

‘Anti-IMF’ Jacobins Are Part Of ‘Strategy Of Tension’ vs. U.S.

by Scott Thompson and L. Wolfe

Democratic Presidential pre-candidate Lyndon LaRouche has warned—including in a statement now circulating nationally as a LaRouche campaign broadsheet—that the expected assault on Washington, D.C. by hordes of Jacobin protesters around the Sept. 29-30 International Monetary Fund (IMF)-World Bank meeting is part of a “Strategy of Tension” aimed at paralyzing the institutions of the U.S. government, as the nation and the world confront the worst financial crisis in centuries. In statements made at the International Caucus of Labor Committees/Schiller Institute conference in Northern Virginia over the Labor Day weekend, LaRouche amplified on the sharp warning he issued the week before on the “anti-globalization” protesters (see *EIR*, Sept. 7, p. 72).

LaRouche recalled the NATO-authored Strategy of Tension that hurled terrorists against Italy, Germany, and France in the 1960s and 1970s. Now, as the financial system disintegrates, the same Anglo-American rentier-financier oligarchy that deployed the Strategy of Tension back in those days, is even more desperate to maintain its power, and would again resort to the use of Jacobin mobs and terrorists to do so. The mass of protesters are pawns, to be used as cover for terrorists.

Over the weeks and months ahead, unless this Jacobin operation is exposed and dismantled, the United States will be hit by the worst wave of terrorism in its history, potentially paralyzing the institutions of government and triggering, from among elements of the Bush Administration, a police-state response, that will threaten the very existence of our Constitutional republic. Since that Constitutional power of the U.S. government is the only force on Earth capable of organizing a recovery from the global collapse, if this country’s Constitutional government is compromised, the power of the financial oligarchy to impose its own “solutions” would be assured.

LaRouche therefore urged citizens to rally around him and his program to defeat the Jacobin terror threat.

In our Aug. 24, 2001 issue, *EIR* revealed the top-down controllers of this Strategy of Tension, including LaRouche enemies Edward “Teddy” Goldsmith and financier George Soros. The Strategy of Tension is carried out on the ground through umbrella groups, such as People’s Global Action and Mobilization for Global Justice, that include several organizations that employ a “White Bloc” or “Black Bloc” tactic of direct terrorist confrontation with the police. And, unfortunately, the AFL-CIO trade-union leadership has become part of the “new terrorist” movement, putting up, according to reliable sources, \$100,000 for the demonstrations against the IMF-World Bank.

The *EIR Feature* demonstrated that not only are the Jacobin terrorists linked to such hard-core terrorist organizations as the Basque ETA and a new Italian Red Brigades, but also they all praise and collaborate with narco-terrorist organizations such as the Zapatista National Liberation Army (EZLN) of Chiapas, Mexico and the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC), both of which have been engaged in a bloody civil war to divide their respective nations.

The Scope of the Assault

Since the publication of the *EIR Feature*, new information has been pieced together from U.S. intelligence, law enforcement, and other sources, which indicates the scope of what is being planned for Washington, as well as some likely scenarios for the events.

These sources indicate that infrastructure that could support a demonstration of 100,000, as compared with the 15,000 demonstrators who descended upon the April 2000 biannual



The new Jacobins rioting in Genoa on July 1. Up to 100,000 protesters are expected in Washington, D.C. in September for the IMF-World Bank meetings. The financier controllers of these terrorists are hoping to whip up violence—and even for some deaths—to fuel anti-globalization rage.

IMF-World Bank meeting, is now in place in Washington, D.C. There are also reports of highly organized cells of provocateurs who will be deployed to confront local police and other law enforcement personnel on the scene.

Some of these cells are already being put in place, while others are still being organized. For example, several cadre for this confrontation will be trained on Sept. 14-18 at an “encampment” being run by the Ruckus Society, some 35 miles from Washington, at Elaine Broadhead’s Glen Ora estate outside Middleburg, Virginia; there are reportedly several other training and deployment centers located throughout Washington’s Northern Virginia and Maryland suburbs.

