No heterosexuals, only Trilaterals

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Another April Fool's Day has come and gone, and with it yet another session of David Rockefeller and Henry A. Kissinger's Trilateral Commission. The only scientific term which may be employed to describe accurately the "Draft Task-Force Report" prepared for these April Fool's Day festivities is "disgusting."

The "Task Force Report," dated February 1984, bears the curious title, *Democracy Must Work: a Trilateral Agenda for the Decade*, and lists the principal authors as including the wildly eccentric Zbigniew Brzezinski, Britain's former Labour Foreign Secretary David Owen, and Japan's former foreign minister, Saburo Okita. It would be charitable to assume that none of those persons were actually involved in composing the item, but that their being listed as putative authors is a cruel prank of David Rockefeller.

Since the Trilaterals are presently running most of the Reagan administration's policy-making, and would dictate more or less absolutely the policies of a Hart or Mondale administration, we did not set out with the intent to regard this "Task Force Report" as the appalling gibberish and gobblydegook which that unfortunate document is in the main. In all fairness, we must report that the document is chiefly fustian fashioned of double-talk, but for a few scattered passages, such as the following observations set forth in the opening section.

. . .the principal threats confronting the global community can be considered in the following descending order of physical destructiveness, but in ascending order of probability of actual occurrence during the next decade:

1) Nuclear war, with its unprecedented capacity for limitless death and destruction, a catastrophe from which our globe might not recover.

2) Major social breakdowns in large portions of Africa, Asia, and perhaps Latin America. Large-scale famines, massive population migrations, and violence could be involved, reducing prospects for democracy and enhancing the opportunities for extremists of the Left and Right to seize power.

3) Increasingly destructive regional conflicts, less and less susceptible to international containment, carrying with them the growing risk of East-West confrontations.

4) Significant deterioration in multilateral economic and political cooperation, rising unemployment, lower living standards, and less democracy.

However, it would be wrong to draw only an apocalyptic scenario. Our era's future is ambivalent because the negative trends identified above conflict with significant opportunities. The more hopeful global trends include:

1) The beginnings of global strategies for international cooperation, including some cases of effective performance on the part of functional global institutions in economic development and peacekeeping.

2) The potential for a more intelligent management of global affairs through scientific and technical breakthroughs in medicine, communications, and nutrition, among others.

3) The decline in the appeal of the Soviet model of development, particularly in the Third World.

4) The compelling nature of freedom and of human rights.

In a large part, bureaucratic gobblydegook, almost schizophrenic qualities of disassociated running-at-the-mouth. Yet, if one knows the mind of the Trilaterals, and the political philosophy among them, one recognizes in these lines what it is that the authors (whoever they were) were trying to say not quite explicitly.

The policy perspective of the Trilaterals is more or less exactly the doctrine for "world government" by degrees which the World War I chief of British foreign intelligence, H. G. Wells, specified in his influential 1928 book, *The Open Conspiracy*. "Either you accept our demands, to eliminate the sovereignty of the United States, and other nations, and to place the world increasingly under the dictatorship of supranational institutions controlled by international banking institutions, or we will pretty much blow up the world as you now know it."

The double-talking character of the document is aptly illustrated by the following case in point. Earlier, the authors had acknowledged the threat of famine. Yet on page 104, they write of the need to "reduce surplus production of food." In the same vein, on page 108, for example, the document demands, almost tearfully, "something closer to four percent" annual rate of economic growth in the OECD countries, after having insisted on elimination of the "senile industries," reductions of agricultural output, and drastic cuts in the average hours of employed labor.

Naturally, the ubiquitous Henry A. Kissinger was on the premises, and addressing the events. Since Kissinger would control a Hart or Mondale administration, and may be confident he controls the Reagan administration from now to its end, the prattlings of the Trilaterals may be psychotic ramblings in form, but so are the rantings of the psychotic holding your family hostage.