

EIR Special Report

What the world needs to survive in the 1980s

by Editor-in-Chief Criton Zoakos



Courtesy of the United Nations

This year-end issue of the *Executive Intelligence Review* places before you a panoramic summary of the “story behind the story” respecting the momentous developments which steered the fortunes of our species during 1981. The individuals who report to you the various aspects of this past year’s developments, the authors of the articles which follow, are not ordinary journalists, nor merely astute analysts enjoying a certain reputation in their particular fields. Above all, they are men and women distinguished by the commitment to introduce a morally informed scientific perspective and outlook into the public affairs and political struggles of the various nations to which they belong. In many respects, they and their association helped shape the events upon which they are reporting.

Repeatedly during the course of this past year’s many sharp crises, this review and its distinguished founder, Contributing Editor Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., asked publicly the question: “Do we as a nation, do we as a species, have the moral fitness to survive?”

With him, our numerous subscribers and clients as well as our staff asked the same question: “Do we as a nation, as a species, have the moral fitness to survive?”

As of this writing, despite the enormity of the Polish crisis, and of the Middle East crisis, and of the world financial crisis, and of that in Central America, and of that in strategic relations, the human species has sur-

vived. We have therefore demonstrated the moral fitness to survive through the year 1981. It has been a close call. Prying into what lies immediately ahead for the human species, we can say that the modest achievement of having made it through 1981 calls for a very short and sober celebration. A much more profound, a tougher, and a better-informed “moral fitness to survive” will have to come into play if the dangers that lie ahead are to be defused and defeated.

The toll

First of all, not all the human species survived during the past year. Tens of thousands died meaningless deaths in the swamps and jungles and on the pavements of Central America, on the plateaus of Iran, in the arid, starving wastes of Africa. The shooting wars that are taking their toll are “Thirty Years’ War” population-control scenarios. There are State Department officials left over from the Carter administration who, saying what they really think off the record, take pride in the death rates among “child-bearing age females” in Central America’s combat zones. There are IMF planners and analysts who explain to journalists how they have cold-bloodedly calculated the African death-by-famine rates as a result of the IMF’s credit

Locust plague in Ethiopia: the imperative of mastering nature.

control "conditionalities." In Iran, Ayatollah Khomeini and his British intelligence and Soviet KGB backers have unleashed domestic genocide, carried out with the brutality required for executions of 9-year-old children.

This suffering is the result of policy. The politics of 1981, perhaps more than of any other postwar year, were directly determined by "species" policies, in the sense of population-control policies.

When President Reagan entered the White House in January, he inherited an administration which had been committed to a detailed world population reduction program, Jimmy Carter's *Global 2000 Report*, which in scope and scale was one hundred times worse than the genocide carried out by the Austrian hippy Adolf Hitler. *Global 2000* called for the elimination of *two billion* people worldwide by the year 2000 A.D. In a public speech during August 1980, the then Secretary of State Edmund Muskie stated that *Global 2000* would not be merely a Carter administration program but it would be a general orientation of binding character for any future American government.

After his formal assumption of office, our current Secretary of State, Alexander M. Haig, Jr., mustered enough of the English language to state that he, too, is committed to the Global 2000 doctrine of population control. A coalition of morally corrupt Senators and Representatives, goaded by a more corrupt media campaign, have continuously throughout the year fought to keep alive this commitment to genocide one hundred times worse than that of Hitler. Their fight has taken the form of ensuring that Federal Reserve Chairman Paul Adolph Volcker is not removed from his office by President Reagan.

The new President

In this and many other fields of battle, Mr. Reagan has been a besieged President. He brought into the White House his own well-known disadvantages, but he also brought an intangible asset of great political value: his personal decency is that of a man who is absolutely committed to do his moral best to rise up to the challenges confronting his high office. In an extremely adverse situation, with the worst political advice available, with inadequate prior preparation, with assassination threats and actual assassination attempts, with an increasingly chaotic world situation, with sabotage and outright betrayal among his economic advisers, with the Federal Reserve, the big banks, and the Eurodollar market at war with him, President Reagan succeeded in salvaging a sense of measure and proportion in his work. This perhaps more than anything else is the cause why we merely survived the year 1981.

With a lesser man we would have now been past the gates of the inferno. But with a true statesman, the electoral mandate given to President Reagan in November 1980 would have become the basis for having made

1981 into the beginning of a new great era of prosperity and fulfillment for the world and for the United States of America. In this sense, 1981 was not the year in which we "survived," it was the year of missed opportunities: the year 1981 will be characterized by historians as a great moment which found a little people, in the words of the great German poet Friedrich Schiller.

When Reagan came into office, the American people were ready for great things. Their vote was an overwhelming rejection of the pathetic and vicious Jimmy Carter's *Global 2000 Report*. The nation wanted its nuclear industry to be revived; it wanted Paul Adolph Volcker to be kicked out and credit to flow back into industry and agriculture; it wanted the government's finances to be taken out of the hands of New York City's treacherous "investment banks."

The secret in American popular politics is not the citizens' loud resentment of "huge federal deficits," it is the fact, which citizens implicitly know and resent, that these huge deficits place their own sovereign government at the mercy of those bankers who, in connivance with the Federal Reserve which the banks control, will market and squander their government's credit at the bankers' pleasure and for their own profit.

During January, the world strategic alignment was equally filled with great possibilities. In Europe, there existed a strategic understanding among President Giscard of France, Chancellor Schmidt of the Federal Republic of Germany, and President Brezhnev of the Soviet Union, working in tandem with Japan and Mexico's President López Portillo. The "Paris-Bonn-Brezhnev axis" had been the strategic combination which had kept the world alive during the four insane years of Carter and Brzezinski. The principals among these forces had worked out a grand strategy for stabilizing the world situation by means of an ambitious industrial and commercial revival centered around the European Monetary System, designed to channel credits for industry, R&D, agriculture, and commerce, and around the blueprints for an East-West cooperation for the purpose of developing the impoverished Third World. It was the counterpart to Global 2000.

