Behind Britain's 'fourth man' scandal

New 'Splinter Factor' operation launched

Britain's Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher rocked the country last Thursday by providing the official backing of her government to the lying and incompetent assertion that Sir Anthony Blunt, the keeper of the royal family's art collection for most of the post-war period, was an "in place" agent of the Soviet Union's intelligence services, sequestered in the circles of the royal court.

There are two immediate objectives in back of Thatcher's announcement. First the latest crisis within Britain is designed to create the smokescreen behind which the political leadership within Britain committed to the lunacies of the Haushofer-Mackinder geopolitical doctrine can attempt to pull off yet another "Operation Splinter Factor" coup inside the Soviet bloc.

This, the primary purpose of the exposes, is designed to strengthen the hand of the "revolution through hunger" Soviet faction associated with the ex-President of the Soviet Union Nikolai Podgorny.

In the past Podgorny has been the leading public spokesman among Soviet officials for the left-wing version of the geopoliticians' dogmas. Under these terms Soviet policy aims are stupidly calculated in terms of the extension of military arrangements in the Third World, such as bases and so forth, usually established in the wake of British- or Jesuit-provoked Jacobin upheavals.

This faction concomitantly opposes economic cooperation with the industrialized nations of the West on the basis of "class struggle" lunacies. The British are therefore seeking as their primary objective to unleash the kind of bloody struggle within the Soviet Union which will terminate the war-avoidance strategy through economic development typified by the deals concluded in the recent period between President Brezhnev and Giscard d'Estaing, the President of France, along with Helmut Schmidt, the Chancellor of West Germany. It is an effort aimed at helping to trigger a war. Podgorny's presence at the anniversary celebrations of the Great October Revolution earlier this month was the public signal that the preconditions for launching the operation had been met.

A concomitant of this primary aspect of the affair is that the same British circles are thereby hoping to strengthen the hand of the "right-wing" exponent of the same geopolitical doctrines, paperclip General Alex-
ander Haig, in the 1980 elections in the United States. By launching the operation in the way they have the British have now exposed their international puppet master capability fully, whether that capability is labeled “right” or “left.”

The secondary objective of the scandal is designed to throw off balance those forces within Britain who oppose the implementation of the full lunacies of the geopolitical doctrine. Unlike the doctrinaires, this faction draws the line at the implementation of policies which will lead to the outbreak of thermonuclear war. The assassination of the Queen’s cousin, the Earl Mountbatten of Burma, earlier this fall was designed to remove the leading political obstacle within British ruling circles to the implementation of the plan.

Mountbatten led a group of forces grouped around the British monarchy itself, which followed his line against the war-provoking idiocy of those who control the puppets Haig, Kissinger, Podgorny, et al. The British monarchy is therefore itself under attack, with broad hints of an abdication-style crisis looming, in order to discipline that potential opposition faction back into line.

In both of these ventures Britain’s ideologically-blinkered Prime Minister will discover that she herself has been set up for a royal prat-fall by her insane advisers and their political overlords. Unleashing a political crisis of this nature in conjunction with prefer­vid efforts to destabilize both the Middle East and the international monetary system will rapidly accelerate the rate at which world events move out of the control of British game-masters and their American and European based associates, as these British lunatics deliberately act on both sides of the east-west divide—they themselves created—to lower the threshold on the early outbreak of nuclear war.

As is usual in such spy scandals the surface trail of apparent fact is not what is most significant in the actual pattern of developments. When the British press, the most controlled and censored in the world, begins to generate acres of coverage devoted to recounting the salacious detail of who ran what spook caper when, then one knows for sure that one is being led by the relevant part of the anatomy toward a stinking red herring.

The spy scandal pattern

This publication has led the way in the recent past in exposing the fact that neither Philby, nor Burgess, nor Maclean were ever Soviet agents. The relevant authorities in the Soviet Union know that. The relevant authorities in France know that, and have said so on their national television station. Even the British in their different tongue in cheek way have as much as admitted it. Blunt is no different. Two competently defined areas of investigation make that clear.

Firstly, it is necessary to review the historical pattern of the post-war period. It is the case that every time the Philby-Burgess-Maclean scandal is surfaced as in 1945-46, 1951-52 and 1963-64, that development is either prior to or coincident with a major change in the ranks of the Soviet leadership. The latest “fourth man” scandal is no exception to that pattern.

