

The fall of Italy's government exposes Kissinger links

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., Contributing Editor

1. The fall of the Forlani government

Yesterday morning, May 26, the government of Italy's Prime Minister Arnaldo Forlani fell on schedule, as announced by the plan told to this writer's high-level sources during the 48-hour period preceding. The immediate cause for the government's collapse was a massive exposure of the administration's links to the notorious P-2 Lodge of Italy's Freemasons.

This, and many other far more important developments occurred despite the attempts of highly placed liars in the Washington, D.C. State Department offices to assure U.S. congressmen and others that the "P-2 scandal" in Italy was of relatively minor importance. Such lying from State Department sources ought to come as no surprise to those who know the truth concerning Alexander Haig, Henry Kissinger, and other persons running the State Department today.

What is the P-2 Lodge?

The P-2 Freemasonic Lodge in Italy is the chief third-level asset in Italy of the Venice-centered ruling "black nobility" families of that nation. It is the inner core of the operational political, military, and intelligence forces which control both the Socialist Party of Italy, and the deployment of both right-wing and left-wing international terrorism in that country, and such key elements of other Italian parties as the Fanfani current of the Christian Democracy, as well as the fascist MSI.

The composition of the P-2 Lodge is constructed around a hard, inner kernel of former and present officers of Italy's military and intelligence services, an organization based on leading military and intelligence officers of the Mussolini period. One of the key present figures among Lodge members is Licio Gelli, who was formerly closely associated with Mussolini's dreaded secret police, the OVRA, during the postinvasion Nazi period of Mussolini's rule over northern Italy, the post-1943 period.

Although documents show that persons from out-

side that fascist association have been recruited to the Lodge, including leading Socialist International figures knowingly sponsored by Lodge members publicly leading fascists at the time of that sponsorship, the character of the Lodge has been consciously a wittingly fascist secret organization working against the Republic of Italy.

In addition to controlling the Socialist Party and international terrorism in Italy, the controlling circle of the P-2 Lodge works in close cooperation with terrorism, drug-traffic, and smuggling of illegal capital-outflows with Libya's Colonel Qaddafi. According to declarations by both Aurelio Peccei of the Club of Rome, and Socialist Party figure Riccardo Lombardi, the P-2 Lodge represents the fascist organization which sponsored Peccei's and Lombardi's survival during the Nazi-occupation period.

We will return to the case of Peccei below.

The facts concerning this P-2 Lodge have been known throughout the postwar period to the governments of the United States, Britain, France, and the Soviet Union, as well as to the Vatican and high-level opposing circles within Italy itself. For example, as we shall indicate below, Soviet (KGB) official Djerman Gvishiani, the leading Soviet official of the Vienna-based International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, has long been a witting accomplice of both the Club of Rome's Aurelio Peccei and the P-2 Lodge. Carter Ambassador to Rome Richard Gardner, Henry Kissinger, and Alexander Haig are witting accomplices of the P-2 Lodge, as well as open sponsors together with the Soviet KGB of the Socialist Party of Italy.

The additional key, indicative feature of the P-2 Lodge's publicized roster of leading members is the high proportion of Argentines among the Lodge's members of foreign citizenry. This includes the former Svengali of Isabel Peron, Lopez Rega. In brief: Italian exiles of fascist pedigrees too hot to permit them to remain in Italy.

Aurelio Peccei of the Club of Rome was, not coin-

cidentally, long a key FIAT executive in Argentina, until British intelligence's Alexander Haig sponsored Peccei's laundering through the United Nations Organization, to become founder of the genocidalist Club of Rome.

Although, as we have stated, the P-2 Lodge is not the highest level of the Venetian operations in Italy, it is so placed that its current exposure threatens at least to cause "all the trees in the forest to fall." Immediately threatened with exposure, in addition to international networks of the Club of Rome, are major multinational firms such as FIAT, Olivetti, Siemens, as well as major international banking and insurance firms based in Venice, Milan, and Genoa.

2. The Vatican became angry

Although Pope John Paul II forgave his would-be assassin for wicked deeds past, important circles overlapping the Vatican made important decisions concerning the continuing danger of future attacks from the sponsors of the PLO- and Libya-backed Armenian professional assassin.

