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LaRouche at China Forum
Changes World History
From the Managing Editor

Since Lyndon LaRouche's July 25 webcast on “The End of the Post-FDR Era,” a sea-change has occurred on the other side of the Pacific. Invitations for LaRouche to address meetings on “The U.S.-China Relationship and the Peaceful Reunification of China,” on both the East and West coasts of the United States, within a one-week time period, plus the prompt Chinese press coverage of the nub of LaRouche’s remarks at a press conference in Los Angeles on Nov. 23, show how closely Beijing is listening to what LaRouche has to say. With virtually universal recognition among financial insiders worldwide that the current banking system is hopelessly bankrupt—it's gone!—LaRouche’s warnings of three decades, and his policy solutions, are moving to center stage. An historic shift is now possible, which most leaders were not prepared to accept before.

Our cover story provides the full documentation of these events, in the words of those concerned. Be prepared for much more news on this front in coming weeks.

This double issue is almost exclusively composed of feature material on the leading initiatives that the LaRouche movement is taking internationally:

• In Economics, our package on the prospects for U.S.-Mexican collaboration to build great water projects, shows how to solve the catastrophe caused by the North American Free Trade Agreement, and ensuing genocidal U.S. policies. You want to deal with immigration in a way beneficial to both Mexicans and Americans? Read these articles.

• Our Feature excerpts the dynamite new pamphlet issued by LaRouche PAC, “The Noösphere vs. the Blogosphere: Is the Devil In Your Laptop?” Every young person in America has to have a copy of this pamphlet! (It was prepared by the LaRouche Youth Movement and Mr. LaRouche.)

• The political fight to reorganize the bankrupt global banking system took a big step forward, with hearings in Harrisburg, Pa. on a resolution which supports LaRouche’s Homeowners and Bank Protection Act, which is legislation proposed for passage by Congress. We have a preliminary report, with more to come next issue.
Lyndon LaRouche addresses a conference on the peaceful reunification of China, in Rockville, Md., on Nov. 17.
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Lyndon LaRouche addressed an international conference in Los Angeles on Nov. 23-24, titled “Forum on the U.S.-China Relationship and Peaceful Reunification of China.” The Chinese press coverage of LaRouche’s remarks represents an acknowledgment that leading circles in China recognize that the financial system is now in a terminal stage of disintegration, and that they are open to exploring cooperation with responsible elements in the United States.
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Addressing the “Global Summit for China’s Peaceful Unification,” in Rockville, Md., on Nov. 17, LaRouche said the world financial-monetary system is disintegrating, and a new world economic order must emerge.
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Excerpts from an interview given on Aug. 16 by Lyndon LaRouche to a Chinese journalist.
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Pennsylvania Breakthrough on LaRouche’s ‘Firewall’ Bill
A packed session in the Pennsylvania legislature on Nov. 29 heard from state financial officials and city council members, EIR researchers, one of Pennsylvania’s Congressmen, and a state judge—as city governments all over the Commonwealth came out for the resolution H.R. 418 of State Rep. Harold James and for what that resolution supports: Congressional action on Lyndon LaRouche’s Homeowners and Bank Protection Act of 2007 (HBPA).

Testimony by Rep. Harold James

Philadelphia City Council President Backs HR 418
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‘Make That Which Is Reasonable, Possible’: U.S. and Mexico: Cooperate On Great Water Projects
Vital continental and Mexican water projects, long under discussion but never implemented, were put back on the agenda at a conference on Nov. 9, 2007 in the state of Sonora, Mexico, where Lyndon LaRouche’s analysis of the global financial crisis and its solution through a new world system based on projects such as these, were at the center of deliberations. This approach provides an alternative to the policy of genocide which the United States is currently carrying out toward the nation of Mexico, and Mexicans residing in the United States.

Conference on the PLHINO: Optimism Builds the Bridge to the Future
Inspired by the idea that the state should return to a policy of vigorous public investment in basic economic infrastructure, a conference in Sonora, one of the regions of Mexico with the greatest agricultural potential, called for implementing the “Water Plan of the Northwest.”

Mexican Senator Offers ‘A Vision of the Future’
Speech by Sen. Alfonso Elias Serrano to the Sonora conference.

Resolution: ‘Let Us Build the Bridge to the Future’

Hatred of Immigrants Will Sink Us!
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Editorial

After Annapolis: The Real Fight Has Begun
“We are winning,” is the concise summary offered by Lyndon LaRouche, in response to developments following his participation at a major conference on U.S.-China relations, which took place in Los Angeles on Nov. 23-24. LaRouche was a featured speaker at the press conference which opened the meeting on Nov. 23, and then, the keynote speaker at the luncheon the following day (see below for both speeches).

The weekend conference, titled “Forum on U.S.-China Relationship and Peaceful Reunification of China” brought together scholars, journalists, and activists from the People’s Republic of China, Taiwan, and the United States, and included prominent participation by representatives of the Chinese Consulate in Los Angeles. Sponsored by several organizations, including the Institute of Sino Strategic Studies, it was preceded a week earlier by a similar event in Maryland, which was also addressed by LaRouche (see “LaRouche: A New Pacific Relationship Emerges,” this issue).

LaRouche’s summary referred to the prominent coverage in the Chinese press of his remarks at the press conference, and articles on his keynote, which were featured in the leading press of China, including the news service Xinhua, People’s Daily and China Daily (see below). This reporting zeroed in on his most important comments, which included his analysis of the present collapse of the global financial system, and the urgency of joint U.S.-China action to solve the problem, through adopting fixed exchange rates, as a first step toward creating a Franklin Roosevelt-style New Bretton Woods monetary system.

The coverage represents an acknowledgment that leading circles in China recognize that the financial system is now in a terminal stage of disintegration, and that they are open to exploring cooperation with responsible elements in the United States. LaRouche’s role, as a senior “wise man,” acting on behalf of the true national interests of the United States, in an alliance of principle with China, stands in sharp contrast to the belligerent demands of the financial interests of the City of London and Wall Street, trumpeted by their puppets in the Bush-Cheney Administration and among key Democrats, that China engage in self-destruction, by accommodating to the anti-industrial, Malthusian policies of so-called globalization.

‘This System Is Gone’

It was LaRouche’s insistence that “this system is gone,” which he stated emphatically in a webcast on July 25 (excerpted in this section), that triggered a renewed interest among relevant figures in China, in his proposed solutions to the breakdown of the global financial system. His authority as an economist has been established through his accurate forecasting for more than 50 years.

However, bankers, brokers, government officials, and most financial commentators in the United States and Western Europe have been engaged in hysterical denial that the “post-Bretton Woods Era” has entered its last days. For policymakers in China, such a denial is not an option, especially as China has become increasingly dependent on the United States, both as a holder of large amounts of dollars and dollar-denominated financial instruments—which are rapidly depreciating in value—and as an exporter to the U.S.A., which is the largest market for goods produced in China. A rupture with the United States, leading to a dumping of the dollar, is clearly not in the best interests of China.

Following his July 25 webcast warning, that the system has already collapsed, LaRouche was interviewed on Aug. 16, by a Chinese reporter, who asked him to clarify his forecast, and to discuss his proposal for cooperation among the United States, China, Russia, and India, to establish a new monetary system (see “LaRouche Talks With Chinese: It’s Time for Solutions,” EIR, Sept. 7, 2007, and excerpted below).

From the time of that interview in mid-August until the conferences these last two weekends, official denials that the system is finished have lost all credibility. LaRouche’s analysis of the breakdown has been confirmed, in full, leading to the next, obvious question: What option exists, besides chaos?
U.S.-China Interdependence

In his opening remarks at the press conference, LaRouche went right to the heart of this issue, pointing out that the interdependence between the United States and China—viewed by many in both countries as a negative reason for cooperation—i.e., that the collapse in the value of its dollar reserves is the price China must pay for membership in the club of “globalization”—can, and must, be turned into a positive.

China, LaRouche said, “needs to invest in capital improvements, over many generations to come…. Much of this will depend upon China’s investment in U.S. dollars, either to buy U.S. goods, which is good for the U.S. economy, or to buy goods from other countries, using U.S. dollars to buy those goods. This means infrastructure investment that would benefit the Chinese people as a whole. It is also in our national interest to defend our dollar.

“So this creates a great bond of common interest between China and the U.S.” After challenging members of the U.S. Congress to reverse “some very silly antipathy toward China,” he concluded by saying that, in this way, “the crisis of the U.S. dollar can be solved. It will require important, sweeping, deep changes in current U.S. policy, but these measures are possible. They are feasible, they will work.”

This optimism, based on a coherent plan for addressing the global breakdown crisis, combined with the strong and clear message that present U.S. policy must change, drew a lively response from participants in the conference. His keynote, which expanded significantly on this theme of positive interdependence, elicited serious questions. One question came from an individual who prefaced his comments by saying that he has admired LaRouche very much, for a long time. “Is there any force in the world that can stop this process” of breakdown? he asked.

In response, LaRouche reiterated his main theme: “The great asset that the United States has,” he said, “is China: because China has become the leading trade partner for the United States, and the ability to maintain the economy of China, is to get the economy of the U.S. to fix its value, and to get back to increasing exports into China.”

After developing how the U.S. and China could negotiate a treaty to establish a fixed exchange rate between the yuan and the dollar, LaRouche added that “every leading economy in the world will have to join” that fixed-exchange-rate-system. Thus, the future of the world economy depends on the change in relations between the two nations.

He concluded his answer with a quip that brought great laughter and applause: “Therefore, these two nations need each other. They are prisoners locked as in a marriage that cannot be broken. And they have to legalize the marriage.”

How To Change the United States

The second question after the luncheon took up the other concern of most conference participants. While most expressed confidence that China would do what is necessary for the good of its people, to create a better future, there was pessimism about the political situation in the U.S.A. A participant from San Francisco asked LaRouche what must be done to get the U.S. government, or either political party, to adopt his policy.

The power to make this change lies with the people, he said. They have been kept from participating in their future. “Now, they’re losing their housing, they’re losing their employment, they’re losing everything…. They want an answer! We can provide answers, and I find that our going directly to the people on the state level, and the county level… you get a response there, you don’t get in the Congress….”

What we must communicate, he said, is that “we’re on the verge of the greatest change in modern history, either for the worst, or for the better. And what we do in organizing the base of the population is going to determine that.”

By the end of the conference, it was clear to all participants that, not only was LaRouche’s analysis of the economy right, but he was offering the only viable alternative. As word spread among participants that his luncheon address was covered in a straightforward fashion by the leading press outlets in Mainland China, there was a growing appreciative buzz, summed up by one of the conference organizers, who said, “We made history here this weekend.”
LaRouche Press Conference

A Great Bond Between China and the U.S.A.

Here is an edited transcript of a press conference given by Lyndon LaRouche in Los Angeles, on Nov. 23, in advance of the Nov. 24 Forum on U.S.-China Relationship and Peaceful Reunification of China, sponsored by the Institute for Sino Strategic Studies. LaRouche’s comments and responses, in the brief discussion that followed his opening remarks, were translated into Chinese.

**Moderator:** I have the great pleasure to introduce Mr. Lyndon LaRouche!

**LaRouche:** Thank you. Obviously I shall speak English. [laughter]

But, in short, as you have noticed, the dollar took another plunge in international value today, and this process will continue. This collapse of the dollar is not good for China; it is not good for the United States. China needs to invest in capital improvements, over many generations to come, in order to achieve for the Chinese people, for a time to come, the necessary improvement in the standard of living and conditions of life. Much of this will depend upon China’s investment in U.S. dollars, either to buy U.S. goods, which is good for the U.S. economy, or to buy goods from other countries, using U.S. dollars to buy those goods. This means infrastructure investment that would benefit the Chinese people as a whole. It is also in our national interest to defend our dollar.

So this creates a great bond of common interest between China and the United States. And it is extremely important, that we reverse some very silly antipathy toward China from a number of our members in Congress, here in the United States. And those of us who are influential, in one degree or another, in our own respective countries, should work to bring about that agreement.

I should say, also, that the crisis of the U.S. dollar can be solved. It will require important, sweeping, deep changes in current U.S. policy, but these measures are possible; they are feasible; they will work.

And this brings up another great principle of statecraft: From 1492 to 1648, all of Europe was torn apart by religious warfare. A great figure, Cardinal Mazarin of France, [was able] to bring about an agreement among the people who had been killing each other. This was called the “Peace of Westphalia.” There was one great principle upon which this treaty depended: *Each party must work for the benefit of the other.* With the same effort, would we look for the complete unification of China. We in the United States and China, who understand this problem, must follow in the footsteps of the Treaty of Westphalia. This will bring peace across the Pacific Ocean, and benefit for us both, all, for generations to come. And those of us who are more conscious of these matters must take the leadership in initiating that proposal toward peace and progress between our peoples.

Thank you.

**Discussion**

**Q:** I have one question for Professor LaRouche. You mentioned in your great comment earlier, that each party should try to improve the other side’s well-being, and so that’s how, in essence, you’ll be able to reach peace and prosperity for both sides.

