

The Kissinger gameplan for dumping Reagan

by Nancy Spannaus, Contributing Editor

The recent treacherous activities of former U.S. Secretary of State Henry Kissinger and the current occupant of that office, Alexander Haig, are the subject of a special emergency bulletin now being circulated in Washington, D.C. by the National Democratic Policy Committee. The bulletin, authored by the committee's advisory council chairman Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. on May 16, warns that these men, working hand in glove with Federal Reserve Chairman Paul A. Volcker, the British Fabian Society operatives in the administration, the British and Dutch royal families, and the Soviet KGB, now believe they have achieved the conditions for eliminating President Ronald Reagan by autumn of this year.



Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

LaRouche's release relies heavily on the discussions both he and NDPC chairman Warren Hamerman have had with the highest-level political circles in France, Italy, West Germany, and Sweden over the past several weeks. The information gathered from this discussion is corroborated by cross-checks carried out in the United States with key figures in the Reagan administration and intelligence community.

The gameplan Kissinger and Haig are operating on, LaRouche states, is the same one that was made public last Dec. 5 in Washington, D.C. by the Socialist International. What the Brandt crew said openly there involved a two-phase operation: 1) "Hooverize" President Reagan by getting him to capitulate to the "independence" of Volcker's Federal Reserve credit strangulation policy; and 2) escalate urban riots and other civil disturbances in the conditions of stress caused by the "Hooverization."



Henry Kissinger

As LaRouche points out, the first phase of the Socialist International scenario has virtually been carried out. Already the second phase, complete with escalated terror bombings by Puerto Rican terrorist groups and police murders by a resurrected Black Liberation Army, is under way. But the additional component of this chaos creation—which was not openly disclosed at the Socialist International conference—is the participation of the Libyans and other allies of the Socialist International in provoking a wave of Italian-style international terrorism within the U.S.

It was this nexus, LaRouche underlines, that was

responsible for the attempted assassination of President Reagan. Yet these are the very groupings Kissinger and Haig are working to bolster internationally. Although Haig may not be consciously complicit in the assassination plot against Reagan, he and his crony Kissinger are the ones who stand to gain free rein for their Global 2000 genocide policy if President Reagan is killed. The situation is urgent, LaRouche emphasizes, because "the same behind-the-scenes murderers will almost certainly try again."

Especially dangerous is President Reagan's upcoming trip to the Ottawa summit, because of the Canadian government's complicity in helping to set up the previous assassination attempt.

"We are pessimistic," about the American population's likelihood of acting to stop these dangers, Mr. LaRouche continues, because most citizens persist in being "so narrowly preoccupied with short-term issues that they refuse to think through the consequences of this or that policy for their entire nation. . . . Only something close to a miracle might save the United States."

The Haig-Kissinger deals

According to abundant information often independently volunteered by leading circles in France and Italy in particular, and rigorously cross-checked, both Haig and Kissinger have been knowingly collaborating with the Soviet KGB, Libya's terrorist-backing Colonel Qaddafi, and Willy Brandt's Socialist International in order to bring the Socialist International's Craxi and Mitterrand to power in Italy and France respectively.



Bettino Craxi

In the case of Italy, LaRouche reports, Haig and Kissinger are continuing the near-treasonous activity of the Carter administration and its Italian ambassador Richard Gardner. Undeterred by the fact that Bettino Craxi is being touted in the Italian press as the "new Mussolini," that he is closely allied with Libya, and that his Socialist Party has

been regularly revealed in the front pages of the Italian press to be the leading political connection to terrorism, Haig is working to tilt the balance for Craxi. And this despite the fact that U.S. administrations before Carter always lent their support to the Christian Democratic mainstream conservatives, and that the Socialist Party only represents 10 percent of the Italian popular vote!

In LaRouche's words: "Leading circles in Italy agree: the pressure for putting Craxi into power from Haig is as bad as the pressure in support of Craxi from the Soviet KGB. They also insist that the pressures in support of Craxi from Haig are far worse than any

suffered under Carter's Richard Gardner and Cyrus Vance."

Virtually the same circles who are working with Haig in Italy—plus Israel's Prime Minister Begin, members of Arnaud de Borchgrave and Robert Moss's Le Cercle Violet, and British Secret Intelligence—have already been successful in bringing Socialist International standardbearer François Mitterrand to power in France. LaRouche points out that the defeat of Giscard was the only way that Haig could have restored his waning influence in the Reagan administration, and now will tend to precipitate a whole wave of Socialist International takeovers in Europe (see box).

