter Rundschau*, however, has strongly advised the Pope instead to "negotiate" with such "dissident elements."

It is hardly coincidental, therefore, that Willy Brandt is including the Vatican in his tour of European capitals to begin this week. Brandt will be trying to pry European leaders away from the Grand Design in favor of the "common fund" and "commodity stabilization" hoaxes put forward by the World Bank's "Brandt Commission." Before departing, Brandt pleaded that he would stop criticizing Eastern European countries for their inadequate development aid to the Third World, if only they would join his commission.

The feudal aristocrats have indeed become so desperate that they have dragged out some big antihumanist philosophical artillery: Sir Karl Popper, the Vienna-born, London-based chief spokesman of the

Vatican Takes Aim At The British Monarchy

In a bold attempt to quarantine the British oligarchical forces who are set to demand full allegiance to their aims at the Bonn Summit, the Vatican has taken aim at the heart of the beast: the British monarchy itself. Representing a key strand of the international humanist alignment, the Vatican has issued a pointed attack on the "outlaw" nature of British policy which has historically attempted to subvert motion toward worldwide progress and development.

The Most Reverend Thomas Winning, Catholic Archbishop of Glasgow, Scotland — and the Vatican's representative in Britain — has issued almost daily polemics against Prince Charles, the heir apparent to the British throne, since Prince Charles's public denunciation of the Pope last weekend.

The ostensible issue which provoked Charles's peevish attack was the Pope's refusal to bless the marriage of Anglican Prince Michael, the Queen's cousin, to Baroness Marie-Christine von Reibnitz, a Catholic divorcée and a member of the Austrian aristocracy. The Pope's refusal to allow this oligarchical alliance to take place in a Catholic Church prompted an immediate outburst from the British heir, who told a Salvation Army gathering

that for the Pope to meddle in such epistemological matters was "worse than folly" and that Christianity should not bog itself down with "academical or theological concerns."

Immediately retaliating, Archbishop Winning declared himself "outraged" at Charles's slanders and challenged the prince to put his "own house in order." In a BBC interview on Sunday, July 2, the Archbishop sharpened his attack on the monarchy, calling for a repeal of the laws of succession which bars Catholics from holding the throne of England. In an age of equality, justice, and human rights, said Winning, "I feel that the law of succession is rather anachronistic." He was referring to a 1701 law that ensured that the British crown could only pass to descendants of the Protestant Houses of Orange and Hanover.

The Archbishop's barbs were explicitly directed at the Anglo-Dutch oligarchical networks which to this day still wield extensive political control in Europe, in opposition to the forces representing a higher morality of reason and humanism. It is on precisely this account that the Archbishop raised the question of Prince Charles's "woolly" brand of Christianity, which makes no distinction between good and evil, right and wrong — except as it suits the British crown.

Aristotelian school of logical positivists founded by Ludwig Wittgenstein.

Attacking the very heart of the Schmidt-Giscard-Brezhnev strategy of city-building based on Neoplatonic politics of reason, Popper wrote this week in *Die Welt* that the Greek philosopher Plato "had a conception of human life which I find repulsive and downright horrifying. . . . His weakness was . . . that he believed in the existence of a philosophical élite." Spinoza, who "taught how to tame the passions . . . through Reason," practiced an "untenable and dangerous form of rationalism," according to the ideological fascist Popper, who much prefers the Aristotelian world view of the British Royal Society's fraud, Isaac Newton.

6. Monetarists: Stop Grand Design At All Costs

'Grand Design Isn't Rational'

Following are excerpts from an article appearing in the newspaper of the Zürich gnomes, Neue Zürcher Zeitung, July 4, entitled "Is Bonn on a Separate Path?":

Brezhnev is crusading against American foreign policy. . . . We are now registering, however, an interesting variant: Bonn is being excluded from Brezhnev's blanket criticism of the West, and German-Soviet relations are given the highest marks and raised up as an important element of stability. . . . Once again, this brings up the questions which have been floating around ever since Brezhnev's visit to Bonn and its enthusiastic endorsement by Moscow. These questions concern a possible "turn" in German-Soviet relations. . . .

Prying the West German state away from its Western alliances indeed clearly remains the aim of Soviet policy, and in Bonn there are apparently some prominent leaders with dreams of a future socialist Germany who agree with these intentions. . . . Silent, perhaps even verbal agreement exists between Brezhnev and Schmidt in their criticism of those statements from American politicians which are denounced as a disruption of détente. . . .

A policy in Bonn which would allow itself to be led against America out of mistrust, would also have to be constantly aware that its complement—namely, mistrust of Germany, which exists not only in Washington and is not merely latent—exists as well. Some people may put some trust in Giscard, who has gone a good way in seconding Schmidt's criticism of American policy. But such a defensive Franco-German common front would rapidly come to an end once German-Soviet relations intensified.

And furthermore: Is it conceivable that Germany would completely alter its security arrangements, which

are essentially based on American nuclear power and its obligations, on the basis of a piece of paper, a nonaggression treaty for example, i.e., on the wolf's mere promise that he won't eat the lamb? This is in fact the highly reckless perspective for a "Finlandization." All the speculation one hears nowadays about political alternatives is not proceeding rationally. But neither these Grand Designs nor their propagandists are rational, especially in Germany.

Here, portions of Rowland Evans and Robert Novak's column, "Brezhnev Courting Bonn," of July 3:

The Soviet campaign to cast doubt on the dependability of the U.S. is in full bloom across Europe, particularly here in West Germany, which for 30 years has been the root of U.S. European strategy against Moscow.

The swell of this Soviet campaign is everywhere, sweetened with the apprehensions of America's NATO allies as to whether the Carter administration really knows what it wants and how to get it. . . .

Such Moscow-inspired atmospherics have clearly had an effect on Chancellor Schmidt, who is burdened with a virulent left wing. Without clear U.S. leadership for West Germany and other European states, Schmidt will hardly say anything nasty about Soviet adventures in Africa, Afghanistan, South Yemen or elsewhere.

The British Press Response

After weeks of insisting that British Prime Minister Callaghan's five-point program for inflationary "expansion" and energy consumption cuts would be the major point of discussion at the Bonn summit, the British press has bowed to reality, admitting that France and West Germany have prepared a completely different approach:

Financial Times, "Herr Schmidt Means It," July 6:

"Who would have thought even a year ago that Chancellor Helmut Schmidt, that apostle of economic convergence and derider of monetary conjuring tricks, would be leading the drive for a wider zone of currency stability in Europe?

Almost as striking, they say, is his style of "personal diplomacy" to get his view across. The future? A combined German-French control of the EEC Council of Ministers to push this policy through in Europe.

Here the striking thing is not the German perusal of these objectives (currency stabilization, enlargement of EEC membership to include Greece, Portugal and Spain, and export earnings stabilization for the less developed countries—ed.)—which is already well known. It is—again—the degree of close collaboration with the French whose six-month term as council president succeeds Germany's. The desire on both sides is to secure as smooth a transition as possible—so that the French can pick up more or less where the Germans left off and continue to head in the same direction. . . .