Congressional Closeup by Ronald Kokinda

Elderly shouldered with AIDS costs

The catastrophic health care bill, H.R. 2470, which the House passed on July 22, will end up placing a great deal of the cost of AZT and other drugs for AIDS victims upon the shoulders of the nation's elderly.

Rep. William Dannemeyer (R-Calif.) led the fight to delete this provision from the bill. "While I recognize that someone must bear the health care costs of these unfortunate victims, I do not believe that the elderly should be targeted for that role," Dannemeyer said.

H.R. 2470 provides benefits under Medicare for the cost of drugs minus a yearly \$500 deductible. Those under 65 can apply for Social Security Income benefits and after 24 months can receive Medicare benefits. Backers of the provision argued that most AIDS victims are dead by this period of time.

But Dannemeyer pointed outthat with the life-prolonging drugs such as AZT, and if those who have ARC (AIDS Related Complex) are covered by the bill, then "the elderly could be faced with subsidizing the drug needs of up to 3 million AIDS victims at a cost of \$300 billion."

"This is not just an idle threat that I am talking about today," Dannemeyer said. "Four thousand people currently have AIDS drawing SSI. When they have survived 24 months they are eligible for drugs. . . . There is little doubt that this category will expand dramatically as persons afflicted with AIDS qualify.

"We are creating a catastrophic bill here to cover those kinds of obligations," Rep. Ed Madigan (R-Ill.) said. "It is the AIDS patients rather than the senior citizens who are going to be incurring those kinds of obligations. But it is the senior citizens through the surtax in the Democratic legislation who are going to be picking up the bill for those catastrophic obligations."

Because of the rule under which H.R. 2470 was considered, there was no separate vote on the drug benefit provision. Rep. Robert Michel's (R-Ill.) substitute, which provided drug benefits only for the medically indigent, lost on a vote of 190 to 242.

Conyers, Ford push grand jury reform

Reps. John Conyers (D-Mich.), chairman of the House Judiciary Subcommittee on Criminal Justice, and Harold Ford (D-Tenn.), have both introduced legislation to reform grand jury investigations.

Conyers's bill is more modest in scope and seeks to allow a witness appearing before a grand jury to have his lawyer present with him for purposes of consultation. A witness is subject to self-incrimination and imprisonment for contempt, and may also inadvertently lose right to claim a privilege by operation of the doctrine of waiver, Conyers pointed out. "The complex and important legal issues which confront a witness testifying before the grand jury makes the presence of defense counsel critical," Convers said.

Currently, if a witness wishes to consult with counsel, he must ask the prosecutor's permission, repeat the question to his attorney, return and try to remember the attorney's instructions. "This process is inefficient and ineffective, as well as prejudicial to the witness," Conyers said. "It annoys grand jurors and raises speculation in their minds regarding the purpose of the consultation," he added.

Conyers said that "requiring a witness to get up and go outside the grand jury room to consult with counsel is so prejudicial to grand jury witnesses that it undermines one of the primary functions of the grand jury, to shield persons from unwarranted prosecutions."

Ford, who was recently indicted, for allegedly receiving \$350,000 from a bank run by the Butcher brothers through a sham corporation by a Knoxville grand jury after a lengthy investigation by a Memphis grand jury brought no indictment, has introduced a bill modeled more on his case.

The Ford bill would allow the target of a grand jury to appear before the grand jury if he requested. The target would also have the right to administer polygraph tests to any witness who is a convicted felon or a party to a plea bargain agreement. Also, exculpatory evidence unearthed by a previous grand jury would also have to be presented to any current grand jury.

Contra debate heats up in Congress

Dozens of supporters and opponents of further funding for the Contras took to the floor of the House to argue their case on July 23, intensifying a debate which is expected to peak later this year.

Contra supporters, buoyed by the public sentiment for Lt. Col. Oliver North, organized a series of statements around the slides which North used for fundraising for the Contras, but was not allowed to present before the Iran-Contra joint congressional committee.

