

the imperialist forces,” according to a release signed by the organization’s president, Comrade Angami.

Another Colonial Power Steps In

South Asian agencies see a larger design to expand the base of the Maoists from southern India to Kathmandu in the north, and from Colombo, Sri Lanka to Dhaka, Bangladesh. The Indian intelligence service notes that beside Britain, the other major European colonialist power in the region, the Netherlands, has remained active in promoting the Maoists.

An Indian Intelligence Bureau document released some years back indicates the Dutch connection with those hostile elements in India. The Dutch involvement with secessionist groups in India began to surface in 1993. Vijay Kumar Arya of the MCC organized an international conference on the “Nationality Question” on Feb. 16-19, 1996, in New Delhi. Around the same time, the Dutch Foreign Ministry sponsored a conference on “National Identity and Its Crisis,” which was held in New Delhi, Patna (where the MCC has its base), and Calcutta, under auspices of the South-South Exchange Program on History of Development (SEPHD).

The Dutch team was led by Peter Geschiere of the University of Leyden, an anthropologist who has specialized in African witchcraft. A more explicit connection between Dutch non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and a secessionist group occurs around the same time—the mid-1990s. Leo van der Vlist, director, Netherlands Center for Indigenous People (NCIP), based in The Hague, was coordinating with the Dutch Foreign Ministry to support the National Socialist Council of Nagaland (Isaac-Muivah) and the United Liberation Forces of Asom (ULFA).

Around the same time, an Utrecht-based NGO, Land Like India War Group (LIW), formed by the Ministry of Development Cooperation of the Netherlands, developed links with the Northeast Indian secessionists and other militant groups known for drug and gun trafficking. The LIW had organized an “information mart on indigenous people” in Utrecht in August 1996. The LIW adopted, according to the Indian intelligence backgrounder, the “Human Rights of Indigenous People in India” resolution.

On the ground, the Maoists are a powerful force, not because they have a large following (which they don’t). What they do have, is the money power (generated by drug trafficking), arms, and outside support. In this politics of terror, which the RIM has masterminded from London, other players have joined. Pakistan’s intelligence service, the ISI, has been identified as one that provides explosives to the Nepali Maoists. Sri Lanka’s Tamil Tigers, which coordinate drug and arms trade in the region and carry arms from Afghanistan to the Philippines for the Muslim extremists, have also become active partners of these underground Maoist rebels. What should also not be underestimated, is the support that the Maoist and militant ethnic groups receive from the international human rights organizations, which promote violent groups in their efforts to legitimize their demands.

Contradictions Erupt Within British Elite

by Mark Burdman

In the new phase of global economic and strategic crises and upheavals, a new dynamic is becoming manifest in Great Britain. The contradictions within the British Establishment are sharpening, and two radically divergent tendencies, or reflexes, are being expressed. This has extreme international importance, because the British Establishment plays a special role: As Lyndon LaRouche has emphasized, together with the United States and Russia, the United Kingdom is one of the three countries that regards itself as having never been defeated in war, and whose elites tend to think in a comprehensive global context.

On the one hand, what *EIR* has determined, from recent discussions with a number of well-placed English and Scottish figures, is that there is emerging, an influential group of British elites who understand the magnitude of the crises that the world is now facing, and who are deeply concerned that the U.K. itself will not survive unless some sane and sober economic and strategic policies are put on the table. Characteristically, such individuals have a better historical sense of the current conjuncture, than the great majority of their counterparts in the United States—typified by the circles in and around the George W. Bush Administration—and even continental Europe. In many cases, they are of the “World War II generation,” and vividly recall the dynamics of global depression, fascism, and war of the 1930s and 1940s. They tend to be at least receptive to, if not supportive of, the New Bretton Woods/Eurasian Land-Bridge policy package, of global bankruptcy reorganization and infrastructure-vectored reconstruction, that LaRouche has put forward.

What motivates and exacerbates their concern, is that the physical economy of Great Britain has been ripped to shreds, under the successive regimes of Prime Ministers Margaret Thatcher (1979-92), John Major (1992-97), and Tony Blair (1997-). In Britain, employment in manufacturing has shrunk, in three decades, from 30% of the workforce to 14%. Formerly crucial areas of defense, aerospace, electronic engineering, steel-making, and shipbuilding have been decimated. The pace of this primitive accumulation has intensified, in recent months.

