

Editorial

No compromise with evil

A certain ugly rumor has been floating around, that a lot of people who should know better, plan to give credibility to the existence of a greenhouse effect for the ulterior reason that this will create a favorable climate in which to push for nuclear energy.

Such short-sighted thinking afflicted some members of the fusion community a few years back. They reasoned that the anti-nuclear movement might rebound to their favor, by making the urgency of reaching a fusion-power breakthrough more obvious. This, they hoped, would lead to higher levels of funding.

In reality, the opposite proved to be the case. The anti-science climate created by the environmentalists rebounded against the fusion effort as well. Now the leading Princeton (TFTR) Tokamak program has been cut back, in order supposedly to spread the limited funds among smaller fusion experiments which raise important scientific questions.

The rationale given for the decision is that the billion-dollar cost for the next stage of a scaled-up TFTR, is a lot of money to spend, when we do not yet have an adequate scientific understanding of how a fusion plasma works. Years ago, this magazine violently objected to cuts in funding of the smaller programs, for the very reason that they were exploring the right questions about the essential non-linearity of fusion reactions.

We pointed out that the Princeton program was depending upon a brute force approach to gaining fusion. It was a Zeppelin, when the future would clearly be a jet age; however, we just as strongly oppose holding back the TFTR just when it holds promise of a major breakthrough in fusion. So now we are sorry that some worthy scientists, who have been starved of funds to pursue highly valuable fusion research, are seeing the diversion of limited funds away from Princeton and into their research, as a step forward.

Those who think that supporting the campaign to stop the greenhouse effect is a clever maneuver are wearing a similar set of blinders. They are proposing to support the evil ideology of radical environmentalists,

against rational scientific method, in the vain hope of limited gains.

Again, the truth is opposite. If those pushing for legislation to severely limit the use of fossil fuels—and also restrict agricultural production—are successful, we will face such a severe economic collapse, that the issue of nuclear energy will be moot.

There is an even more serious reason why these environmentalists must be stopped. The kind of lie they spread to foster their claims, whether it be the risks of radiation, or the warming of the Earth's atmosphere, is also intended to transform the culture.

Environmentalism is a pagan cult—Satanical in its vehement attack upon the existence of man as a species in favor of the claims of their false god, Mother Nature. It is by no means coincidental that major supporters of the environmentalist movement are also members of such overtly Satanic organizations as the Lucis (formerly Lucifer) Trust.

The London *Economist* recently editorialized that it might be a good thing for the biosphere, if mankind were exterminated as a species; and Britain's Prince Philip has said that were he to be reincarnated, he would hope to be a killer virus rather than a man.

These statements are provocative, but they are nonetheless remarkably honest about the motives which govern these Satanists, when they propose policies which would have as their effect the deaths of billions now living and yet more unborn. By counterposing industrial society, not to speak of the development of new technologies such as fusion power (or the adequate exploitation of nuclear energy) to a "living" biosphere, they are advocating a far more elaborate policy of genocide than did even Adolf Hitler.

If you disagree with the premises of these genocidalists, then don't compromise with their evil. No tactical gain for the moment can be worth the price of allowing them to achieve any more credibility with a citizenry already too prone to substitute irrational fantasy for a dispassionate recognition of necessity.