While supporters made a serious case for Soviet and Cuban military activity, and the military buildup in Nicaragua, which threatens U.S. inter-

68 National EIR August 7, 1987

ests, there was little case made for the military effectiveness of the Contras themselves.

Contra opponents, mainly Democrats, largely complained that the Contras' victims were innocent Nicaraguan civilians.

Rep. David Bonior (D-Mich.), however, focused in on the military effectiveness of the Contras. After six years, Bonior noted, they have not been able to capture a single town or piece of territory. The Contras kill more civilians than soldiers, usually women and children, and seem more interested in seizing food and personal property than military bases. "The only targets the Contras have had any success at destroying are 'soft' economic targets that are difficult to defend, targets such as electric pylons or grain cooperatives. Even health clinics have been torched by the Contras.

"How can the Contras ever hope to win the hearts and minds of the Nicaraguan people if they continue to use tactics such as these?"

Surprisingly, a liberal opponent of the Contras, Sen. John Melcher (D-Mont.), said that the administration should concentrate on cutting off the flow of Soviet and Cuban arms into Nicaragua.

Allegations of Contra involvement in drug-running are meanwhile gaining a wider audience on Capitol Hill. The House Select Committee on Narcotics held hearings and reported that evidence gathered by the Committee shows that known drug-traffickers were involved in the effort to funnel supplies to the Contras. "There were flights going down with goods and guns, and there were flights coming back with drugs," Rep. James Traficant, Jr. (D-Ohio) said. "I don't know how it could occur without someone in this country knowing what's going

on." This investigation will "be continued by the House Judiciary Crime Subcommittee chaired by Rep. William Hughes (D-N.J.). Contra supporters claimed a victory of sorts in that no evidence has yet implicated the Contra leadership in drug-running.

Congress keeps heat on Turkey over Cyprus

No fewer than 36 congressmen and senators spoke out against Turkey on July 21, the 13th anniversary of the Turkish invasion of Cyprus.

The immediate legislative focus of these political attacks is to bolster bills that have been reported out of both the House Foreign Affairs and Senate Foreign Relations Committees which will bar the use of any U.S. military assistance to Turkey on the island of Cyprus. The Senate Committee "believes that Turkey's use of U.S. military assistance to upgrade its forces on Cyprus is inconsistent with its NATO obligations, the clear intent of existing U.S. law and the administration's stated justification for the assistance."

Opponents of Turkey's occupation of Cyprus cite the recent Turkish military build-up on the island, 60,000 Turks brought in as settlers, the establishment of a Turkish-backed government in the occupation zone, and the looting of religious and cultural artifacts in Greek-Cypriot areas, as evidence that the United States must exert pressure on Turkey.

Rep. Michael Bilirakis (R-Fla.), who organized the effort, went so far as to try and paint Turkey as a questionable U.S. ally, or friend of the Soviets.

But strong NATO defenders and defenders of Turkey's role in NATO, such as Reps. Gerald Solomon (R- N.Y.) and Thomas Bliley (R-Va.) joined in. "Turkey needs to be helped and supported in its ability to resist any military or political moves by the Soviet Union," Bliley said. But "Turkey's continued occupation of Cyprus breaks the spirit of the NATO alliance as well as heightens tensions with our other NATO ally, Greece."

Moynihan welfare reform targets child support 'cheats'

Sen. Daniel Moynihan (D-N.Y.) along with 23 Senators introduced S. 1511, the Family Security Act, on July 21, designed to replace the principal welfare program, Aid to Families with Dependent Children.

As Moynihan explains, the main purpose of his bill is to get after the parents who cheat on child support. "The Family Security Actenforces the principle that child support must in the first instance come from parents, and only thereafter from the community," Moynihan said.

Moynihan argued that the "key to the success of collecting child support payments is the timely establishment of paternity." States will be required to establish paternity and report a Social Security number for both parents at the time of birth. States will also be required to implement automatic wage withholding by employers upon notice of a court order of child support.

States are given wide latitude in the bill to set up job, education, and training opportunities, but welfare mothers "must try to earn income." Participation requirements are waived when the individual's youngest child is under three years of age, but states will be given the option to lower that age to one.