Nazi psychiatrists behind Serbia's reign of terror

by Joseph Brewda

The mass media are running daily reports of the atrocities being committed by Serbians in Bosnia. What is the reason for such behavior? Is there some military-tactical purpose for the rape-camps, the mass murder of civilians, and other incidents which evoke Nazi-era war crimes? Or are they, as British Foreign Minister Douglas Hurd claims, natural outbreaks of bestiality which are "nothing new" in the history of war?

_EIR_ conducted its own investigation of these events and determined that the atrocities are being run top-down by psychiatrists trained by the London Tavistock Institute, the same Tavistock which had studied the bestiality unleashed during the Second World War by the Nazis—not in order to prevent it, but to learn how to use it for geopolitical ends. As we shall demonstrate in the report below, incredible as it may seem, it is that evil expertise which is directing the Balkans carnage.

**Purposeful terror**

A Bosnian woman, identified as Z.N. by the _New York Times_, reported the following incidents after Serbian Chetnik irregular forces entered her town last year:

"As soon as the Chetniks came into our city, they selected women, children, and the old people; men were taken to a concentration camp with an excuse that they were mobilized. They put hundreds of us in a school in Doboj and they turned it into a kind of camp. As soon as we entered the camp, marticevic [followers of Chetnik officer Milan Martic] came in with guns and selected younger women and girls. They put them in a hall and told the Chetniks to do with the women what they pleased. There was silence. Then the crazy, dirty, stinking Chetniks jumped at the women like animals; they tore off their clothes, pulled their hair, cut their breasts with their knifes. Those who screamed would be killed on the spot.

"Every day the same picture was repeated; they would rape and kill in front of hundreds of us. Once a young woman with a baby was taken in the middle of the hall. It was in June. They ordered her to take off her clothes. She put the baby on the floor next to her. Four Chetniks raped her; she was silent. When she was..."
left alone, she asked if she could breast-feed her baby. Then a Chetnik cut the child’s head off with a knife. He gave the bloody head to the mother. The poor woman screamed. They took her outside and she never came back.”

One retired Austrian military officer observed to EIR of such Serbian actions: “I used to think they were lunatics, since they would not use their armor and artillery at times when any normal army would do so, but instead would simply fire and fire and fire, at houses, at hospitals, at whatever. But now I know they are not lunatics, in the sense of people who don’t know what they are doing. No, they have a definite, overall strategy. The political goal in all this is ethnic cleansing, to drive people out. For this, they are experts in terror. In this domain, I think it is right to emphasize the psychological-operations and psychological-warfare side of Serbian actions, since the systematic rape and torture is not only meant to hurt physically but also psychologically.”

Trained in psy-war

Although media accounts suppress this fact, the leadership of the Chetnik forces operating in Bosnia and Croatia, and those in Serbia, are psychiatrists, psychologists, and sociologists:

- Dr. Radovan Karadzic, the leader of the Chetniks in Bosnia, who oversees the rape camps, is a psychiatrist who specializes in “group therapy” and depression.
- Dr. Jovan Rascovic, the leader of the Chetniks in Croatia until his recent death, was one of former Yugoslavia’s leading psychiatric theoreticians, an expert on “narcissism.”
- Dr. Mihailo Markovic, the chief ideologist of the ruling Serbian party, who justifies the genocide, is a sociologist and historian of philosophy, specializing in Freudian psychology.
- Dr. Srickovic, who oversees Serbian propaganda operations in Belgrade, is a psychiatrist.
- Dr. Vojislav Seselj, the leader of Chetniks throughout former Yugoslavia, is a sociologist.

One European psychiatrist commented on this, “What you see the Serbs doing now, is something they have learned over a thousand years to do; it’s very much part of their war-fighting culture. What Karadzic, Seselj, and so on can do, with their academic training, is to provide a ‘political socialization model,’ a kind of theoretical framework, to an age-old Serbian method of thinking and acting.” “They would have behaved this way anyway,” he speculated, “but ideas found in an American university can be useful; American universities are fertile grounds for such seemingly academic legitimizations.”

All the Serbian psychiatrists and sociologists overseeing the terror campaign today were trained abroad, either in Britain, France, the United States, or Germany. Moreover, they were all trained at the Tavistock Institute of Human Relations in London, England; the University of Frankfurt’s Institute of Social Research (“Frankfurt School”), in Germany; or at other hospitals or universities affiliated with either institute. Tavistock and the Frankfurt School are both psychological-warfare arms of British intelligence. The Frankfurt School affiliate in former Yugoslavia, known as “Praxis,” founded by the Dr. Markovic mentioned above, is running the Serbian state today.
Aimed to destroy nation-states

What is the purpose of the Serbian psychiatric offensive? From the standpoint of the Serbian psychiatrist on the ground, it is simply to destroy, through terror, the Bosnian and Croatian sense of national identity. But from the standpoint of the British controllers of the Serbian psychiatrists, it is something more. Tavistock and the Frankfurt school want to use the atrocities, and the crisis around the atrocities, to destroy the nation-state throughout Europe. Forging virtual tribal identities based on paranoia, to replace national identities, is their objective. The claim that it is nationalism itself which is responsible for the atrocities, is intended to aid this effort.

This obscures the vital distinction between blood-and-soil “nationalism” of the Nazi variety, and a positive national identity, based upon a unity of language and culture, and a common purpose for development.