To date, such-minded British influentials have not coalesced into a coherent party or public faction, and have not put forward a specific alternative leadership, to that of Blair and his gang. But there are already significant rumblings,



British Prime Minister Tony Blair is facing significant rumblings of discontent from factions inside and outside his own party, who are alarmed at the onset of global financial disintegration.

among the “Old Labour” opponents of Blair within the ruling Labour Party, who seek a more traditional approach, of strengthening public sector investments in infrastructure, and related measures that have been rejected by Blair’s “New Labour” mafia. It is possible, that new forms of leadership will, indeed, emerge over the weeks and months to come. As one well-connected London source told *EIR* in early August, “We can expect a new round of big challenges to the ‘Blair Project,’ in the period ahead.”

The Bestialists

The “Blair Project” is exemplary of what a powerful opposing grouping within the British Establishment has been promoting, as policy. This centers around deindustrialization and the replacement of traditional industry by “New Economy” and “post-industrial society” fetishes; priority to financial flows and unbridled speculation, over productive investments; and full-scale destruction of the institutions of the sovereign nation-state.

Such policies emanate from a powerful group of individuals, ideologically or otherwise allied to Royal Consort Prince Philip and the most crass “Thatcherite” elements, who can best be labelled “deconstructionists.” They are in the tradition of the 20th Century’s “New Dark Age” proponents H.G. Wells, Lord Bertrand Russell, and the Huxley brothers, Aldous and Julian, as well as the earlier bestialist tradition of Charles Darwin, T.H. Huxley, Thomas Hobbes,

and Jeremy Bentham.

For such individuals, the current conjuncture is seen as a “window of opportunity,” to force through a neo-feudalist, world-federalist utopia, in which the sovereign nation-state is eliminated, and what remains of science, industry, and advanced technology is wiped out, with the exception of limited domains in information technologies and certain military-related items.

Exemplary of what this species thinks, or considers as thinking, was an Aug. 9 commentary in the London *Daily Telegraph*, one of the newspapers owned by Thatcherite magnate Conrad Black of Toronto, Canada. The piece was authored by a certain Terence Kealey, Vice-Chancellor of the University of Buckingham, and was entitled, “The Myths of Leonardo Do Italy No Good.” Under this title, the bizarre Kealey launched into a no-holds-barred attack on the Golden Renaissance’s great figure Leonardo da Vinci, claiming that Leonardo was nothing more than a mediocre artist and engineer, with no special accomplishments or capabilities, and that it was fascist leader Mussolini “who revived the idea of Leonardo the universal genius.”

The Kealey article is consistent with a pattern that *EIR* has noticed over recent years. Leonardo is the *bête noire* of the Prince Philip faction in the British Establishment, as he represents precisely those qualities of “universal genius” that Kealey mocks, the which are necessary to bring about a new Renaissance today. In fact, there are numerous Britons who would be aghast at what Kealey has written, since Leonardo’s works are often celebrated in Britain, and it is certain that new celebrations in the U.K. and worldwide are in motion, for the 550th birthday commemoration, next year, of his birth in 1452.

‘Pyramid Built on Sand’

In some form, there will have to be a resolution of this paradoxical situation within the British Establishment. Already, panic is mounting fast, among key Establishment institutions, about the pace and magnitude of the process of global financial disintegration that LaRouche has forecast.

On Aug. 8, the Bank of England released its gloomiest report in years, anticipating a significant economic slowdown and surging unemployment, over the year ahead. The report expressed alarm, that the personal debt of the British population has reached alarming proportions, and could become much grimmer, under conditions of economic slowdown. Three days later, Aug. 11, the *Telegraph* issued a warning under the title “Pyramid That Is Built on Sand,” forecasting a crash of the vastly overpriced London property market, leading to misery and suffering for untold numbers of Britons.

Under such conditions, the question, “Which Way, Britain?” will have to be answered fast, and those with a more sane and sober understanding of the world, will hopefully grasp the moment, to outflank their murderous conceptual opponents within Establishment circles.