Go back a few years, to 1978, to the July-August period following the kidnapping-assassination of Italy's former prime minister, Aldo Moro. At that time Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. issued a policy memorandum on the Moro assassination, proposing a distinct line of corrective action against the sponsors of terrorism in Italy.

This memorandum made history in European intelligence circles. Among the side-effects, LaRouche was fictionalized as "Colonel Harris of the CIA" in an otherwise close-to-the-facts book, published in France, accounting for the "secret history" behind the 1979-1980 efforts to clean up terrorist networks. More to the point, persons close to the government of Prime Minister Giulio Andreotti approached LaRouche's associates during July 1978, proposing that a published memorandum on facts concerning the Moro kidnapping-assassination be published quickly, as a guide to Andreotti's launching counterterror actions.

Unfortunately, Andreotti punted for a while. The statement attributed to him by highest-level circles was: "This will destroy all of the political parties. I cannot." Later, however, Andreotti did act—in cooperation with French intelligence—and over the strong objections of, and in face of determined interference from, the Carter State Department and U.S. Ambassador to Rome Richard Gardner.

Although numerous courageous magistrates and others were murdered as they came uncomfortably close to the truth about the Socialist Party and P-2 Lodge, the surviving heroes continued their work. *The Socialist Party plus high-ranking families of the Christian Democracy's Fanfani and Donat-Cattin wings, together with the*

Naples-Palermo, Qaddafi-linked mafia, were exposed in the front pages of Italy's daily press as witting forces behind terrorism.

Much of the libel focused against LaRouche during the 1978-1981 period—through such organs as the *New York Times*, the *Washington Post*, the *Chicago Sun-Times*, the John Birch Society, Jay Lovestone's accomplices at the Anti-Defamation League, Roy Cohn's New York cronies, and the British Fabian Society-controlled Heritage Foundation, were motivated by LaRouche's role in pushing the exposure of the British, Venetian, and Socialist International leading roles in both the international drug-traffic and international terrorism. Robert Moss's attacks on LaRouche are very directly connected to the issues of the P-2 Lodge.

These forces behind the P-2 Lodge had nearly won the strategic game after the election of François Mitterrand in France. Bettino Craxi was to be brought to power as the openly advertised "New Mussolini" in Italy. Willy Brandt was to topple the government of Chancellor Helmut Schmidt in the Federal Republic of Germany—over the arms issue. Kissinger's crony Olof Palme was to be brought to power in Sweden. Reagan's blunder in tolerating high interest rates was to ensure the probable outbreak of *a world-shattering new U.S. Great Depression by sometime following August-September 1981.*

These forces had survived unscathed a series of attempted and projected assassinations of leading world figures—President Ronald Reagan, President Valéry Giscard d'Estaing, Prime Minister Indira Gandhi, President José López Portillo, and others. The world seemed willing to attempt to cover up such a wave of assassination-attacks under the myth of the "lone assassin."

Then, the forces behind the wave of assassinations overplayed their hand: *They attempted, unsuccessfully, to assassinate Pope John Paul II.*

Wham!—the simmering case of Italy's P-2 Masonic Lodge exploded into the open.

President Reagan had struck back only against Qaddafi. President Valéry Giscard d'Estaing had not struck back. President López Portillo had not struck back. Finally, someone had hit back against the main-line forces behind this wave of assassinations.

The P-2 Lodge is by no means the highest level of the matter, as we have stated twice earlier here. It is nonetheless a relatively very high level vulnerable flank of the combined forces of Venice and the British monarchy. Now, there is a rumbling in the forest. The Forlani government—a creation of the U.S.'s Carter administration—has fallen. The rumbling continues. *It is possible, if by no means yet certain, that "All of the trees in the forest could fall."*

Leading forces around the Vatican—and their allies around the world—know what is really at stake in this

matter. If they do not flinch as Andreotti flinched during the autumn of 1978, *this could lead to the political exposure and crushing defeat of the most evil forces ever deployed against civilization*, the forces a hundred times worse than Adolf Hitler, *the forces behind the Club of Rome*.

3. How Kissinger and Haig are tied in

The highest possible sources in France have reported to us the following picture of Secretary Alexander Haig's efforts to topple President Valéry Giscard d'Estaing.

