

EIR Feature

LaRouche foresaw 'transmogrification' in Soviet Union

The remarks below were made by Lyndon LaRouche on New Year's Eve, 1990:

Six months ago, approximately, I issued a warning which has since proved grimly prophetic. I warned at the end of June 1990, that there were signs of an imminent war in the Middle East. I stated that although the war would not probably erupt until after the November 1990 U.S. elections, there is a danger that is to be considered, that it might erupt earlier.

I indicated at the time, that the war was being orchestrated by British-dominated Anglo-American intelligence factions, specifically a British intelligence faction which controls the then and still present government of Israel; and that thus, the British manipulation of its Israeli puppet government was a crucial indication of what was afoot.

By British intelligence, I mean, as I signified then, entities such as the Hollinger Corp., which employs as its associate a self-professed British agent, Henry A. Kissinger, the former U.S. secretary of state, who later professed he had been a British agent, while serving as U.S. secretary of state.

The purpose of this war has nothing to do with Kuwait as such. The war was planned, essentially, back in the mid-1970s—and actually earlier. The long-range policy of this Anglo-American faction then, was the establishment of a one-world government, ruled by the Anglo-American interests by aid of special arrangements reached with Moscow. The prospect then, when the policy was first articulated, was that as soon as the East-West conflict could be brought under control by “New Yalta” agreements with Moscow, the Anglo-American and other military forces would be directed to new targets: the targeting of military operations which had been through East-West to North-South.

The purpose of the warfare would be the looting of developing nations, of Central and South America, Africa, Asia, and so forth; and the specific targets would be population and raw materials wars—that is, wars fought by aid of food control and



On Dec. 12, 1990, George Bush grips the hand of Soviet Foreign Minister Eduard Shevardnadze in Washington. Now Shevardnadze is out, and the "pro-Western" faction in Moscow is falling like a house of cards—exactly as LaRouche warned.

Stuart Lewis

famine, to force reduction of the darker-skinned populations of the planet, and also wars fought to put valuable strategic minerals and so forth, such as petroleum reserves, under the control of European occupation forces.

As we know, at the end of 1989, a window of opportunity was opened for this Anglo-American operation. It was opened by the disassembly, temporarily, of the Soviet empire. The Soviet empire went through great troubles, beginning the latter part of 1989, and was preoccupied with internal affairs to a great degree, and will continue to be so, *up to a point*, when it reemerges in a new form, under a combination of nationalists, KGB, and military, as it's tending to do now.

The object of the British fellows, including the Hollinger Corp. and its friends, Henry Kissinger and so forth, was to exploit this window of opportunity while the Soviets were preoccupied with their internal affairs, to establish a New World Order, based on North-South conflicts, out-of-area deployment of NATO forces for population wars and for raw materials control wars.

Iraq was selected as the exemplary first target. To this end, British intelligence chiefly, but aided by Washington, manipulated and lured Iraq into the operations in Kuwait. The British, beginning approximately November 1989, launched overt economic and financial warfare against Iraq, putting Iraq in a corner. To aid this, British intelligence factions involved utilized the Emir of Kuwait to provoke Iraq in the most outrageous fashion, repeatedly and increasingly.

The United States intervened, through many channels,

including April Glaspie, U.S. ambassador to Baghdad, to lure Saddam Hussein's forces into Kuwait, saying that the United States had no interest in Kuwait.

Thus, Saddam Hussein, proceeding on the basis of these repeated U.S. assurances that the U.S. would not mind if he did something with Kuwait, moved in. Then, under orders from Margaret Thatcher et al., George Bush moved to sandbag the too-trusting Saddam Hussein, and thus, to set up a no-negotiating situation, intending to use Iraq as a bloody example to break all resistance to future Anglo-American New World Order demands, for population reduction, and surrender of valuable raw materials by developing nations to the nations of the North, particularly the Anglo-Americans.

That war has now broken out. The U.N. has been degraded into nothing but a puppet of the Anglo-American factions involved. It no longer has credibility; it is no longer a peacemaking force; it is simply a tool of the new one-world imperialist agency, unless it decides to free itself of that encumbrance. It has no moral authority; it has no authority in international law. It is a litigant; it is a protagonist; it is a combatant. So, who shall make peace between the combatant force, which includes the United Nations, and Iraq? Certainly not the United Nations Organization in its present form.

Such is the situation. That is the reality.

Now meanwhile, on the Soviet front.

