


Strategic Map

Establishment seeks a year-end crisis, 'New Yalta' deal

There is no chance of stopping an early Soviet takeover of the European, Asia, and African strategic spheres of influence, unless the majority of the U.S. and Western European liberal establishments are efficiently opposed. The same section of the U.S. foreign-policy think-tanks directing Sen. Jesse Helms's (D-Ga.) scenarios for Mexican and Panamanian bloodbaths, is committed to de-coupling the U.S. strategically from West Germany by January, and also turning most of Asia and all of Africa over to the Soviet sphere of strategic influence during the 12 months or so ahead.

The leading edge of the liberal establishment is fully committed to forcing President Ronald Reagan into making such a New Yalta agreement with Moscow by no later than sometime during the first half of 1987. The present gameplan calls for a massive and deepening crisis-situation erupting by the close of 1986, and worsening that crisis over the first few months of 1987. The plotters estimate, that although the President would not consider a New Yalta package at this time, if he is caused to perceive himself locked into a hopeless and worsening economic and strategic crisis, over the first several months of 1987, he might be made malleable enough to submit to such a deal with Moscow.


This is the word from a recent confab at Georgetown's CSIS (see page 56), from the same crowd behind the projected destabilizations of Mexico and Panama. The obvious question is: "This is what that crowd is plotting. What are the chances that the majority of the establishment will commit itself to such a scenario?" From *EIR's* day-to-day readings around the world, this scenario is not just the talk of one group of policy-shapers. What we have been picking up from liberal establishment sources at high and secondary levels in Europe and the United States shows that the majority of the establishments are treating early negotiation of a New Yalta agreement, not as a mere policy-planning discussion, but as a currently operational policy-commitment. Leading developments in Europe, the U.S.A., in Asia, in Africa, and in Ibero-American hot-spots, coincide with this operational policy-commitment.



Probably, most of the complicit members of Congress are simply carrying out marching-orders, with no recognition of the policy behind such marching-orders.

The New Yalta agreement which the liberal establishment is currently pushing, includes:

1. In West Germany: An SPD-Green coalition government in the state of Lower Saxony, and an early ouster of Chancellor Helmut Kohl as a result of that SPD-Green success. By January 1987, either a Red-Green coalition government in Bonn, or a "grand coalition" of the CDU-Liberals-SPD on the basis of policies acceptable to the SPD left-wing. This means, Germany effectively out of NATO by early next year. The catalytic election campaign of "Patriots for Germany" in Lower Saxony, is the only visible factor which might upset such a scenario.



2. In Western Europe: A Red-Green coalition, or a CDU-Liberal-SPD coalition in Bonn, means that the Atlantic Alliance in Europe no longer exists in reality. Western Europe is then assimilated rapidly, step by step, into a quasi-neutral Europe within the Soviet sphere of strategic influence.

3. In the Middle East: Continuing chaos, centered around the role of the Soviet-directed Iran-Syria-Libya axis, destroying more and more of the nations of the region, and establishing the region as a Soviet sphere of strategic influence, with some secondary role for Britain and France.

4. In Asia generally: A Moscow-Peking condominium, strengthened by Soviet deployment of the Sikh

terrorists to catalyze the fragmentation of India. Only the islands of the Asiatic Rim remain temporarily tied to the U.S. sphere of influence.

5. In Africa generally: A direct Soviet military takeover of all of the strategic minerals region of southern Africa, using Soviet terrorist assets under the flags of the African National Congress and SWAPO. The destruction of black Central Africa by aid of Soviet-sponsored invasions of Zaire, et al. The destabilization of the Maghreb and Egypt, putting the region under the control of Soviet "Islamic fundamentalist" puppets.

6. In Central & South America: Drawing the United States into a new and vast "Vietnam War"-type involvement, aided by Soviet narco-terrorist forces such as the M-19 in Colombia and Shining Path in Peru.