One of the most important consequences of these deals, LaRouche emphasizes, is the weakening of the Brezhnev faction in Moscow, which heavily based its foreign policy on the possibility of economic deals with the West, particularly Western Europe. At the same time, the defeat of Giscard who had close personal ties to Brezhnev, and the possible similar fate for the Schmidt government, weakened President Reagan's hand in dealing with Moscow.



Donald Regan

"That brings Kissinger's cronies in Moscow, Suslov and Ponomarev, into relatively dominant power in Moscow," LaRouche concludes. Since these Politburo members are noted for their class struggle, "anti-imperialist" and autarkical approach to Soviet foreign relations, "the stage is set for U.S.A.-U.S.S.R. confrontations, chiefly in either the Middle East, in Poland, or both."

The plot against Schmidt

There is a two-phase gameplan that is being followed by Haig and Kissinger in order to topple chief Reagan and U.S. ally Helmut Schmidt, LaRouche reports. And "if President Reagan loses Schmidt, the President's position will become almost impossible."



Arthur Burns

The first phase involves attempts to sabotage Reagan-Schmidt discussion of monetary policies—a sabotage in which former Federal Reserve chief Arthur Burns is playing a leading part, according to his own volunteered declarations. Burns, along with Treasury Secretary Donald Regan, Paul Volcker, and other leading monetarists in the administration, have told the President that he should, in

substance if not in form, reject Chancellor Schmidt's proposal for interest-rate disarmament. Their reasoning is that high interest rates are presumably indispensable to "fight inflation," and that the destabilizing effects of these rates on West Germany's currency and economy are Schmidt's own "internal problem."

Yet, LaRouche explains, if Reagan rejects Schmidt's plan to lower interest rates, he is condemning Germany to a recession, and Schmidt's government to collapse. Schmidt's indispensable strategic role, best expressed by his 1978 25-year economic agreement with Soviet President Brezhnev, will be lost, and both East-West and monetary instabilities accelerated.

The second phase of the gameplan against Schmidt involves Haig directly. Haig is working overtime in order to push West Germany to up its financial commitment to NATO, a commitment Schmidt cannot meet particularly under the circumstances of Volcker's economic warfare. Under these conditions Schmidt will be trapped by the Brandt/Eppler "disarmament" wing of the Social Democratic Party, into having to oppose the military budget, and forced to muster a showdown with the United States. The showdown is presently scheduled to occur during August or September, at the same time a world economic crunch intensifies.

Haig cronies topple Giscard in France

The following is excerpted from the latest bulletin of the National Democratic Policy Committee, now circulating in Washington, D.C.

Prior to the recent French elections, most leading circles around governments and high levels of finance in Europe agreed. Haig was weakened and discredited—unless François Mitterrand defeated President Giscard in France. If Mitterrand were elected, Craxi would probably come to power in Italy, Olof Palme would come to power in Sweden, Chancellor Schmidt would fall in Germany, and the results of the elections in the Netherlands would become unspeakable. Under this wave of Socialist International victories, Haig's influence would be restored.

Who did topple Giscard in France?

- A flood of Qaddafi money, largely through Socialist International channels, in support of both Mitterrand and Chirac against Giscard in the first round, and to buy some of the Chirac vote for Mitter-

The Kissinger-Soviet KGB game

The overall object of these maneuvers by Haig and his old crony Kissinger is to eliminate any alternatives to a series of managed confrontations between the U.S. and the Soviet Union that will allow the projected death of billions under the Global 2000 scenario to proceed apace. As LaRouche points out, *both* the Kissinger-Haig duo and the Ponomarev-Suslov team are totally committed to the neo-Malthusian world outlook of Global 2000.



Philip Habib

The Middle East conflict now going on between Israel and Syria provides the most direct evidence of such collusion. In this situation, LaRouche asserts, both "the Qaddafi of Israel," Menachem Begin, and President Hafez Assad of Syria desperately wish to have a controlled war with each other in order to maintain their political power positions in their respective countries. Henry Kissinger's

rand in the second round.

- The Likud networks of Israel's Prime Minister Menachem Begin.
- The Soviet KGB, Soviet IMEMO, and Soviet left-wing factional bosses Mikhail Suslov and Boris Ponomarev.
- The friends of Arnaud de Borchgrave in Le Cercle Violet.
- Friends of Fritz Kraemer's, including Jay Lovestone and Irving Brown of Force Ouvrière.
- British secret intelligence.

The inveterate liar Henry Kissinger informed Swedish audiences this week that he mourned the defeat of "my good friend Giscard d'Estaing." Then, why did Henry work so hard to bring the defeat about, simultaneous to the enthusiastic outbursts of Alexander Haig?

