

introduction of Eastern values of this sort against the Judeo-Christian rationalism of Schiller's and Humboldt's Germany.

The Moscow clearance to order the shooting-down of the Korean airliner is a characteristic expression of a world-outlook akin to the Nazis' Nietzschean philosophy of the Triumph of the Will, the anti-rationalist conception of Will associated with William of Ockham, Bernard of Clairvaux, and others. To deal with the West by display of a terrifying exertion of the Russian Will, is the essence of the airliner incident.

It was a Hitler-like expression in foreign-policy, a characteristically brutal expression of a "Third Rome" state of mind.

It is the same state of mind which prompts the Soviet command to deem a beast as morally and mentally depraved as Khomeini to impose its "dark age" upon the people of Iran, to unleash Qaddafi against the nations and peoples of Africa. It is that same wicked state of mind which prompts the Soviet leadership to adopt the cause of the forces of a new dark age in Germany, the Green Party, and which brings Soviet asset Qaddafi, the Nazi-linked international separatist movement, and the Green Party into convergence within Germany today.

We must recognize this political-philosophical outlook in Moscow, not only as a matter of evaluation pertaining to our own practice in related matters. Unless the Soviet Union is confronted with our knowledge of the cultural degeneration in progress in the Soviet leadership, men and women of conscience in the Soviet Union will not be encouraged to change the direction of things.

This is not to propose that Marxism and Soviet Marxism in particular are in any sense desirable ideals. I have dealt with these matters amply in numerous published locations, and do not propose to repeat that analysis here at this moment. The working-point here is that the formal commitment to technological progress, and to recognizing as "progressive" nations and political forces committed to delivering the benefits of rationality and technological progress as what the Soviets called "progressive forces" was a kind of morality, a morality which they have repudiated in their present foreign-policy and related practice.

We could have hoped to persuade them that our conceptions of the role of the individual in an environment of technological progress was superior in performance and implications to their own conception of this policy. This rabid, fanatically xenophobic disregard for the sanctity of life of defenseless airliner passengers, and the promotion of their asset Qaddafi, bespeaks an immoral irrationalism which is a thermonuclear menace to all civilized life.

They will clamor that we have influential and evil fellows in the West. Who could disagree with that honestly! Yet, the fellows who perpetrated the offenses to which Moscow will now point liberally, have been recently the Soviets' preferred political allies through such back-channels as Pugwash. In condemning the West for the wickedness of such fellows, the Soviets merely more completely condemn themselves. We know them by the company they keep.

The 'general staff' for a in Western Europe, and

Jo Leinen, a leader of the German "peace movement" and speaker of the BBU environmentalist association, announced last October that "the Federal Republic should be made un-governable" if necessary to stop deployment of U.S. Pershing II and cruise missiles. A year later, the peace movement is efficiently organized to accomplish this goal. Police report that a tightly knit "general staff" stands ready to direct an army of up to three million demonstrators, plus an approximately 10,000-strong elite corps operating with guerrilla-warfare methods. Now Leinen hints at worse to come in 1984: "October may just have been a luke-warm spring."

The effect on the European peace movement of the Soviet Union's murder of 269 civilians aboard Korean Air Lines' flight 007 remains to be seen, although first readings from West Germany point to confusion among "moderates" within the peace movement and to widespread pro-Americanism

Qaddafi's marching orders to Europe's greenies

Libyan leader Muammar Qaddafi, in a speech to 200 representatives of primarily the European peace and green movements in Tripoli in June of 1982, demanded a total war mobilization against the nation-states—and particularly the military facilities—of Europe. What Qaddafi described, and has financed lavishly since that time, is now on the drawing boards of the "activists," set to erupt at any moment.

"The masses must take control of everything," Qaddafi said. "All other institutions, like governments, parties, trade unions, and regular armies must disappear. Only then will there be peace. . . . The Greens must win. . . . The U.S. bases in Europe must go. This must be done by mass demonstrations and protests. . . . Instead

hot autumn who's backing it

among the citizenry at large. If a weakening of the mass base of the movement occurs now, it will most likely be accompanied by an even more ruthless determination to destroy the state on the part of the pro-terrorist hard core. Even before the Soviet atrocity, fissures had begun to appear within the movement over the issue of "nonviolent" demonstrations. At the official opening of the "hot autumn" Sept. 1, a blockade of the U.S. military base in Mutlangen, Green Party leader Petra Kelly announced that henceforth the movement would carry out "nonviolent" actions to destroy military equipment.

