

Nazi networks.

In the United States, Western Goals has emerged center stage in a Los Angeles court battle revolving around the theft of thousands of files from the Los Angeles Police Department's now defunct Public Disorders Intelligence Division (PDID). Those files found their way into the hands of a \$30,000-a-year contract employee of Western Goals whose husband just happened to be an officer of the PDID. As the result of the file heist, PDID was dismantled, leaving a gaping hole in the LAPD's intelligence-gathering efforts on the eve of the 1984 Olympic Games, an event that many intelligence professionals are labeling a "watershed" for the emergence of European and Middle East terrorism inside the United States (see *EIR*, Oct. 4). Many threads of this terrorist blood-bath-in-preparation lead back to the same KGB-Nazi International channels behind the anti-Reagan "peace" mobilization worldwide.

In May 1983, a high-level KGB delegation led by Fyodor Burlatskii, the personal press mouthpiece of Yuri Andropov, and Soviet "Pugwash" General M. Milstein spent a week in Minneapolis, Minnesota, in behind-closed-doors consultation with the leadership of the U.S. so-called peace movement. According to eyewitness accounts, the Soviet representatives instructed their U.S. counterparts to focus all their efforts on destroying President Ronald Reagan's March 23, 1983 initiative to develop an anti-ballistic missile defense umbrella employing new laser technologies.

Apart from the predictable menagerie of Harrimanite freezeniks, FBI stringers, and Communist Party USA old timers, the U.S. State Department arranged the inclusion of an apparently strange bedfellow: John Rees. A self-proclaimed "terrorism expert" and member of the John Birch Society, Rees is the chief researcher for Western Goals.

In the interests of both President Reagan's Mutually Assured Survival program and the internal security of the United States, a full-scale investigation into Western Goals and John Rees must be initiated now.

Graham complicit in Moscow attacks on beam weapons

U.S. Democratic public figure Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. on Sept. 23 released the following statement on the recent activities of retired U.S. Lt.-Gen. Daniel Graham in West Germany.

Danny Graham persists in issuing statements he knows to be falsehoods concerning U.S. strategic doctrine, concerning

me, and also concerning responsible leading U.S. scientists such as Dr. Edward Teller. He has been caught red-handed saying one thing to associates in the United States, and then turning over to West Germany to say directly the opposite.

Whether Danny Graham is doing this for financial reasons, because of some disturbance in his mental state, or for some other reason, remains to be determined. Whatever the reason, the man is behaving as a pathological liar, and his lies are being exploited by the Soviet government to the degree that Danny Graham's lies have contributed to deterioration of relations between the United States and its allies.

The facts which ought to be widely known include the following. First, during late 1982, my associates approached General Graham for discussion of the proposed new U.S. strategic doctrine later announced by President Ronald Reagan on March 23, 1983. General Graham at that time stated that he agreed with our proposals, but that he could not do so openly because he was being paid by the Heritage Foundation, which was hostile to me personally and to the proposed strategic doctrine.

Later, shortly before the President announced adoption of the new strategic doctrine, General Graham, speaking on behalf of the Heritage Foundation and his own "High Frontier" concoction, supported U.S. defense budget cuts aimed at eliminating all significant research on beam-weapons.

Later, after the President had announced the new strategic doctrine on March 23, 1983, Danny Graham announced that it was High Frontier which the President had adopted. This false statement by Graham was then used by the Soviet government as the basis for its propaganda-campaign against President Reagan's announcement, and the Soviet government has done so repeatedly.

Danny Graham's High Frontier is regarded with contempt by every responsible scientist and military specialist with whose opinions I am acquainted with in the United States. To which Danny Graham responded in a recent Colorado public-platform debate, that he had contempt for the opinion of scientists in such matters. He also took that same occasion to issue wildly false statements against me personally. In short, he is behaving like a mental case in these matters.

As for High Frontier itself, the proposed design is technologically utterly incompetent. Some of the technologies are workable, but they are bits and pieces pasted together from technologies developed during the 1960s, and are approximately 15 years out of date technologically. If Danny Graham's obsolete flying rocket-stations were by chance to be placed in space, the Soviet Union would simply knock them out as the first element of launching an intercontinental ballistic missile assault. They are intrinsically indefensible and therefore worthless.

