

The system of power mounted in our country as of 1990, following foreign dictates of so-called “neo-liberalism” and “globalization,” have weakened national sovereignty repeatedly and deliberately, restricting the expression of the Armed Forces in Brazilian life, and diminishing the sovereign right of our people to the legitimate search for happiness. This system hooked into the ruling currents of world politics and of the world economy, internationalists and servants of hegemonic groups and nations which wish to see the “status quo” perpetuated, and the power of decision, of rule, and of enjoyment of wealth on a planetary scale kept in the hands of today’s powerful, without any opportunity for developing peoples who are fighting for more dignified living conditions and for more just participation in the fruits of the earth, in accordance with the intent of All Powerful God, who made each man in his likeness and image. . . .

Suddenly we were awakened from the illusion in which we were steeped. The opening up of the country to international financial speculation enabled us to experience first hand, sometimes in a magnified way, the effects of the collapse of the monetary house of cards. The so-called “stock market crisis” hit us hard, already vulnerable and weakened by administrative measures which made us hostages of the world, opening up our economy without controls to the unequal competition of products imported from stronger, more technified and developed economies, endowed with greater productivity and lower costs.

The defense program announced for confronting the hurricane created and encouraged by the very followers and executors of the “neo-liberal” card, is hard, but the worst punishment, at least seen thus far, will once again fall on the weakest segments of society, the middle class and the poor. And unemployment, already intolerable, will increase, becoming Brazil’s worst nightmare. . . .

We must develop a ‘National Project’

We must return to the path of our destiny, to develop a National Project like that advocated by the Superior War College, with an integrated, effective, and efficient plan that can guarantee development with security, with the conscious and sovereign participation of the nation. . . .

We need good men, committed to God and to those created in his image, to take charge of Brazil’s destiny! The urgent and enormous task of winning back the nation belongs to genuine Christians, and to all other persons of good will and altruism, who are willing to serve without demanding anything in return, a profound dedication to the common good! . . .

Despite its apparent passivity of the past eight years, I refuse to believe that the glorious Armed Forces will tolerate the sly attempts to destroy them and to destroy our beloved country. Everywhere, a nationwide clamor is heard: I therefore emotionally and fraternally call upon you not to fail Brazil.

TI weighs in against Seineldín pardon

by Gonzalo Huertas and Cynthia Rush

During the third week of November, rumors and press reports began to proliferate in Buenos Aires that President Carlos Menem might grant a pardon to jailed military nationalists, led by Malvinas War hero, former Colonel Mohamed Alí Seineldín. Immediately, local figures controlled by British oligarchical interests, including the British Crown, went into action. The violently anti-military former federal prosecutor, Luis Moreno Ocampo, president of Transparency International for Ibero-America and the Caribbean, and founder of the local Citizen Power, a non-governmental organization (NGO), objected to any possible granting of a pardon.

In a letter to the editor in the daily *La Nación* on Dec. 3, Moreno Ocampo insisted that the nationalist military officers shouldn’t be pardoned; he apparently wasn’t concerned that members of the narco-terrorist All for the Fatherland Movement (MTP) might also be included in the pardon. He implied that Seineldín was linked to a series of terrorist acts in the country, including the 1992 bombing of the Israeli Embassy, and the 1994 bombing of the AMIA Jewish community center. Releasing Seineldín would constitute a threat to Argentine “democracy,” he lied. “Is it wise to pardon Seineldín, primarily responsible for the deaths of Pita and Pedernera?” referring to two officers who were killed in the Dec. 3, 1990 nationalist uprising against the Army high command. Colonel Seineldín was sentenced to life in prison for his involvement in that uprising.

As *EIR* has documented, Transparency International, or TI, was founded at the Villa Borsig in Berlin, Germany, in May 1993, and is presided over today by former World Bank official Peter Eigen. Described as an NGO committed to “combatting corruption” worldwide, it is in fact a tool of the British Crown, deployed to attack the institutions of the sovereign nation-state, whether they be the armed forces or traditional political machines which are obstacles to the implementation of the international oligarchy’s one-worldist schemes.

Among its sponsors are Prince Philip, the Duke of Edinburgh; the Ford Foundation; the Konrad Adenauer Foundation; the World Bank; Coopers & Lybrand consultants; the Rio Tinto mining conglomerate; and the Inter-American Development Bank—not exactly defenders of national sovereignty. As journalist Gianluigi Nuzzi reported in the Italian daily *Il Giornale* on April 14, 1997, “TI’s moral-theological foundation received precious contributions from a series of

meetings promoted, beginning in 1984, by Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh, and husband of Queen Elizabeth of England.”

