

toward Iraq, even though Iraq started the war with Iran, bombed the *USS Stark*, and gassed not only Iranians, but the Kurds and Shi'ites of Iraq itself. When that policy failed, no one in the administration had the decency to repudiate it; there were no apologies, no resignations."

Labeling the Mideast a "rotten neighborhood," Peretz launched into a racist diatribe against Arabs for their "rent-a-crowd" populism and their routine use of "mayhem and murder." An ADL press release quotes the conclusion of the Peretz speech: "These [Arab attributes] are the impediments to the long-term prospects for peace in the Middle East."

What 'low-profile' approach?

The tough war talk at the leadership gathering echoed a widely circulated document written by ADL National Director Abe Foxman and International Relations Director Kenneth Jacobson, titled "The Low-Profile Approach: Is It Worth It?" The two top ADL men questioned the so-called "low-key" approach being imposed on the Israeli government by the Bush administration, and warned that Washington had better remember that Israel is its only truly reliable regional ally. In effect, Foxman and Jacobson argued that Israel should be brought into the center of America's military plans against Saddam Hussein and that, as Reagan's Secretary of State George Shultz proved, a Washington-Jerusalem axis will not undercut U.S.-Arab collaboration:

"George Shultz understood how much Israel meant to the U.S., how American interests in the Arab world are not hurt by our relations with Israel, how so many of the Arab countries need the U.S. It is distressing to see the trends that appear to be undoing so much that was learned. . . .

"In fact, the U.S. insistence on a low profile by Israel is questionable on three levels. First, it rests on the false assumption that we must appease the Arab states; this distorts what has taken place in the Gulf crisis, which is that the U.S. saved the Arab world from the aggressor. Second, it prevents Israel, with all its military and intelligence capabilities, from making the kind of contribution that it could to the effort against Saddam. And finally, it has the effect of the U.S. appeasing the Arab world at Israel's expense."

If there was any doubt as to how ardently the ADL is peddling a desert bloodbath in which American GIs make the region safe for Greater Israel, they were dispelled on Nov. 14, when the ADL scheduled a dinner reception at New York City's Tavern on the Green featuring Henry A. Kissinger as the keynote speaker, addressing "The New World Order: Risks and Opportunities." An ADL spokeswoman told a caller that Kissinger's remarks were for ADL ears only and were not recorded or printed. Judging from Henry Kissinger's recent public displays of rug chewing at the prospect of any outcome to the Gulf crisis other than a war to the death with Saddam Hussein, it is not hard to imagine the kinds of comments he made behind closed doors with his cronies at the ADL.

North gets subpoena in Roanoke trial

by Nora Hamerman

Lt. Col. Oliver North, who is walking away scot-free from his misdeeds in the Iran-Contra affair, will have to answer questions about the U.S. government's misconduct against Lyndon LaRouche and his political movement in the Roanoke, Virginia County Circuit Court. "Ollie" was served with a subpoena on Dec. 6, to give testimony in the trial of Anita Gallagher, Paul Gallagher, and Laurence Hecht, three collaborators of LaRouche who are the latest to be tried on trumped-up "securities violations" charges in Roanoke.

The subpoena to North was served at the request of defense attorneys, who were expected to begin their affirmative defense on Monday, Dec. 10.

Joseph Fernandez, North's business partner and the former CIA station chief in Costa Rica, physically attacked the process server while North was trying to evade service. A criminal complaint for battery and harassment has been sworn out before a Loudoun County, Virginia magistrate against Fernandez.

When a process server went to North's "Guardian Technologies" business in Loudoun County, North refused to come out of his inner office to accept the subpoena. After many hours, Fernandez came out of the building and yelled obscenities and physically menaced the process server. Later, after Sheriff's officers were called to the scene, one officer entered the building and came out and told the process server that North was no longer in the building. As the officers left, North sneaked out the door and got into his car without being served.

The next day, two process servers returned. Virginia law allows a subpoena to be served at a person's regular place of business by giving it to the person in charge of the business. When the process server gave the subpoena to the secretary and told her it was for North, Fernandez took the subpoena off the secretary's desk, shoved it into the jacket pocket of the process server, and pushed him out of the door, all the time shouting hysterically.

Joseph Fernandez was indicted by Independent Counsel Lawrence Walsh for his involvement in the Iran-Contra affair, but his case was thrown out when President George Bush and Attorney General Richard Thornburgh refused to release classified information to Walsh. Fernandez is now

the president, and North the chairman, of Guardian Technologies International. According to press accounts, GTI is negotiating a contract to sell its bulletproof vests to the Israeli army through U.S.-funded aid programs. Before serving the CIA in Costa Rica, Fernandez reportedly was involved in CIA covert operations in Lebanon on behalf of Henry Kissinger.