Meanwhile, some sources have expressed alarm at the role that the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) is playing. The FBI is reportedly the lead Federal agency in organizing the intelligence and the “counter-response” to the demonstration, the largest such event in Washington since the height of the anti-war movement. Apparently, the briefings the FBI is giving local law enforcement seem to increase the fear and tension among such officers; they have been urged by the FBI to respond to even minor violence with massive counterforce.

If that happens, there is the potential for a “police riot,” which would serve as a political cover for escalated violence by the terrorist cadre and others within the protest movement. In the end, this could result in the deaths of several protesters and perhaps of some law enforcement officers. While the

dupes who have been attracted to “the movement” are not told this, it is the intention of some of the movement leaders, and the bankers and oligarchs who control and fund them, that several protesters should be killed, according to U.S. intelligence sources. It was their intent to have at least five people killed in Genoa at the Group of Eight (G-8) Summit in July, but they managed only a single death.

If and when this does occur, the bloody shirts of these “martyrs” will be waved, with ample media fanfare, to propel this operation into a mass-based Jacobin movement on college campuses and elsewhere, in much the same way that the deaths at Kent State University were used to expand an increasingly violent anti-war movement in the 1970s. The new recruits would become more cannon fodder for what will be an ever more bloody and violent operation. This is the viewpoint expressed by Jacobin controller Teddy Goldsmith, who, in an interview before the Genoa G-8 Summit, told a Washington-based reporter that the “anti-globalization” movement “will become ever larger and more violent.”

Increasing the potential for bloodshed during the IMF-World Bank riots in Washington, according to Metropolitan Police Department General Counsel Terence Ryan, is a lawsuit that would keep police from erecting steel mesh and concrete barricades around the conference site. This suit was brought in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia by the International Action Center et al., with the assistance of Partnership for Civil Justice, a Washington-based law firm.

The Transnational Institute

After publication of the Aug. 24 *EIR Feature* on the new Jacobinism, U.S. intelligence sources pointed out yet another institution important in the spawning of the new terrorism: the Transnational Institute (TNI), based in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. TNI was spawned by the Washington-headquartered Institute for Policy Studies (IPS) in 1972. IPS, created in 1963, played a major role in coordinating the “Global ’68” Strategy of Tension played out throughout the advanced sector during the 1960s and 1970s, when the focus was on the “anti-Vietnam War” movement of Baby-Boomer draft dodgers.

The TNI Internet website carries three articles on events during the Genoa G-8 Summit riots, including where the new terrorist movement should next deploy. The authors of these articles are:

Walden Bello. His piece on Genoa is entitled “Creative Destruction: Next Phase of the Global Economy?” and it appeared in *Focus on Trade*, No. 65, August 2001. Bello is a TNI Fellow and board member. He is also a board member, along with Teddy Goldsmith, in the International Forum on Globalization (IFG), which is partially funded by Goldsmith. As a representative of IFG, he took part in the World Social Forum meeting in Pôrto Alegre, Brazil where he headed a “debate” with Soros. He also took part in the Genoa G-8 riots, and then joined Teddy Goldsmith at his Siena villa in Italy, where Goldsmith had been holding a counter-conference with all the ministers for the Tuscany region, hosted by the Mayor of Pisa. The Mayor of Pôrto Alegre joined this conference after taking part in the Genoa G-8 riots. Bello is Director of “Focus on the Global South,” based in Bangkok, Thailand, a project of the Chulalongkorn University’s Social Research Institute. And, he is a Professor of Public Administration and Sociology at the University of the Philippines.

Susan George. George wrote a piece entitled “Democracy at the Barricades,” which appeared in the French weekly *Le Monde Diplomatique*, in August 2001. The lead to the article reads: “Heads of state were besieged in July in Genoa. They talked trade and money inside a guarded, if luxurious compound, while outside Italian carabinieri confronted demonstrators, only a few of whom were violent. Results: news footage of handshakes and governmental agreements, their details already forgotten — and an unwarranted death, 600 injuries, beatings, and frustration. The next talks will be in the safe enclave of Qatar.”