Two principal controllers of the movement, well-represented in the "general staff," are the German Communist Party and the Evangelical Church, working in coordination with Libya's Muammar Qaddafi and the separatist groupings run by the Nazi International. All share the aim of ousting the United States from Europe and setting up a, deindustrial-

ized mid-European zone in the Soviet "sphere of influence."

The German Communist Party (DKP) and its various front groups, as federal security chief Heribert Hellenbroich has warned, are exerting a growing influence out of all proportion to the party's miniscule size, through hefty financing from Moscow and East Berlin—funds which are channeled into such projects as the "Coordination Office Autumn '83." Many "peace offices" rely on communist funds, and the peace movement "cannot do without the millions from the East," said a peace researcher.

The Evangelical Church in Germany (EKD), the Protestant confederation, with its pacifist and neutralist currents dating back to World War II and before, provides ideological support as well as financing for the peace movement and the environmentalists, particularly through its Evangelical Student Association (ESG). Erhard Eppler, the radical "peace-nik" Social Democrat and president of the EKD, warned recently: "There will be unrest in this country as long as the missiles are here."

Another ranking Lutheran Church official, the director of studies at the Evangelical Academy in Loccum, Henning Schierholz, a leading Green Party member himself, recently admitted that "there is a gray zone" overlapping the Greens' official "nonviolent" position and the autonomists' advocacy of violence.

The Evangelical Student Association is no "T-group" of flower-power liberals, but a body that conducts planning sessions on such topics as sabotage of U.S., German, and NATO munitions facilities in the Federal Republic. At a meeting of the ESG in Mainz in July, attended by ESG Pastor

of demonstrating in the streets, people should demonstrate on these military bases. People should block water and food supplies going to the bases. . . . If peaceful actions do not lead to success, we will have to move toward military actions."

Qaddafi's *Green Book* of crypto-Islamic mumbo jumbo has lately become one of the bibles of Europe's ecology and separatist movements. It might surprise some to learn that one of the ghostwriters of the book is a German who goes by the pseudonym Khalifa Hannes, a recent convert to Islam and a member of East Germany's intelligence service, the STASI. Hundreds of East German military advisers are in Libya, and most conferences organized on the *Green Book* are jointly sponsored with the East German University of Leipzig.

Qaddafi's long-standing relationship to West German Green Party leaders was initiated by Alexander Langer, a leader of the South Tyrolian separatist movement. Langer introduced Qaddafi to Otto Schily, now a Green Party parliamentarian, formerly a lawyer for the terrorist Baader-Meinhof Gang. In March of 1982 Qaddafi met in Vi-

enna with leaders of the European Greens, including Langer, Schily, Alfred Mechttersheimer, and Roland Vogt (another Green member of the Bonn parliament). It was at this meeting that conduits for Libyan funding of the Green movement were concretized. "We must prepare mass violence to force the others to peace," Qaddafi told the group.

Qaddafi got his start in politics through the fascist Black International, later expanding his operations during the 1970s to include the "left" of the political spectrum. François Genoud, the Lausanne-based financier and official biographer of Hitler's lieutenant Martin Bormann, is the key Nazi connection to Qaddafi. In 1943 Genoud began recycling assets from the Third Reich into Swiss banks and then into the Mideast and Latin America, building up the war chest which today finances terrorist operations.

It was through the Genoud networks and such fascist operations as Italy's Avanguardia Nazionale that Qaddafi made his first investments in Palestinian terrorism, including the 1972 Olympic Games massacre in Munich which he financed.

Michael Arndt, Red Army Fraction terrorist veteran Margit Schiller, and suspected Revolutionary Cells terrorist Rudolf Rabe, among others, one position paper discussed a strategy for partisan-style warfare:

“Cutting off supply lines, in terms of military categories (and this is the issue of transportation) is a classical goal for an army to initiate the political and military defeat of the adversary. The unfortunately necessary military considerations signify the political demand that U.S. troops be withdrawn from the Federal Republic of Germany.

