

LaRouche or fascism

Labor Party leader only candidate opposing Carter reorganization

The choices posed for the American electorate have become sharply posed this week as details leaked out of sweeping governmental reorganization plans being implemented by the Carter Administration which closely parallel the legislative steps that paved Hitler's way to power in Germany. With the package being pushed by precisely those Administration figures—Cyrus Vance, James Schlesinger, etc.—who are most closely associated with efforts to place Republican Alexander Haig in the White House following the 1980 elections, the only clear-cut alternative to date is U.S. Labor Party chairman Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., who has gained recognition through his precise warnings of the war danger posed by the Carter Administration's geopolitical policies, and for his program for expanding industry and world trade.

The question now: To what extent will LaRouche garner the support of key layers from business, industry and labor for his fight to preserve the American System of economic progress? LaRouche and his supporters have widely circulated a program to bring the faltering U.S. economy out of its depression doldrums. That approach, first outlined by LaRouche in his 1975 proposal for an International Development Bank, called for the United States' immense industrial capacity to be utilized in technology transfers to the lesser developed countries. Specific proposals were outlined to step up the U.S.'s exports, and particularly its nuclear technology.

LaRouche and his collaborators have shown that an era of economic growth is not only possible, but that it is the desire of Europe, the East bloc, and what LaRouche estimates at 70 percent of the American public.

The difference between LaRouche's program, and the Schachtian austerity and government reorganization package of the Carter Administration and Haig forces is clear, but there is an alarming tendency among industrialists, e.g. in steel, to accept the Schachtian package propounded by the Haig forces.

Key sections of the population are already beginning to recognize that LaRouche is the effective alternative.

On April 12, Dr. William Banks, supreme president of the International Masons and president of WGPR-TV in Detroit, announced his endorsement of the LaRouche campaign. Citizens for LaRouche spokesmen say that there are more such endorsements in the works.

—Anne-Marie Sawicky

Masons' leader endorses LaRouche for President

Following is the text of the presidential endorsement of U.S. Labor Party chairman Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., by Dr. William V. Banks. Banks is supreme president of the International Masons and president of WGPR-TV in Detroit.

I, Dr. William V. Banks, endorse Lyndon H. LaRouche for President of the United States of America in 1980. I have met with Mr. LaRouche and he has the moral fiber of an individual who can get the United States out of its present crisis. Not since the Civil War of the last century have we had such a crisis of leadership. Abraham Lincoln had the moral capacity to pull behind him black and white labor, industry, farmers, bankers, and small merchants to reinstitute the American System of progress, scientific development, and education necessary for future generations of Americans. Of all the presidential contenders, Mr. LaRouche is the only one who represents the qualities of Lincoln.

A new type of Civil War has ripped across our country. Whole sections of our young people are being destroyed through the use of drugs. They need a leader to look to who represents a productive future for them and their posterity. Our young people must have a generation on whose shoulders they can stand and are able to use this experience to increase their own morality and will. From my personal experience with Mr. LaRouche I feel he has dedicated his life to the future of our children.