
Documentation

What IFIAS networks say about the EIR

The *New Scientist* attack on the LaRouche organizations was the first public admission from prominent environmentalist-depopulation ideologues which control the fanatical Muslim Brotherhood that LaRouche and his associates had seriously discredited them internationally.

Simultaneous with the release of the *New Scientist* article, LaRouche's International Caucus of Labor Committees (ICLC) successfully undermined a major conference of the Muslim Brotherhood sponsored by Texas Schlumberger heiress Countess Dominique de Menil at the Rothko Chapel in Houston, Texas. Through a mobilization, the ICLC succeeded in gaining press coverage in Texas exposing Countess de Menil and the brigade of Muslim Brothers whom she invited to Houston.

Earlier in October the influential Kuwaiti daily *As Siyassah* became the first Arabic journal to serialize the book *Hostage to Khomeini* by *EIR* Mideast Editor Robert Dreyfuss, with Thierry LeMarc. According to an *As Siyassah* source, the printing of the book, which exposes the Brotherhood and its European-based pro-Dark Ages controllers in detail, has caused *As Siyassah's* readership to climb by 35 percent.

Below are interviews with British intelligence controllers and operatives on the exposés against them.

Per Lindblum: 'This group could be dangerous'

Per Lindblum, Deputy Director of IFIAS in Stockholm, also revealed that he is preparing his own exposés of EIR. IFIAS, along with the Jeddah-based Islamic Conference, is preparing a project on Islamic science which will be the ideological vehicle for introducing a low-technology model of zero-growth economic policies into the Muslim world, a project which Lindblum revealed Sardar and IFIAS Director Sam Nilsson are coordinating.

Q: I understand that Ziauddin Sardar is coordinating with Sam Nilsson, the head of IFIAS. What do you know of this?

A: Yes, Sardar and Nilsson put together the "Science and Technology" project for the Islam and the West organization. . . . As a matter of fact, I have Sardar's *New Scientist* article right here on my desk. . . .

What you should know is that this group which Sardar attacks in his article has been criticizing and disclosing the work of various people . . . and has made it very dangerous for some people. The person heading up the group is LaRouche.

Q: Why are they considered dangerous?

A: They have been attacking us for a long time. They are against the Iranian revolution, and they are putting at risk the work and life of Aurelio Peccei. They, I would say, have a touch of ultra-right-wing Nazism in them.

They are really the European Labor Party. Here in Sweden, they have been attacking various people for undermining the policies that they represent. They propagate capitalist, pro-nuclear policies. . . . They are financed, I am told, by industry . . . and obscure sources. This is a group not to laugh at. They could be dangerous.

We [at IFIAS] were subject to an interview by them in their Swedish magazine *Energi*; we were exposed to the same type of attacks. They attacked the King of Sweden and [former Social Democratic Prime Minister] Olof Palme.

Alexander King: 'They must be taken care of'

Alexander King, chairman of the International Federation of Institutes of Advanced Studies (IFIAS), and a leading member of the Club of Rome, was discovered to have been responsible for prompting Sardar to write the New Scientist attack on LaRouche and his associates. Here is what he had to say about EIR.

The *EIR* is linked to the American Labor Party. They have attacked IFIAS and the Club of Rome and they are saying that we are against poor people in the Third World. That's ridiculous; we are not a political operation. They even accused us of overthrowing the Shah. These people are a nuisance. I would not like Sardar to exaggerate their importance, but they must be taken care of.

Marcel Boissard: 'They are crazy and determined'

The following is an interview with Marcel Boissard, Director of the Islam and the West Organization in Geneva, which mediates between the Club of Rome/World Futures Society level of control and the Muslim Brotherhood. During an October conference in Paris, Islam and the West officially affiliated with the Club of Rome.

Q: What do you know about the *EIR* that Ziauddin Sardar writes about in the *New Scientist*?

A: *EIR* has attacked us in Islam and the West as agents of the Muslim Brotherhood. I've been told here in Geneva that the man behind the whole thing is Bob Dreyfuss. Bob Dreyfuss is the one who wrote the book *Hostage to Khomeini*. That book attacks the Club of Rome and everyone working with them. It's a big nuisance because it is being taken seriously by some people.