Haig was directly complicit in witting efforts to bring Willy Brandt's Socialist International protégé, François Mitterrand, to power in France. This is clear, and irrefutable. It is clear that a more substantial effort against Giscard was coordinated from the United States of America by another associate of Haig's chief behind-the-scenes patron, former Defense Department official Fritz Kraemer, Jay Lovestone of the International Ladies Garment Workers' Union, in collusion with Irving Brown and Brown and Lovestone's assets in facilities such as Force Ouvrière and other channels.

However, Haig's significance in the outcome of the French elections was relatively minor, by comparison with his massive cooperation with the Soviet KGB in support of Craxi in Italy. The operation against Giscard was financed (chiefly) by tens of millions of dollars of Qaddafi money run through the Socialist International, and directed by British intelligence.

That latter was the significance of the publication of documentation linking Mitterrand to past British intelligence on the eve of the elections. This is key to documentation of statements by Robert Moss's sidekick, Arnaud de Borchgrave, concerning his "friends'" links to Socialist leader Michel Rocard (and thus, to Mitterrand's candidacy) prior to the election.

To understand the truth about the "Bobbsey Twins," Henry Kissinger and Alexander Haig, one must begin with the fact that both were creations of European oligarchist Fritz Kraemer. Kraemer claims to have "invented" Henry Kissinger, and all available documentation supports the gist of Kraemer's claims in the matter—as well as supporting Kraemer's privately expressed and hateful remarks concerning Kissinger's lack of character. Kraemer also claims to have arranged the career of Alexander Haig from Kraemer's controller's former chair in the permanent civil-service bureaucracy at the Pentagon. This claim is borne out in essentials by the documentary evidence.

To understand Kraemer's political significance, one must go beyond his well-known associations with the socialist Jay Lovestone and Bavaria's Franz-Josef Strauss. One must uncover the truth about Fritz Krae-

mer deep in the oligarchical primeval forests of Hapsburg Europe, in the inner, often behind-the-scenes circles of power along the Venice-Vienna-Hague-London axis. This brings one directly to the forces which created and control the Socialist International, the forces which created the fascist regime of Benito Mussolini, the fascist Austrian dictator Dollfuss, and stand behind the P-2 Lodge at a higher level in Italy today.

To clear up the basic facts about Kissinger. It was Kraemer, working in a team which included Helmut Sonnenfeldt, and under the direction of Gen. Julius

Roberto Calvi and the Inter-Alpha group

At the center of the P-2 scandal in Italy is Roberto Calvi, chairman of the Banco Ambrosiano, who has been indicted for illegal flight of capital from Italy to Argentina. Banco Ambrosiano has a wide network of financial operations in Latin America.

Calvi's Banco Ambrosiano is a member of a seven-nation group of banks known as the Inter-Alpha group, formed in 1978 under the direction of such people as Club of Rome head Aurelio Peccei. Other banks in the Inter-Alpha group include Kredietbank of Belgium, BHF Bank of Germany, Williams of Glyn-Royal Bank of Scotland, and Crédit Commercial of France. Fritz Kraemer, an old and close friend of Henry Kissinger, is known to bank with the BHF Bank of Germany.

Richard Gardner, ambassador to Italy under the Carter administration, was, until his diplomatic appointment, on the board of Inter-Alpha's securities subsidiary. (Daniele Luzzatto, Gardner's wife, is a member of one of Venice's oldest oligarchical and banking families.)

All the banks in the Inter-Alpha group own shares in each of the other banks, and the group has interbank electronic funds transfer facilities. Informed sources say that the Inter-Alpha group is a convenient setup for various old banking families in Europe to make their international banking operations easier to maintain.

In addition to their other operations, the British branch of Inter-Alpha, the Royal Bank of Scotland-Williams and Glyn is preparing to merge with the Hongkong and Shanghai Bank, long known for its connections to the Hong Kong illegal narcotics traffic.

Klein, who spotted the potential puppet-agent in Pfc. jeep-driver Henry Kissinger, and as a *schadenfroh* prank against humanity, promoted the miserable, grumbling jeep-driver into the ranks of U.S. Army counterintelligence.