**LaRouche:** Yes.

**Q:** But, as we know, the [Taiwan] Strait relationship depends much on the U.S. policies. After the 17th Chinese Party Congress, President Hu [Jintao] pointed out that the peace agreement voted, and also KMT [Kuomintang] chairman Ma Ying-jeou also brought up this idea, a so-called *modus vivendi*, in which both have a lot of resentments. My question to you is: What can the U.S. do to facilitate that both sides reach out, showing good faith, after trying to improve the current secure relationship on both sides? Or even, the candidate from the DDP [Taiwan opposition party], who also vowed to open up the trade, or the communication, direct flights. We know both sides need to do more, but my question is, what can the U.S. do to facilitate both sides, to reach accord for the peace agreements? Thank you.

**Moderator:** Identify yourself please.

**Q:** My name is Julian Lee, from San Francisco. I’m from China Focus of Unification, Northern California.…

**LaRouche:** Diplomacy is a very complicated business. And it springs from inside the country and inside the wise old men of each country. The President of the United States today is not a wise old man. Therefore, in a case like this, you must use, as I do—you must use the occurrence of crisis, not as a problem, but as an opportunity.

A failure of a government—and the collapse of the U.S. dollar in value is the failure of the U.S. government; the Democratic Party in the Congress has 10% popularity with the American people—that’s a crisis. We must use the failures of government, to induce government to change its ways, when it errs from our national interest. It is the national interest of the United States as a nation, to have cooperation with China, and not on a negative basis, but on an *affirmative* basis.

Those of us who are human beings, who are human beings above all, think of other human beings and their needs. And I can think of the needs of people of China. I have some knowl-
edge of the problems of the people of China, their well-being. For the future of our descendants, I wish the people of China to be happy people; I wish the United States' people to be happy people. Therefore, those things which spoil our relationship must be corrected. Sometimes it’s someone like me, or people like me, who move in our country, to try to change our country’s mistaken policies, to get to correct policies. That’s the way it’s done.

This is not a simple process. It’s a complicated process. It’s a dangerous process. You put your life on the line sometimes, when you do what I do. But you do it, because you do it: It’s the right thing to do.

And you’re saying it, fine! It’s true. We must do something about it. We should all do something about it.

Q: Okay! Fine, okay.

The Taiwan Relations Act

Q: I’d like to make a basic comment to your answer to Mr. Lee’s question. In fact, I think the most obvious thing that the U.S. can do, is to reexamine, or abolish the Taiwan Relations Act. Because that is the apparent domestic law that interferes with another country’s internal business. And with that Taiwan Relations Act, the U.S. is selling arms, against its promise in the Aug. 17 Communiqué, in which it promised the reduction of arms sales with Taiwan.

Last, because I remember in D.C., there was this conference, and Bonnie Glaser from the Brookings Institution—she was saying, what the U.S. was trying to do by keeping the status quo, is trying to bring peace across the Strait. I totally disagree with that argument. Because, selling arms to Taiwan, and trying to balance the military strength of the cross-Strait, is creating a power balance. Power balance is not peace. It escalates the tension. So I really wonder, if there are any ways our American friends, or American politicians can reexamine the Taiwan Relations Act?

LaRouche: All right. I’ll try to keep it as short as possible. It’s an important question, and should be answered. I shall not answer it totally here, because it’s a long story.

Moderator: Tomorrow we’ll have the opportunity to discuss.

LaRouche: Right. But, the point is, we are all aware, I think, here, that the United States stands on the verge of a new attack, this time on Iran. This is part of a policy which was cooked up, between largely the Vice President of the United States, Mr. Dick Cheney, and Tony Blair, the former prime minister of England. They played with people inside Taiwan, and inside the city of Tokyo, Japan, to set this policy into motion.

I understand the policy: It’s extremely dangerous. It is a very serious threat. And one of the people, who in a sense tolerated it, was a good friend of mine, in a sense—Chuck Schumer, the Senator from New York State—who is a good person, but he’s a political person, and he’s in a position, and therefore, he has supported some things, which from the standpoint of his morality, he should not have promoted.

The point is, it’s a legitimate question. Your raising it is useful, because we have to raise the question. This is a threat. We achieved a miracle in the case of Korea, with the six-power agreement on Korean reunification. It is not complete reunification, but it’s a step forward. It opens the way for good things in Asia. . . .

We must work for these kinds of ends, and some of us have to put ourselves on the line, in danger, to try to make some changes in the kind of horror-show that you just identified. It’s evil. It should be denounced as evil. Its intention is obvious. There’s no honesty to it. Yes, there are people in Taiwan, who are of a certain faction, who are drawn into this thing. But this is evil, it’s a danger to peace. It’s a danger to us all, and we must stop it.

I think the only way to stop it is by inducing a change in the way the U.S. government functions, which means, getting rid of this Administration, and getting the Democratic Party to be a Democratic Party again.
Speech in Los Angeles

The USA & China

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

As I reported in an international webcast, broadcast from Washington, D.C. on July 25th this year, the present world monetary-financial system has entered the most deadly crisis of recent centuries. At that time, I emphasized two things about that forecast: that the end of the present world monetary-financial system were inevitable, unless that system were replaced by a new world system during a relatively brief, remaining time available.

Since that time, evidence bearing on all relevant leading developments from around the world, has confirmed the essential accuracy of my July 25th forecast on all relevant points. Since that time, nothing visible has actually been done by any government to change the present world system in ways which would tend to solve this crisis. For example, every action taken, since that date, by the U.S. Federal government and the U.S. Federal Reserve System, has been intrinsically tragic mistake. So far, every reaction of the governments of the U.S.A., and of western and central Europe, in particular, to deal with their crisis, has been worse than a failure. The crisis has an inherently hyperinflationary form which should remind us of developments like those of 1923 Weimar Germany, but, this time, on a world scale.

However, we must not ignore the crucially relevant fact, that any monetary-financial system, when considered by itself, is, essentially, only the equivalent of a “paper system.” Fortunately, monetary-financial systems can be replaced. In the long term, it is the choice of the ruling form of social system on which the design of the physical economy is based, which is essential.

When we take into account the knowledge which we have available to us today, the following rule applies: whenever a powerful combination of national governments can arrive at a suitable agreement to change a failed financial-monetary system, a solution for any modern financial crisis can be found.

Therefore, my leading point in this report today, is that: Specifically, were the government of the U.S.A. to propose cooperation on a suitable reform, to an initial sponsoring group made up of the governments of the U.S.A., Russia, China, and India, it would be possible to bring the present international crisis under control, and, therefore, to rally a majority of the world’s nations to join in measures which would stabilize the world system, and provide the foundation for a general economic recovery.

The last general recovery of the economy of the U.S.A. and western and central Europe, was initiated within the United States under President Franklin Roosevelt. The death of President Roosevelt was a great loss to humanity; but, despite his death, although the policy-changes made under his successor were, generally, a big mistake, the U.S. economy continued to prosper under the continued benefit of the then deceased President Roosevelt’s policies until the assassination of President John F. Kennedy on November 22, 1963 (despite the bad policies introduced under President Truman).

The effects of the 1964 U.S. entry into the long war in Indo-China, like the more recent, very foolish wars which were launched by the Tony Blair and George W. Bush governments of the United Kingdom and the U.S.A., have led, successively, into the wrecking of the international monetary system in August 1971, and a general physical-economic decline in the economies of Europe and the Americas. This decline over, approximately, the 1968-2007 interval, has led into the consistently worsening physical-economic situation in those nations up to the present time.

The decline in those economies of Europe and the Americas, has had many contributing causes, but it was chiefly the result of the introduction, beginning 1971-1972, of a presently continuing, ruinous, pro-Malthusian type of global floating-exchange-rate monetary system. Despite some important trends for improvements in some leading national economies in Asia, the per-capita level of net physical-economic strength in the world as a whole has collapsed.

Thus, despite the improvements for a significant portion of the total economy in some leading nations of Asia, the deficit in development for the largest fraction of the populations is critical, at the same time that the productive powers of labor in western and central Europe, and in North America, continue to collapse catastrophically. Therefore, the needed development in even progressive economies in Asia, requires a mobilization of the physical capital and technology needed to raise the level of basic economic infrastructure and physical productivity throughout Asia and Africa, and also in the dangerously decadent, present form of the national economies of western and central Europe and of the Americas.

The most crucial among the urgently required actions to be taken jointly a group of nations led by the U.S.A., Russia, China, and India, are the following.

1. The present world monetary-financial system must be placed in a prevalent, juridical status of reorganization in bankruptcy.

This means that: As provided by the U.S.A.’s Federal
Constitution, all central banking systems heretofore independent of sovereign governments, are placed under the sovereign powers of the relevant constitutional government.

**This means that:** The government, through an institution equivalent in authority to the constitutional design for a Federal Treasury Department elaborated by U.S. Treasury Secretary Alexander Hamilton, will assure that: under rules for reorganization in bankruptcy, those payments authorized either specifically or categorically by the Federal authority will be treated in a normal fashion, as prior to placing the old monetary-financial system into receivership, but subject to supervision in the matter of regulating the retirement of outstanding capital-financial obligations.

The included objective of these reforms of a system in bankruptcy, is to maintain, and to elevate the level of existing essential levels of employment, payment of ordinary pensions, and so forth, and production of essential goods and services, this with a view to accelerating rates of growth of net physical output, per-capita, and per-square-kilometer productivity of the economy.

2. The physical-economic recovery and net growth of these economies, per capita and per square kilometer of total territory, requires an emphasis on the application of physical improvements in basic economic infrastructure, and the use of capital-intensive investments in basic economic infrastructure as the physical-economic driver for the other forms of production in the economy.

3. The success of such intentions by governments, and others, demands a fixed-exchange-rate system not much unlike the design for the Bretton Woods fixed-exchange-rate system under President Franklin Roosevelt.

In other words, the needed reforms must be premised on the equivalent of a pervasive science-driver policy for the economies and territories as a whole.

**Science & Raw Materials**

The possibility of success for such required programs, depends to a very large degree on two global considerations, considerations which bear on each part of the world, and the world’s necessary development viewed as a whole. 1. The first, is development and refinement of raw materials supplies. 2. The second, is science as defined in terms of fundamental, universal physical principles. The two are functionally inseparable: there can not be sustained development of needed raw materials supplies without emphasis on fundamental physical-scientific progress measurable in terms of characteristic energy-flux density of modes of production.

The realization of those crucial objectives demands a clearly defined shift from what has been, hitherto, the strategic advantage of maritime powers over the quality of power of continental interiors. For most of a period reaching as far back into the times prior to the last glaciation in the northern regions of the northern hemisphere, ocean-going and related development of maritime power has been economically and strategically at a great advantage over the economies of inland habitations. This began to be changed with the so-called “geopolitical” implications of the appearance of the transcontinental railways of the U.S.A. Since that time, the related clash of maritime powers, such as those of the British Empire, with the nations and peoples of continental Eurasia and the U.S.A. had been dominated, strategically, by the challenge to this domination, which had been represented by the victory of President Abraham Lincoln’s U.S.A. That victory had been the root of the reaction against the U.S. success, a reaction reflected as Prince of Wales Edward Albert’s launching of the 1895-1945 war of Japan against China, and by those related imperialist wars of the 1905-1945 interval, which illustrate the challenge which continues in either similar or relevant other expressions still today.

As in the case of China, the elevation of the conditions of life of the entire population of China (and, also, relevant other nations), demands a scientifically very advanced approach to
development of raw materials supplies in presently poorly developed northern Eurasian territories. Improvements of management of water supplies throughout Eurasia, extended and qualitatively improved forms of global (e.g., transcontinental/intercontinental) transport systems, and “crash program” qualities of emphasis of both power supplies and production technologies based upon nuclear-fission and thermonuclear-fusion modalities, are now indispensable.

This urgently needed transformation of policies within the Eurasian territories and beyond, is beyond the present resources of Asian nations by themselves. Western and central Europe, for example, must be mobilized to earn their livelihoods by producing an immense volume of physical products of very advanced technologies as supplements needed for the appetites of the densely populated regions of Asia.

For example, the potential for such developments is illustrated by the present implications of the reopening of the rail-systems leading from Korea as a whole, into China and Russia. The implicit common physical-economic interest of Japan, Korea, China, and Russia (among others) in this opening up of systems in North and East Asia, is to be regarded as one of the presently great opportunities for the benefit of humanity.

The Obstacle to Sanity

Today, the world as a whole is menaced by a kind of threat to the continued existence of civilization, which is to be remembered from what became known as the “New Dark Age” of Europe’s mid-Fourteenth Century. Then, as in places such as Southwest Asia now, civilization is destroying itself through the predatory actions of a reigning social class of predatory financiers, financiers, like those of the Lombard bankers of the Fourteenth Century, who used the financial profits taken from the financing of wars, as in Southwest Asia now, as a mode of financier life.

These predatory descendants of the Venetian financier tradition of Europe’s so-called “Middle Ages,” have created vast amounts of accumulated purely fictitious financial gains from predatory speculation. These accumulations have reached levels at which the entire financial bubble created in this mode is now collapsing in a way which mimics the experience of Weimar Germany during the latter half of 1923.

These financier interests, are not merely predatory, but have entrenched themselves as a powerful, controlling interest over the governments and leading political parties of leading nations. As a result, there is presently, an existential clash of the appetites of that class of predatory financier interest with the most vital interests of not only the majority of the population within nations, such as the U.S.A., but the very continued existence of anything resembling a reasonable order throughout our planet.

