Editorial

The War Must Be Won
In the United States

With the release of his paper on emergency legislation to save the capacity of the auto industry, Lyndon LaRouche has launched a new phase in the war to win the survival of the human race. LaRouche has stressed that if the battle for the approach he outlines, is not won on the war-front in the United States, it will not be won at all.

In a May 5 discussion, he put it this way:

“Now, what we have to do to win the war, beyond launching the attack from the United States, under U.S. leadership in that sense, we have to take the same program, programmatic outlook, creating the details on the battlefront in the United States, and get that out to all these other areas: that this is what is happening.

“You’re in a very interesting period on this, typified by the case of the Stephen Colbert ‘roast’ which is actually—and I’ve emphasized, this ‘roast’—if you know the United States inside, as I now know it, you realize that there’s a big phase-change occurring in the United States. You have the Cheney and Bush factor, and in terms of one poll, Bush is the 20% factor on support for his continuing what he’s doing now. Which means that, already, that Bush has lost a lot of support of the lunatics, because his lunatic factor in the United States among voters is about 30% or so of the voters. And if he gets down to the 20% area, that means that even a large number of the lunatics are quitting the Bush campaign. And there also things going on that can change as quickly.

“So the fight in the United States, is a credible fight. You see signs all over the world that the Bush thing is crumbling. The danger is now, is the fact that the Bush-Cheney phenomenon (to call it a phenomenon), is crumbling, means that the Bush-Cheney phenomenon is the dying tiger, which is prepared to strike, because if it doesn’t strike now, it never will be able to. So it’s a very dangerous situation in that sense.

“But in terms of the long-term process, history is against the present policies of the United States and of Europe. History is against it. History is against the current world policies. And if people defy history by clinging to present lines, then we’ll go through a New Dark Age.

“So, this is the issue. We have to look at it this way, not in a sense of separate fights around the world. We have to see this as one war, in which the United States is the crucial center, the decisive point, on which the fate of the world depends. But then, we can not win the fight in the United States, unless the fight in the United States can be extended, as a U.S. fight, into other parts of the world. Because the Europeans have no courage. You’re telling the Europeans, when you tell them about what we’re doing, you can’t copy it in Europe: Because European systems are based on private—privately controlled—central banking systems, which control governments. We don’t have a European government in West or Central Europe, which is capable of doing that under its present constitution. But if the United States does it, then these government have to do it.

“So, we’re trying to correlate this international situation to win that kind of war.”

Thus, over the next weeks—and certainly within the period between now and September, which LaRouche considers to be the outside point at which the financial system could be held together—the United States faces one of the most intense battles in its history, a battle which, like the American Revolution and the Civil War, represents a point of decision for all mankind.

It’s time the truth were faced. The system—financial, political, strategic—is broken. It cannot be fixed within the current parameters; it must be replaced. Mankind has already paid a horrible price for delaying dealing with the bankruptcy of this system until this point of crisis, as you can see by looking at not only Africa and Asia, but also in the heart of the western industrialized nations. Will we sacrifice mankind’s future for generations to come, or will be act to win the war now?