Kissinger did not remain under Kraemer's direct patronage for many years after that. Kraemer passed Kissinger along to a top-ranking resident agent of British intelligence in the United States, Harvard's late Professor William Yandell Elliott. Kissinger joined a group of Elliott agents-in-training which included Daniel Ellsberg (of later "Pentagon Papers" fame), and two Canadians, Pierre Trudeau, and Zbigniew Brzezinski. Ellsberg remained a close collaborator (openly) of Kissinger's into the first year of the Nixon administration, and cosponsored the 1960s intelligence career of James R. Schlesinger. To make room for Kissinger's promotion in the British intelligence service, Brzezinski was moved out of Harvard to Columbia University, under Alexander Dallin, into a slightly different, Tavistock, Russian Studies branch of British intelligence assets in the United States.

The element of British intelligence into which Kissinger was inserted under Elliott's direction was the Wilton Park network, an organization created under the direction of Elliott's long-standing immediate superior in British intelligence, Sir John Wheeler Bennett of the London Royal Institute for International Affairs (RIIA), otherwise known as Chatham House. To finance this arrangement, Kissinger was put on the payroll of the Rockefeller family, and was sent for advanced training to the psychological-warfare division of British intelligence, the London Tavistock Institute (Sussex).

At that point, the Rockefellers come up all over the place in Kissinger's career to the present

The connection of the Rockefellers to the British Fabian Society centers around figures such as Canada's Mackenzie King and the conditioning of John D. Rockefeller II. The first major link of public record of the Rockefellers to the Webbs' Fabian Society is massive Rockefeller funding of the University of Chicago (itself a British-intelligence, Fabian project from the outset), and the backing of the evil John Dewey (among others). The Rockefellers' open connection to the psychological-warfare branch of British intelligence is dated from about 1934. Dr. Eric Trist of the London Tavistock Clinic (the seed-organization of the Tavistock Institute) drafted a funding proposal on behalf of himself and the clinic's director, Brigadier-General Dr. John Rawlings Rees. Rockefeller began coming through financially at that time.

To be scrupulously fair to the Rockefeller name, the seduction of John D. Rockefeller II by the Fabian

Society is typical of the targeting of U.S. "robber baron" families following the effects of the Specie Resumption Act of 1876-1879, through which London took over control of U.S. credit, national debt, and concentrations of commercial banking power. Through aid of overt British agents such as the Morgans and August Belmont, figures of the industrial-magnate names such as Carnegie and Rockefeller were corrupted into viewing themselves as having "arrived" into the ranks of the Colonial Division of the British aristocracy. This was reinforced, especially following the successful assassination of the anti-British President William McKinley, by a general takeover of U.S. higher education by Oxford and Cambridge Universities—along the lines outlined in the Testament of Cecil Rhodes. Out of this came evil, progenocidal institutions such as the New York American Museum of Natural History, the American extension of the racist Rhodes's scheme to eliminate nonwhite populations, *to make way for world supremacy by the Anglo-Saxon race.*

All that is massively and conclusively documented.

The particular nexus of British intelligence (and the ruling aristocracy of the royal private household) into which Kissinger's political umbilicus is plugged, is that Delphically outlined in the mammoth 1960s book of Jesuit Carroll Quigley, the network, including Lord Alfred Milner's Coefficients and Round Table organization, spewed out of the Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood of Oxford's John Ruskin and Cambridge's Benjamin Jowett.

This is key to tracing Haig's and Kissinger's direct connections to the forces controlling the P-2 Lodge, and to understanding such things as Haig's alliance with the Socialist International, and the persisting if somewhat exaggerated rumors alleging Kissinger to be a Soviet agent. When Kissinger and Haig are described accurately as British agents working against the vital interests of the United States, this does not mean that they are "Little Englanders" of the sort dedicated to strengthening British industry. These are, from the standpoint of the ordinary, moral U.S. citizen, a pair of really screwball kooks, like the British and Venetian-centered circles for which they work against the vital interest of the United States.

It is true that Kissinger is a close collaborator of top Soviet intelligence operative Georgii Arbatov, and that Haig's true patrons are forces closely allied with a top Soviet KGB operative, Djerman Gvishiani. One must understand, however, that Haig, Kissinger, and the masters of that pair of fruity "Bobbsey Twins," are fanatically neo-Malthusian "world federalists," allied to the genocidalist Club of Rome and its policies, just as are the Soviet factions associated with Suslov, Ponomarev, Gvishiani, and Arbatov.

