

Thorvald Stoltenberg, one-world propagandist

“One world, one government, one church, one army . . . one authority, one constitution, one World Bank, one currency. . . . One people, one big union with a common aim. . . .

“We hope that all tribes and people, despite border walls, can assemble and unite, that only *one* dictatorship—the message of peace from above—shall bend all wills of the world.”

—Odd Froner, from the poem “One World,” in the magazine of the Norwegian World Federalists, *En Verden*, 1983

If you want to find out how the world will look if the United Nations is allowed to implement its ideas about a world empire, look at Bosnia. In a world totally run by the U.N., planned holocausts like this will be an everyday occurrence aimed at “bending all wills of the world.” The Commission on Global Governance acknowledges this when they hold up “former Yugoslavia” as an example of a region where a stronger system of U.N. “governance” is needed, but without differentiating between aggressor and victim.

One of the persons that the commission thanks for his “help and good advice” is the U.N. “mediator” in Bosnia,

Thorvald Stoltenberg. This man has been propagandizing for a global empire for more than 30 years, as an active member of one of the leading non-governmental organizations working for the creation of a “world government,” or rather world empire, the World Association of World Federalists.

In April 1993, Stoltenberg, then minister of foreign affairs in Norway, replaced Cyrus Vance as U.N. mediator in Bosnia, working with the European Community’s Lord David Owen. Stoltenberg was chosen as a mediator because he has a close relation to the British geopolitical gamemasters who in 1991, with the help of Serbia, started the war in the Balkans. Like his predecessor as minister of foreign affairs in Norway, Knut Frydenlund, Stoltenberg is a friend of Henry Kissinger and shares Kissinger’s enthusiasm for power politics and contempt for the sovereign nation-state. This is why all Stoltenberg’s proposals for “peace” in Bosnia have been based on the idea of dividing Bosnia into ethnic zones, and this is why he often repeats the slogan that “the nation-state has too much power.”

Stoltenberg has been a member of the advisory council of the world federalist association in Norway, *En Verden*, for more than 25 years. *En Verden* openly proposes that the U.N. should be a “world government.” In reality, their proposal looks more like a world dictatorial empire, since they want the U.N. to carry out “population control” and “environmental protection,” as well “economic control.”—*Torbjoern Jerlerup*

financial instruments, such as derivatives, are a salutary warning of future storms that could threaten the system. It is also necessary to take account of some new destabilizing factors such as the role played by the large sums of drug money.”

The commission continues by stating that “the flexible rate system is not working as well as it should. There is too much volatility and serious misalignment of important exchange rates.” But this does not mean that we have to go back to a system of fixed exchange rates and a national protectionist credit policy, similar to what Venezuela did half a year ago: “In a world of globalized private capital markets, it is not possible or desirable to recreate a system of fixed exchange rates and strong public-sector control over the international monetary system. The market genie has already escaped the bottle.”

The fascist “solution” they propose is to impose a global ban on protectionism and create this Economic Security Council “to secure consistency between the policy goals of the international organizations,” and “to promote consensus-building dialogue between governments on the evolution of

the international economic system, . . . Its primary task would be to look at the main trends in the world economy and to give signals that could guide the global community.” The “recommendations” of the Economic Security Council, consisting mainly of the rich countries, could then be subject for treatment by the full Security Council, with its increased capacity to enforce its decisions upon all nations. In this way, with global economic fascism, the commission believes that it would be possible to keep the sinking *Titanic*, the immoral financial system of the IMF and the World Bank, afloat.

How close are we to actually implementing these plans? This author asked Ingvar Carlsson at Davos what the schedule looks like for carrying out the commission’s proposals, and he replied that they think they will be able to get some of them passed already this year, such as the Economic Security Council. The rest, he said, should be decided at a conference in 1998. The purpose of the Copenhagen Summit, in the commission’s view, is to build momentum for that. For republican forces around the world, it is an opportunity to stop the design for global empire dead in its tracks.