

Kissinger's gameplan to defeat the ABM strategy

by Criton Zoakos

President Reagan's March 23 announcement of a new strategic doctrine based on high-energy beam anti-missile defensive weapons has put an irreversible end to the doctrine of Mutually Assured Destruction (MAD) with which the career of Henry A. Kissinger is historically associated. As a result, Henry A. Kissinger and what he has acknowledged as his controllers, Britain's foreign policy establishment, have gone into a worldwide rampage to sabotage the new doctrine.

In the weeks ahead, as this sabotage effort unfolds, the activities of Kissinger and his associates, including Lawrence Eagleberger, Richard Burt, Fred Iklé, and Secretary of State George Shultz will increasingly acquire the distinct flavor of treason. It will therefore be of immediate significance to the national security interests of the United States to follow up on the April 8, 1983 request for a Senate *Investigation Into Indicated Withholding of Information Vital to U.S.A. National Security by Former National Security Adviser Henry A. Kissinger*, initiated by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. of the National Democratic Policy Committee in a letter to Vice-President George Bush.

Kissinger's case is significant because it typifies the massive institutional resistance to the President's announced new doctrine, a resistance now mobilized from among the ranks of these interests and institutions which rose to prominence and public influence as a result of this nation's unfortunate and ill-advised adoption of the MAD doctrine during the late 1950s and early 1960s. These institutions and interest groupings, both in the West and the East, are now about to be relegated to oblivion and irrelevance, a fate to which Dr. Kissinger's sizeable ego strenuously objects.

What is Henry up to now?

Perusing a standard-reference "Kissinger file," the casual observer will inevitably encounter the text of an IBM-typewritten speech by the good doctor delivered on May 10, 1982 to a gathering of the Royal Institute of International Affairs in London. The typed text, distributed by the New York office of Kissinger Associates, Inc. at the time, contains a lengthy self-description of the former Secretary of State as *an agent of British foreign policy objectives while he was holding public office in the United States government*.

Thus, to evaluate Dr. Kissinger's current outbreak of hyperactivism in the areas of strategic doctrine, defense policy, Middle East, and Latin America, one need place it in the context of what Kissinger's "mother," the Royal Institute, the Foreign Office, and the International Institute of Strategic Studies are up to in relation to President Reagan's announced new strategic doctrine.

On this matter, current British policy is clear: *kill President Reagan's strategic doctrine at all costs*. Their approach to implementing this policy is based on an intensive effort to first and foremost prevent, at all costs, an announcement by the White House of any "crash-program," Manhattan Project-style approach to the task of developing the type of space-based, high-energy laser beam weapons indicated by the President's March 23 television address. In the words of a leading congressional anglophile influenced by Mrs. Pamela Churchill Harriman: "If Reagan goes out with a 'crash program' approach, he'll be able to mobilize the population and he'll win hands down. If he goes public with a big Manhattan Project announcement he'll sweep the country;



Kissinger pursuing his "arms control" track during the Ford administration.

and we are lost. We must at all costs prevent the announcement of a crash program by the White House."

This would allow British policy to proceed further with its current two-pronged deployment in which Dr. Kissinger is featured as a protagonist of sorts. *First*, slow down and gradually strangulate the current program to develop and deploy the new defensive weapons systems; and *second*, promote a systematic series of flare-ups in virtually all the hot-spots and potential hot-spots around the globe, for the purpose of precipitating a succession of crises in the relations between the two superpowers, in the hope of inducing a series of crisis-management emergency negotiations in the course of which, British and British-dominated European mediation between the two superpowers would force both Washington and, unlikely, Moscow, to return to Henry Kissinger's happy doctrinal grounds, the nuclear terror of MAD and its synonyms, "flexible response," and so forth.

Short of this scenario, there is no other way for the MAD-dependent institutional establishment to survive President Reagan's historic transformation of the strategic doctrine defining relations between the two superpowers. These Mesozoic great institutions, among them the lizard Henry Kissinger, are scheming and howling against the revolution in strategy inaugurated by President Reagan. Ironically, in the history of the political animal kingdom, Dr. Kissinger appeared on Italian television last week to characterize President Reagan as a Neanderthal Republican, with a hostility toward the dynasty of mammals so typical of Mesozoic saurians.

When the White House learned of this TV broadcast, it

requested a videotape from the U.S. embassy in Rome. The embassy, probably on orders from Secretary of State Shultz, edited out the offending "Neanderthal" reference and then forwarded the edited tape to the White House.

According to our own information, Kissinger right now is engaged in a major effort to kill the President's defensive beam weapons directives and policy, in coordination with numerous officials inside the administration, including individuals in the Pentagon, such as the Swiss Fred Iklé; throughout the State Department, beginning with George Shultz and Richard Burt; and in DARPA and other agencies. The overall game-plan for this operation was hastily drafted by the London-based International Institute of Strategic Studies, and was described by Flora Lewis of the *New York Times* as "slow it [the President's program] down, talk it to death. We shall not profess any public opposition. Just bog the whole thing down in discussions, negotiations, etc. The idea is to frustrate any impulse toward an arms race in space."

In Washington, the coordinating focus of this sabotage operation is the "Scowcroft Commission's" recent report and recommendations on the future of the MX missile, whose contents were virtually literally dictated by Henry Kissinger on orders from the Royal Institute. Kissinger and the MAD crowd, including his masters in London, are arguing that the President's doctrine will be "destabilizing" because it would threaten the Soviet Union in such a way that it might react militarily and dramatically before the United States could deploy its new defensive weapons.

The fraud in this argument is that the Soviet Union has been working on the development of these weapons continuously since the 1960s, continued on this line of work after the signing of the 1972 ABM Treaty, and is now significantly ahead of the United States in this area.