For over the past year, the period in which this operation has been in the works on both sides of the Atlantic, the question of the succession to Brezhnev has obsessed British ruling circles. In that same period the New York Times has even called editorially for a new 1930’s style purge process to be unleashed within the Soviet bloc. In fact the policy is designed to bring about a new Tukhachevsky style purge.

It is also not accidental that the spy capers all grid together with major changes in leadership in the U.S. itself.

It is the case that every time the Philby-Burgess-Maclean scandal is surfaced as in 1945-46, 1951-52 and 1963-64, that development is either prior to or coincident with a major change in the ranks of the Soviet leadership.

The stupid or naive might thereby be tempted to think that this is thereby an argument for the efficacy of such spook operations in and of themselves. It is not. This is made clear by examining the second area for investigation, the commonality between Burgess-Maclean-Philby and now Blunt.

All of them are graduates of Trinity College Cambridge.

Again much press coverage generally devotes accounts of the successive spy-capers to recounting the vulnerability of poor sodomic British students in the 1930’s to the blandishments of “communist ideology.” Such accounts again detract from the overall significance of supposed academic institutions like Trinity College. It is sufficient to point out that the man most continuously associated with that college from the 1890’s down to his death in the early 1970’s was the evil Bertrand Russell. Like Philby, Burgess, Maclean and
Blunt, Bertrand Russell was for his life-time a member of the sodemic “Apostles” cult.

Trinity College Cambridge in the intervening period has been one of the principal centers in which the epistemological outlook which underpins the geopoliticians’ doctrines, and the variants of that doctrine tailored for specific social layers in specific nations, have been developed and given a political packaging to enhance their credibility in the eyes of the gullible.

In political warfare against science, against political factions which tend to favor the primacy of scientific and technological development, Trinity has led the way in developing the outlooks which enable gamemasters to subvert such positive orientations in the furtherance of their own designs. Trinity has thus spawned scientific cults, left-wing cults, right-wing cults, militarist cults, pacifist cults, all deployed on behalf of the same objective. Under Russell’s direction Trinity College, Cambridge became from the first decade of this century a principal spawning ground for the bestial outlooks of the “New Dark Age” faction. The “apostles” have been key to that effort.

It is merely necessary to point out, in connection with the four spies, that Trinity College, Cambridge was the principal location within Britain in which the modern version of Jacobin mass struggle ideology, “Marxist-Leninism” was developed in the 1920’s and 1930’s by operatives like Maurice Cornforth and Maurice Dobb functioning under the leadership of historian G.M. Trevelyan. Their aim was the same as with the “radical-environmentalist” forces sponsored against the capitalist development policies of the tsar governments of Witte, et al. to destroy the basis for industrial development in Russia.

This was nothing new. It is literally the case, and will be documented so shortly, that the principal corpus of what is known as “Marxist” was cooked up by the British through their agent of influence Friederich Engels’s control over Karl Marx. The Trinity College boys developed an outlook, their same old radical anti-progress outlook, covered with the linguistic dressing of “Marxism-Leninism” to create the environment within Soviet policy making circles in which maneuvers like the present one can be credibly pulled off. Spooks are merely an element in the package as a whole, not the determining element, as the case of Trinity College’s Isaac Newton demonstrates.

This should be no surprise. The same people have, after all, been doing the same thing in the United States for the last two hundred years, around spin-offs of the old “free trade” gambit cooked up by Adam Smith.

The spy-scandals have been deployed on both sides of the Atlantic to further the position of anti-progress factions in targeted countries. The atom-spy caper unleashed in Canada and the U.S. after World War II is of course exemplary. The British were able to manipulate the paranoid susceptibilities in both U.S. and Soviet elites to bust up the Third World development perspectives agreed on between Roosevelt and Stalin during the war. By doing so they maintained their Malthusian control over the colonialized populations, and created a political situation in which the world could be almost literally threatened, from minute to minute, with nuclear holocaust. The historical succession of the deployments of Burgess, Maclean and Philby was designed to maintain that capability. So it is now.