Haig and Kissinger—like their masters of London and Venice—are fanatically anti-industrial-capitalist. They are neofeudalists, and otherwise represent feudalistic rentier-financial interests. They hate the institutions of the post-15th-century sovereign nation-state, as John Ruskin's Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood did, as H. G. Wells did, as Bertrand Russell, another leading member of Friedrich von Hayek's Fabian Society did. They hate industrial-capitalists—whom H. G. Wells attacked as "morlocks."

They are not socialists in the sense the ordinary U.S. citizen thinks of socialists. *They are neofeudalists, who created and who use the Socialist International, which they control today, as a mass-based battering ram of ideological dupes, which the neofeudalists deploy as a weapon of chaos against the institutions of the industrial-capitalist nation-state.*

The old Venice is the center of this evil in Western Christendom, and the British Empire (in its present disguises) is the chief instrument of that Venetian faction. This is the reason Prime Minister Winston Churchill personally scuttled into Italy to seize and conceal the OVRA documents which would have exposed Churchill's own complicity in keeping both Mussolini and Hitler in power for so long.

There is a nasty little official at the Library of Congress who knows all this, and who is sitting* on a large part of the relevant documentation.

There is a powerful faction around Suslov, in the Soviet Union—the old Comintern intelligence apparatus—which is allied wittingly with not only Willy Brandt's Socialist International, but like Gvishiani, is consciously allied with Venetian-centered forces a hundred times more evil than Adolf Hitler. Those are Henry Kissinger's and Olof Palme's confederates in the Soviet intelligence services. This old Comintern apparatus acquired its present character naturally. It was an offshoot of the old Socialist International, including subagents of the Venetian agent Parvus (Alexander Helphand) and such protégés of Hapsburg intelligence as N. Bukharin, D. Ryazanov, C. Rakovsky, et al., a circle which included L. Trotsky for an extended period of his political career.

That, in brief, is the key to the inside of Kissinger and Haig.

4. The truth about NATO

The cute trick in slick intelligence operations is to run a diversionary figurehead in the leading position, and to control the actual functions deployed through a front organization by less conspicuous, behind-the-scenes "controllers." That is the secret of NATO. NATO is not essentially a military command—except in the eyes of U.S. and other dupes who foot the bills. It is an

extremely sophisticated political-subversion operation against the very nations which are presumed to be its members.

President Charles de Gaulle, his patience worn out with repeated attempts at assassination of him run through NATO's Brussels' headquarters, pulled out of that organization—while remaining firmly within the Atlantic military alliance.

Up to the election of President François Mitterrand, the U.S. strategic themonuclear forces, the French *force de frappe*, and the West German ground forces, plus British supplements to U.S. naval capabilities, were the real elements of the Atlantic military alliance. The rest was predominantly window-dressing, insofar as deployable acceptable grades of forces were concerned.

The only other Western military force of any importance is Sweden's, which ranks approximately fourth or fifth in fighting capabilities, among nations of the world, and maintains the highest military capability per capita—and highest tax rates, accordingly—of any nation in the world today.

Although some leading banking forces among Mitterrand's backers have assured us directly—for information of leading U.S. circles—that Mitterrand is a weak, institutionally oriented figure who can be controlled from within France, there is admittedly reason for concern respecting France's forward capabilities. The indicated sources assure us that France's military capabilities, its nuclear-energy commitments, and France's economic orientation toward the developing sector will be maintained more or less as under Giscard. We tend to believe the intentions of our sources on these points, but doubt that they will find themselves as much in control of the situation down the line as they presently believe will be the case. We fear a radical socialist-anarchoid shift in the political geometry of France internationally, to the effect that well-laid plans based on extrapolations in the present geometry will find their controls nullified by an entirely different geometry.

It is true that NATO does coordinate military operations—with France, among others. An alliance must place coordination somewhere, and since NATO exists, these matters are naturally channeled through that arrangement. NATO also formulates strategic doctrines—such as the monstrously incompetent "Schlesingerian" MC 14/3 and MC 14/4, which we have had occasion to denounce as strategic lunacy in the past. It is in this latter aspect of nominally military matters that the true political character, and true purpose of NATO ought to be detected.