The Tavistock Institute of Human Relations, formerly known as the Tavistock clinic, was founded in London in 1921. It quickly became known as the “Freud Hilton,” due to the fact that Dr. Sigmund Freud’s daughter, Dr. Anna Freud, became a leading figure there. In 1932, the clinic was taken over by Dr. John Rawlings Rees, a key figure in British Army intelligence. During World War II, then-Brigadier-General Rees was the top psychiatric warfare specialist of the British military command; Tavistock became the psychological warfare arm of the British military and intelligence services generally.

In 1946, the Anglo-American establishment decided to upgrade Tavistock even further. The idea was to make Tavistock the central laboratory for developing hard-core brainwashing techniques and related methods to destroy the establishment’s enemies worldwide. Tavistock was charged with training the cadre needed to implement that ambitious task; it received a massive infusion of funds from the Rockefeller Foundation that year.

To this end, Tavistock established the Center for the Study of Persecution and Extermination at Sussex University. The center interviewed numerous former concentration camp guards, and probed the sociology of concentration camps. Among the questions investigated was how otherwise normal people could participate in unspeakable crimes over an extended period of time without collapsing psychologically.

In 1948, Rees became president of the United Nations-linked World Federation of Mental Health. The U.N. became a major conduit for British psychological warfare operations.

‘Save us from western civilization’

Like Tavistock, the Frankfurt School was formed in the immediate aftermath of World War I by a network of Freudians and neo-Freudians. In 1922, Hungarian aristocrat and communist leader Georg Lukacs pulled together a meeting in Germany of communist-linked sociologists and intellectuals. Lukacs had led the short-lived 1919 Hungarian Soviet; the purpose of the meeting in Germany was to spread Bolshevism in the West. The “Frankfurt School,” formally known as the Institute of Social Research of the University of Frankfurt, was from its inception dedicated to that task. It was intended, in Lukacs’s words, to answer his question rising from the failure of many communist revolutions of that period: “Who will save us from western civilization?”

The school became a meeting ground for philosophers, sociologists, psychiatrists, and others dedicated to destroying western civilization. Communists, fascists, Zionists, Freudians, and anti-Christian zealots generally poured into and out of the school. Plato, whom the school correctly saw as the wellspring of western civilization, was forever their target for destruction. The school was cosponsored directly by British intelligence; no contradiction, since Bolshevism itself was a product of British intelligence.
Following World War II, many of the school's cadre re-emerged as the big structures fell apart and individuals reasserted their very primitive sense of identity," he added. Under such conditions, "people become psychopaths" and can easily be manipulated by psychiatrists like Karadzic. "Remember, to be a psychiatrist, it is not necessarily to be sane, ha! ha!"

Other Anglo-American propagandists argue that the war in former Yugoslavia has shown that the nation-state has failed. A new model of society, they claim, must be found, with an upgraded role for the United Nations.

Championing that view in the winter issue of Foreign Policy, former State Department officials Gerard Helman and Steven Ratner argue that a "novel, expansive—and desperately needed effort by the United Nations to undertake nation-saving responsibilities" has been demonstrated by the situation in Bosnia. The current collapse "has its roots in the vast proliferation of nation-states, especially in Africa and Asia, since the end of World War II." The attempt to create nation-states among former colonies has been a failure, they argue. Bosnia, Cambodia, Liberia, Somalia are among a list "whose governmental structures have been overwhelmed by circumstances. . . . Although international organizations deserve much credit for responding to distress, the emergence of additional failed states suggests the need for a more systematic and intrusive approach."

Dismissing the "talisman of sovereignty," the authors call for various structural U.N. revisions, including the establishment of a conservatorship sub-group under the control of the Security Council. The case of Cambodia, now virtually a U.N. trusteeship, they say, "may prove the best model for solving Bosnia's woes." They praise the U.N. activities in former Yugoslavia as "boding well" for the U.N.'s ability to "adapt to the more complex demands of conservatorship." In cases where a conservatorship doesn't work, the U.N. might sponsor "a referendum by the citizens of the state on partition or union with a neighbor."

Criminalizing mankind

"What Europe did in Bosnia will never be forgotten. Genocide took place with Europe watching, nothing can change that fact now," charged Bosnian Foreign Minister Haris Silajdzic, while in London on Jan. 12. "One and a half million innocent civilians are homeless, and Europe will have to live with it—not only morally but with the practical consequences as well." According to a London Times account, Silajdzic expressed anger at Britain and the European Community for having given "the green light to continuing aggression." He denounced British diplomats for repeatedly asserting that there should be no intervention in Bosnia.

Analyzing this Anglo-American encouragement of the Serbian government, Lyndon LaRouche stated on Jan. 17: "In Yugoslavia, they've gone to systematic mass rape, beheading of children in front of their parents, that sort of thing. This is not simply wildness. This has a purpose. This is deliberate satanism, first of all, to destroy this political sense of identity of the Bosnian people, to tell them they are nothing more than pigs or something like that. That's what they're doing. That war has that purpose."

The people who are directing this kind of horror show are psychiatrists tied to the Frankfurt School, that is, the leftists, the Freudians, and others of the London Tavistock Institute. These people were in part American-trained psychiatrists who were in charge of psychological warfare for the Yugoslavs in the old days, who are now running this kind of terror operation. It has three purposes. To destroy the self-political identity of the people of Bosnia; secondly, to bestialize and to turn into inhuman beasts the Serbians themselves; and thirdly, by the world putting up with this stuff, to destroy the idea of a Christian conscience, the idea that there's any standard of morality where conscience says, 'No, this must not happen.' So we're destroying all our morality in the United States to the extent that we tolerate that."