

OSS to recount their personal experiences and lessons drawn. Perhaps for that reason the book carries forward some of the very flaws and omissions that have marred the CIA through its first 40 years.

### What about the bankers' CIA?

While criticizing some of the agency's dirtiest laundry—like the 1950s Technical Services Staff overboard experimentation with psychedelic drugs—and tackling ex-DCI William Colby for his overzealous public display of the agency's "skeletons" (a public performance that prompted former CIA Counterintelligence chief James Jesus Angleton to view Colby as a possible candidate as America's Kim Philby), the author at no point addresses the CIA's historical marriage to the Wall Street and Old Boston banking establishment. The existence of this "bankers' CIA" as a dominating policy grouping within the agency is perhaps the most sacred cow that Ranelagh chose to leave out of his narrative. Internal details of past covert operations, and overabundance of names of former and current ranking agency personalities are clearly unnecessary elements in a comprehensive history of the agency that at the same time "keeps the secrets." However, to omit the special relationship between the Establishment bankers and the top echelons of the agency is to conceal one of the most devastating flaws of the CIA, one that must be corrected if an effective rebuilding process is to proceed at the necessary pace.

In avoiding the "bankers' CIA" factor, Ranelagh resorted to his most entertaining use of the cover story method. The author painted an unquestionably accurate picture of the dominant role of the Ivy League law schools and the Eastern Establishment old families in shaping the early generations of CIA personnel and policies. (A useful further insight into this wedding of the CIA to the Establishment might be obtained by cross-referencing Ranelagh's book with David Halberstam's *The Best and the Brightest*.)

One former ranking member of the intelligence community, commenting on this particular flaw in the Ranelagh study, told this reviewer, "Let us be perfectly frank. The bankers have dominated large sections of the CIA from the beginning. Through positions like the Inspector General, the Wall Street banks have had such a dominating hand in the CIA that it has been impossible to distinguish the interests of Chase Manhattan from those of the United States. What's worse, this has bred a pragmatism that has badly impaired the ability of the agency to draw any long-term evaluations."

To the extent that the Ranelagh book was, as this reviewer suspects, part of the CIA's rebuilding program—a sort of a recruiting brochure casting out the image of the "new CIA"—it leaves a lot to be desired. This is probably less the flaw of the author than the flaw of some of the folks who highlighted the author's path. They, in their genuine desire to rebuild the agency in their original image, have perhaps once again failed to take a more profound and historical view of what America once was and must once again be.

# Nunn surfaces as hand-picked man

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

During the late November developments around "Iran-gate," Georgia's Democratic Senator Sam Nunn has been surfaced publicly as the hand-picked 1988 U.S. Democratic presidential candidate of the Kissinger-Brzezinski Trilateral Commission. Under his recent and present defense policies, Nunn would become a far worse U.S. President than the Buchanan who organized the vast, fratricidal war of 1861-65. Were Nunn elected President, his continuation of the present, treasonous policies of Henry A. Kissinger and the Trilateral Commission would ensure Soviet world-conquest during the interval 1989-93.

Notably, Nunn has been consistently demanding savage reductions in U.S. troop-strength in Western Europe. This pullback of U.S. forces is being pushed by every Trilateral Commission member on both sides of the Atlantic. The actions on defense demanded by Nunn would have exactly the same effect on West Germany that Neville Chamberlain's 1938 deal with Hitler had for President Eduard Benes's Czechoslovakia.

If West Germany begins to pull out of NATO, as Trilateral Commission figures in that country propose will occur after the January 1987 elections in that nation, all Western Europe becomes strategically indefensible, and the United States is automatically reduced to a third-rate power.

We can not prove, presently, whether Nunn is fully conscious of this fact or not. Possibly, he is merely willing to do anything, to say anything, to secure the full backing of the Trilateral Commission for his presidential candidacy. Kissinger, certainly, is fully conscious that what he is pushing is outright treason, and Nunn is backing Kissinger's policies fully.

The argument, that Nunn is essentially a strong backer of U.S. defense-capabilities, is a complete fraud. Nunn does pretend to support some elements in "conventional build-up"; but he demands that these improvements come out of the heart of strategic defense. He also supports, consistently, levels of deep cuts in defense spending which would immobilize the "conventional" capabilities he pretends to be strengthening at the expense of strategic defense.

