

## Editorial

### *Kissinger spills some beans*

Former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger, the British Crown agent of influence who has played a crucial role in corrupting and destroying the United States over the last 30-40 years, this week spilled some beans on his masters' view of the impeachment of President Clinton. In a column published in the Oct. 19 issue of *Newsweek* magazine and the Oct. 15 issue of the London *Daily Telegraph*, Sir Henry put forward a scenario as to how the *permanent bureaucracy* in foreign policy can take charge while President Clinton is undergoing impeachment proceedings.

Kissinger, who is on the board of the Hollinger Corp., the Canadian press conglomerate (including the *Telegraph*) which spearheaded the media assassination campaign against Clinton, begins with lies, as usual. He purports to compare Watergate with Clintongate. But his description of Watergate whitewashes his own role in orchestrating Nixon's political demise, summarizing his filthy behavior with the statement that he "did his best to fill the vacuum."

The reality of the Watergate episode was that it also represented a coup d'état against the Presidency, in which Kissinger was one of the "inside" operatives. It was during the Watergate scandal that Kissinger was able to gain a free hand to implement atrocities such as the 1973 Mideast War, the National Security Study Memorandum 200, and other disastrous foreign policy decisions. A whole set of parliamentary-style measures were also introduced to curb the legitimate powers of the Presidency.

The assault on President Clinton represents a more decisive attack on the United States, to be sure. With the world financial system imploding, the British-dominated international financial oligarchy is desperately fighting for its life, and is thus determined to destroy the institutional power of the U.S. Presidency, and this President in particular, once and for all. The British do fear that Clinton might, under the press of crisis, listen to LaRouche, and act like FDR.

The U.S. political establishment has not been consolidated behind this view, however—despite the traitorous demands of the *New York Times* and the *Wash-*

*ington Post*. So, out is trotted Henry Kissinger.

Kissinger claims to be concerned about the fate of foreign policy in the United States, and his lying is particularly revealed in his claim that President Clinton has shown little interest in foreign policy. The Northern Ireland peace agreement, the new strategic partnership with China, and the President's commitment to Middle East peace are apparently not to the old windbag's liking.

And, in case President Clinton might move decisively with effective policies on the international financial breakdown, Kissinger and his bosses want to take foreign policy out of his hands!

So, Kissinger proposes to "insulate foreign policy" from what he calls "domestic controversies," by in effect establishing a British-style privy council to take over foreign policy. He puts two concrete proposals on the table:

1. Constitute an inner group of the National Security Council to "raise policymaking from the ad hoc to at least the medium- and, hopefully, the long-range." This, he said, would be comparable to the group which he, George Shultz, and Fed Chairman Arthur Burns formed "with Nixon's concurrence" during the Watergate crisis.

2. "Congress should constitute a group—probably from the leadership of both parties—to receive regular National Security Council briefings."

It doesn't take a genius to see that Kissinger's proposals are intended to institutionalize the power of the "foreign policy establishment." In implicit answer to those who argue, correctly, that this impeachment proceeding is an *unconstitutional* distraction from the President carrying out his mandated responsibilities, Kissinger is demanding that the unconstitutional impeachment go ahead, and that power effectively be taken out of the President's hands.

Kissinger, we hope, is finally discredited enough not to be listened to by responsible leaders. But, spread the word. The British oracle has spoken again—and those who echo him should be treated with the disdain they deserve.