Mitterrand, *unless he is forced to resign*, is committed to nationalizing large sectors of French industry—a purchase which will bankrupt the French franc—and to presiding over increasing chaos for a period of not less than a projected 13 months. (With a parliamentary election now projected for June, and French law preventing another parliamentary election for 12 months following that, if Mitterrand succeeds in pulling a government out of the results of the June elections, he will have 12 more months to promote chaos

old sidekick, Philip Habib, is well qualified to help them orchestrate it.

As LaRouche says, "Sending Habib to the Middle East is like sending an arsonist to control the outbreak of fires."

If the plan goes through, LaRouche continues, Lebanon will be divided up between the two proxies for the superpowers in the area, and a Kissinger-anointed "peace-maker," such as Kissinger friend Olof Palme, will get credit for bringing the managed war to a conclusion. The polarization will also spread to the rest of the Arab world, isolating Iraq and spreading unrest so as to cut off the possibility of any petrodollar recycling deals with Europe, such as those recently pioneered by both former French President Giscard and Helmut Schmidt with Saudi Arabia.

LaRouche reveals that his intelligence associates have confirmed a *Spotlight* report that President Reagan personally intervened in order to prevent such a war a week or so before the assassination attempt against him. Yet, even though the President was aware that Secretary of State Haig had acted to promote such a war, he did not fire him. The danger thus remains.

LaRouche directly addresses the tendency of the

American population to doubt that Haig and Kissinger could consciously work to accelerate East-West tensions in such a way as to guarantee a new depression and war. The citizen must realize that "Haig, like Kissinger, is a fanatical neo-Malthusian world federalist," he writes. "They are both fanatically committed to global-genocidal policies of the criminal sort outlined in the Carter administration's *Global 2000 Report*. As the Club of Rome and allied 'environmentalist' mass-murderers have repeated loudly and consistently, since the issuance of Meadows and Forrester's fraudulent *Limits to Growth*, their objective is to reduce the world's population by about 3 billion below the levels presently projected (without genocide) for the year 2000. . . . They know, as they have stated, that this reduction cannot be effected, except by increasing the death rate sufficiently to lower total population by 3 billion."

Will it work?

LaRouche considers it unlikely that Haig's evil deal with the Soviet KGB will work out exactly as they have planned.

The reality is that the Soviet Union has achieved military superiority within the framework of a rough parity in total strategic capabilities, he says. And that means that if such "managed confrontations" go out of control, the Soviets may in fact not sit back and let the neo-Malthusian game go on.

Such was the plan, LaRouche recalls for the reader, of the British before World War I and World War II. But it didn't turn out that way.



Mikhail Suslov

Haig and Kissinger may sincerely believe that they will destroy the Soviet Union before any possible outbreak of total war through "internal convulsions." On the other side, the equally confirmed neo-Malthusians Suslov and Ponomarev are certain that the West is destroying itself by the antigrowth monetary policies of Paul Volcker, Arthur

Burns, and Milton Friedman.

It so happens that it's the Soviets who are right.

Thus the treacherous activities of Alexander Haig and Henry Kissinger may not merely be destroying our allies, strengthening the hand of terrorist supporters in the Soviet KGB and the Socialist International who threaten our President, and coordinate with Paul Volcker in destroying the U.S. and world economy. They may also result in the destruction of the U.S. by war.

The only insurance against this, as LaRouche concludes, would be to rid the Reagan administration of Haig and Volcker post-haste.

in France and in the world affected by France.)

With the loss of Giscard, the Giscard-Schmidt collaboration is destroyed, and Schmidt may not survive past September if Haig has his way. This means that President Reagan has lost the main stabilizing factor in the world monetary situation as well as losing his major asset for dealing with Moscow. If President Reagan were to dump Haig quickly now, these effects might be offset, if not exactly reversed. Unless the President dumps Haig now, it is President Reagan who is caught in an almost impossible strategic situation.

The toppling of Giscard and then (possibly) Schmidt weakens the Brezhnev faction in Moscow, while eliminating President Reagan's principal assets for negotiating with Moscow. That brings Kissinger's cronies in Moscow, Suslov and Ponomarev, into relatively dominant power in Moscow. The stage is set for U.S.A.-U.S.S.R. confrontations, chiefly in either the Middle East, or in Poland, or both.

In the replay, or near-replay, of the Cuban Missile Crisis, Henry Kissinger and company turn up Olof Palme to provide the safety-valve channel for negotiations between Moscow and Washington, by way of Britain. . . . Henry Kissinger was just in Sweden, touching up arrangements for this operation in the wake of Mitterrand's victory in France. . . .