That medieval legacy of predatory power of usury has gained such power that it can not be defeated except through a concert of clearly defined, mutual self-interest among a combination of powerful nation-states.

That is the common interest which we in the U.S.A. and China, share at this juncture. That is the crucial importance of those within the U.S.A. who typify that common interest of the people of the U.S.A. and Asia. It is our awareness of this common interest, which is therefore a crucial factor in world history at this juncture.
Dialogue With LaRouche

Q: I admire Lyndon LaRouche very much, and I have admired him for a long time. Now I have a question concerning, from the point of U.S.-China evolving economic relations, we know in the United States there are so many, many [inaudible], many parts are made in China, through their hard labor, and leading to damage to the environment and the character of wealth. The United States’ corporations, at the upper level, are profiting so much from this process.

Is there any force in the world that can stop this process?

The United States and China Need Each Other

LaRouche: Yes, this is something I’ve concentrated on a great deal. In China, you have a mass of dollar obligations, which are held by China. These dollar obligations are important to the people of China, for investment in infrastructure. People talk about environmentalism: This is nonsense talk. Yes, if you do not develop infrastructure, you have an environmental problem. If you do not develop water systems, if you do not develop improved systems against contamination, to clean the air, to clean the water: If you do not do these things, you will have a problem! Therefore, the capital for transportation systems, for water systems, for power systems, and so forth, is essential to the people of China, without which the interior areas of China can not be developed, and the coastal areas can not be cleaned up of this smog. Hmm?

Therefore, it’s important that China have the use of its dollar holdings, to purchase assistance, in terms of capital goods, for these missions. If the dollar collapses in value, and the Chinese currency collapses as a result, then the Chinese people are cheated of their possibility of a good future.

Therefore, the solution is, to take the advantage [of the fact] that the United States government is going to become terrified in the coming weeks. Because the U.S. dollar and the U.S. economy are now in the process disintegrating! And that will become apparent to everybody in a short period of time. The great asset that the United States has, is China: Because China has become the leading trading partner for the United States, and the ability to maintain the economy of China, depends on getting the economy of the United States to fix its value, and to get back to increasing its exports into China.

A stabilized relationship on this basis, between China and the United States, would change the world! Every part of the world would have to cooperate. They would have no choice. Because the whole world is bankrupt, not just the United States; the entire world system is bankrupt. Money, today, is not worth anything! You think it’s worth something, but it’s not—you’ll find out tomorrow, it’s not worth anything. The collapse of the housing crisis, the collapse of the banks—every bank of the United States, major bank, is bankrupt—hopelessly so—not just Citibank. They’re all bankrupt! The U.S. is bankrupt! The Federal Reserve System is bankrupt!

The U.S. dollar is fictitious, there’s no backing for it. We’ve been printing dollar obligations all over the world, and there’s nothing to substantiate it. This has been a criminal insanity.

So therefore, what do you do?

Well, very simply: The governments of China and the United States—assuming the United States government is going to be increasingly terrified, every person in the Senate who voted against China, is in trouble right now, politically, when they come to back to office, because the U.S. economy is collapsing: Therefore, the solution is, if the United States and China negotiate a treaty agreement, to fix the value of the
yuan and the dollar, now, as a fixed-exchange-rate system, every leading economy in the world will have to join. If we go to a fixed-exchange-rate system, shut down all so-called central banking systems, because they’re all bankrupt—the British are bankrupt, hopelessly bankrupt. The Bank of England is bankrupt, the whole system is bankrupt! The Queen’s personal bank, Coutts, and the Bank of Scotland are bankrupt. Every country in western Europe is bankrupt, hopelessly so.

So they have no choice: The world is bankrupt in financial terms. The only thing we can do, is get agreements among governments, to return to a fixed-exchange-rate system of the type of that Roosevelt created during the end of the 190s.

Then we say, we freeze everything. We have national banking systems in every country—you can not have the money running free, you have to have regulated systems: national banking systems. And you negotiate long-term treaty agreements, of a 25- to 50-year duration, as packages among countries. And that way, we can get out of it.

We are going to wipe out many claims to dollars. We’re going to wipe them from the books, or they’ll wipe themselves from the books. We will simply say, “We’re going to pay the essentials, and the other debts are going to be frozen, until we investigate them.” We’re going to have an operating economy.

But the key thing here, is the interdependency of China on the United States, and the United States on China, is such, that the Chinese economy would suffer, internally, from a lack of development if these dollars, if these claims were devalued. The United States would collapse without China as a market. Therefore, these two nations need each other. They are prison-ers locked as in a marriage that can not be broken. And they have to legalize the marriage.

We Are in Crisis Politics

Q: I have a very crucial question to ask. My question is how do you promote, most emphatically, how do you promote and convince the government or any other parties to adopt your idea, your philosophy, and your perspective on that strategy? Or the people of the United States?

LaRouche: Well, the people in the United States are suffering. They’re suffering—the people of the United States have a 10% popularity for the present Democratic Party leadership. The people of the United States have contempt for the Congress, where they did not have contempt last November, a year ago. The people of the United States do not like what the Congress is failing to do right now, with the housing crisis. And the housing crisis is not only a housing crisis—that’s a lie. It’s a banking crisis: The institutions of government and every bank are in crisis. The people of the United States have interests, such as housing, such as jobs, such as health care, things which they’re now losing! The people of the United States are ready to revolt—maybe not tomorrow, but two days from now, or three days from now.

Therefore, you go to the Congress. Now, I have many friends in the Congress—they’re cowardly friends, but they’re friends. And in the institutions of government, in the professionals in government, you have a better quality than you do, actually, in the elected officials, in many of them. They understand these kinds of problems.

Let me speak very frankly: You have a predator who controls the Speaker of the House, [Nancy Pelosi] is controlled by a fascist banker, Felix Rohatyn, who also controls Sen. [Dianne] Feinstein from out here, which is what much of the politics in California suffers from. And good Democrats are afraid to act politically, because of the control exerted by these forces, which are actually fascist forces, like Felix Rohatyn, the man who helped put Pinochet in power in Chile! He was part of the administration. And George Shultz, who is no better, was also part of it.

So the question is, as I said here today: You have a powerful financier interest, which is a predatory financier interest, which is fascist, in point of fact. Just as fascist as Hitler. They don’t yet have the dictatorship Hitler had, but they have the same direction of thinking.

The power lies with the people. The problem is, that the lower 80% of family-income brackets of the people of the United States have been for over two, three decades, they’ve been shut off from real access to their government, as their government. Now, they’re losing their housing, they’re losing
their employment, they’re losing everything; they’re losing their health care, they’re losing their pensions. They want an answer! We can provide answers, and I find that our going directly to the people on the state level, and the county level, the county politicians, the elected ones, the state officials—you get a response there, you don’t get in the Congress now. The Senate and the House are totally controlled by the predatory enemies. So therefore, it’s a matter of power: The system is collapsing, the leading power in the world that controls the world is losing power. Those in powerful positions in elected office, are losing power, especially at the Federal level.

So therefore, if we mobilize the people at the base, that is, on the state level or the county level, find officials who are honest and who are responsive, we can get a change. We’re on the verge of the greatest change in modern history, either for the worst or for the better. And what we do in organizing the base of the population is going to determine that.

If we get cooperation, in spirit, between the United States and China, among the people of the United States and China, the people of the United States and Russia, the people of the United States and India, and so forth, then we will find that we have power, in a time of crisis.

But this is crisis politics. And that’s the only answer to your question: We’re in crisis politics. Are we prepared in an understanding way, as to what we must do, practically, in these circumstances. We can win. If we don’t win, we’re not going to have much of a planet.

**The Solution: Nuclear Energy**

Q: What do you think, Mr. LaRouche, about this Iraq and Afghanistan war, where the U.S. spends billions and billions in dollars, [while] only those people like Cheney benefitted from such a war? What do you think? That’s number 1.

Number 2: about the environmental situation. The U.S. is the largest CO₂ producer in the world. And it’s actually on an increase, the CO₂ production. What do you think in that respect?

LaRouche: Well, let’s take the second one first, because the first one has more substance to it.

The idea, the environmental rage associated with Al Gore, the former Vice President of the United States, spread among the people of the United States today, is a fraud. It’s based on the shrinkage of the number of leading people with scientific qualifications in the population.

My generation, as you know, is the generation—I was born in 1922. Some years ago, we had a science [foundation] called the Fusion Energy Foundation. It was one of the leading scientific institutions in the United States, and was influential internationally. Then, we find, as that generation, my generation, has died out, the younger generation, the generation that is now in power in Congress, between the ages of about 50 and 65 years of age, are scientifically incompetent and inert. And they appeal to a similar stratum in their own age group in the population, which is similarly anti-scientific, inert. These are the 68ers, and they have a 68er ideology, which is against science, and which is against progress, it’s against agriculture, it’s against industry, it’s against blue-collar workers. And this is part of our acute problem.

Now, there is no scientific competence behind what Al Gore says: It’s a fraud. His famous television address contains an absolute scientific fraud. This is nothing but Malthusianism! It’s the same kind of thing: of reduction of population through starvation and similar things. This is a complete fraud: This is the enemy of civilization. And I think Bill Clinton has recognized by now, that Al Gore was no friend of his, as President. He was a nuisance; he’s a right-wing fascist, and he should be exposed as that. He eats too much, among other things.

But, on the actuality of this: Most of the heat in the Solar System comes from only one source, the Sun. The idea that carbon has something to do with heating is nonsense! If you cut down the carbon, you’re going to increase the heat! By converting to methods which use biological materials instead of other kinds of materials for power. Because if you take a beam of sunlight, and you inject it into agriculture, or the forests, by the
The magic of chlorophyll, you transform solar radiation into viable, living biomass. This transformation by chlorophyll, lowers the temperature of the planet, through this growth.

Whereas, if you allow this sunlight to just float around there, or use these methods that he [Gore] talks about, the environmentalists talk about, you will actually raise the temperature, by having less development.

So what we need, is a high-technology [solution].

Now, back to the question of the war. The war policy is related. The war policies come largely from Britain, because the United States under the present President is simply controlled by largely international British financier interests. And the problem is, as has often been the case in our past history, that the United States has been controlled from the top, by alien interests from outside the country, usually associated with the dirty side of finance—as is the case, in this case.

The policy we’re dealing with, is that for a long time, there’s been a policy called the “Revolution in Military Affairs.” This was denounced by President Eisenhower, in his outgoing speech, as President, and it came from a certain section of what he called the “military-industrial complex.” The idea was to get rid of regular armies, regular military forces of the United States, and introduce privately funded warfare, and private wars, of the type like the British East India Company used to run, in the world of the 19th Century, and [the British empire] still runs today.

The policy behind these wars, in Southeast Asia, and more recently in Southwest Asia, all comes from London. Dick Cheney, for example: His career was made by the British interests which are behind Tony Blair, the former prime minister. We got into a war in the 1960s, in Vietnam—it was a fraud. It was voted by fraud, under the terror conditions arising out of the assassination of President Kennedy. The war in Indo-China was that.

The subsequent wars we’ve gone into, are all the same thing. This war was started by fraud, it was initiated by the British. I was involved personally in fighting against this; I was personally victimized on this thing. This came from London, and Cheney and his wife are instruments of London. And also there are other people in the United States, like Rohatyn and like George Shultz, and so forth, who are essentially more British than they are American.

And you know what the President is—he’s a piece of waste material, politically. He has no control over his own government. He’s an idiot, he’s a mental case, and he was put in there because he was a mental case, that would enable other people to control him.

So you’re right, this is evil. We have to stop it. It has to be stopped. We can stop it. And the way to stop it is very simple: If we build, in the context of what I already said, cooperation among the United States, Russia, China, and India, we have a combination of power, which would be the most powerful combination of power on this planet. If those powers agree, on a common interest, it will be realized. The problem is, these nations are divided against each other, on one issue or another. If we can unite these nations, and others, around a combination of power which can change world policy, we can solve that problem.

In the case of the environment, the solution is: Go to high technology. More nuclear power, more high tech, more promotion of greening of the desert. All of these things, which will control the climate quite nicely. And scientists know how to do it. Unfortunately, we have people in government who are of an age group that don’t know what science is any more.

Speech in Maryland

LaRouche: A New Pacific Relationship Emerges

Lyndon LaRouche addressed the Global Summit for China’s Peaceful Unification, held at the University of Maryland conference center in Rockville, Md., on Nov. 17. The conference, attended by some 300 leaders from 34 organizations, representing 32 countries, was sponsored by the National Association for China’s Peaceful Unification (NACPU). Here is an edited transcript of his speech.

As you know, this is a time of great troubles in the world. The world financial-monetary system is in the process of disintegrating. And until and unless certain changes are made, that disintegration will continue, in a fairly short period. If we change our ways, which circumstances will force us to do if we wish to survive, there will be a great positive change in the relations of the world.

For all of what we know of civilization, civilizations are dominated by great imperial forces which have dominated the land area from the sea. There was a change which came with Abraham Lincoln’s election and development, in the development of the transcontinental railroad system in the United States. This corresponded to a thrust from the United States to opening channels to countries in Asia, across the Pacific, and from opposing imperial forces in the Pacific.

Now, the time has come to bring that into realization. Recently, in Russia, there were meetings on the subject of a new railway system for the world, which involved an agreement to develop a tunnel from northern Siberia, across the Bering Strait, into North America. This tunnel would be a railway tunnel, which would implicitly link the entire world together, except for Australia, by railway systems.