Back in the spring of 1983, based on crucial information which I received directly from Moscow, I forecast that Moscow was undergoing a change to dump the bolshevik-communist

ideological profile of its regime and organization of its government, to bring back a quasi-czarist form of regime, based on what I'd characterized then as a traditional Russian "Third Rome" ideological basis. The bases would include the Soviet military, the Soviet internal security forces, the KGB, and all linked together by a nationalist entity, whose chief spokesman, and chief ideological force, would be the restored and ever-more authoritative Russian Orthodox Church hierarchy.

In this process, the discrediting of Communist rule, to a large degree by economic crisis, by the food crisis, and so forth, has brought about the change now, which I indicated as in the winds back during 1983. What we are seeing is not the dissolution of Moscow, or Moscow's empire; what we are seeing is a process of transformation, a transmogrification. The Russians might prefer to say this is the emergence of the butterfly from the larval state, and, continuing the analogy, some might say that the present discomfiture of the Soviet empire, or the Russian empire, is the pupal state preparing the re-emergence of a new Russian empire, more czarist-like than the bolshevik empire, based on the elements which participated in the leadership of Soyuz, and which reflected themselves in the letter of the "53" recently, demanding a certain quality of changes in the character of the Russian state.

Those are the principal features, strategically, of 1990 to date.

The depression deepens

The year 1990 has also brought to a new degree of devolution the general worldwide economic depression, which erupted on the New York Stock Exchange and elsewhere during October 1987.

The savings and loan system, which was bankrupt already in 1982—the S&L banks were kept in place merely to be looted by George Bush's friends—that's past.

The major U.S. commercial banks, once involved in foreign business, are now on the chop. Banks around the world, those tied too closely to the American banks, for example, are on the chop. There is not a recession in the United States; there is already the onset of a deep depression, potentially far worse than that of the 1930s. And thus the world, at the end of 1990, is gripped by a new worldwide depression, affecting different parts of the world, at different rates: Japan somewhat less, and Europe, dominated by Germany, the least. But all the world is affected.

During the first part of 1991, as we face the prospect of a war with incalculable effects in the Middle East, we are also gripped increasingly by the plunge at an accelerating rate into the deepest depression of the twentieth century.

You know where I stand on these issues. If anybody were serious about avoiding a depression, or at least, if enough people were serious, I wouldn't be in prison in the first place—the prison where George Bush, the present President who made this mess, or at least who is responsible for it, who is responsible for my imprisonment, put me.

Russian triad forms dictatorship

by Konstantin George

As the decisive session of the U.S.S.R. Congress of People's Deputies, which had begun Dec. 17, drew to a close, the New Year brought to fruition a "post-bolshevik" presidential dictatorship in the Soviet Union. The imposition of this dictatorship, ratified by the Congress on Dec. 26, signifies for the short term a policy of intimidation and confrontation up to and including military intervention against the Baltic states and other independence-seeking non-Russian republics. For the medium term it denotes a policy design for the creation out of the chaos and wreckage of the present system, of a new form of Russian Empire.

The presidential dictatorship represents a power triad of the Army, KGB, and Russian national political and party elite. The Congress majority, acting as the rubber stamp for this triad, gave Gorbachov emergency powers to impose direct presidential rule over any republic or region, and to issue any emergency decrees on the economy.

But neither Gorbachov nor anybody else at the Congress advanced any economic policies that could solve the crisis. That means that the U.S.S.R. is going to explode, no matter what kind of dictatorship emerges.

The Congress also approved a measure to enlarge the Federation Council's composition to include, in addition to Gorbachov and the 15 republic presidents, the heads of the U.S.S.R.'s 20 autonomous republics, 16 of which are autonomous republics of the Russian Federation. By this charming device, the Federation Council—the one seeming concession of influence to the non-Russian republics—has now become an institution with a pro-Russian majority.

On Dec. 22, Soviet KGB head Gen. Vladimir Kryuchkov delivered a vintage Cold War-style tirade to the Congress, denouncing the reformers and hinting at the kind of transformation that is currently under way. "Although the international atmosphere has changed, foreign spies are still working against us," he said. "They are collecting information on our politics, on the Army, and on our industrial development. They are assessing how much money the Soviet Union has. Foreign agents and anti-Soviet groups abroad are constantly improving their techniques for collecting information." He charged that emigré groups are providing "moral and financial support for the nationalists." Kryuchkov explicitly identified the U.S. CIA as responsible for many of these activities.