For the benefit, inclusively, of some foolish congressional military specialists who stubbornly, and smugly refuse to learn the ABC's of military science from a

historical vantage-point, we emphasize those monstrously wretched features of NATO (and Rand Corporation) dogma which betray the equally wretched, underlying political character of that institution.

The Machiavelli-Carnot principle

Modern military science was developed under the successive direction of Leonardo da Vinci and Niccolo Machiavelli, both representing a second generation under the influence of Plethon (the founder of modern political economy and principles of warfare), and Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa—who conducted a few wars against Venetian forces himself.

Leonardo created Milan's Lodovico Sforza, and directed the development of improved military and related logistical technology for his protégé. Leonardo and Machiavelli jointly created Cesare Borgia—without succeeding in eliminating the neurotic *cazzista* streak in Cesare, which led to the episode of vacillation enabling the Venetians to recapture control of Italy. One may say that Machiavelli's military writings are broadly analogous, as reflections of the work of Leonardo and himself, to Clausewitz's relatively poorer efforts to reflect the conceptions of Lazare Carnot and Scharnhorst later.

Anyone who has not mastered the work of Leonardo, Machiavelli, Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz, and Carnot on military matters necessarily knows nothing of the fundamentals of military science.

The classical case illustrating most compactly the principles of modern military science is the creation of new steel industries under direction of Carnot and Claude Chaptal, which, in turn produced the improved, mobile field artillery by which Carnot's military forces crushed not only the opposed allied forces, but also destroyed the entirety of the prevailing military doctrines of that time.

The rest of Carnot's reforms—imitated excellently by Scharnhorst—are important, but the matter of field artillery was crucial, as Commandant Sylvanus Thayer's West Point assimilated the point under Presidents Monroe and John Quincy Adams. The application of modern technology to the logistics and firepower considerations of warfare—as Leibniz emphasized the point a century earlier—was employed in forced, directed fashion, to change the physical geometry of warfare.

It was the particular, included genius of the Carnot trained by Gaspard Monge to recognize this as a problem in physical topology, and to reorder French arms according to the new geometry defined by this key "Dirichlet cut" of massed mobile field artillery.

The directly opposite policy most commonly cited is that of France's Maginot Line. The proper policy of preparing for the next war is to exploit the frontiers of

technological progress to discover the crucial "Dirichlet cut" which transforms the physical geometry of logistics and firepower of the field of battle. The fool, like the stubborn adherents of the Austrian and Prussian cabinet-warfare doctrine of the "line" in the 1790s and Napoleonic wars, always fights the "last war" in the present conflict, as the supporters of the French military doctrine of the 1930s and 1940s did. The French had superiority in tanks and rough parity in airpower, true. However, they refused to view the implications of the coexistence of mobile armed forces, and of aircraft of both tactical-support and deep-bombardment "artillery" for the changed geometry of warfare.

The principle of warfare is logistics in depth; a logistics outflanking the adversary in deployment and also in applications of modern technologies, to deliver to the adversary a decisive strategic surprise, of the sort effected through exploiting a predetermined transformation of the physical topology of war-fighting.

In contrast to this, NATO doctrine reflects a parody of the old 18th-century, pre-Carnot doctrines of cabinet-warfare. Field Marshall Montgomery did, eventually, reach Brussels, and the dismal thinking he represented in practice appears to have remained there since.

What controls NATO?

What does this wretched nonsense signify? What could so contaminate the mind of the military professional that he could tolerate such refuse?

The answer is turned up by such means as a glance backward toward the remarks of H. G. Wells and Bertrand Russell and the London meeting of the Milner Coefficients from which Russell walked out. To conduct modern warfare in the manner wars have been won since 1793-94, means to commit society to the highest possible rate of technologically vectored expansion of the logistical base in the civilian economy of industrialized nations. H. G. Wells was willing to go along with that fostering of the power of the "morlocks" one last time, in the Coefficients' retooling of British industry for the planned World War I. Russell was not. Russell walked out, Wells remained. However, as Wells stated clearly enough, there was otherwise no deeper difference between himself and Russell on this point.