LaRouche vs. Kissinger in court

On Dec. 3, the jury got a foretaste of the motives for illegal actions by the Iran-Contra crowd against LaRouche, after a prosecution witness testified that she had watched, in her own living-room, the Lyndon LaRouche videotape entitled, "Henry A. Kissinger: Soviet Agent of Influence." Over the objections of the prosecution, the defense was allowed to play to the entire jury and courtroom the last 10-minute portion of that videotape (which had aired on ABC-TV on March 26, 1984).

LaRouche said that the world's most powerful financial institutions had targeted black Africa for mass murder through death and famine; that this targeting had been done in part through agencies such as the Club of Rome, and its evil policies were carried out through institutions such as the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank, and that officials at the highest levels of the Federal Reserve of the United States had endorsed at least some of those policies.

This policy of mass murder was caused by Henry A. Kissinger, and his friends, who were engaged in a movement to impose genocide, not only against black Africa, but to bring that monstrous immorality to the United States by proposing similar genocidal policies against the elderly. Kissinger and his friends were behind policies such as reviving what was a crime at Nuremberg, euthanasia. Codenamed "death with dignity," euthanasia is systematic murder which is proposed by banking institutions, just to keep costs down.

LaRouche spoke of the case of Sen. Harrison Williams, the Abscam-targeted senator as an example of a similar immorality. According to the evidence, Senator Williams refused a bribe, yet he was convicted by a federal judge. At the same time, in New York City, the Establishment and the city government told police that murderers and drug pushers would not wind up in prison.

LaRouche commented that our nation has become a Sodom and Gomorrah. If we awaken in time, we can reverse the error. The 1984 presidential candidate then reiterated his proposal for a new Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI) and referred to the March 23, 1983 speech of President Reagan. LaRouche said that he favored this policy as a way to destroy the rotten, genocidal agreement of Bertrand Russell and Henry A. Kissinger.

It is now approximately 17 years since the April 1967 encyclical of Pope Paul VI against genocide, known as *Populorum progressio*, LaRouche continued in the videotape. Although *Populorum progressio* was a Catholic document, it

embodies something much broader for all mankind. It encapsulates the essence of natural law, that every human life is sacred, and it is the sacred duty of society to protect each human life. It is the sacred duty of society to contribute good and allow that good to be passed on from generation to generation. Because "no man is compelled to go to his grave like a mere beast." Unless institutions of our society defend these sacred values, our nation is doomed. Neither the Russian Empire nor feudalists like Henry A. Kissinger accept this natural law.

Henry Kissinger announced his rejection of natural law in his doctoral dissertation, which was a defense of Metternich and what Metternich represented: the imposition of a slave society like Sparta, the imposition of the empire-values of ancient Rome. Today's ruling elite is imposing policies consistent with those of empire, of Lycurgus, of the reign of a master race, LaRouche charged in the 1984 videotape. We cannot tolerate the injustices against the people of black Africa.

We cannot tolerate, LaRouche told the courtroom through this videotape, the FBI's Gestapo-like frameup of an innocent senator. Let us go back to being a great agro-industrial power. Let us become again what we were once known as at the time of our founding, a "temple of liberty" and "beacon of hope" for all mankind. Let us restore to all the world's people the values and commitments encapsulated in Pope Paul VI's *Populorum progressio*. Let us again have a situation in which the all those frightened around the world look up to the President of the United States and say, "This is an injustice which the President of the United States would not tolerate if he knew about it."

Therefore, LaRouche said, we need a \$200 billion crash program for the first generation of ballistic-missile defense. He concluded, "Let us move Henry A. Kissinger, and what he represents, for once and forever, out of the policy-making processes of government."

Imposter witnesses

The prosecution called eight imposter witnesses—substitutes for the actual individual who had a political or philosophical relationship to one of the projects of the LaRouche movement. Instead, the imposter, usually a lawyer, a bank officer, or a greedy heir, testifies about how he or she didn't get the money for their institution or their family, without knowing the slightest reason the individual in question had committed resources, time, and effort for a political, scientific, or philosophic project.

One typical such imposter witness was a lawyer who did not have an attorney-client privilege waiver from her client. She testified about loans that were made in 1985 and '86, but did not know that the individual in question had continued to make substantial contributions to the very legal defense fund, which was backing the defense of the three defendants—through October 1990!