Dominican Republic Is Listening to LaRouche

by Carlos Wesley

On Aug. 9, the Schiller Institute released a new book, in Spanish, whose translated title is, *The ABCs of Nation Building*. The event took place in Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic, under the glare of television cameras, and in the presence of newspaper reporters and scores of people, including many well-known Dominican personalities.

That *The ABCs of Nation Building* has attracted so much interest, is because people are increasingly aware that the International Monetary Fund-imposed “free trade” globalization system has failed and the financial crash is on, and the question now is, what’s next?

As in much of Ibero-America and in many other places in the world, including the United States, the policy of selling off everything in sight in the Dominican Republic, in the name of privatization, has meant astronomically high utility bills and daily blackouts that sometimes last for 20, 22, or even 24 hours. The country largely depends for its survival on remittances from immigrants in the United States, and on exporting cheap goods, chiefly clothing, to the United States, a market that is fast disappearing with the economic downturn in the giant to the north.

LaRouche and Hamilton

The alternative is offered by *The ABCs of Nation Building*, which contains Lyndon LaRouche’s ground-breaking essay, “The Economics IQ Test” (*EIR*, May 14, 1999), and the famous *Report on the Subject of Manufactures*, by Alexander Hamilton, LaRouche’s predecessor as the leading exponent of American System economics.

Written in 1791, Hamilton’s report helped transform the fledgling U.S. republic into an economic powerhouse, and is one of the seminal documents of the American System of economics, whose principles have guided every economic recovery in the United States, and transformed Germany, Japan, and other nations into industrial powers.

But, as far as we know, it was not until 1988, that it first appeared in Spanish, in a translation published by the LaRouche movement. (A Portuguese translation of the report, the first in that language and published by the LaRouche

movement in 1995, has now become a touchstone for politicians, businessmen, labor leaders, and other nationalists opposing globalization in Brazil.)

In the prologue, journalist Jorge Meléndez, the Schiller Institute’s representative in the Dominican Republic, notes that Hamilton is in certain ways connected to the Dominican Republic. First, Hamilton hails from the neighboring Antilles island of Nevis. And, more important, he helped draft Haiti’s first Constitution for Toussaint L’Ouverture, at a time when what is now the Dominican Republic was part of Haiti, with which it shares the island of Hispaniola.

At the Aug. 9 unveiling in Santo Domingo, one of the speakers, attorney Marino Elsevyf, praised LaRouche, who has become a household name in the Dominican Republic. Elsevyf said that he had known LaRouche for years, and that he had always been right in his forecasts.

Political leader Ramón Emilio Concepción, famous for bringing suit against the government’s privatization policy as anti-Constitutional, said that meeting Meléndez and, through him, LaRouche, “changed my life.”

Father Lautico García, S.J., who gave the invocation at the event, and who is a regular columnist for the daily *El Nacional*, also had laudatory words for the Schiller Institute and for LaRouche.

Several people came up to Meléndez during the reception, sponsored by the Brugal Rum company, which provided the refreshments, and identified themselves as regular readers of his column in the daily *El Siglo*. One of them said: “Everything you write that says ‘LaRouche,’ I read right away.”

Extensive Media Coverage

The release of *The ABCs of Nation Building* was reported by television, radio, and nearly all the major daily newspapers in the Dominican Republic, including *El Siglo*, *Listin Diario*, *Hoy*, and *El Nacional*.

The daily *El Caribe* published an article on Aug. 7, which said that the book is “necessary reading for government leaders, economists, politicians, businessmen, and trade unionists.” *El Caribe* reported that the book “contains two reports, one written in 1791 by Alexander Hamilton, the U.S. first Secretary of the Treasury, titled *Report on the Subject of Manufactures*, the first time this report has appeared in book form in Spanish. The second report, by Lyndon H. LaRouche, ‘The Economics IQ Test,’ is a thorough assessment of the speculative process that is driving economic globalization.” *The ABCs of Nation Building*, said *El Caribe*, “offers a true programmatic alternative to the disaster of globalization, based on the example of the American System, and the principles of physical economy of LaRouche.”

In addition to the coverage in the print media, Schiller Institute representative Meléndez has appeared on several television programs to talk about the book, which he edited.