These railway systems, which would be either railway or magnetic levitation, would now unite the land-masses, efficiently, for economic development internally. This coincides with a recent development, which many of us have wished
for, in settlement between the relations between the two parts of Korea. Because the reunification of Korea, in functional terms, economic terms, means that the population will be changed there, and the most key thing is a railway system. As most of you know, the railway system of Korea, before division was like a yoke: It ran up from the South, and it divided Korea into two parts [along what is now the DMZ]; one line went to China, one line went to Russia, of the same system.

Those lines are now being reunified, which means there will be a fundamental change. Because this will coincide with the development which is in progress now, supposed to be completed in a first phase by 2017, ten years from now: this tunnel. This tunnel will be connected with the railway systems, or magnetic levitation systems, throughout Eurasia, into North America, and down through Europe into Africa. What this means is, that as we know, we have about 1.4 billion people in China, and the development is not sufficient to meet the needs, yet. We need to open new routes for that development: This includes raw materials. There are abundant raw materials available in northern Asia, in Russia, and adjoining countries. This will require a great development, this will require railway systems. Therefore, by such means, by railway development, or magnetic levitation, we will be able to integrate the development of the internal parts of Asia, by this process. Because we will be able to bring together sufficient raw materials to support the kind of development process needed. We see this clearly there.

**Japan-China Relations**

Now, the relations with China and Japan have not been good, since about 1894, when the British started a war against China, which went on until 1945, off and on. But now, Japan is in a situation, where its vital interests demand that it cooperate with China, to offer them aid, to help with the economic development of Korea. This will go partly through Korea, and we know that Korea and the Japanese are not always friendly, but they have a common interest. And these countries are not always friendly to China, but they now have a vital common interest in cooperation. Russia has a vital interest in cooperation.

So therefore, we are bringing together now, hopefully, the leading nations of Asia, with some countries of Europe and beyond, to realize that their survival and benefit for the future depends upon cooperation, through economic development and through trade. And the key is the development of these new kinds of systems, which will link the entire world: You will be able be to go by train, or by magnetic levitation, to any point in Europe, the Americas, and Africa, by rail systems, high-speed rail systems. This is especially important for high-value products, for freight. You can move cheap products by sea, but it's slow. But since the products are cheap and the bulk is large, you can move by sea. High-grade products, high-technology products, sophisticated products, must move faster. They must move by land-area: They move by high-speed freight systems. That, and passenger systems—that's the kind of world we're entering.

So, if we are saying, those of us in all the world, that we are going to unite around this kind of vision for the future, we can not have globalization. Because with globalization, you do not develop cultures. And the culture of people is old, and the ideas in the culture are deeply rooted, in the history of the language, and in the history of the people. Therefore, national cultures are crucial. But the national cultures need not be divided by the cultures; they can be united by cooperation and projects of development.

And the time has come, now, to move in that direction. My concern is to take a government of the United States, which I don’t think is worth much, right now, and to try to find in the coming election process, or the run-up to that and in the present crisis, to make people aware through the great crisis they face, in the United States and Europe and elsewhere, that they have to change: Not only do they have to change away from what they've been doing as mistakes, but they must change in the direction of things that will work, things that are solutions for the future.

Unfortunately, today, most people in the United States and Europe have very short memories. They can’t think much beyond five or ten years—very short term. We have to come to a time where they think in long terms: The development of this railway system, or the tunnel system, is over ten years, and it’s already being started. It will mean a new railway system from the Trans-Siberian Railroad up to the area of the Bering Strait, which is an area through which there are many raw materials under the ground. It will therefore be an area of development, to develop those raw materials. These kinds of changes are on the way. But we have to think in terms of two or three generations ahead. We have to think ahead to the remainder of this century, the present century. Because it takes 25 years to develop an adult these days, in modern culture; that’s a generation. Most of the products we think of, involve a 50-year investment, the development of infrastructure and things like that. And the benefit we will reach for humanity will come a
hundred years from now, four generations. And we must think in terms of long-term development of peoples, culture, and infrastructure over a hundred years to come. And we must have that kind of discussion among leaders and leading circles of nations, so that we can adopt a policy, a common understanding among different peoples of a common interest.

Thank you very much.

Chinese Press Covers LaRouche on Crisis

The official Chinese government press—Xinhua news service, People’s Daily, and China Daily—all published the following report Nov. 25 on Lyndon LaRouche’s speech of Nov. 24, in Los Angeles. LaRouche notes that this coverage by the government press represents the introduction of a factor of optimism which is urgently needed to deal with the ongoing collapse of the world monetary-financial system.

Economist: U.S., China Should Join Hands To Reform World Financial System

Los Angeles (Xinhua)—The United States and China should join hands in an effort to reform the world financial system, which has currently entered the most deadly crisis in recent centuries, a renowned U.S. economist said Saturday.

“The end of the present world monetary-financial system is inevitable, unless the system is replaced by a new world system during a relatively brief, remaining time available,” said Lyndon LaRouche at a luncheon at the Forum on U.S.-China Relations and China’s Peaceful Reunification.

LaRouche, also a famous political activist, said the present international financial crisis could only be brought under control when major countries like the U.S. and China cooperate.

“Whenever a powerful combination of national governments can arrive at a suitable agreement to change a failed financial-monetary system, a solution for any modern financial crisis can be found,” he said.

He said that the United States should propose to form an initial sponsoring group made up of the governments of the U.S., China, Russia and India, therefore to rally a majority of nations in order to stabilize the world system.

LaRouche lamented that all evidence has confirmed the current crisis, notably the recent collapse of the U.S. dollar’s exchange rates against other major currencies, but nothing visible had been done so far by any government to change the world financial system to solve the problem.

The forum, jointly organized by several local Chinese-American [organizations], gathered nearly 100 government officials, scholars and activists from the U.S. and China, who were expected to discuss issues on U.S.-China relations and their implications on the Taiwan issue during the two-day event.

“The Taiwan issue remains the sensitive core issue in China-U.S. relations,” said Zhang Yun, consul general of China in Los Angeles, while speaking earlier at the forum’s opening ceremony.

Here is some of the coverage of LaRouche, following the events in Los Angeles and Maryland.
LaRouche-China Talks: ‘Time for Solutions’

These are excerpts from an interview given by Lyndon LaRouche to a Chinese news outlet on Aug. 16, 2007. More extensive excerpts appeared in the Sept. 7, 2007 EIR.

Q: First of all, we are very interested in the current affairs, especially the subprime market. You are saying that it will be a crisis for the collapse of the financial system. . .

LaRouche: The world financial-monetary system in its present form is disintegrating. There will never be a recovery of this system, never. But the problem is, how do we save the world from the collapse of this system? It means you have to take actions in a crisis, to save the world, not the system.

So, what is the alternate system?

The alternate system is a Great Power system, which immediately changes world policy. That is, you have many countries which would like to change things, but they do not have the power to do so. So you need a group of powerful countries, which work together, which bring the other countries together for a common interest action.

Q: Who are the group of powerful countries?

LaRouche: The United States, Russia, China, India. . .

Q: Not including Britain, Japan, and—? . . .

LaRouche: No, they’re not really powerful countries. Britain is powerful, but it’s an empire, it’s not a country. And as a country, it’s a piece of junk. As an empire, it’s powerful. That includes Australia, New Zealand, etc., etc., includes the world monetary-financial system. The same system as the East India Company. The same thing with a new name, a new form.

So therefore, if we say that the present world monetary system is bankrupt, the money system, then we can say, if the governments agree—four leading governments, and other governments agree—we can say, immediately we freeze world currencies, at current levels. And we reorganize the world financial system.

Q: You think there is the possibility for the Big Four to reach agreement on a monetary system?

LaRouche: There is. Look, in the United States you have a potential for that, which is real. Not in the present Administration, but it could be done, even with the present Administration, because in a crisis, we can dump Cheney, and bring Bush under control.

Q: Cheney and Bush will be out in 2008; they will be gone.

LaRouche: No, too late. Because the crisis will come before 2008. The crisis is coming now.

What we have so far, is, Putin and his government have shown several kinds of indication of their willingness to accept such a proposal. China is in a situation where China will have to make a decision of this type anyway, because the present financial disorder is now becoming a threat to China. . .

Q: Would you tell us how dangerous are the results, the consequences, of this current crisis?

LaRouche: This can be existential for the planet as a whole. For example, look inside China. China has a certain development, and a certain part of it’s good, but there are many problems left over, which still have to be solved. This requires 50 years to solve, really.

Q: Maybe more than that.

LaRouche: Sure, but you get stability. You have to arrange the world—50 years means two generations. That is, people who are now in the United States in their 20s, young adults, 50 years from now they will be retiring. This is the new generation. And if this generation in Europe and the United States and elsewhere agrees, they are going to shape the future for two generations. So therefore, if we can leave the world, 50 years from now, going in a good direction, we have done our job. So, therefore, we need agreement; what we need is development.

Now, this means an end to this financial system, first of all. It means freezing currencies and making currencies not instruments of the market, but of governments. In other words, actually, the credit of governments.

For example, China needs every kind of development imaginable. Europe and the United States are broken-down economies; they produce almost nothing now. But we have a labor force which could be revived to produce. All right. What will they produce? They will produce infrastructure for their own countries, and they will produce products for Asia, because the great challenge of this coming 50 years, is the development of Asia, and the development of Africa. These are the two great regional problems. This also involves raw materials.

If we’re going to develop the population of Asia, for example, we need the development of raw materials, which exist in North Asia, principally. This is an area which is inhabited by Russians who know the area. The Russian mission should be to develop this area, as by rail systems and so forth, for the purpose of doing what Russians know how to do: develop the extraction of raw materials, and process them.

The biggest market for raw materials is going to become increasingly Asia itself. Because you have 1.4 billion in China, you have 1.1 billion in India, and so forth. Therefore, to improve the condition of life for the poor population, is going to require a great investment in a flow of new raw materials, and new technologies. The mission of Eu-
Europe and the United States, in particular, must be development of these technologies, and supply of the basis for these technologies.

For example, we should be producing in the world today five nuclear fission plants per week....

Q: Okay. The United States now is trying very hard to press China to appreciate its currency. I read a research report, I think you may have noticed it, from the Federal Reserve. It said, “The appreciation of the Chinese yuan will play a minor role in the trade relations....”

LaRouche: It has nothing to do with the yuan. They’ve always been trying to do that, because the intention is obviously not the trade relationship with China, it’s the internal situation in China that’s the target. They want to create an internal crisis in China. It’s not the trade relations they’re concerned about! And poor [Sen. Charles] Schumer doesn’t know what he’s talking about. He’s a nice guy, he’s a lawyer, but he does not understand these things.

So the point is, this is a deliberate targeting of the internal political system of China, because if China loses face, by being forced to upgrade the yuan, that’s no benefit to the United States. There’s no economic benefit to trade relations, and it’s a threat to the U.S. economy! You would think they would protest against that. They wouldn’t want the yuan touched.

Because it is the fixed value of the yuan, which is the greatest advantage for the United States, for trade relations. So why should they want to raise it?

Only because some of the people in the Congress are idiots! They’re coming under pressure, and they don’t want to resist the pressure. They don’t want to think about it. But the target is, as you and I should know, the internal stability of China. That’s what they’re after. And they know what the effect of this kind of condition would mean. Because you have problems and tensions in China, as in every country at this time. You want to start something? Set fire to the place. How do you set fire? You create a crisis. How do you create a crisis?

Think about what the internal effect on various currents in China would be of this thing being done, China conceding. It would open the door for all kinds of problems. You don’t want that. None of us want that.

So, therefore, this is a fake. There’s no legitimacy. It’s a British game, a diplomatic game. It’s like a war game. It’s like a provocation. It should be called that. I would recommend the Chinese government, agencies, just simply say, “Well, we’re having this pressure from Charles Schumer and others....”

Q: And [Sen. Max] Baucus—

Zepp-LaRouche in Beijing: Build the New ‘Silk Road’

In May 1996, Helga Zepp-LaRouche was a guest speaker at a conference in Beijing, China, entitled, “International Symposium for Development of the Regions Along the Euro-Asia Continental Bridge.” Over 460 experts and officials from 34 countries participated in the symposium, whose subject was a grand strategy for developing the entire Eurasian land-mass, by means of an integrated, transcontinental network of modern transport, energy, water, and communications infrastructure. Her speech, delivered on May 8, was titled: “Building the Silk Road Land-Bridge: The Basis for the Mutual Security Interests of Asia and Europe.”

Following the symposium, Zepp-LaRouche was hosted as the international leader of the Schiller Institute, at seminars, lectures, and private meetings, including a tour of one of China’s most important high-technology projects.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche addresses an audience of professors and students in Beijing, May 1996.
LaRouche: Yes, and the whole crowd. There are Republicans, it’s bipartisan. But I would say simply, publicly: “Well, this pressure’s crazy, because obviously, if anyone understands economics, which apparently many people in the Senate don’t, they would understand that the raising of the yuan in this way, would be a disaster for the U.S. economy, which has an intrinsic dependency upon China’s supply to the U.S.”