George, the author of nine books, was born in the United States, but lives near Paris, and acquired French citizenship in 1994. She is Associate Director and Fellow of TNI in Amsterdam, as well as having been TNI’s Co-Director. She is also Vice-President of the Association for Taxation of Financial Transactions to Aid Citizens (ATTAC) France, which, despite its peddling of the Tobin tax on international financial transactions, has also been involved in many of the most violent new Jacobin riots. Like Bello, George is active with Gold-

smith’s IFG. During 1990-95, she served on the Board of Greenpeace International, as well as that of Greenpeace France.

Boris Kagarlitsky. He wrote a piece entitled “After Genoa,” that appeared in *Znet*, in August 2001. He writes: “Meanwhile, the movement itself is faced with a fundamental choice. The death of 23-year-old Carlo Giuliani was a watershed that marked the beginning of a quite new stage in the conflict. The carnival is over. From now on everything is deadly serious. The ruling elites have come to recognize that the movement can neither be divided nor tamed, that the acts of protest will not cease of their own accord, and that they cannot simply be put up with or ignored. Consequently, the entire force of the repressive apparatus of the state has been mobilized to attack those who are dissatisfied.”

Kagarlitsky is a TNI Fellow and Senior Research Fellow at the Institute for Comparative Political Studies of the Russian Academy of Sciences in Moscow. Kagarlitsky was a student of art criticism and was imprisoned for two years for anti-Soviet activities prior to his editorship of a *samizdat* journal, *Leviy Povorot*. Kagarlitsky was coordinator of the Moscow People’s Front during 1988-90, and also advised the Workers’ Committee of Prokpievsk and Karaganda during this period. He was deputy to the Moscow City Soviet in 1990-93, during which time he was a member of the executive committee of the Socialist Party of Russia, co-founder of the Party of Labor, and adviser to the Chairperson of the Federation of Independent Trade Unions of Russia.

Kagarlitsky is the leader of 40 Russians who participated in the terrorist assault on the Genoa G-8 summit, representing the first time that Russians had participated in such an event. On Sept. 4 Kagarlitsky wrote an article for the *Moscow Times*, entitled “Terrorism Benefits the State,” that says that the 1999 Moscow apartment bombings, which preceded the second civil war in Chechnya, “marked the start of Vladimir Putin’s election campaign.” This slander, which claims that the Russian intelligence service (FSB) was behind the bombings, appears to be part of the atmospherics being orchestrated by the desperate Russian oligarch Boris Berezovsky, to create the climate for the assassination of President Putin (see article in this issue).

TNI was founded at an Oct. 9, 1972 meeting in Paris sponsored by IPS at La Closérie des Lilas to explore possibilities for a TNI in Europe. IPS co-directors and founders Richard Barnet and Marcus Raskin came over from the United States to present their ideas. Among the invitees were French existentialist ideologues of the so-called “New Left,” including: Jean Paul Sartre, Roland Barthes, Simone de Beauvoir, Michel Foucault, Claude Bourdet, Michel Rocard, André Gorz, and Claude Julien. A similar meeting was held in the Café de Paris, Regent Street in London.

TNI’s first conference, on Feb. 22-24, 1974, featured Herbert Marcuse, a former Office of Strategic Services (OSS) intelligence officer and leader of Germany’s existentialist “Frankfurt School,” who created the Communist Party U.S.A.

leader Angela Davis, as well as several other “New Left” leaders.

TNI-IPS and ‘Narco-Terrorism’

The director of IPS (since 1988) is John Cavanaugh, who frequently speaks at New York Council on Foreign Relations public seminars. Cavanaugh was a Founding TNI Fellow, and helped establish the IFG. Today, he holds a position on the IFG board along with Goldsmith. He also contributed a piece entitled “Homogenization of Global Culture” to a book, *The Case Against the Global Economy and For a Turn Toward the Local* (San Francisco: Sierra Club Books, 1996), edited by IFG President Jerry Mander and Goldsmith.

Cavanaugh works closely with the Progressive Caucus of the U.S. Congress, and with the International Department of the AFL-CIO. The latter, since the days of former Bukharinite snake Irving Brown, has been at the center of many filthy operations.