‘No more charades in Argentina’

In a letter to *La Nación* published on Dec. 9, Seineldín’s political secretary, Carlos González Cabral, took on Moreno Ocampo’s hypocrisy. Describing the British Empire as Argentina’s “secular enemy,” González revealed that on TI’s advisory board sits one Peter Berry, managing director of “Crown Agents, the oldest security organization of the British Empire (1833),” who goes around Ibero-America offering his “professional services to combat corruption.” If Moreno Ocampo is so interested in “transparency,” González said, perhaps he could explain to the country the fact that his Citizen Power group received no less than \$300,000 from the National Endowment for Democracy (NED), “an entity whose mentor is Oliver North, one of George Bush’s loyal agents, and protagonist in the Iran-Contra scandal, which revealed his ties to the drug trade.” It is certainly worrisome, González added sarcastically, “when, in the New World Order, fighting corruption also generates good private business.”

Moreno Ocampo attacks Seineldín, González concludes, because the Army patriot “fought that New World Order, globalization, and the dismantling of the Armed Forces of the continent. . . .”

Moreno Ocampo has focussed his attention on the creation of “grassroots” community organizations, such as Citizen Power, which, under the guise of combatting the corruption of “politicians,” serve as battering rams against established political machines or other structures of the national political system whose existence is unacceptable to the international financial oligarchy.

Manipulating popular resentment against what the International Monetary Fund’s austerity regime has done to the country, Citizen Power brags that six years of hard work have borne fruit, because “today, opinion polls show corruption to be one of the fundamental concerns of Argentine society.” He fails to mention that Citizen Power enjoyed financing from the NED, in the amount of \$285,220 during 1993-95. The NED, of course, generously financed dirty operations of Bush’s Project Democracy apparatus around the world, including in Argentina.

Moreno Ocampo’s most recent target is the police force in the province of Buenos Aires, which is closely tied to the political machinery of the still mass-based Peronist, or Justicialista party, and more specifically to governor and Presidential aspirant Eduardo Duhalde. Moreno has proposed a plan for “reinventing the police” and provincial security apparatus, with the participation of “civil society.” He calls for setting up a “private, autonomous, ad-honorem, and independent” commission, responsible for making proposals to reform the police force. He didn’t specify whether he would replace policemen with members of Crown Agents.

Profile: Yehuda Gil

The Mossad agent who almost started a war

by Dean Andromidas

On Nov. 12, Lyndon LaRouche warned, “Under British direction, the present virtual dictator of Israel, Benjamin Netanyahu, will launch a *nuclear Armageddon scenario* for the Middle East, which will resemble the 1962 Missile Crisis of the Soviet Union and the United States” (see *EIR*, Nov. 21, p. 36). *EIR* warned that the operation, launched under the direction of the British oligarchy, would utilize British assets, currently in place, throughout the Israeli political and security establishment.

It has now been revealed that a Mossad agent, Yehuda Gil, was feeding disinformation to the Israeli Labor government of Shimon Peres that could have led to war between Israel and Syria in 1996. In fact, it was only through the secret diplomatic intervention of the United States and France, that a potentially catastrophic conflict was averted.

Although only revealed in December, Yehuda Gil was arrested on Nov. 3 and formally charged with filing false reports to his superiors in the Mossad for more than two decades. Considered one of the Mossad’s super-agents, his intelligence reports were given the highest consideration.

False reports on Syria

In 1996, at a time when Israel and Syria were involved in peace negotiations mediated by the United States, Gil filed reports claiming that Syria was preparing to launch a surprise attack to seize the Golan Heights, which has been under Israeli occupation since 1967. So seriously was his report considered, that the Labor Party government of Peres requested the governments of the United States and France to diplomatically intervene with the Syrian government, to ascertain whether the reports were true. Although the Syrian government of President Hafez Al-Assad denied the truth of the report, and a conflict was averted, the information no doubt contributed to poisoning the atmosphere between the two former belligerents.

The subsequent failure of the “Syrian track,” was one of the reasons why Peres called early elections, which he lost on May 29, 1996, bringing to power the current Netanyahu government (see *EIR*, June 7, 1996, “London Is the Winner in Israeli Elections”).