When a fanatical neo-Malthusian such as Schlesinger is regarded as a serious military strategic thinker, one knows one's own military ranks have gone off the deep end. The stink of the self-induced strategic doom begins to waft out of the Pentagon and Brussels. These fanatics and their duped admirers are so obsessed with their goals of "postindustrial" or "technetronic" society, that the only thing they fear more than losing a war is the method by which it might actually be won.

So, peeling away the outer layer of the NATO

onion, its military outer skin, we begin to discover what is controlling the institution politically from inside.

There are two aspects to NATO which may be isolated as typifying the political purpose and control of the organization. There are others, but they are of the same category and purpose as are these two. One is British-controlled NATO intelligence, which is essentially an arm of the London Tavistock Institute. The second element, to be stressed here, is the OECD apparatus normally considered a subordinate feature of NATO, but actually its controlling feature.

This is the aspect of NATO which "created Aurelio Peccei" and the genocidal Club of Rome, just as Fritz Kraemer and William Yandell Elliott "invented Henry Kissinger." This British, controlling circle inside the OECD is directly and intimately allied with the highest level of the Suslov faction of neo-Bukharinites (such as Gvishiani) within the Soviet leadership. It is through that connection that Aurelio Peccei's close and long-standing collaboration with Soviet official Gvishiani was established.

What is the true objective of the forces behind the Club of Rome? It is the same objective advanced by David Hume and Adam Smith during their collaboration in producing the "Ossian" hoax during the 18th century, the myth of Anglo-Saxon rule of the world under the alleged direct descendant of the pagan wind-god, the British monarch. It was the racist doctrine of de Gobineau, and of John Ruskin's Cecil Rhodes during the late 19th century. The conscious objective of the forces who created the Club of Rome, and of the Carter administration's Global 2000 scheme for global genocide is to wipe out colored races sufficiently to make room for world-rule by the white race.

That is what our undercover operatives have confirmed out of the mouths of the top British agents who created Peccei and the Club of Rome. That is the volunteered policy we have confirmed through appropriate cross-checks.

That is the reason Willy Brandt's Socialist International seeks to intervene into the Mexican presidential succession, to bring into being a government which will lay the basis in policy for eliminating at least half of the population of Mexico over the coming period.

These are the forces which deployed the professional Armenian terrorist assassin, through safehouses funded in part by Libya's Qaddafi, to seriously attempt to kill the Pope.


The relevant circles intersecting the Vatican understand this. They have no choice but to strike back. The exposure of the fascist nest in Italy's P-2 Masonic Lodge is only the beginning of a process which, unless aborted, will cause "all the trees in the forest to fall," including such rotted specimens of lower rank as Kissinger and Haig.

The new appointees in transition team for the

by Dana Sloan

The government appointed by French President François Mitterrand may be only a transitional cabinet, with some of the 30 ministers and 12 state secretaries replaced depending on the coalition that emerges after the legislative elections on June 14 and June 21. Although the names may change, the profile of the government will not: it bears a deep imprint of the Club of Rome's deindustrialization policy with respect to both foreign and domestic policy.

Mitterrand and the rest of the Socialist Party leadership are not "socialists" in the traditional sense of agitators for the material and social improvement of working people's lives. Like the rest of the Socialist International, their economic platform converges on Friedmanism: dismantling the dirigist, technology-promoting channels that transformed France into a major power during Giscard's tenure; fostering small-scale, decentralized production alongside a narrow "technetronic" sector; and allowing the underdeveloped sector the "freedom to choose" backwardness and chaos.



Régis Debray

The new president's wife, Danielle, is a leading member of a Paris-based support organization for the El Salvador rebels. Régis Debray, author of the terrorist manual *Revolution in the Revolution?*, a handbook on armed guerrilla warfare, and manipulator of Fidel Castro and Che Guevara, is moving in as an official foreign policy adviser. Mitterrand's government is ready to play its part in the Club of Rome/Global 2000 policy of manipulating prolonged wars in the Third World to get rid of "excess" population, and to apply domestic policies that, if unchecked, will do the same under the banner of local control, regional autonomy, and decentralization.

Strategically placed members of the cabinet include:

- **Pierre Mauroy, prime minister:** Mayor of the northern city of Lille since 1973, Mauroy has been a Socialist activist for the past 30 years. An early backer of Socialist