

## Editorial

# Two opposing strategies

During the second week of May two opposing strategies for third world development were presented at international conferences. On one side were the champions of nuclear energy and transfer of technology. On the other side, the international oligarchy dressed in radical garb, the champions of limits to growth, and "collective self-reliance."

Indian Parliamentarian and former Minister of State for Industrial Development K. Raghunath Reddy addressed a conference sponsored by the Fusion Energy Foundation and the *Executive Intelligence Review* on "The Industrial Development of India—Its Potential, Its Necessity" in Frankfurt, West Germany. "Our problem today in both the developing sector and the developed countries is the monetarists and their agencies such as the International Monetary Fund. Liberation from poverty and suffering is within the reach of man. Both technology and world resources can provide abundance. What is wanting is political will," he explained.

Two days later, a conference was held at the United Nations headquarters in New York designed to destroy or manipulate the "political will" of world leaders. The conference on "Regionalism and the New International Economic Order" was sponsored by the Club of Rome, the United Nations Institute of Training and Research (UNITAR), and the Third World Center of Economic and Social Studies (CEESTEM).

Participants called the idea that a new economic order depends on the recovery and growth of the advanced sector a key error. Rather, in a world of overpopulation, and energy and food shortages, the Third World must acquire "negotiating power" *against* the advanced sector to force a more equal distribution of the shrinking pie. But since shortages are inevitable, according to the Club of Rome, the developing sector must "achieve sufficient self reliance on the South-South level to sustain their economies until such a time as a negotiated restruc-

turing of the international economies can lift the constraints on their development."

This double-talk is the same manipulative strategy which is laid out in the World Bank sponsored Brandt Commission Report which many developing sector nations have endorsed.

For anyone who knows basic economics the Club of Rome strategy is obvious insanity. The only chance for the Third World to be pulled out of famine and misery is through transfer of technology including nuclear energy *from* the advanced sector. One need not know that the Club of Rome is an arm of NATO intelligence to smell a rat.

At the conference on Indian development, key individuals stood up to be counted against the Club of Rome genocide strategy. K. D. Malaviya, former Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals, father of the Indian oil industry and close collaborator of Jawaharal Nehru, India's first Prime Minister, defined the development plan for India elaborated by experts associated with FEF and *EIR* as a key example of the way to build world peace. Indian Prime Minister Indira Gandhi sent official greetings to the conference, and Ganesh Shukla, editor of the Indian weekly "New Wave" challenged European leaders to break with their slavery to the Anglo-American policies.

Although two dozen German businessmen, scientists and officials participated in the conference in which FEF director Uwe Parpart and *EIR* Editor-in-Chief Daniel Sneider presented a detailed, forty year development plan for India based on forecasts from the LaRouche-Riemann economic model, the presence of India's veteran statesmen was not matched by the kind of government delegation expected. West German government and high level industry representatives who had pledged their attendance or participation backed down reportedly under pressure from Club of Rome and Brandt Commission-related individuals. Mr. Shukla's challenge should be well taken.