Geopolitics and war

Twice during the course of this century to date the ruling circles of the United Kingdom have plunged the world into war. Twice they have found themselves fighting the kind of war they had neither planned, nor were equipped for. This insane aspect of British strategic thinking has been repeatedly attacked by Lyndon H. LaRouche, a presidential candidate who is a contributing editor to this publication. The present “splinter factor” operation involves the modernized version of such issues. The faction which manipulates the Thatcher “Barbie-doll” is intent on repeating that blunder for the third time this century. If they are allowed to succeed again, they will destroy the human race.

Now, as twice previously, the British blunderers are committed to implementing the insanities of the Brooks Adams/Halford Mackinder/Karl Haushofer geopolitical strategic doctrines. Twice Germany has been primed to march east to break up the Eurasian “heartslands” for British masters. Twice, Germany, to secure its own industrial base for the sustenance of a British-imposed war machine, marched west. The same blunder is now planned with China as the replacement for the elements of the German based machine the British forces controlled. Now as in the previous cited cases the Cambridge apostles line up with the geopolitical gamemasters.

The doctrine as such was designed in the 1880’s and 1890’s in the British administrations controlled by Robert Cecil, the 3rd Marquess of Salisbury. It was intended to prevent the emergence of an alliance of the major industrialized powers, especially Russia, Germany, Japan and the United States, for the shared, common-interest purpose of developing the Third World, the “rimlands” of geopolitical doctrines.

Beyond this, the doctrine was designed to induce into the leadership elites of the targeted industrialized nations themselves the type of brainwashed psychosis that would leave such elites defenseless before the further thrust of the policy, identified in British writings from the first decade of this century as the “New Dark
Age.” Trinity College Cambridge was key in this along with the Cecils’ colleagues at Oxford.

The modern version of the almost century-old doctrine in back of British policy commitments has been circulated publicly since the late 1960’s in the various outpourings of the Club of Rome. The world’s population is slated to be reduced by 50 percent from present levels between now and the end of the century, to achieve a “stability” in which the fruits of scientific and technological progress no longer threaten the oligarchy’s rule through their capacity to uplift and enable human moral and political outlooks. Control over aspects of policy-making processes in both the Soviet Union and the U.S. is key to bringing the plan off. For this faction thermonuclear war is an acceptable means for the accomplishment of the objective.

The efforts to implement this policy have over the century generated a series of factional blood-letttings within Britain itself. It is only now, for example, in the “fourth man” affair that dead bodies left over from the squabbles of thirty or forty years ago, and even longer, come floating to the surface.

There are primary issues involved. Thus in the recent period Mountbatten had distinguished himself repeatedly from the kookier elements of the oligarchic circles by drawing a firm line across the point at which the implementation of the dark age perspective threatens to unleash general nuclear war. Mountbatten’s faction is known in Europe as the “realists.” He had shown in several speeches before his death, in particular an address given in Strasbourg last May, that he had understood the interrelationship between the geopolitical doctrine and the blunders that have led to two previous world wars this century. With him in place the monarchy would not lend its support to back all the way the policies agreed on by the rest of the oligarchy.

The nuclear war issue thus provides depth and a new kind of importance to the faction fight which has repeatedly wracked Britain over the last 100 years—in particular, from the “Jack the Ripper” murders onwards.

The Jacobite conspiracy

Despite ritual protestation of loyalty to the Queen and so forth, the United Kingdom is not a monarchy. It is an oligarchy, and it has never been anything else. The reigning monarch under such arrangements is a kind of primus inter pares whose function it is to provide an institutional reference point for the regulation of intra-oligarchical squabbles, keeping them short of jeopardizing the rule of the oligarchy as a whole, while also providing a reference point for the institutional loyalties of the sheep-like population in general. British politics over the last century is characterized by bouts of more or less intensive infighting within the ranks of the oligarchy over the respective weight in decision making of the monarchy vis-à-vis whatever collection of Dukes, Marquesses and so forth can be ranged against it.

The case of Edward VIII is exemplary in this regard. Edward was not dumped because of Mrs. Simpson. The royal marriage provided a convenient pretext for the oligarchy to reimpose discipline on the monarchy. Edward was seeking to mobilize a political party within Britain to support his plans to commit the country to an outright alliance with the British puppet Adolph Hitler, for an immediate war against a Soviet Union reeling from the Tukhachevsky affair. Edward’s pretensions were stamped on by those who “felt such matters should be handled somewhat differently.” And there are those inside the Soviet Union who are still confused by the outcome of that fight. The opponents of Edward VIII were not therefore supporters of Tukhachevsky, or his plan, even if that apparent opposition did provide a credible basis for leaving visiting cards in the Kremlin.