Q: What do you know about the *EIR*? What is behind it?

A: If you look at their magazine, you will see that it's very liberal, liberal-left, and very negative toward Islam. Their group is extremely anti-Arab. We have been asked about them before. I know this group. A Swiss banker friend of mine [*EIR* investigations point to Islam and the West Treasurer Nicholas Krul—ed.] is suing them. They published an article saying that he is an arms dealer working for Qaddafi. You should talk to Sardar. He has more information. . . . They have written some strong accusations. They wrote against Aurelio Peccei, against IFIAS. They are crazy . . . they want to destroy our work. . . .

But if you want more information you must contact Sardar. He met them in New York. When I talked to him last, he said that he was totally amazed by the mentality of these people . . . their aggressiveness and determination and thinking to destroy the Club of Rome.

Ziauddin Sardar: 'It is difficult to sue them'

In an interview with a journalist, Ziauddin Sardar had the following to say about EIR and LaRouche.

In Sardar's discussion of a strategy of launching numerous lawsuits against EIR, he mentions the attempt of both Robert Swann and Cyrus Hashemi to win legal cases against EIR. Swann is a longtime British intelligence agent associated with the Council for the Advancement of Arab-British Understanding. Hashemi is a known agent of Khomeini's secret intelligence service, Savama, who has acted as a liaison between Khomeini's Iran and terrorist groups in the U.S. controlled by or allied to Savama. Sardar also mentions coordinating his efforts against EIR with Donald Lesh, the former director of the U.S. Association for the Club of Rome. Lesh, an "expert on LaRouche," is now coordinator for the Global Tomorrow Coalition, which was formed out of the Carter administration Council for Environmental Quality to promote the State Department's Global 2000 policy, a policy which echoes Peccei's call for

decreasing the world's population by 2 billion people by the year 2000.

Q: How did you get to know *EIR*?

A: I met with this group about four or five months ago. I was at their office. The people who are directly involved in attacks against our work in the Middle East are Lyndon LaRouche, Robert Dreyfuss, and a woman, Judith Wyer. The person you should contact for further information on them is Robert Swann in Paris. We had originally planned a court case against these people, but Swann recommended not to bother. He tried to sue them but was not satisfied with the outcome of the suit. If you are in the U.S., you should contact Cyrus Hashemi. Hashemi sued them in New York for mentioning him in *Hostage to Khomeini*. . . . He also provided Robert Swann with information.

The Hashemi case is still pending, I think. The U.S. [Association for the] Club of Rome was also interested in doing the same. . . . I know they were considering a suit several months ago, but I really don't know if they have given up the idea. . . . Donald Lesh mentioned that they were considering dropping the whole thing recently. . . .

They have created an extensive problem for us; with the legal suits, the most we can hope for is a suspension of their publication . . . but them—they will only publish a different one. I take them semi-seriously. I think they are pretty dangerous. They must be exposed and they must be stopped, because in the Middle East, unfortunately, people feed on half-truths and lies, and have been taking them seriously. That is where they have damaged us the most.

In Britain, they are not very active. They are very anti-British. Their philosophical orientation is best exemplified by the book *The New Dark Ages [The New Dark Ages Conspiracy]* by Carol White. That pretty much sums up their philosophy. They attack Huxley et al. They are very anti-British.

Q: Don't you think you are exaggerating the importance of *EIR* and the LaRouche people?

A: Well, I try not to exaggerate. I have come across them several times, and I have been approached by several scientists complaining about them. They have been making false accusations about certain people working for sensitive governments. . . . Their false accusations are causing problems for some scientists.

Q: What government do you mean? Saudi Arabia?

A: Yes, Saudi Arabia, Iraq, Syria. At first I dismissed these LaRouche people; I thought they were lunatics. But then, one or two very scared scientists approached me, and I thought it was time to do a story. A couple of scientists had been approached by their own security people because of these false accusations.