It is probable that President Reagan may have been forced to announce his March 23 strategic doctrine precisely *because the Soviets are so far ahead in this field*. Yet, for Henry Kissinger and his masters, possession of these new defensive weapons by the Soviet Union would not cause a "destabilization" of the strategic situation.

In this particular area of concern, Dr. Kissinger's studied silence on the matter of Soviet space-based ABM systems acquires the distinct character of deliberate and premeditated treason. The facts relevant to this matter of Kissinger's silence on Soviet space-based ABM systems development are detailed in painstaking thoroughness by LaRouche in his above-mentioned memorandum now in the hands of relevant United States senators. Kissinger has been concealing facts of this nature from the U.S. government since at least the 1961-62 period.

The eventual investigation of Kissinger by the U.S. Senate will ascertain that Kissinger's much-mythologized career in the ranks of the U.S. government had been promoted from its outset by an Anglo-American policy cabal, typified by his early patron Henry Cabot Lodge, which first concocted the

doctrine of Mutual and Assured Destruction at Harvard University, MIT, and the New York Council on Foreign Relations a few months before the first hydrogen bomb explosion in 1953. This powerful group's idea of conducting world affairs was to establish in perpetuity a reign of nuclear terror over all nations and over every individual human being.

This group had determined to hang over mankind the Damoclean sword of thermonuclear blackmail, and reduce all matters of diplomacy and international relations to mere variants of the emotion of fear. To do that, it would simply be necessary to ensure that no defenses against nuclear weapons would ever be built. Thus, during those 1953 days, the age of "nuclear angst" was born and Henry Kissinger, with his ghostwritten book, *Nuclear Weapons and Foreign Policy*, was put forward as the high priest of that age.

It is this age that the President has ended, and it is this result that the high priest is now attempting to reverse. President Reagan's March 23 strategic doctrine, however, is irreversible—if only for the reason that no Soviet military or civilian policymaker can assume that the United States might not deploy its ABM weapons systems. A new technological arms race between the two superpowers is now on from both sides, and any effort by Kissinger to undermine the United States effort can only result in further improving the Soviet side's relative position. It cannot stop the arms-technology race.

Kissinger and the hot spots

Current British policy, pursued by Henry Kissinger, is to rapidly entangle the United States in a series of foreign policy disasters around the globe, with the intended cumulative effect of paralyzing the Reagan administration and/or creating a series of major reverses which would force this country into a round of strategic negotiations, in the course of which it might return into the MAD age.

What is now occurring in the Middle East and the Central American region is typical of the situation. Henry Kissinger and his friend George Shultz are probably the two most significant opponents of the Reagan Plan for a Middle East settlement.

As known to only a few privileged policymakers, the real issue in the current Middle East crisis is Israel's secret "Lavie II" plan, an imminent drastic shift in the strategic status of Israel based on acquisition by Israeli military industries of a capability to manufacture intermediate-range ballistic missiles on which to mount their already existing H-bombs. Israeli advocates of this plan include Defense Minister Moshe Arens, Minister of Technology Yuval Ne'eman, and other notables including Ariel Sharon, Ezer Weizman, and Saul Eisenberg. The real authors of the Lavie II Plan, however, are the British, and specifically the Royal Institute grouping around Lord Solly Zuckerman, as we shall elaborate at a future point. Kissinger is wholeheartedly behind this plan, as he is employed by its ultimate authors in London.

Thus, Kissinger's current activities in the Middle East

are aimed at destroying the Reagan Plan as an ultimate settlement and preserving a posture of seeming interest in a strong United States military presence in the Middle East. The sole purpose of this latter posture is to provide the context in which Kissinger might be able to assist in the transfer of those technologies to Israel which are required for the manufacture of a competently guided intermediate-range ballistic missile.

There are two essential elements in this deployment by Kissinger. First, the moderate, pro-settlement, elements of the PLO leadership must be eliminated and otherwise destroyed in favor of the wild-eyed radicals who would be able to effectively undermine the Reagan peace initiative; second, a strategy to shift the existing population balance in the West Bank and Gaza Strip by means of a series of real estate swindles, forced settlements, and terror activities against the Arab population culminating in a major religious provocation against Muslims around an imminent attempt to rebuild the Temple on the Mount on top of what is today one of Islam's holiest shrines.

As a matter of documented record, Henry Kissinger, personally and by his partnership with Lord Carrington in Kissinger Associates, Inc., has been heavily involved in major real estate operations promoting expulsion of Arab proprietors. There is also mounting circumstantial evidence that the recent assassination of PLO moderate leader Issam Sartawi in Portugal was probably ordered by Henry Kissinger, who has been in substantial control of the Abu Nidal Black September terrorist networks since the early 1970s, as government agency records will eventually show in any substantive investigation.

As reported below, the networks and associations for which Kissinger is fronting are currently engaged in a major drive to further aggravate the crisis situations now erupting in Central America, Latin America, in the North African-Maghreb region and elsewhere. Kissinger's characteristic objective in all these regional crises and in Western Europe as well, was spelled out by Kissinger in a series of public lectures which he delivered during the summer and autumn of 1982. Kissinger had emphasized, on occasion in the presence of Secretary of State Shultz, that the United States must adopt its foreign policy and strategic policy to its overall economic and industrial power that has been reduced by approximately 50 percent relative to the world economy between 1945 and now.

Therefore, Kissinger argues, American foreign policy and security commitments around the world must be cut proportionally.

This, of course, would have been the inevitable consequence of the accumulated effects of 30 years of MAD. MAD having been overturned, our good doctor is attempting to accelerate its consequences in the hope of reviving their cause. It is a logic typical of a City College accountant trying to footlick his way up into the favors of his Harvard dons. This bootlicker's remarkable bullying career ought to be folding up any minute now.