Under Cavanaugh’s direction, IPS and TNI have become protectors of “narco-terrorism,” which is an integral part of the new Jacobin movement. For example, Saul Landau, who is tied to IPS, in a broadcast on Pacifica News on Dec. 22, 1998 entitled “Report From Chiapas,” began: “Chiapas is an Indian nation occupied by a foreign army. . . . The dramatic action of armed Mayans and their demands—land, food, housing, jobs, justice, and, of course, democracy—burst the bubble of Mexico as a democratic and worthy NAFTA [North American Free Trade Agreement] ally.”

Also for example, a piece by Ricardo Vargas Meza, entitled “The Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC) and the Illicit Drug Trade,” prepared for TNI, Acción Andina (based in Cochabamba, Bolivia), and the Washington Office on Latin America in June 1999, carefully crafts its rhetoric to separate the so-called “rebel” FARC from the narco-kingpins: “While escalating civil conflict in Colombia is attracting increasing international interest and concern, the complex relationships between drug trafficking, political violence, and many actors involved in the social conflict in Colombia are often absent from the debate. This background brief provides a general overview of the relationship between the largest guerrilla group in Colombia, the [FARC] and illicit drug production and trafficking. In policy debates in Washington, the ‘narco-guerrilla’ theory has been employed to suggest that the guerrillas are major drug traffickers and that counterinsurgency and counternarcotics operations are one and the same. In fact, the role of the guerrillas in illicit drug production and drug trafficking has evolved over time and remains primarily focused on taxation of illicit crops.”

As the Aug. 24 *EIR Feature* made clear, the new Jacobin movement is associated with the EZLN and the FARC to such a degree, that the first activity planned for the Sept. 29-30 riot against the IMF-World Bank in Washington, D.C. will be an attack upon Plan Colombia, the billion-dollar U.S. aid package which has been (falsely) characterized as assistance in a war on drug production.

The ‘Faith-Based’ Scam: Religion As State-Run Prostitution

by Anton Chaitkin

On Jan. 3, Democratic Presidential pre-candidate Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. denounced the efforts to legalize a so-called “Faith-Based Initiative.” He stated: “I have been investigating the development of the Faith-Based Initiative now hitting Congress, and what I see shaping up in the United States, under President George Bush and [Attorney General] John Ashcroft, is a move to turn religion into a form of state-run prostitution.” He said: “This measure must be defeated now.”

LaRouche presented his associates with a detailed assessment, on which he will be reporting much more in the coming days. In the meantime, he asked that a special message be sent to Jesse Jackson to inform him of this evaluation of the proposed law as, in fact, creating a system of government-run, faith-based virtual prostitution.

Proclaimed as Federal funding for religious groups to give services to the poor, the sham program targets those who might otherwise be fighting politically to stop the destruction of the population. While social services are slashed in an economic collapse, George W. Bush’s Faith-Based Initiative aims to coopt Black and Hispanic clergy into competing for the right to prostitute themselves to Bush and his henchmen.

Thus far, the chief coordinator of outreach for the initiative has been the same notorious Republican Party-linked Rev. Sun Myung Moon, who played a key role in soliciting African-American constituencies to help candidate Bush’s cause, against Vice President Al Gore, in the 2000 Presidential campaign. The Bush initiative intensifies the 1996 “Welfare Reform” attack on the poor, which was jammed through the Clinton Administration by Gore. Gore demanded that President Clinton capitulate to the mass-murderous demands of avowed “conservative revolutionary” and then-Speaker of the House Newt Gingrich (R-Ga.). Today, the Democratic Party machine is being herded into support of this project of state-run religion by Sen. Joseph Lieberman (D-Conn.), who coordinates personally with the Moonies.

Bush Gives Moon the Franchise

On April 24-25, 2001, a month after introducing the Bush measure as H.R. 7, Congressional Republicans staged a “faith-based” political support national summit meeting for clergymen at the Library of Congress. And local meetings, to stimulate appetites for the promised funds, were held throughout the country, with satellite broadcasts of the Washington