

for backing Zia's opposition.

The Pakistani press, meanwhile, has stepped up its anti-India vitriolics, citing Jagjit Singh Chauhan, the London-backed leader of the Indian separatist Khalistani movement (see *EIR*, March 8, 1983), who charges that Mrs. Gandhi is in direct contact with rebel Pakistani politicians at the United Nations. Chauhan is further attempting to incite Indo-Pakistani conflict by claiming that Mrs. Gandhi is planning an invasion of Pakistan "before the Commonwealth conference in New Delhi in November."

India for its part is showing public concern over the continued buildup of General Zia's U.S.-supplied arsenal. On Oct. 11, Indian Defense Minister Venkataraman told the Indian air force commander: "Hitherto Pakistan got arms under the pretext of events in Afghanistan. With the acquisition of naval missiles like the Harpoon, even this excuse has worn thin." He cautioned that this development, including the steps taken by Pakistan to add to its naval strength, "offer a threat to our industrial and scientific installations along the coast."

At the same time, Indian officials have also claimed that large caches of arms and other forms of assistance are coming from Pakistan to aid the militant Sikh agitators in Indian Punjab. The newly appointed governor of Punjab, P. D. Pande, has been alerted, and this situation is being monitored by a high-powered committee dealing with national security, according to a New Delhi source. The New Delhi government has also decided to augment the deployment of paramilitary forces in the area and maintain a vigil on the border.

In mid-October Mrs. Gandhi imposed central government control on Punjab, following bloody communal rioting between Sikhs and Hindus which culminated in a Sikh attack on a bus, killing at least six Hindus. Shortly after the emergency was declared, rioting broke out in the neighboring state of Haryana, as Hindus pledged revenge for the Punjab atrocity. For the first time the British media, including the British Broadcasting Corporation and the London *Guardian*, are openly editorializing that growing unrest in India may lead to the dismemberment of the former British colony.

LaRouche on Pakistan: 'I told you so'

Over two months ago, I warned that the so-called movement for democracy in Pakistan was nothing but a Soviet-coordinated trick aimed at the early dismemberment of that nation and the probable establishment of a new separatist entity called Baluchistan as a Soviet client state providing Moscow a warm-water port on the Indian Ocean.

I also warned that the Soviet-coordinated insurgency in Pakistan would be the occasion for unleashing separatist activity on the Indian side of the Punjab, as well as the Pakistan side.

This assessment of the situation was largely premised on monitoring of collaboration between the Soviet KGB and the Nazi International-overlapped "Endangered Peoples' Movement." This latter association is the mother-organization for most of the separatist movements of the world, as well as the terrorist organizations associated with such separatist movements, and also with the networks traced to the direction of the late Bertrand Russell.

This warning went largely unheeded when it was first issued, and was widely rejected once again when I issued an open letter to Pakistan President Zia ul-Haq outlining patriotic remedies for the danger.

Now, breaking developments fully corroborate my earlier warnings. One hopes it is not too late for those who misguidedly ignored those warnings.

This reminder should be noted in Washington, D.C. and Western Europe, in addition to the nations of southern Asia. In Washington, too many are so much concerned with the outcome of the November 1984 elections that they choose to overlook issues which may decide whether or not elections will in fact be held on that date, or, if so, whether the President inaugurated on January 1985 will preside over a virtually helpless, bankrupt, second-rate power. In relevant locations in Asia, the notable delusions are of a different specific content than in Washington, but not less deadly.

It is most unfortunate that in most developing nations, long-cultivated "Third-Worldist" prejudices serve as truisms which blind most leading parts of populations and leading institutions to the nature and importance of the factional divisions and issues which interplay in shaping the global reality. These dearly held delusions have been sometimes regarded as a greater interest than the altered perceptions by which even the very existence of the nations concerned might be obtained. Some developing nations can be sometimes just as foolishly, arrogantly stubborn in defending a "sacred delusion," as we see otherwise in blind arrogance of officials of the Soviet Union, the United States, or Western Europe.

Similarly, that reputedly ever-peace-loving Soviet leadership has recently installed SS-21s in Syria, SS-23s in Eastern Europe, and the installation of SS-20s proceeds merrily while the installation of Pershing IIs has yet to begin. Meanwhile, the most obvious strategic asset the Soviet leadership ever had, Henry A. Kissinger, pushes a U.S. unilateral "build-down" through channels of his crony Brent Scowcroft. We know, of course, that the Soviet leadership is "ever-peace-loving" because Democratic National Committee Chairman Charlie-the-banker Manatt and the seven moral dwarfs—Mondale, Glenn, Cranston, Hollings, Askew, Hart, and McGovern—all assure us that this is a fact.

It's a great world, folks. The only question is, do the inhabitants of this planet of ours—anywhere—still command the moral fitness to survive?