Twice during the course of this century . . . the United Kingdom has plunged the world into war. Twice they have found themselves fighting the kind of war they had neither planned, nor were equipped for.

If one looks behind the Mrs. Simpson affair one finds that the British oligarchy can be conveniently sorted into two principal factional alignments. These can be called a Jacobite faction and a Royalist faction. British politics also divides in that way, with representatives of both factions in all major parties, trade unions and so on. The National Miners Union, for example, associates—for largely real estate reasons—with the Jacobites.

The Jacobites are based historically on the combination of forces which brought James Stuart onto the English throne in 1604. Their principal factional leadership in the intervening nearly four centuries has been drawn from the Cecil family within England, and from the collection of large land-owning families which straddle the border area between Scotland and England, extending up into the Scots lowlands.

In general this faction represents the core of cultism
in British politics today. Whether nominally Anglican, Catholic, or Presbyterian, their emphasis on the cult side of religious activity associates the faction historically with the continental European nobility headed up by Hapsburg and Palavicini families, and with the bestial methods of the Jesuit order. This was, of course, the external combination which created the internal British alliances to secure the Stuart succession in the first place.

The fact that Queen Elizabeth II is slated to resign the monarchy in a replay of the abdication crisis of Edward VIII, has been persistent gossip among the circles connected with James “Jesuit” Angleton in the United States.

The Jacobite faction is responsible for the creation and elaboration of the hideous geopolitical doctrine in the 1880s and 1890s. In that respect there is an element of deception in Cecil Rhodes’ assertion that the Round Table organization was modeled on the Jesuits. It was in fact formed by the British based wing of the Jesuits' political network, in the process of Robert Cecil’s successful 1880’s and 1890’s efforts to take advantage of Queen Victoria’s insanity to reduce the powers of the monarchy, and to neutralize Victoria’s heir, the morally corrupt Edward VII, as a political force. Cecil would never allow Edward into his house. The “Jack the Ripper” scandals used to frame up Edward and his faction for blackmail are indicative. Equally indicative is the fact that both sides in the fight were such moral obscenities as to tolerate such terms of combat.

The upstart and stultified House of Windsor has fought a rearguard battle to defend its own position from the British-based allies of the continental nobility and its Jesuit order. Its political allies have generally been too hidebound and stupid, gutlessly tied to the ritual idiocies of convention, to mount an effective defense—like R.A. Butler, put out to pasture as head of Trinity College, Cambridge, as a reward for capitulating to Home in 1963.

The present political leadership of the Jacobite faction within Britain emerged during the early 1960s, during the scandal-wracked years when Lord Mountbatten’s control over Britain’s ludicrous armed forces and military intelligence capabilities was broken, and his defense production pork-barrels scrapped. Alec Douglas-Home, the 14th Earl Home, David Ormsby-Gore, the Lord Harlech and their lieutenant Lord Carrington are the relevant figures, who stepped into political leadership positions at that point. They have acted consistently to undercut the Queen since that point. Home, the recently retired chairman of the Bilderbergers, is Henry Kissinger’s British patron.

Home is the political front man for the group of Scots and English ducal houses, the Buccleughs, Hamiltons, Egertons of Sutherland and Percys of Northumberland which provide the northern base of the alliance. His forefathers were border area carpet-baggers enriched by James I (1604-1625). The family is historically on the Jacobite side. Ormsby-Gore is a by-product of the Cecil family’s breeding activities, and an in-law of the Kennedys. They associate with the Fitzalan-Howard Dukes of Norfolk in the South.

The Jacobite faction has maintained a commitment to either break, or takeover the beneficiaries of the 1701 Act of Succession by which the House of Hanover, the direct antecedents of the present Windsors, took over the British throne. Margaret Thatcher, whether she knows it or not, is the agent of this Jacobite faction. Home and Harlech in the early 1960’s oversaw the development of the Cuban Missile crisis, and took care of the political clean-up job conducted afterwards, in both the United States and the Soviet Union. Philby’s deployment east via Beirut was part of the operation. It was at that time that Blunt was placed in cold storage in a closet in Buckingham Palace.