At that time, powerful international interests, centered around a European financial mafia associated with the notorious Propaganda Due (P-2) conspiracy, the exposure of which in Italy by Vatican-allied forces later brought down the Forlani government, launched a conspiracy in France which succeeded in toppling Valéry Giscard d'Estaing and replacing him with the "pastoral socialist" François Mitterrand, a pathetic, vicious creature controlled by the same Permindex financial interests which during the 1960s had been exposed by District Attorney Jim Garrison as being behind the Kennedy assassination.

Thus, the Giscard-Schmidt-Brezhnev axis was broken. Lord Carrington's Britain took over the chairman-

ship of the European Community and thus the European Monetary System, the most effective opponent of Paul Volcker's world monetary strategy, was wrecked. The Socialist International of Mr. Willy Brandt, deployed by "conservative" Lord Carrington and Mrs. Thatcher with help from the Soviet KGB, launched a massive effort throughout Europe during the spring and summer, for the purpose of installing socialist governments à la Mitterrand throughout the continent. They promoted a large-scale, neo-Nazi movement under the guise of the green or environmentalist party and its adjuncts in the other parties, in tandem with a synthetic "peace movement" whose principal objective was to act as a battering ram for toppling governments. The new Mussolini, Socialist Bettino Craxi, made repeated and desperate assaults to take over the government of Italy. Chancellor Helmut Schmidt remained under constant attack. A British intelligence asset, Socialist Andreas Papandreou, was installed as Prime Minister of Greece, bent on two assignments: augment joint KGB-British intelligence operations in the Balkans, and provoke a new complication in the crisis-ridden Middle East around a Greek-Turkish conflict over Cyprus.

The Suslov-Ponomarev-IMEMO faction in the Soviet leadership, a faction committed to a program of destabilizations, ideological manipulation, and Bukharinite "post-industrial" schemes, took the occasion to challenge President Brezhnev's war avoidance strategy, pointing to the sad facts that Brezhnev's peace partners, Giscard and Schmidt, were either eliminated or about to be, mostly thanks to their efforts in combination with British intelligence and related forces in Western Europe. This particular Soviet faction, in fact, was caught conniving with British intelligence and allied Western European "solidarist" networks, associated with P-2, in the internal destabilization of Poland.

It is to this day the joint endeavor of the Suslov-Ponomarev-KGB faction and their British intelligence and other associated services of the West, to cause such a wreckage of the Polish nation that will necessitate a brutal Red Army intervention. Such an intervention will have the effect of catapulting into dominant positions in the Soviet bloc and in the West those very same forces, both East and West, which are now joined in the effort to cause the Polish blowout. These seemingly disparate agencies share in common an outlook and a perspective which is found in the *Global 2000 Report*.

What is it then that has kept the world alive? Those of us who are privileged with a certain knowledge of how major policy decisions are shaped "behind the scenes," cannot but feel gratitude for the wisdom of the leadership that Pope John Paul II has injected into these great matters of policy. Since the defeat of the Paris-Bonn-Moscow axis, a tenuous coordination has been improvised among Pope John Paul II, Chancellor

Schmidt, and Presidents Reagan and Brezhnev, bringing in other important forces such as López Portillo of Mexico, the present Japanese leaders, and Prince Fahd of Saudi Arabia.

This tenuous arrangement has managed to work together on major issues of war and peace, in trying to defuse critical situations. All the situations in which they have intervened so far; Poland, the Middle East, Central America, the explosive world monetary situation, remain extremely critical as the year ends. Particular strategic explosions have been averted so far, but the explosive potential within each situation continues to rise inexorably. The patient is still alive, but the fever is nowhere near breaking. The danger facing the world cannot be handled by such ad hoc arrangements.

The human species now urgently needs new wisdom through which to see its problems and work out solutions. The pathology among great numbers of people which has allowed the genocidal obscenity of Global 2000 to be accepted in the public consciousness must be torn out. This wisdom, so urgently needed, is embedded in the great Papal encyclical *Laborem Exercens*, issued last September, and its accompanying pastoral exhortation, *Familiaris Consortio*. It contains a certain immortal conception which can be called the "genius" of Western civilization; and it is the idea for which this review was created and to which it has been dedicated to in the eight years of its existence—the consubstantiality, so to speak, of science and morality.

Humanity's imperative, in Judeo-Christian terms of reference, is to increase and multiply, and dominate the earth. This is the moral content of our species-existence.

We rise up to that moral height, as a species, by means of affecting fundamental breakthroughs in our mastery of the causality governing the universe; we translate those breakthroughs into new technologies; we develop the new technologies into new industries which are capable of sustaining new members of the species endowed with the ability to take up the torch and continue the never-ending act of creation by means of new qualities of scientific knowledge and thus great dominion over the universe. This scientific knowledge is not itself wisdom. Wisdom is the employment of this knowledge for the purpose of making the human species into the living image of God, helping in the ongoing act of creation and "subduing the earth and dominating it."

Even in our own brutalized, banalized age, politics is absolutely determined by ideas. What you saw in this past year's world political theater, was being moved by the great clash of two ideas: the bestial, genocidal idea of the *Global 2000 Report*, and the idea of wisdom as it has been championed by the political association of the *Executive Intelligence Review* and its Board Chairman, and as it has been reaffirmed by the Pope.