So, this has to be seen as the attempt of some people who are being misguided in the United States, to cause internal problems in China…

LaRouche Webcast

The End of the Post-FDR Era

*Here are excerpts from Lyndon LaRouche’s July 25, 2007 webcast. The full transcript appeared in the Aug. 3, 2007 EIR.*

…If the United States government proposes to President Putin that the United States, Russia, China, with the support of India, become a sponsoring committee to build immediately a group among nations who are going to address these global problems which have to be dealt with immediately—because, smaller nations, individual nations can’t do it. You have to change the world monetary-financial system immediately, and you can not do that with a couple of small nations. You can only do that from the top. You have to pull together the might of the world, the major powers of the world and those who will support them, and say, “We’re going to change immediately the world monetary system. We’re going to get rid of the floating-exchange-rate monetary system. We’re going back immediately to a fixed-exchange-rate system.” Because if we do not go back to a fixed-exchange-rate system, of the Franklin Roosevelt prototype, then there’s no possibility of preventing a general collapse and disintegration of the world economy. It can’t be done. Therefore, you have to have a power group which says, “We’re going to save this planet from Hell.”…

The Economic-Financial-Monetary Crisis

Now, the big problem we have to deal with, as I mentioned before, is the economic-financial-monetary crisis. The United States is disintegrating. If a depression occurs, the United States will see conditions you won’t believe. Nothing in the past century, no depression, is comparable to what will hit the United States if this system collapses now. *We don’t have industry; we have destroyed our agriculture; we have destroyed our health-care system. We’re destroyed almost everything that we’ve depended upon.* And if we lose the power of money, which we’re about to lose—as long as the U.S. dollar was around, and as long as world affairs were denominated in U.S. dollar exchanges, we had a certain strength in this world. Not because we were worth anything, we weren’t worth anything; we threw that away a long time ago. But we were worth something because the U.S. dollar was, in effect, a reserve currency of the world. Why? Because the currency of China depended upon the value of the U.S. dollar. The currency of many countries depended upon the value of U.S. dollar; the debts were denominated in dollars. And as long as we were respectable, people would respect us, and treat us nicely, because they were afraid of the collapse of the U.S. dollar. Once the U.S. dollar is collapsing, we ain’t nuttin’ no more!…

Let’s take the case of China. Now, China has a population of 1.4 billion people, and India has 1.1. Now China is—people think China is very wealthy; it’s not true. There are some wealthy people in China, there are some industries in China, which are important, but also, the majority of the population of China is extremely poor. And therefore, without a revolution in technology, affecting the infrastructure and so forth, of the masses of China, the massive area, China has not got a future. Therefore, we have to think about that. We have India; we have probably 70% of the population of India, even though about 30% of the population of India, 1.1 billion people, is in fair shape, the majority is in worse shape than ever before. They’re short of water, they’re short of everything. They’re short of the conditions of life. They need development. All of Asia needs development. Desert areas need development. So, we have to go into a period of high-tech nuclear-fission-driven growth in basic economic infrastructure…. So, we’ve come to the point that we have a way of choosing. We can choose to do what I propose, which, from a strategic standpoint, is the only sequence of major developments which will get the world out of what would otherwise be a plunge into a Dark Age, something comparable to the 14th Century in Europe. We could do that. We could return to our character, as Franklin Roosevelt once did earlier, under conditions of crisis. And what I’m proposing could only be done, admittedly, under conditions of crisis. Only when these guys [oligarchs] get down on their knees, and people admit that this isn’t working, that this is a danger to human life, and they have no choice, no acceptable choice but to do what I say, on this one—then they will choose it. They will be happier. And that’s the only chance for humanity.

Without the United States, it can’t happen. Europe couldn’t do it. Asia couldn’t do it. We must be the sparkplug. That is our destiny; that’s our legacy. Not to rule the world, but to be the sparkplug by which the world comes to rule itself. We have to be the sparkplug….
THE NOÖSPHERE VS. THE BLOGOSPHERE

Is the Devil
In Your Laptop?

Editor’s Note: We present here portions of a mass-circulation pamphlet produced by the LaRouche Political Action Committee, for release at the end of November. See box on the next page.

Introduction
This pamphlet is intended to save the United States of America from its own self-destruction.

The context is that, as Lyndon LaRouche, the best living expert on physical economy, has warned, the present world economic system is dead. It is dead as of this writing, and will not come back to life. The people can be saved. How? LaRouche has proposed simple legislation called the Homeowners and Bank Protection Act of 2007, which will act as a clear first step toward putting our society on the road to recovery. Indeed, the Presidential candidates all, presently, stink. But why, thus far, is today’s 16-to-25-year-old generation, so unable to respond? Where is the youth movement to address this crisis, and create a future for humanity?

“There is a mass-based fascist movement on college campuses, today,” LaRouche said, identifying it as presently the greatest threat to the survival of our nation. This threat, he said, has three faces:

• MySpace, directed by Rupert Murdoch
• Facebook, directed by Bill Gates
• Computer games, particularly the homicidal maniac versions.

If you allow an entire youth generation to be destroyed by these things, LaRouche said, there will be no future for the United States.

Therefore, these three things, presently dominating our culture, must each be destroyed, just as a contagious killer virus or bacteriological disease must be properly diagnosed, and cured. This disease, of course, is not a biological one, per se, but a mental and cultural disease. Our diagnosis, and a pathway towards a cure, is what follows.

And, although the contents of this pamphlet will be immediately painful to the minds of those readers, who might be intricately involved in “all the rave” about MySpace, Facebook, and computer games, the joy of being freed from mental slavery, thus engaging in solving our present world’s dangerous problems, will, in the longer term, greatly outweigh the short-term pain, of tearing yourself from a beloved, but deadly, folly.

With Faith in the Future,
The LaRouche Youth Movement
Nov. 20, 2007

More Devilishness

Not included here are three articles which appeared previously in EIR. (The latter two were revised slightly for the pamphlet.)


Except for the article by Mr. LaRouche, the entire pamphlet was written by members of the LaRouche Youth Movement.

PDFs of the full pamphlet are available at www.larouchepac.com.
The British Empire hates and fears nothing more than the cultural optimism of a young, patriotic American.

Such were the youth of 1776; such were the youth 100 years later, inspired by the great 1876 Centennial exhibition, in which the U.S.A. proved to the world that the American System of Hamiltonian economics could turn an undeveloped frontier into the agro-industrial superpower of the world, in less than 100 years’ time, even in the face of repeated military attacks from the imperial Mother England.

When Abraham Lincoln succeeded in saving the Union in 1865, proving to the world that such a republic could long endure, it became clear to the British that the legacy of the American Revolution would not be swept away by mere military force. The American people would have to be induced to bring about their own self-destruction, from within, through their own apparent self-volition. This required a new breed of British imperialism, one not powerful through the clamors of war, but one of quieter, more beguiling subversion. The new generation of the British Empire emerged in the characters of H.G. Wells and Bertrand Russell, the new species of liberal British imperialists.

The British Empire is still, today, trying to erase the legacy of the American Revolution.

Today, the Internet, “the free circulation of information,” is generally used to argue the “inevitability” of globalization. The elimination of borders, the disappearance of nation-states, and the blurring of any form of sovereignty: Globalization is the thermodynamic “heat death” of human culture, degeneration towards an undifferentiated world “blah” (what some have dubbed the blogosphere, better named the blah-gosphere).

Fools call this democracy—H.G. Wells calls this the World State.

Quiet Fascism
In what is no mere science fiction novel, but rather H.G. Wells’ Mein Kampf, a manifesto of intent, The Shape of Things To Come, The Ultimate Revolution is Wells’ dream of future world government, globalization, administered by a “self-appointed, self-disciplined elite,” which would emerge in the aftermath of the collapse of the world monetary system, decades of world war, and global plague. This world government would be freed from the burden of sovereign nation-states “obsessed by the Treaty of Westphalia.” The World State would be fascist in form, but Benito Mussolini, and even Adolf Hitler (in Wells’ view, “one of the most incredible figures in the whole of history,”) were too “nationalistic” and
shallow.” These two were merely the “first crystallizations” of a greater, universal Fascism.\(^1\)

The key to the establishment of the World State, contrary to the methods of what Wells calls “the theatrical Mussolini and the hysterical Hitler,” is the quiet fascism of Group Psychology, “the psychology of association,” pioneered by a fictionalized social psychologist whom Wells names Gustave de Windt.\(^2\) “The Modern State revolution was from the first educational and only secondarily political; it ploughed deeper than any previous revolution.” Wells’ recipe for successful fascism depends on the ability to control group psychology. “While the World Council was fighting for and directing and carrying on the unified World-State, the Educational Control was remoulding mankind.” The control by these social psychologists ultimately supersedes the power of the World Council, becoming “the whole literature, philosophy, and general thought of the world . . . the reasoning soul in the body of the race.”

In his chapter called “The Schooling of Mankind,” Wells states, “one of the obscurest and most debatable of education problems,” which any aspiring world-oligarchy, such as his own British Fabian Society, is faced with solving is, “the variability of mental resistance to direction and limits set by nature to the ideal of an acquiescent co-operative world.” Wells’ hero, in this regard, is his social psychologist, de Windt, who, “preoccupied by his gigantic schemes for world organization, had treated the ‘spirit of opposition’ as purely evil, as a vice to be guarded against, as a trouble in the machinery which was to be minimized as completely as possible.”

Wikipedia and H.G. Wells’ ‘World Brain’

In this light, it is foolish to believe that H.G. Wells’ novel is a mere eccentric pipe-dream, or a benign prophecy. Four years after publishing *The Shape of Things To Come*, Wells wrote a non-fiction essay, published in 1937, called “World Brain: The Idea of a Permanent World Encyclopedia.” While calling for a super-university, to replace the universities of the old type,” Wells announces that “thinkers of the forward-looking type whose ideas we are now considering, are beginning to realize that the most hopeful line for the development of our racial intelligence lies rather in the direction of creating a new world organ for the collection, indexing, summarizing, and release of knowledge, than in any further tinkering with the highly conservative and resistant university system, local, national, and traditional in texture, which already exists. These innovators, who may be dreamers today, but who hope to become very active organizers tomorrow, project a unified, if not centralized, world organ to ‘pull the mind of the world together.’”

In this “Permanent World Encyclopedia,” as he calls it, “a great number of workers would be engaged perpetually in perfecting this index of human knowledge and keeping it up to date,” creating “this new all-human cerebrum,” “the creation, that is, of a complete planetary memory for all mankind.”

Dreaming of achieving “a real intellectual unification of our race,” Wells reveals the imperial utopian intention of “this Permanent World Encyclopedia, so compact in its material form and so gigantic in its scope and possible influence.” He elaborates: “A series of summaries of greater or less fullness and simplicity, can be continually issued and revised. In the hands of competent editors, educational directors and teachers, these condensations and abstracts incorporated into the world educational system, will supply the humanity of the days before us, with a common understanding and the conception of a common purpose and of a [British] commonweal such as now we can hardly dream of. And its creation is a way to world peace that can be followed without any very grave risk of collision with the warring political forces and the vested institutional interests of today. Quietly and sanely this new encyclopedia will, not so much overcome these archaic discord, as deprive them, steadily but imperceptibly, of their present reality. A common ideology based on this Permanent World Encyclopaedia is a possible means, to some it seems the only means, of dissolving human conflict into unity.”

This *Pax Mundi* imagined by Wells\(^3\), was an idea he shared with his fellow members of the Fabian Society of London. Nominal “peacenik” Bertrand Russell sought to enforce this peace through the threat of nuclear annihilation, calling for the dropping of an atom bomb on Russia to demonstrate for the world what the English-speaking World State\(^4\) could do to a people with too much enthusiasm for the development of

---

1. Wells’ is a sophisticated fascism, more worthy of the British Empire, as he professed already one year before publishing *The Shape of Things To Come*, in a public speech at Oxford in 1932: “I am asking for liberal Fascisti, for enlightened Nazis.”

2. Wells’ character Gustave de Windt is clearly modeled on the “doctors” of the Tavistock Institute (see Dave Christie, “INSNA: Handmaidens of British Colonialism,” later in this report). Wells’ group psychology is the study of “the origins and working processes of the social structure by which [people] live.” De Windt publishes a book, *Social Nucleation*, which is an “exhaustive study of the psychological laws underlying team spirit and *esprit de corps*, disciplines of criminal gangs, spirit of factory groups, crews, regiments, political parties, churches, professionalism, aristocracies, patriotism, class consciousness, organized research and constructive cooperation generally. It did for the first time correlate effectively the increasing understanding of individual psychology, with new educational methods and new concepts of political life.”

3. To convince his readers of the need for the world to surrender to his *Pax Mundi*, in *The Shape of Things to Come*, Wells describes the terrifyingly inhuman horrors of World War I to nauseating length, declaring that, all too easily, “fear and bloodlust . . . wipe out all the slowly acquired restraints and tolerances of social order very quickly and completely from any breed of men.” On this testament to the supposed underlying bestiality of man, Wells asserts his belief that “peace is less natural than war.”

4. Part of Wells’ Ultimate Revolution was, in fact, the elimination of all national languages in favor of “Basic English,” which had a vocabulary of only 850 words, but which he wished to become the “world language.” In Wells’ future world: “The English most speak and write today is a very different tongue from the English of Shakespeare, Addison, Bunyan or Shaw: it has shed the last traces of such archaic elaborations as the subjunctive mood.”
their nation. In *The Shape of Things To Come*, Wells’ World Council is also known as the Air Dictatorship, which would systematically attack so-called “lapsed regions,” in order to “tidy up” any “artificial resistances left over from the prerevolutionary age.”