The present operation
The evolution of the present “splinter factor” operation can be traced with a reasonable degree of accuracy over the past year. This is facilitated by the fact that the plans to destabilize the Soviet leadership were dusted off for implementation by the same circles which concomitantly deployed to assassinate the Earl Mountbatten of Burma.

Many hypocritical tears have been shed for Mountbatten in the British press, not least of course by those who now put themselves forward as the defenders of the Queen from Margaret Thatcher’s investigation. It can be assumed that such displays are nothing more than the usual hypocritical coverings of the workings of evil little minds.

Over the past year, the fact that Queen Elizabeth II is slated to resign the monarchy in a replay of the abdication crisis of Edward VIII, has been persistent
gossip among the circles connected with James “Jesuit” Angleton in the United States. The same circles desire to see the Queen replaced by her son Charles, who would be promptly married off to the Princess Marie-Astrid, the daughter of the Grand-Duke of Luxembourg who happens to be a Hapsburg. This line has also been among the transmission belts for the concomitant circulation of attacks on Mountbatten himself.

Thus an associate of the Angleton networks, William F. Buckley, a member of the same New York Conservative Party that was established by Mr. Bolan, a law partner of Roy Cohn, chief of the oligarchy’s assassination bureau Permindex, published a book last year which specifically targeted Mountbatten for assassination. The Queen’s cousin was identified as a Soviet agent infiltrated into the royal family itself. In the book he was violently removed from the scene of the action.

Over the same time frame Angleton was ostensibly working with British Broadcasting Corporation journalist Anthony Boyle to secure the documentation, from State Department channels via the networks associated with Angleton in that agency, that Blunt was indeed the fourth man. Blunt was profiled under the cover-name “Maurice” in Boyle’s book “Climate of Treason” which was published within Britain on Nov. 5, the anniversary of the 1605 Jesuit Gunpowder Plot against the English opponents of the Stuart succession. Press review and discussion of Boyle’s book was used to generate the climate in which Margaret Thatcher addressed the issue in the House of Commons.

These elements are indicative, but not real in and of themselves. They are different aspects of a “cut-out” operation designed to cover the traces of the faction within Britain which is now on the loose. Any significant foreign-based “hit operation” against a prominent, politically active member of Britain’s royal family could only succeed with the complicity of the highest levels within Britain itself, for such an operation would be monitored in its early phases by operatives of the security services themselves, who despite appearances, know full well the different ingredients that have gone into the make up of the IRA from European based Jesuit networks, from American based Jesuit networks, from Israel, and of course, from British agencies themselves. Such an operation would require approval and a setup managed within Britain to minimize leaks. Only secondarily would it be run through foreign networks to allay suspicions in Britain itself.

The opponents of the kooks’ nuclear war policies would know this. They would also know that documents laundered through the U.S. State Department are not necessary to make any kind of case against Blunt.

Blunt, however, has been extremely cooperative with the forces within Britain which are leading the campaign to expose him. The cabinet, through its Secretary Sir Robert Armstrong provided him with advance warning that Thatcher’s announcement was to be made. “A common courtesy,” the official said. The spy has also chosen those representatives of the press to whom he will issue his announcements, and, not surprisingly, they turned out to be the factional spokesmen for all-the-way investigation. This grouping includes the London Times, the Observer, the old backers of the Cliveden Set, and, of course, the BBC, who encouraged Boyle in his literary activities. Was it accidental that the Sunday Times in its extensive coverage described Blunt as the author of the Boyle book?

Blunt has of course been closely connected with the activities of James “Jesuit” Angleton in the United States over the years. British newspapers have mooted a connection going back to war service years on special operations in Italy. And it is the case that Blunt, Angleton and a third man, the honorable Baron Caccia have worked closely together on all succeeding phases of the development of the Burgess, Philby and Maclean cases since World War II, and especially since the 1950’s. Caccia was the ranking official in the Foreign Office responsible to Home and Harlech in the early 1960s. He is now Grand Prior of the Most Venerable Order of St. John inside Britain, and a leading political controller of the international assassination networks associated with Permindex.

These facts are also known to the opponents of the Jacobites within Britain. On that basis, they will be judged. And the judgment will be that these realists ain’t real enough to stop the kooks from launching political operations which will lead to the outbreak of thermonuclear war.

—Christopher R. White