rope and the United States, in particular, must be development of these technologies, and supply of the basis for these technologies.

For example, we should be producing in the world today five nuclear fission plants per week.…

Q: Okay. The United States now is trying very hard to press China to appreciate its currency. I read a research report, I think you may have noticed it, from the Federal Reserve. It said, “The appreciation of the Chinese yuan will play a minor role in the trade relations.…”

LaRouche: It has nothing to do with the yuan. They’ve always been trying to do that, because the intention is obviously not the trade relationship with China, it’s the internal situation in China that’s the target. They want to create an internal crisis in China. It’s not the trade relations they’re concerned about! And poor [Sen. Charles] Schumer doesn’t know what he’s talking about. He’s a nice guy, he’s a lawyer, but he does not understand these things.

So the point is, this is a deliberate targeting of the internal political system of China, because if China loses face, by being forced to upgrade the yuan, that’s no benefit to the United States. There’s no economic benefit to trade relations, and it’s a threat to the U.S. economy! You would think they would protest against that. They wouldn’t want the yuan touched.

Because it is the fixed value of the yuan, which is the greatest advantage for the United States, for trade relations. So why should they want to raise it?

Only because some of the people in the Congress are idiots! They’re coming under pressure, and they don’t want to resist the pressure. They don’t want to think about it. But the target is, as you and I should know, the internal stability of China. That’s what they’re after. And they know what the effect of this kind of condition would mean. Because you have problems and tensions in China, as in every country at this time. You want to start something? Set fire to the place. How do you set fire? You create a crisis. How do you create a crisis?

Think about what the internal effect on various currents in China would be of this thing being done, China conceding. It would open the door for all kinds of problems. You don’t want that. None of us want that.

So, therefore, this is a fake. There’s no legitimacy. It’s a British game, a diplomatic game. It’s like a war game. It’s like a provocation. It should be called that. I would recommend the Chinese government, agencies, just simply say, “Well, we’re having this pressure from Charles Schumer and others.…”

Q: And [Sen. Max] Baucus—

Zepp-LaRouche in Beijing: Build the New ‘Silk Road’
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Following the symposium, Zepp-LaRouche was hosted as the international leader of the Schiller Institute, at seminars, lectures, and private meetings, including a tour of one of China’s most important high-technology projects.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche addresses an audience of professors and students in Beijing, May 1996.