On the eve of a threatened bombing of Iran by a Dick Cheney-led U.S. Air Force, which will almost certainly lead to global irregular war and destroy the United States as a viable republican nation-state, it is important to recollect what the real American Republic represented during the time Wells was writing, and why the British Fabian faction has been working ever since to install a Dick Cheney-like dictator and corrupt the minds of the American people, especially the youth. Remember, for example, the relationship that the much-loved President Franklin Roosevelt had to the then-leader of Iran, the Shah of Persia, Mohammed Reza Pahlevi, as documented in *As He Saw It*, a book by FDR’s son Elliott Roosevelt, about his father’s role in the councils of the Big Three during World War II (see box).

Roosevelt’s mission was an anti-British, anti-globalization, anti-colonial policy of economic development. It was the historical mission of American patriots to spread the American Revolution to the nations of the world. But after Roosevelt died, the United States was treasonously dragged into working for British imperial schemes: Truman dropped atomic bombs, thus asserting the power of fear of an “Air Dictatorship,” and over the decades since, the mission of FDR has been all but forgotten. No longer is it the expressed mission of the United States to defend the sovereignty and economic development of nation-states. The Internet is used to assert globalization’s “inevitability,” quietly enforcing a “common ideology,” thus turning a formerly vibrant and diversified world, where human progress comes out of dialogue between uniquely different nations and cultures, into an undifferentiated, consensus-worshipping “blogosphere.”

**Vernadsky’s Noösphere**

For purposes of counterpoint, let us consider the ideas of another figure at the time of Franklin Roosevelt, leading Ukrainian-Russian scientist Vladimir Vernadsky. What H.G. Wells and the British hated about FDR and his American System of economics, Vernadsky loved and recognized as the living demonstration of his own discovery of the hierarchical physical organization of the universe. Vernadsky saw Roosevelt’s intention to make the deserts bloom, like in Iran or Morocco, through the use of infrastructure in the image of the Tennessee Valley Project.

---

**The American vs. The British System**

*From Elliott Roosevelt’s As He Saw It (New York: Duell, Sloan and Pearce, 1946):*

“As ever,” Elliott Roosevelt writes, “Father was interested in finding out more about the country, and in probing around for ideas that would help to solve its problems. He and the Iranian officials discussed the barren desert which made up such a great part of their country; they told him how, in centuries past, their land had been heavily wooded, and told him how it had become a dust bowl. This was a familiar subject to Father; warming up, he raised the question of a gigantic reforestation program; shifted from there to the plight of the majority of the Shah’s subjects; tied the two things together; and was at length drawn by his visitors to a consideration of the economic grip which Britain had on Iran’s oil wells and mineral deposits. Father nodded sympathetically, and agreed that steps should be taken to safeguard Iran’s natural wealth.”

After this scene, Roosevelt orders a “draft memorandum guaranteeing Iran’s independence and her self-determination of her economic interests.” He muses, “An economic agreement from the Russians and the British guaranteeing Iranian sovereignty and political independence—it should be a good example of what we’ll be able to accomplish, later on.”
Authority, and saw in it the emergence of a new geological age, the Age of the Noösphere, in which the creative power of man’s mind, a power belonging to no other species, transforms and improves the planet. The works of man would begin to dominate the Biosphere and subsume it, creating a planetary Noösphere. As he described it, “Man, under our very eyes, is becoming a mighty and ever-growing geological force.”

The Noösphere is not, as we hear among the ignorant jabbering belched from the bowels of the blogosphere, some sort of mystical “living tissue of collective consciousness”; it is not the “Mind of Gaia”; it is not what Sir Julian Huxley, a close collaborator of H.G. Wells, describes as “a global unification of human awareness,” an “organized web of thought, a noetic system operating at high tension, a piece of evolutionary machinery capable of generating high psychosocial energy.” Huxley’s image of the Noösphere as “the union of the whole human species into a single inter-thinking group based on a single self-developing framework of thought,” is actually the idea of Wells’ “World Brain” blogosphere. This sort of garbage, which could only be the product of people brainwashed by too many so-called “cyberdelic experiences” in virtual reality, is intended to twist the scientific optimism of Vernadsky, obscuring the domain of Vernadsky’s real science, and maliciously weaving him into the anti-science, Green Cybernetics cult promoted by Al Gore and his fellow pro-British heirs of H.G. Wells and Bertrand Russell.

Vernadsky’s Noösphere actually has a physically measurable biogeochemical existence. Vernadsky cites, as empirical evidence of the coming of the Noösphere, the production, by man, of “the countless number of artificial chemical combinations newly created on our planet,” such as native aluminum, “which never before existed on our planet, [but] is now produced in any quantity.” This increasing ratio of the products of man’s cognition over the products of merely living processes, is the measure of the increasing ratio of the Noösphere over the Biosphere. “Chemically, the face of our planet, the biosphere, is being sharply changed by man…. The aerial envelope of the land as well as all its natural waters are changed both physically and chemically by man…. Besides this, new species and races of animals and plants are being created by man. Fairy tale dreams appear possible in the future; man is striving to emerge beyond the boundaries of his planet into cosmic space. And he probably will do so.”

Vernadsky’s biogeochemistry becomes the science of physical economy in the hands of economist Lyndon LaRouche, measurable sciences in both cases; in the former, chemically, in the latter, in terms of relative potential population density: “Man, as a part of the Noösphere, is defined by those sovereign powers of the individual human mind, which generates the factors of change as the work of ‘discoveries’. … It is the fruit of these powers, never present in any animal, which have enabled the human species to reach a level of population of about six-and-a-half billions living persons.”

This is the healthy state of mankind. If our generation is to save the American Republic from the British Empire, reviving the legacy of Franklin Roosevelt, and to succeed in bringing noetic man outside the boundaries of Earth and into the cosmic space beyond, it’s time you cure yourself of the disease called “cyberspace,” and drop out of the cult of the blogosphere.

---


6. Co-authoring several books with Wells, Sir Julian Huxley was the head of the London Eugenics Society and the founder of the World Wildlife Foundation. Wells testifies to his support for Huxley’s “environmentalist,” Hitler-style eugenics in The Shape of Things To Come: “It does not increase the interest of the human assembly to suffer avoidable mental cripples and defectives.” In order to “stabilize” the world population at 2 billion, “the painless destruction of monsters and the more dreadful and pitiful sorts of defectives was legalized, and also the sterilization of various types that would otherwise have transmitted tendencies that were plainly undesirable.”

7. Just as Norbert Wiener perverts Gottfried Leibniz, naming him the “patron saint of Cybernetics.” (See Christie, op cit., footnote 2.)

8. Al Gore is, however, nearing blogospheric proportions.
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INSNA: ‘Handmaidens Of British Colonialism’

by David Christie

Is it conceivable that the millions of youth who are now addicted to social networking sites like facebook.com and myspace.com, have undergone collective amnesia? When Rupert Murdoch bought myspace.com, why wasn’t there a massive boycott of the site? Perhaps these youth forgot that Rupert “Joseph Goebbels” Murdoch’s media empire has been the main propaganda outlet for the perpetual war of Dick Cheney and his Nazi minions. Maybe they have never read the Wall Street Urinal, as it propagandizes for the parasitical bankers of London and Wall Street. Or, perhaps they have never watched Fox TV, as it holds its daily Nuremberg rallies for couch potatoes.

Then, there is the case of Bill Gates, who through his costume of “über-nerd,” has duped many Americans into forgetting that his software empire is so huge that it can’t even be called a monopoly.

Yet, millions of zombified youth continue to be spied on by these billionaire voyeurs, giving them and the empire they represent a “psychological peep show” never before dreamed of by even the most psychotic “social engineers.”

The subject of this report is an overview of the history of “social engineering,” as it evolved from old-fashioned electroshock therapy, to the modern “groupie-shock therapy.” These social networking sites are simply a rehash of projects out of places like London’s Tavistock Institute and the Research Center for Group Dynamics at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), whose “social scientists” have attempted to herd the population into consensus through group dynamics. These same bodies have then convinced the population that the real term for consensus is “democracy.”

Yet, as we shall see, this attempt at creating a truly “democratic society,” has always been funded by foundations linked to the British Empire, such as the Rockefeller Foundation, Ford Foundation, Russell Sage Foundation, and Josiah Macy Foundation, to name a few. These oligarchical foundations have engineered both sides of the “left-right” coin, enforcing the democracy of consensus on one side, promoting fascist movements on the other. Their hoax has convinced people that there actually is a difference between the two sides, while setting the left against the right, thereby ensuring their mutually assured destruction. Through these divide et impera tactics, these foundations have become the tertius gaudens—the “third who benefits.”

Today’s social engineering project in group dynamics comes from a relatively small grouping of “social engineers” called the International Network of Social Network Analysis (INSNA). INSNA, like its “social scientist” forefathers, continues the tradition of acting as lackeys for international finance. Its members reside at such nasty places as the Olin Foundation and the Irwin Foundation. INSNA boasts that four of its members are knights in royal orders, such as the Order of Orange Nassau, which was headed by the Nazi Prince Bernhard, until he returned to Hell in 2004.

One thing Nazis like Prince Bernhard and Joseph Goebbels know: Persuasion is key to setting up fascist movements. That is why oligarchical foundations are dumping billions of dollars into social-networking technologies:

“The Stanford Persuasive Technology Lab creates insight into how computing products—from websites to mobile phone software—can be designed to change what people believe and what they do. For that reason, we’re studying Facebook—it’s highly persuasive” (emphasis added).

INSNA comes from a long line of “intellectuals” who have all been intellectually sodomized by Bertrand Russell. “Dirty Bertie’s” life-long mission was to reduce the human mind to a binary processor. This reductionism was the basis for “experiments” carried out by facilities such as the Tavistock Institute in London and the Rhodes Livingston Institute in Zambia. The reductionists in Russell’s positivist stable continue, to this day, to have silly discussions on topics such as: “Is the human mind more like a monkey, or more like a machine?”

You are invited to join the real discussion, which begins with the question, “How is your mind actually different from monkeys’ and from machines?” Joining that discussion means that you will join a debate that is intertwined with the history of mankind. If you are truly serious, the discussion comes to the highest point around the writings of Lyndon LaRouche. LaRouche pointed out the obvious insanity of Bertrand Russell and his followers, by defending the method of Gottfried Leibniz against their cybernetic hoax. In refuting Russell’s

dogma, LaRouche developed the most advanced conceptions of physical economy to date.

But, to really join the discussion means you must act. If you choose to defend the human mind, or soul, as something existing within every individual on the planet, then you must wage the fight against the British empire and its “globalization.” You must defend the sanctity of creativity from these imperial agencies and their brainwashing operations. That means you must get off MySpace. Get off Facebook, too. Put your joystick of mental masturbation away and actually engage your mind. Defend the principles that are the core of the U.S. Constitution: the General Welfare, Posterity, and Sovereignty. Get off the Blogosphere and join the Noösphere.

**The Tavistock Clinic**

Our brief overview of social engineering begins at London’s Tavistock Clinic. The “doctors” of Tavistock adopted Bertrand Russell’s view that the human mind is simply a binary processor of stimuli, which avoids pain and seeks pleasure. It was from this standpoint that the Tavistock Institute developed its peculiar techniques for creating a “mass psychology.”

According to the official history of the Tavistock Clinic: “In 1920, under its founder Dr. Hugh Crichton-Miller’s leadership, the Clinic made a significant contribution to the understanding of the traumatic effects of ‘shell shock.’…”

What began as an exploration of “shell shock,” and its effects on individuals, was to evolve into explorations of how to induce the state of shell shock on entire populations. John Rawlings Rees and his cohorts at Tavistock became key figures in developing techniques of mass psychology, which they then shared with their counterparts in Europe and the United States.

Tavistock’s founder, Dr. Crichton-Miller, was not willing to be as “maverick” in psychological manipulation techniques as John Rawlings Rees, so Rees began a campaign to manipulate his way into the leadership of the clinic. He ran a psychological terror campaign, using rumors, to force the elderly Dr. Crichton-Miller to resign after he nearly suffered a mental breakdown. Eric Trist, who would later become the director of Tavistock, describes the event differently, giving an insight into the nature of those associated with the clinic:

---


3. After Rudolf Hess was brought to Britain for safekeeping, he developed a trusting relation with his doctor, John Rawlings Rees. Extensive work was done on Rees and the Tavistock Institute by the National Caucus of Labor Committees, and published in The Campaigner, during the 1970s. See, for example, “The Tavistock Grin,” Parts 1 and 2, The Campaigner, April and May 1974. Available at www.wlym.com/PDF-68-76/CAM7404.pdf.

---

**‘Dirty Bird’ Bertrand Russell**

“I think,” Russell wrote, “the subject which will be of most importance politically is mass psychology…. Its importance has been enormously increased by the growth of modern methods of propaganda. Of these the most influential is what is called ‘education.’ Religion plays a part, though a diminishing one; the press, the cinema, and the radio play an increasing part…. It may be hoped that in time anybody will be able to persuade anybody of anything if he can catch the patient young and is provided by the State with money and equipment.”

