

The certification of Mexico

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

March 20, 1997

President William J. Clinton has certified the government of the Republic of Mexico, for its commitment to cooperation with the United States in combatting the international traffic in illegal drugs. An astonishing assortment from both Republican and Democratic members of Congress, has combined forces to challenge President Clinton's certification.

The most notable leaders of this curious combination, are rallied around the proposition, that it were preferred that Mexico's governing establishment should be destabilized. Were that result to be achieved, then the political forces allied with drug-trafficking and terrorism, throughout Central and South America, the Fidel Castro-headed São Paulo Forum, would take political control of Mexico. The result would be a massive increase in the flow of illegal drugs across the U.S. border with Mexico. It would appear, that some members of Congress either have been grossly misled, or, in the alternative, have acquired some very strange desires.

Part of the story, is former President George Bush's former close relations with the Salinas brothers, former Mexico President Carlos Salinas de Gortari and his legally challenged brother, Raúl. It was under Bush's crony, Salinas, that Mexico was transformed into the preferred route for moving Colombian cocaine into the United States; perhaps coincidentally, perhaps not, the Salinas brothers grew astonishingly rich in the process. Significant as the Bush connection may be, the more important fact, is that the political operation being run against President Clinton's war on drugs, is being run from London.

This is not the only war which London is running against the Clinton administration. Clinton's efforts to secure peace in northern Ireland, is another battleground.

While the U.S. is seeking constructive engagement with China, the British Commonwealth is open in its repeatedly stated commitment to breaking up China, even at the risk of war. The U.S.A. de-certifies the narco-plutocracy known as Colombia; the British House of Lords presents its sympathies to its putative peers, the ruling drug-lords of Colombia. The United States is committed to the integrity of the sovereign states of Africa; the British Commonwealth, helped by former President Sir George Bush, is engaged in war and genocide against the people of African nations, while carving out concessions, manned by mercenaries, around



President William Clinton and Mexico's President Ernesto Zedillo, at the White House on Oct. 7, 1995. The political forces that are opposing Clinton's certification of Mexico's effort to combat drugs, are determined to destabilize Mexico's governing institutions, just as they seek to wreck the U.S. Presidency.

the choicest tid-bits of petroleum, precious metals, and strategic minerals throughout the region of the Great Lakes. The British Commonwealth is arrayed in massive political support for the pro-terrorist factions in Central and South America.

The British intervention into Mexico, against the United States, recalls the years 1861-1865, when the government of President Abraham Lincoln was engaged in Civil War against London's slave-holders' puppet-state, the Confederate States of America. Not since 1863, has imperial London dared to intervene in the internal affairs of Central and South America, with the shameless abandon displayed today. The terrorism in Colombia, Peru, and Mexico, are only expressions of the more general assault against this vital southern strategic flank of our United States.

After a review of the salient facts in this situation, the editorial board of *EIR* commissioned a special report on the current British operations against the United States, in Mexico. It was clear, that most of today's Washington policy-shapers, including representatives from both parties in the Congress, especially those challenging President Clinton's certification, know almost nothing of the relevant problems of Mexico. They do not understand the Mexico political system's actual workings, nor the history which that system embodies, up through the history of Iran-Contra drug-running through Mexico during the time Vice-President George Bush and his lackey, Ollie North, were on watch. They do not recall, and perhaps never learned the virtual war against the United States, involving the 1861-1865 invasion and occupation of Mexico by the United States' adversaries, Spain, France, and Britain.

The 1861-1863 invasion of Mexico by British, French, and Spanish forces, is key to understanding the history of subsequent U.S.-Mexico relations.

Originally, Lord Palmerston and the man, Napoleon III, whom Palmerston had placed upon the throne of France, had intended to send the combined British and French naval forces, to join with the Spanish fleet, not only for a war of aggression against Mexico, but also to break the Union blockade of Confederate ports, meaning acts of war against the United States. It was in the setting, during 1862-1863, that President Lincoln's admirer, Czar Alexander II of Russia, not only sent Russian naval forces, under sealed orders, to help defend the U.S. Atlantic and Pacific coasts, but the Czar warned London that Russia would unleash war throughout Europe should Britain and France take military action against the United States. So, our nation's enemies in London and Paris settled for attacking the U.S. southern flank, the invasion and occupation of Mexico.

When the U.S. Civil War had ended, and Washington ordered the French forces to leave the Caribbean, the French scooted, leaving the silly Habsburg emperor, Maximilian, to endure justice for the crimes against humanity conducted under his regime. From that time on, Britain did not dare to defy the United States' Monroe Doctrine openly, until the 1982 Malvinas War, into which Britain entrapped Argentina, and fought, with U.S. backing, against the U.S.A.'s ally, Argentina. Throughout this century, until 1982, Britain preferred the discretion afforded by that spawn of the Confederacy, President Teddy Roosevelt, and his famous rebuttal to Argentina's Minister Drago, the so-called "Roosevelt Corollary." Yet, even in the case of Mrs. Thatcher's war against Argentina, Britain acted with U.S. consent. The difference today, is that Britain is acting in "go-to-Hell" defiance of the United States, and some officials of the Congress, and in nooks and crannies of the Executive, are lined up with London, and the drug-traffickers, against the United States.