

structed specifically to make backwardness its official policy. Rep. Claudine Schneider's bill states, "The Administrator of the Agency for International Development shall . . . issue guidance to all agency missions stating that ecologically sustainable renewable energy resources and energy efficiency are to be the centerpiece of their energy efforts ranked in order of cost-effectiveness."

The enforced backwardness is not limited to energy programs. The bills in Congress intend to throw humanity 100 years in reverse, by emphasizing the use of draft animals, with the deceptive name of "non-motorized transport technologies." Rep. Claudine Schneider's bill, excerpted below, is quite explicit on the subject.

Some misguided pro-nuclear individuals and industries in the United States and the rest of the world have been supporting the "global warming" theory because they mistakenly believe that it is the only chance left for advanced modes of energy production, nuclear fission and fusion, to revive from their near destruction by the environmentalist forces. Now that all fossil fuel power plants have allegedly become dangerous to humanity because they emit carbon dioxide, the thinking is that nuclear power plants should be built because they do not produce any pollution emission. Nuclear power industries have provided millions of dollars behind the scenes to the most radical ecological groups to spread the "greenhouse effect" hysteria. They have been enticed by Sen. Timothy Wirth, who has been making beautiful promises about how we need nuclear energy. His bill calls for the expenditure of \$500 million on research for "inherently safe nuclear reactors," over a three-year period, and such kooks as Alan Cranston (D-Calif.), Claiborne Pell (D-R.I.), and Albert Gore are also calling for nuclear research. A look at Wirth's bill, however, dispels such illusions.

Wirth's bill does indeed include expenditures of \$100 million on nuclear research for 1991. But the present allocation of funds for that category is \$286.7 million. So Wirth's "pro-nuclear" greenhouse bill will just happen to *cut* nuclear research by one-third.

Furthermore, the amount of funding allocated to advanced civilian nuclear research in 1981 was \$650 million, so that Wirth's paltry \$100 million is not even one-sixth of what was being spent eight years ago, which was still a significant reduction from research funding before Jimmy Carter became President. After savagely cutting funding for nuclear research in 1991, then Wirth's bill increases the funding to \$200 million in 1992 and 1993, for a total expenditure of \$500 million in research for a three-year period, still not even close to the 1981 expenditures of \$650 million. The amount allocated to nuclear power research by Wirth in 1991 is also paltry, if compared to research on renewable resources: \$190.8 million.

Wirth's bill emphasizes that any other allocations for nuclear power research will not be allowed after the bill is passed. It states, "The purpose of this Title is to redirect

programs in existence on the date of the enactment of this Title for research, development, and demonstration of technologies for the generation of commercial electric power from nuclear fission. notwithstanding any other provision of law, this title shall be the exclusive source of authority for appropriations for such programs." Thus, any promising nuclear technologies disliked by the environmentalist kooks will be killed under Wirth's bill.

Greenhouse bills' sponsors in Senate

Although it is not likely that any of these bills will be adopted in their entirety during this session of Congress, the strategy, according to several congressional aides consulted by *EIR*, is to push through elements of these bills as riders to other bills, so that overall, many of the policies of these genocidal bills will be adopted piecemeal. There are almost enough co-sponsors to these bills, a total of 49 U.S. senators, so that even some of the most draconian policies may be adopted as law. The senators sponsoring and co-sponsoring the green fascist bills mentioned in this section are:

Brock Adams (D-Wash.), Max Baucus (D-Mont.), Lloyd Bentsen (D-Tex.), Jeff Bingaman (D-N.M.), Rudy Boschwitz (R-Minn.), John B. Breaux (D-La.), Richard H. Bryan (D-Nev.), Dale Bumpers (D-Ark.), Quentin N. Burdick (D-N.D.), John H. Chafee (R-R.I.), Alan Cranston (D-Calif.), Alfonse D'Amato (R-N.Y.), John C. Danforth (R-Mo.), Dennis DeConcini (D-Ariz.), Christopher Dodd (D-Conn.), David Durkenberger (R-Minn.), Wyche Fowler, Jr. (D-Ga.), Albert Gore, Jr. (D-Tenn.), Slade Gorton (R-Wash.), Bob Graham (D-Fla.), Charles E. Grassley (R-Iowa), Tom Harkin (D-Iowa), John Heinz (R-Pa.), Ernest F. Hollings (D-S.C.), Daniel K. Inouye (D-Hi.), James M. Jeffords (R-Vt.), J. Bennett Johnston (D-La.), Nancy L. Kassebaum (R-Kans.), Robert W. Kasten, Jr. (R-Wis.), John F. Kerry (D-Mass.), Frank R. Lautenberg (D-N.J.), Patrick J. Leahy (D-Vt.), Joe Lieberman (D-Conn.), Richard G. Lugar (R-Ind.), Sparky M. Matsunaga (D-Hi.), John McCain (R-Ariz.), Barbara A. Mikulski (D-Md.), George J. Mitchell (D-Maine), Daniel Patrick Moynihan (D-N.Y.), Larry Pressler (R-S.D.), Harry Reid (D-Nev.), Donald W. Riegle Jr. (D-Mich.), Terry Sanford (D-N.C.), James Sasser (D-Tenn.), Paul Simon (D-Ill.), Arlen Specter (R-Pa.), Steven D. Symms (R-Id.), Pete Wilson (R-Calif.), Timothy E. Wirth (D-Colo.).