Russell continued, “The subject will make great strides when it is taken up by scientists under a scientific dictatorship…. The social psychologists of the future will have a number of classes of school children on whom they will try different methods of producing an unshakable conviction that snow is black. Various results will soon be arrived at. First, that the influence of home is obstructive. Second, that not much can be done unless indoctrination begins before the age of ten. Third, that verses set to music and repeatedly intoned are very effective. Fourth, that the opinion that snow is white must be held to show a morbid taste for eccentricity. But I anticipate. It is for future scientists to make these maxims precise and discover exactly how much it costs per head to make children believe that snow is black, and how much less it would cost to make them believe it is dark gray.”

Russell concluded with a warning: “Although this science will be diligently studied, it will be rigidly confined to the governing class. The populace will not be allowed to know how its convictions were generated. When the technique has been perfected, every government that has been in charge of education for a generation will be able to control its subjects securely without the need of armies or policemen.”
“Since ‘authoritarian’ government of the medical kind in a path-finding organization such as the Tavistock Clinic proved dysfunctional, a transition to a collegiate professional democracy took place in the early 1930s, when problems arising from the Depression shook many cherished beliefs and raised new questions concerning the role of social factors in psychological illness. This organizational revolution brought to the front a younger generation of clinicians with a level of ability and a maverick quality that would otherwise have been lost.”

Brainwashing

Rees, Trist, and their Tavistock associates used various techniques of coercion, all of which applied the same basic format: Induce massive physical or psychological stress in an individual, and then relieve that stress. Through repeated vacillations between stress and relief, the “patient” eventually becomes intensely suggestible. The Tavistockians attempted to perfect techniques of coercion, such as electroshock therapy, hypnosis, and the use of mind-altering drugs to achieve brainwashing or “reprogramming” for their victims.

As they explored these techniques, Rees realized that the more “maverick” approach involved the “role of social factors in psychological illness.” In other words, individual brainwashing tactics, such as electroshock therapy or the use of drugs, though powerful, were no match for the power of the group in enforcing behavior. So Rees and his partners explored “group dynamics,” adopting the object-relations approach of Melanie Klein, which “emphasized relationships, rather than instinctual drives and psychic energy.”

The idea was to re-create a family dynamic, or a dynamic of peer pressure, in group therapy, where predetermined objectives were forced onto the group through consensus, or “democracy,” in the language of these social engineers. The idea was that by attacking someone’s sovereign identity in the group, that individual would forfeit his or her sovereignty to the group and become suggestible to the predetermined objectives.

The Tavistock techniques were so effective that the British Army, and the British government allowed Tavistock to craft the training programs for those officers. Tavistock then took their group brainwashing techniques onto the battlefield, calling the practice “command psychiatry.” The field “clinicians” were described by Rees as “psychiatric shock troops.”

“The group who entered the Directorate of Army Psychiatry took a novel approach to the human resource problems facing the army. Rather than remain in base hospitals they went out into the field to find out from commanding officers what they saw as their most pressing problems…. The concept thence arose of ‘command’ psychiatry, in which a psychiatrist with a roving commission was attached to each of the five Army Commanders in Home Forces.”

Kurt Lewin

Kurt Lewin, a pioneer in “group dynamics,” was part of the early Frankfurt School and fled Germany when Hitler took power. He came to the United States in 1933, with his “ticket” bought by the Rockefellers. On his way, he stopped at Cambridge, England, to visit Tavistock’s Eric Trist.

Lewin set up shop at the University of Iowa, where he was a professor of child psychology. He eventually went to the Office of Strategic Services (OSS), and, like his Tavistock counterparts in the British military, explored group dynamics concerning troop morale, the psychology of food rationing, and other elements of psychological warfare. This passage from his book *Time Perspective and Morale*, illustrates his grasp of psychological warfare:

“One of the main techniques for breaking morale through a ‘strategy of terror’ consists in exactly this tactic—keep the person hazy as to where he stands and just what he may expect. If in addition frequent vacillations between severe disciplinary measures and promises of good treatment together with spreading of contradictory news, make the ‘cognitive structure’ of this situation utterly unclear, then the individual may cease to even know when a particular plan would lead toward or away from his goal. Under these conditions even those who have definite goals and are ready to take risks, will be paralyzed by severe

---

5. Ibid.
6. Ibid.
inner conflicts in regard to what to do."

In a sane society, Lewin’s books would have been used for toilet paper, or filed near the Nazi paraphernalia. Instead, he was given a lot of money to craft social engineering projects. Lewin and his followers developed techniques for modeling group dynamics that were based on the degree of attraction between individuals. Lewin used the language of electromagnetism to describe the relationships, borrowing from Maxwell’s “field theory” for electromagnetism. Since Maxwell had decided that causality in science was irrelevant, his “field theory” wasn’t actually science. Maxwell simply described the “field” as an aggregate of the observable degree of cohesion between the point masses in that field. Through circular logic, the characteristics of the “field” simply became a statement that reflected Maxwell’s assumed axioms about the nature of the relationships between the objects. And, as a closed system, the field was subject to the arbitrary laws of entropy.

Like Maxwell, Lewin’s “field theory” applied the same circular logic to human relations. Lewin assumed that humans were like monkeys, whose relationships were determined through a calculus of hedonism. Where Maxwell assigned a “one” for a strong degree of cohesion and a “zero” for weak attraction in an electromagnetic grid, Lewin would do the same: “one” for the level of attraction between a monkey and its mother, “zero” for a predator monkey. The “field” became an aggregate of the relations among the hedonistic monkeys, which merely reflected Lewin’s axioms about the nature of humanity. Universal principles, such as agape, were reduced to “game theory” by Lewin and his acolytes. As a closed system, devoid of principle, Lewin’s field was also subject to entropy, or what a zoologist would call “ecology.”

Entropy applied to magnets and monkeys is one thing, but what happens when these rules are applied to humanity? Is a human economy subject to the same rules as a monkey ecology? For Lewin, Maxwell, the Tavistockians, and all the intellectually retarded children of Bertrand Russell, the answer is “yes!” It is here that our big problem arises, and it is here also, that these social engineers pulled off their masks to reveal their “fascism with a democratic face.”

Humans are creative. We can discover principles beyond sense perception, and create technologies that allow our fellow humans to rise above the limits of our previous resource base. That is a simple refutation of the bogus entropy of Russell’s positivists. We humans can also develop our mastery of social principles, like agape, in the domain of Classical artistic composition. The ability to communicate these principles from one generation to the next, enables a culture to elaborate its own continuing transformation. Modern nations can only achieve this progress by promoting the development of the sovereign minds of their citizens. Cultural development of this type, is the true mission of a republic.

Dirty Bertie’s children needed to eliminate those sovereign minds, otherwise their creativity would upset the equilibrium of the predetermined “ecology.” In Lewin’s electromagnetic grid, those “nodes” that attracted other “nodes” through their ability to share ideas and create new capabilities for the survival of mankind, would need to be neutralized. This required the work of “change agents,” to bring the field back to


8. See, for instance, the November-December 1974 issue of The Campaigner, “Rockefeller’s ‘Fascism with a Democratic Face,’” ICLC Strategic Study.
the drab uniformity of consensus, and to maintain the equilibrium of democracy. Enforcing this idea, the great advocate of "democracy," Kurt Lewin, would sound like a real Nazi:

"To instigate changes toward democracy, a situation has to be created for a certain period where the leader is sufficiently in control to rule out influences he does not want and to manipulate the situation to a sufficient degree. The goal of the democratic leader in this transition period will have to be the same as any good teacher, namely to make himself superfluous." 9

Of course, for Lewin and the other social engineers, that "transition period" was never over. Lewin and his "change agents" would go out to the "field" every day looking for the so-called "authoritarian personalities." And like J.R. Rees of Tavistock, they would attempt to corral the herd by erecting electric fences of the mind.

**Paul Lazarsfeld**

Paul Lazarsfeld also fled fascism in Europe to come to the United States to promote the fascism of consensus. In 1942, Lazarsfeld and Lewin helped set up a conference for the American Society for Cybernetics, financed by the Josiah Macy Foundation. This conference was a "who's who" of Bertrand Russell's "Unity of Sciences" project. Lazarsfeld worked with Lewin on various group dynamics projects, only Lazarsfeld took his work into larger spheres, especially into exploring the role of media in creating a mass psychology. Like Lewin, he utilized mathematical modelling 10 to deal with large data sets related to marketing products, and later, to marketing politics and culture itself.

One of Lazarsfeld's first projects in the United States was at Princeton's Radio Project, where he and others studied the sociological effects of the radio broadcast "War of the Worlds," by the British Fabian Society's H.G. Wells. Theodor Adorno of the Frankfurt School, later one of the authors of *The Authoritarian Personality*, also worked with Lazarsfeld at the Radio Project. Some of Adorno's work there focussed on the psychological effect of modern music, as he investigated that music's ability to induce psychosis in the population.

Academia subsequently brainwashed the Baby Boomers to believe that figures such as Lazarsfeld and Adorno were merely critics of the big, bad state, or "Big Brother," in the words of George Orwell. In reality, Lazarsfeld and Adorno were lackeys for the foundations of the British empire—Rockefeller, Josiah Macy, and Russell Sage. They were financed by the hilt by these foundations, in order to tear down the cultural legacy of the republican cause, for their masters. The social engineers whipped up the Baby-Boomer generation through the Orwellian "two minute hate" against the nation-state of Lincoln and FDR, and by a sleight of hand, they became the eyes and ears of "Big Brother," servicing their oligarchic financiers.

Listen to Adorno:

"It seems obvious, that the modification of the potentially fascist structure cannot be achieved by psychological means alone. The task is comparable to that of eliminating neurosis, or delinquency, or nationalism from the world. These are products of the total organization of society and are to be changed only as that society is changed. It is not for the psychologist to say how such changes are to be brought about. The problem is one which requires the efforts of all social scientists. All that we would insist upon is that in the councils or round tables where the problem is considered and action planned the psychologist should have a voice. We believe that the scientific understanding of society must include an understanding of what it does to people, and that it is possible to have social reforms, even broad and sweeping ones, which though desirable in their own right would not necessarily change the structure of the prejudiced personality. For the fascist potential to change, or even to be held in check, there must be an increase in people's capacity to see themselves and to be themselves. This cannot be achieved by the manipulation of people, however well grounded in modern psychology the devices of manipulation might be. . . . It is here that psychology may play its most important role. Techniques for overcoming resistance, developed mainly in the field of individual psychotherapy, can be improved and adapted for..." 11

---


10. Lazarsfeld worked with, and studied Jacob Moreno's "sociometry." The following quote is from "Leadership and Sociometric Choice," Helen H. Jennings Sociometric Institute: "The Sociometric test, devised by Moreno, discloses the feelings which the individuals have in regard to one another in respect to membership in the groups in which they are at a given moment (ideally all groups in which they are or could be). It is an action test. The criterion for choice must have the explicit meaning for the subject and offer him the specific opportunity to give the information for reconstruction (or retention) of the situations in which he is. The results are put into operation to the optimal satisfaction of subjects. Thus, in respect to the criterion of the group's formation, the psychological position of every member in the composition of the group's structure is brought to light. By periodic testing, in like manner, changes in this structure can be traced, followed, and evaluated." (Sound like an ad for MySpace?)

The models are referred to as sociograms. INSRA refers to Moreno as one of the most important figures in social networking. Moreno worked as a self-appointed psychiatrist to the prostitutes of Vienna. He was also a psychiatrist at Sing Sing Prison, and then later at a "reform school" known as the Hudson School for Girls, where he gathered data to be used in his book, *Who Shall Survive?* (which he wrote with Helen Jennings). This is one of the key documents for those interested in game theory, mass psychology, and social engineering.

use with groups and even for use on a mass scale” (emphasis added).12

The International Congresses of the Unity of Sciences

Lewin and Lazarsfeld had crossed intellectual paths before coming to the United States. Lazarsfeld was a member of the “Vienna Circle,” the home of logical positivists such as Norbert Wiener and John von Neumann. Later, Wiener and von Neumann were key figures of the Cybernetics conference.

In 1929, the Vienna Circle became formally known as the Ernst Mach Society, and began philosophical collaboration with the Society of Empirical Philosophy in Berlin, of which Kurt Lewin was a prominent member. These two groups organized conferences in Prague and Königsburg, and they started a journal together called Erkenntnis (Cognition). Together with Bertrand Russell and others who would later float around in the orbit of the Congress for Cultural Freedom, such as Sydney Hook and Albert Wohlstetter, they organized the International Congresses of the Unity of Sciences.

Ernst Mach was famous for his “suspicion of anything metaphysical,” and he essentially argued that the sciences must be regarded as solely descriptive, devoid of cause. The “Unity of Sciences” attempted to destroy metaphysics and the existence of universal principles, by arguing that any divisions in science, e.g., any divisions between life, non-life, and cognition, were non-existent. They applied this extreme reductionism to physics and the social sciences alike, thereby claiming to unify them. Society was reduced to individual psychologies; individual psychologies were reduced to biological processes; biological processes were reduced to chemical processes. And so, human cognition was reduced to the electro-chemical processes of the brain: neurons firing or turning off, like a binary system. Finally, even the electro-chemical processes of the brain were reduced to Newtonian mechanics.