Not only are Danny Graham's views on the matter scientifically infantile and absurd; they are also transparently absurd from the standpoint of traditionalist military science since Lazare Carnot.

As Dr. Teller has stated repeatedly, the specific technological feature of the new defensive weapons-systems is that once such systems are developed and deployed, they will be capable of destroying a missile at far less cost than the production and launching of the missile destroyed. In other words, the defense gains up to an order of magnitude of advantage over the offense.

Second, beam weapons represent far greater firepower than missiles. Less than 10,000 kilowatts of momentary burst from a high-frequency laser or particle-beam is sufficient to destroy a ballistic missile. Within the limits of existing technology, we are able to detect and target missiles at up to between 4,000 and 5,000 kilometers distance, given application of advanced computer micro-electronics technologies to assist this. A beam shot at the velocity of light, about 300,000 kilometers per second, or at relativistic velocities approaching the speed of light, is assigned to destroy a missile which is travelling at approximately three kilometers per second.

In other words, Danny Graham's High Frontier scheme places a highly vulnerable rocket launching platform in orbit, using rockets fired at no better than slightly more than the speed of the missiles launched—within the limits of a Sprint anti-missile missile, whereas an ABM system based on new

physical principles fires a deadly pulse at speeds about 100,000 times the speed of the rockets. Exactly how I would propose to deploy such ABM systems I would not say publicly at present, lest Dr. Teller and others be thinking in the same direction I am thinking and I thus inadvertently give away U.S. military secrets. Even without my supplying additional information, the principles involved are clear to anyone competent in the ABCs of military science. Firepower and mobility are on the side of the defensive systems by more than an order of magnitude; any flag-rank officer who ignores the issues of fire-power and mobility is an officer lacking the rudimentary qualifications to command a platoon.

Danny Graham's last-ditch argument in defense of his views is his assertion that his system could be constructed off the shelf, whereas Dr. Teller's proposals would require longer development. Danny Graham merely repeats the silly arguments of the Prussian generals who marched their technologically obsolete army to defeat in 1806 at the battle of Jena.

The proper place for a military specialist of Danny Graham's talent is a Soviet officer's cabaret, where his clown's recitation would have all Soviets officers rolling on the floor, splitting their sides with laughter. I would hope that no one in Europe is duped by Danny Graham's clown's falsification of U.S. strategic policy.

Western Goals' John Rees: a curious past history

In 1981 EIR published a dossier, "The KGB Moles and the Heritage Foundation," exposing Gen. Daniel Graham's employer, the Heritage Foundation, as a right-wing cover masking Soviet operations to disorient the White House on fundamental issues of foreign and defense policy. We publish here excerpts from that dossier, indicating the key leads which an investigation must pursue.

Subject was born in England circa 1926. He joined RAF Special Investigations (1943-45 and 1948-55) and was posted to the Middle East, then the Caribbean. It is believed that subject's British intelligence connection was maintained after his arrival in the United States in 1963. During U.S. stay, subject has been a leading controller of New Left and urban chaos operations of the 1960s and early 1970s.

In the late 1960s, subject became involved with Stu-

dents for a Democratic Society (SDS) leader Tom Hayden and Ford Foundation-funded groups known as "the Crazyies" and "the Motherf—ers." which formed the core of initial radical student "brainwashing" operations, and future terrorist groups.

These two groups were instrumental in igniting the 1967 Newark riots. Gun-running networks were also set up in Newark, and Leroi Jones (a.k.a. Imamu Baraka), the prototype black nationalist who emerged as a figurehead during the riots, was connected to the Motherf—ers.

In 1967, subject mysteriously appeared as a "mediator" in the Newark riots. Under the guise of pacifying the situation, subject set up two armed black and white communities, with Anthony Imperiale heading a private army of whites and Baraka and Kamil Wadud heading the blacks. Wadud helped later to form the Hanafi Muslim sect, which took part in terrorist operations in Washington, D.C. Subject arranged funding for Wadud and Baraka from such sources as the Office of Economic Opportunity, Department of Labor, and especially the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration.

Since the mid-1970s, subject has operated around Washington, D.C., first as research director for the late Rep. Larry MacDonald, and later as chief of a news agency for the John Birch Society. Subject is reported to have a close working relationship to the Israeli intelligence service, Mossad, and with the FBI.