Nunn's defense posture is not "confused." It is a consist-

# Trilateral's for President

ent sort of public-relations stunt. Nunn is a typically amoral, Georgia-machine politician. At bottom, his policies are the secret or semi-secret policies of those fixers, around the old Bulloch, Root, and Cox families, who control the Georgia Democratic machine today. His election-campaign platform is propaganda cover-up for the same policies as those of the people who have bought his soul, like many politicians today. His voting-record and public utterances are what his campaign's public-relations experts tell him to do and say, like so many political campaigns today.

Nunn's big political problem, is that no one could win the Democratic nomination for President if the general public knew that the candidate was committed to policies which would turn the world over to Soviet imperial domination: Nunn's actual defense policies. So, as a political candidate, he must cover up the fact that he is a backer of the Trilateral Commission's deal with Moscow on U.S. defense. He does that, by pretending to be a hard-line supporter of rebuilding of U.S. "conventional forces." So, Nunn's campaign committees say, "How dare you accuse Nunn of tearing down defense, when he is a leading booster of 'conventional build-up here at home'?"

The highway for promoting Nunn's presidential ambitions, is a side-show performance by former President Jimmy Carter.

Carter's taking the lead against President Reagan in "Iran-gate," does not mean that the Trilateral Commission is considering electing Carter President in 1988; it means that they are threatening to do so. It is simply a political maneuver, part of the Trilateral's campaign to give Nunn the 1988 Democratic nomination.

The rallying of the hard-core Carter machine of 1976-80 around the former President, is a way of consolidating that machine to deliver it to Nunn's support.

Since the Carter machine has used "Iran-gate" as the leading element of its effort to destroy President Reagan, the situation is created under which Carter himself could never run for President again. Although the Reagan administration made a deal, back during the 1980 campaign, not to carry through Ronald Reagan's campaign-threat to investigate the

Carter administration's Iran policy, the Republicans have all of the dossiers needed to destroy Carter, whenever they might choose to release those dossiers. Since the President's error, in his part in the State Department's weapons-for-hostages trade, was to buy into the continuing Khomeini channels of the Carter mafia, the mere fact that Iran is the issue being used against President Reagan, means that Carter's potential candidacy is ruined by such a thematic issue.

The key to Nunn's candidacy dates from 1983. In 1983, a Japan zipper manufacturing firm hosted Carter in Japan, as part of the launching of a new, Georgia-based, Trilateral Commission think tank, around the two leading U.S. geniuses, Jimmy Carter and former President Gerald Ford. (Although, no evidence has been submitted to date, that Carter has qualified for a zipper-operator's license.)

Under the sponsorship of the Georgia Coca-Cola mafia, Carter's Emory University-based, Trilateral "think tank" has been surfaced recently as a "parallel White House," already heavily involved in running a "parallel State Department." This center is strongly supported by Houston's Madame Dominique (Schlumberger) de Menil, a professed Sufi mystic and a major supporter of Middle East terrorist causes. The center is conducting a quasi-official U.S. foreign policy of its own in Central and South America, in Western Europe, and in the Middle East. That "think-tank" is able to operate in this way, through protection of Trilateral-controlled members of the U.S. Congress and fanatically anti-Reagan elements inside George Shultz's State Department. This "think tank," which relies heavily on Henry A. Kissinger's advice, as Senator Nunn himself claims to do, is the future Nunn administration.

Sam Nunn's personal qualifications for the presidential nomination were exhibited on Sunday's national midday television sets on Nov. 23. He exudes the meanness of a man guarding his illegal still against federal revenue agents. He radiates the threat to do mean things to anyone who challenges the veracity of his bald-faced lies—like the real Jimmy Carter behind the rhesus-monkey-like grin Carter put on for the television cameras. Nunn has exhibited the kind of meanness of a man who would do almost anything against anyone who gets in the way of his doing exactly what his masters have ordered him to do: The law of the land be damned. You saw the face of such a man, the future head of the Senate Armed Services Committee, on Sunday's TV screen.

Those of you old enough to remember, will recall the slogan used to sell the fine old Packard automobile! If you wish to learn what is a Senator Sam Nunn, now off and running as Carter's candidate for the 1988 Democratic presidential election, "ask the man who owns one." The difference is, no self-respecting citizen would wish to have the neighbors see a President Nunn parked in his driveway.

The United States used to be the most powerful nation on earth. One by one, the defenses of the U.S. were destroyed by the circles around Henry Kissinger. Let it not be said: "And then there were Nunn."