

Editorial

'Secrets of State'

The *EIR* is currently involved in one of the most paradoxical pieces of litigation in the history of the United States. We refer to the matter of *Cyrus Hashemi vs. Campaigner Publications et al.* Hashemi, the plaintiff, is an Iranian residing in the United States and is a top agent of Ayatollah Khomeini. He reportedly controls terror networks in the United States. He reportedly was involved in financing the murder of Ali Akhbar Tabatabai, an Iranian opponent of Khomeini, during 1980 in Washington, D.C., under the approving eyes of then-Attorney General Benjamin Civiletti. We published at the time these facts. Hashemi had the audacity to sue us. We welcomed the suit. It had been advised by Civiletti or by his pro-Khomeini cronies at the Carter Justice Department.

We knew exactly where the "body is buried." We instructed our attorney, Mr. Daniel Aharoni, to subpoena from the FBI, CIA, National Security Agency, National Security Council, and Justice Department all documents pertaining to Hashemi and his colleague, Washington "rug merchant" Bahram Nahidian.

One would expect that these watchdogs of national security would be eager to oblige with enough file material to get a dangerous Khomeini agent pinned in court. But the watchdogs punted. Yes, the NSA said, we have the goods on Hashemi but we can't disclose, in order to protect our methods. Fair enough. Yes, the CIA said, we have the goods, we are deleting certain sensitive items and are sending the "sanitized" versions.

Yes, the FBI and Justice Department answered. We have file materials on Dr. Hashemi which pertain to your litigation but we shall not permit their release to you under any circumstances; we shall further, said FBI and DOJ, clamp down on the CIA and shall not permit it to release to you the documents which they have agreed to release. To justify this decision, the Department of Justice stated, we are enforcing a "*Formal Claim of Secrets of State.*"

This is where the case becomes a piece of Kafkaesque

absurdity. Consider: A Khomeini terrorist-controller causes the murder of a friend of the United States when U.S. citizens are held hostage in our embassy at Teheran. An American review, *EIR*, exposes these facts; the assassin-controller sues for "slander;" in the course of litigation, the publication subpoenas U.S. government documents to further prove its assertions against the Khomeini agent. The chief law enforcement official of the U.S. invokes the doctrine of *arcanum imperii* to protect the enemy assassin-controller. On what grounds was the Claim of Secrets of State made? We shall bring this alleged secret out into the open. It is a story cooked up by some smartass little lawyer inside the DOJ: "See, Dr. Hashemi only appears to be a Khomeini agent. He is in fact an agent for Admiral Madani who is working for the U.S.A. and is trying to topple Khomeini. So, you don't want us to expose dear Admiral Madani who lives inside Iran, and if the bad Ayatollah finds out that he is an American agent, he will cut off his head."

Traitor Civiletti

All this is a bunch of baloney. There ain't no "Secret of State" to be covered up. The "Formal Claim of Secret of State" is being used to cover the unsavory ass of *traitor* Benjamin Civiletti. We stand by the title "traitor." If Civiletti disagrees he should sue us in a court of law. Civiletti is a *traitor* because he consciously and maliciously participated in a treasonous conspiracy to overthrow the Shah of Iran. Some of his fellow traitors in that conspiracy include Dr. Brzezinski, General Haig, Dr. Zonis, Dr. Ricks, Dr. Falk, and General Huyser.

So, dear Attorney General Smith: there is no "Secret of State" to claim. There is a *Secret Against the State*. And there also is the filthy posterior of Civiletti. Are lawyers in the Justice Department using you to cover him up? As for those who have been called traitors, including General Narcissus Haig, if they are bothered by the mud on their face, let them sue us.

Civiletti's posterior is not the State.