

Editorial

Police shootings and the Soviet agenda

Just as British Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain's 1938 "peace in our time" deals with Adolf Hitler made World War II inevitable, so the massive concessions to Moscow in connection with 1986-87 U.S.-Soviet summit negotiations are pushing the world in the direction of a choice between peaceful submission to Soviet overlordship and general nuclear war.

As *EIR* founder and presidential candidate LaRouche had predicted this in the wake of the October stock market crashes, no sooner had President Reagan agreed to his Dec. 7 "Pearl Harbor" summit, at which he is expected to sign an INF agreement giving Russia absolute military superiority in Europe, than the Soviets unleashed open guerrilla warfare in West Germany, new terrorism in the Philippines. And, because of Washington's lack of response, soon enough such terrorism can be expected to erupt in South Korea, the various republics of South America, and elsewhere.

On Nov. 3, "radical ecologist" extremists went on a violent rampage in Frankfurt, during which a well prepared ambush of the police deployed to keep order left two policemen dead of gunshot wounds and 10 others wounded.

Although one would not know this from the scattered reports in the U.S. news media, the Soviet government orchestrated the atrocity—and did so in such a way as to leave no doubt of its authorship of the atrocity.

As Western intelligence services know, Soviet-directed paramilitary forces in West Germany currently total approximately 10,000 individuals, swimming in a sea of as many as a million "greenie" sympathizers. In a manner detailed in *EIR*'s forthcoming, 500-page "Global Showdown Escalates" special report, the "radical ecologists" who engaged in the coordinated assault/ambush of police were drawn from these 10,000 Soviet-directed paramilitary forces. Their "officers" have been trained in East Germany, Czechoslovakia, and Syria, and their units are under the direction of Soviet military intelligence (GRU) sections.

The unprecedented, premeditated shooting of West German police by these units means that Soviet-directed paramilitary forces in Germany are now ordered to escalate toward levels of strategically significant irregular warfare readiness. Such guerrilla-warfare potential is an integral part of the Soviet Order of Battle for military occupation of West Germany. By bringing such guerrilla warfare to a peak of intensity over the days and weeks immediately preceding the zero-hour of Soviet regular assault, various forms of irregular violence and sabotage wreck the target-nation's ability to mobilize an effective defense.

So, the shooting of police in West Germany, the gunning down of American servicemen in the Philippines, the mobilization of Communist Party and allied guerrillas in Colombia, Peru, and elsewhere toward new levels of irregular warfare capability, signal to all who think clearly that Moscow is preparing to be positioned to unleash war at some time during the years immediately ahead.

How, then, has Washington responded to the atrocities? Not a peep—exactly as Neville Chamberlain responded to Adolf Hitler's escalating atrocities on the way to World War II.

President Reagan and his State Department advisers have proven themselves so desirous of a "new Munich" summit before his term is out, that they will allow nothing, such as exposure of the Soviet hand behind various atrocities, to get in the way of their strategically disastrous INF agenda.

Therefore, we may expect a further Soviet escalation, severally, of strikes and terrorist atrocities in Western Europe, probable unleashing of some terrorist capabilities in the United States, sharp escalations of Soviet insurgencies in the Philippines and South Korea, and new blow-ups in Central and South America.

The situation on these counts is a disastrous one. It is not an irreparable situation; but, until policies around Washington reverse current directions, the situation is just plain disastrous, without consoling qualifications.