“In order to become a real political force, it is necessary to come to terms with the entirety of the political and military strategy and significance of NATO, to search out the vulnerabilities against which we can develop a continuous and self-determined attack.” One must “make an issue out of and attack the entire military apparatus and its function.”

“We believe that it is possible for us to develop practical resistance against munition transport, because we will not be up against militarily secured facilities, but rather we attack precisely where we can set up obstacles and throw sand in the machinery. It is far more difficult for the U.S. Army and the security apparatus of the Federal Republic to secure all military transports, than to keep a watch on individual depots and facilities.”

The ESG in Hamburg conducts weekly meetings with representatives of the “autonomous” peace groups. In one such conference in August, participants voted up a resolution which stated “we want to be the water in which the violent fish swim.”

Such actions against NATO facilities are coordinated by a national Committee against Bomb Transports (Kommittee gegen Bombentransporte—“KGB” in German) Targets of this group include Nordenham, an important transportation point for NATO materiel, and the private port of Midgard. The *Göttingen Atom Express* newspaper also mentions the U.S. garrison in Galstedt, German army barracks, and nuclear missile sites. In Rheinland-Pfalz, former sites of Nike-Hercules missiles in Wüschheim and Quirnheim/Grünstadt have been identified as stationing sites for the cruise missiles, in a handbook published by Alfred Mechtshheimer (*Storage and Transportation of Nuclear Weapons*), and will be targeted for sabotage actions.

Mechtshheimer, until recently a “black sheep” member of Franz Josef Strauss’s Bavarian Christian Social Union (CSU), maintains connections to Libya’s Qaddafi and has emerged as a leading theoretician of the peace movement. He has twice traveled to Libya for meetings with the Libyan dictator, and demands an “opening toward non-Western impulses.” Commenting last year on a series of bomb attacks on American facilities in Germany, Mechtshheimer told the counterculture newspaper *Tageszeitung*: “Everyone must know that attacks upon American soldiers, which must naturally be condemned, are the beginning of a protest against foreign domination.”

A separatist dossier: the funders, and the ‘peace

EIR’s special report includes detailed case studies on Europe’s principal separatist organizations, their directors, sources of funding, and ties to the “peace movement.” We publish here some highlights of that dossier.

Society for Endangered Peoples

West Germany’s *Gesellschaft für bedrohte Völker*, an affiliate of *Survival International*, typifies the link between “right-wing” separatist groups and the “leftist” peace movement. The Society calls itself a “human rights organization” dedicated to “advancing the struggle of indigenous peoples and ethnic minorities.” Its director, **Tilman Zuelch**, affirms that “we help people initiate and carry on the struggle against extinction.” A practical example of this help was staff member and Armenian specialist **Tessa Hoffman’s** assistance to **James Karnussian’s** July 1983 Armenian World Congress. The Congress included a speech in support of the terrorist Armenian Secret Army for the Liberation of Armenia (ASALA), which had just carried out a bombing raid on the Paris airport.

The Society’s board of directors illustrates the overlap of personnel between the peace movement and the separatists. It includes:

Freimut Duve, Social Democratic Party member of the German parliament.

Helmut Gollwitzer, theologian at the Free University of West Berlin. Recognized as one of the “godfathers” of the West German environmentalist and peace movement.

Carl Amery, director of the E.F. Schumacher Institute, a leading controller of the Green movement in Bavaria and proponent of the Club of Rome’s “small is beautiful” theories.

Robert Jungk, a leading futurist associated with *Ecoropa*, the environmentalist umbrella organization linked to the Club of Rome.

Prof. Henning Eichberg, a separatist theorist and self-proclaimed fascist, has played a central role in shaping the activities of the Society. Eichberg has stated that his ideas reflect the combined influence of Muammar Qaddafi’s *Green Book*, the Nazi Strasser brothers, and Armin Mohler, author of *The Conservative Revolution* and head of the Siemens