In other words, cognition was viewed simply as a reaction to external stimuli. Since bodies at rest stay at rest until acted upon by another body, the internal process of cognition was eliminated. Thus there was no “divine spark,” or soul. These conceptions would provide the basis for the discussions at the Cybernetics conference years later.

The Helmsmen

“Sooner or later we shall die,” wrote Norbert Wiener, “and it is highly probable that the whole universe around us will die the heat death, in which the world shall be reduced to one vast temperature equilibrium in which nothing new ever happens. There will be nothing left but a drab uniformity out of which we can expect only minor and insignificant local fluctuations.”13

Norbert Wiener coined the term cybernetics from the Greek word kubernetes, which means “helmsman.” The helmsman was the one who directed the rowing, and of course, he had to have feedback, in order to give feed-forward (orders) to his crew. If the helmsman went too fast or slow, then the equilibrium was thrown off, which is true for any closed system. For example, without a thermostat capable of registering feed-forward and feedback, an engine block would overheat and explode. Since the reductionists saw no difference between an engine block and society, they imagined, with infantile senility, that the same principles held true for both.

Wiener and the cyberneticians thought the creative method was just a random by-product of access to “information.” Therefore, they would monitor the amount of information released into the “field,” acting as the information thermostat for society. In order to control the flow of information, the “helmsmen” nested themselves inside major media outlets and opinion-shaping centers.

Later, the heirs of the cyberneticians were involved in creating the “information superhighway.” They created software that monitored the flow of “information” on the Internet like a massive electrical circuit board, setting up the circuit-breakers and monitoring the voltage. This concept was at the core of “social networking,” the establishment of sets of game theory matrices14 aimed at enforcing consensus. The mechanization of societal relations was based on Wiener’s idea that it were possible to mechanize thought. To bolster this absurd view of the human mind, Norbert Wiener lied by saying that Leibniz would have signed off on a “reasoning machine.”

“Now just as the calculus of arithmetic lends itself to a mechanization progressing through the abacus and the desk computing machine to the ultra-rapid computing machines of the present day, so the ‘calculus ratiocinatrix’ of Leibniz contains the germs of the ‘machina ratiocinatrix,’ the reasoning machine. Indeed, Leibniz himself, like his predecessor Pascal, was interested in the construction of computing machines

---

12. Ibid.
14. For a quick summary of a “game theory matrix” without any of the “matheze,” get a paperback “Choose Your Own Adventure” book. If you become bored flipping back and forth among the pages, don’t buy another one, but try “Dungeons and Dragons” this time. If you still don’t understand game theory, witness a MySpace or Facebook addict going from page to page and then back again for hours on end. If all of these predetermined games bore you to tears—good, you have escaped the matrix.
in metal. It is therefore not in the least surprising that the same intellectual impulse which has led to the development of mathematical logic has at the same time led to the ideal or actual mechanization of processes of thought."

In reality, Leibniz and his followers refuted absurdities such as this over and over again, culminating in LaRouche’s refutation of the cybernetics dogma.

Rhodes Livingston Institute

Margaret Mead and her husband, Gregory Bateson, attempted to “unify the sciences” by introducing a bogus “anthropology” at the 1942 Cybernetics conference. For them, anthropology was merely zoology with mental cages. Mead and Bateson thought that a romanticized tribal structure was closer to a cybernetic design for society than the complexities of modern urban life. So, while the British Empire’s Josiah Macy poured money into the Cybernetics conference, across the Atlantic, money from the Rockefellers streamed into venues of social engineering in mineral-rich Africa, using these anthropologists to destabilize emerging nations. The anthropologists began by profiling the tribal structures through “sociograms” and genealogy charts, giving the Empire a view of colonial Africa where, as if observing the “natives” from a helicopter, they could map tribal activity like a pattern of ants on an anthill. Then the “anthill” was disturbed through civil wars, intrigues, and assassinations.

One of the main profiling agencies of the British Colonial Social Science Research Council was the Rhodes Livingston Institute (RLI), whose first director was Godfrey Wilson. Wilson eventually committed suicide and was replaced by Max Gluckman. Gluckman had “positivist” roots, was known for his “Utility of the Equilibrium Model in the Study of Social Change,” and later headed the Manchester School. He was also well known for his relation to the Mau-Mau tribe in Kenya and its uprising, which was among the many rebellions occurring throughout Africa against the colonial powers. Many anthropologists were used as “Third Force” operatives, destabilizing developing nation-states in the interests of Anglo-Dutch mining cartels.

Lord Hailey, who had oversight of the RLI, was also part of Lord Milner’s Roundtable, was governor of Punjab from 1924 to 1928, and then became governor of the United Provinces from 1928 to 1930. John C.M. MacBeth’s introduction of Lord Hailey to the Empire Club of Canada gives a good sense of who Hailey was: “[W]e are to be addressed by the Chairman of the Colonial Research Advisory Committee, the very head and front of the modern colonial and dominion policy of unity of purpose by independence of action, if I may so express it.”

Franklin Roosevelt had blasted the British Empire’s colonial policies over and over again. Lord Hailey, among others, was tasked to put a kinder, gentler mask on the Empire, calling it the “Commonwealth.” Hailey used the RLI to explore techniques of “indirect rule,” which was much more efficient and inexpensive than the often awkward policy of having regional governors maintain the British or Dutch colonial power. “Indirect rule” was similar to the techniques employed at Lewin’s Research Center for Group Dynamics, or Eric Trist’s “self-regulating work groups” at the Calico Mines in India.

Acting on behalf of the Anglo-Dutch cartels, Hailey had his anthropologists profile the members of the tribal structure, in order to isolate the “authoritarian personalities” or “ego

15. Norbert Wiener, Cybernetics: Or the Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine (Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 1948). Wiener even went a step further, declaring, “If there had to be a patron saint of Cybernetics, it would be Leibniz.”

16. INSNA’s Alvin Wolfe states that “In the early 1960’s my studies of the problems of new African states … led me to appreciate the importance of multinational enterprises in the mining and metals industry—not so much in their individual actions as in their systematic organization at a supranational level. My 1962 paper, ‘The Rules of Mining in Southern Africa,’ was the first presentation of the network of corporations that is the ‘team’ of the title. A 1963 paper, entitled ‘The African Mineral Industry: Evolution of a Supranational Level of Integration,’ is the first where I recognize the development of a supranational system as a major evolutionary situation.” UrbAnth-L online list, March 11, 2006.

networks” who were against the slave system of the Empire. Once the leadership was eliminated, the consensus was forced upon the “natives” that globalization was inevitable, and that the choices in the game theory matrix had been reduced to two: Work as a slave in the copper mines, or starve.

However, as consolation, these “democratic” social engineers of the Commonwealth, did wage the debate to allow for a limited range of discussion about “human rights” issues, like women’s rights or racial equality.

Henrika Kuklick criticized the British Social Science Research Council for being “handmaidens of colonialism.” She attacked RLI’s one-time director Bronislaw Malinowski, for taking funds from the Rockefellerers and using anthropology for ill purposes: “Malinowski assured the foundation that its funds would be put to constructive use, supporting the application of anthropology as ‘social engineering’ into areas which western capitalism was pressing.”

**The Post-War Shift**

After the death of Franklin Roosevelt in 1945, the British used the techniques developed by the social engineers in the military domain, to engineer a paradigm shift in the Baby-Boomer generation. The foundations of this new paradigm promoted varieties of existentialism, and succeeded in shifting the orientation of society from the productivity and progress of FDR’s era, to the notions of “green ecology,” so popular today. Thus, they helped the United States to destroy its own industrial power.

According to one source, institutions like those of the Rockefellerers were “interested in finding out if there was a group committed to undertaking, under conditions of peace, the kind of social psychiatry that had developed in the army under conditions of war. So began a process that led the Rockefeller Foundation in 1946 to make a grant of untied funds without which the IPCO’s [Interim Planning Committee] post-war plan could not have been carried out.”

As the Tavistock Clinic made the transition from being a British governmental entity to becoming an almost wholly privately funded enterprise, the newly named Tavistock Institute of Human Relations formally merged its tentacles with the tentacles of its American counterpart, through a journal called *Human Relations*.

Again, from Eric Trist’s account of the founding of Tavistock: “A new journal was needed that would manifest the connection between field theory and object-relations psychoanalysis. With Lewin’s group in the U.S., the Research Center for Group Dynamics, now at the University of Michigan, the Institute created a new international journal, *Human Relations*, whose purpose was to further the integration of psychology and the social sciences and relate theory to practice.”

Later, in 1954, the helmsmen at the Cybernetics Society would change their name to the Society for General Systems Research and set up shop at Stanford, at the Center for Advanced Studies in the Behavioral Sciences (CASBS). The group included Ludwig von Bertalanffy and Anatol Rapaport. Margaret Mead, a good friend of Kurt Lewin, would later become one of its presidents, as would Karl Deutsch, who later founded the political science department at MIT. Alex Bavelas would lead a group at the University of Michigan, which also became a Tavistock outpost.

As Lazarsfeld focussed on the paradigm shift via the media, Lewin’s “change agents” were sent into the labor unions to wage psychological warfare and destroy industry. One of Lewin’s protégés at MIT, George P. Shultz, as the head of the U.S. Department of Labor, took Lewin’s conceptions in the field of group dynamics and applied them to destroy the labor unions.

In a manner reminiscent of techniques used in the mines of Africa, the labor arbiters would act as the “Third Force” operatives in service to the cartels. At the arbitration table, with a “wink wink” and a “nod nod,” the cartel official would act through the Third Force arbiter and convince the labor union president that consensus was essential. “A strike wouldn’t be good now, would it? Besides, globalization is here to stay. It is inevitable. We must work together to achieve consensus, even though it may not be good for us.” And in the same way that the “Commonwealth” allowed a limited range of debate about social improvements, the unions would be allowed to fight over the breadcrumbs, but not to fight globalization itself.

**INSNA**

INSNA was founded in 1976, the year of Paul Lazarsfeld’s death, assembling various social engineers from institutions like the Tavistock Institute, the Cybernetics grouping, and the Rhodes Livingston Institute. Harrison White took Lazarsfeld’s place at the Bureau of Applied Social Research, formerly the Radio Project at Princeton, which today is known as the Institute for Social and Economic Research Policy (ISERP). Bar-

---


ry Wellman, a student of Harrison White, was the nominal founder of INSNA. Wellman dedicated an account of the founding of INSNA to J. Clyde Mitchell, who under Gluckman was a research officer at the Rhodes Livingston Institute. Mitchell welcomed Wellman to British network analysis in 1974, and continued as an enthusiastic member of INSNA and as a frequent contributor to Connections, until his death in 1995. Wellman later developed the concept of “networking the global village,” consistent with Gluckman’s “equilibrium model.” John A. Barnes was also a one-time director of the Rhodes Livingston Institute, and along with Mitchell, would win INSNA's highest honor, the Simmel Award.

Who was Georg Simmel? Though the following quotes from him, on the Venetian method of counterintelligence, will turn the stomach of American patriots, just remember that the Venetian methodology is for lazy chumps. The Venetians spent their time creating all kinds of intrigues because they were so utterly bored with their own existence. Shakespeare’s character Iago is a prime example.

“The Venetian government,” Simmel wrote, “used this means most effectively by offering extraordinary inducements to the people to denounce any sort of suspicious character. No one knew whether his nearest acquaintance was not in the service of the civic inquisition, and consequently revolutionary plans, which presupposed the reciprocal confidence of a great collection of persons, were cut off from the root; so that in the later history of Venice public revolts practically did not occur.”

Though Karl Rove is not a member of INSNA, you will hear shades of his method in the following quote by Simmel, again about the Venetian method. In fact, think of the silly politicians who claim to be master-debaters, even though they have allowed themselves to be sucked into Rove’s absurd “talking points.” Rove’s political opponents often have brought on their own destruction, because they have bought into the existence of the “rules of the game” theory, just like a MySpace addict:

“The baldest form of divide et impera, the instigation of positive struggle between two elements, may have its purpose in the relation of the third party to either of these two, or to an object existing outside of them. The latter occurs in case one of three candidates for an office understands how to instigate the two others against each other, in such a way that by gossip and slander, which each of them sets in motion against the other, they spoil each other’s chances. In all cases of this type the art of the third shows itself in the degree of the distance at which he is wise enough to place himself from the action which he instigates. The more he guides the conflict by merely invisible threads, the more he understands how to tend the fire so that it continues to burn without his further assistance and observation, the sharper and directer will be the struggle between the other two, until their reciprocal ruin is accomplished; but, more than that, the prize of the struggle at stake between them, or the objects otherwise of value to the third party, will seem to fall into his lap of themselves. In this technique, too, the Venetians were masters.”

The Internet

See how the Venetian tactics of Simmel are applied to social networking—then ask yourself, is it really “your space?”

“Taken from the work of Georg Simmel, the ‘tertius gaudens’ is defined as the ‘third who benefits’ (Simmel 1923). It describes the person who benefits from the disunion of two others…. Where informal structural holes provide a platform for tertius strategies, information is the substance with which the strategy is performed (Burt 1992). Accurate, timely and relevant information delivered between two non-redundant contacts at the right time, creates an immense opportunity to negotiate and control the relationships between these actors. That is the power of structural holes, and that is why the theory is so relevant for business networks on the Internet.”


24. Ibid. Anatol Rappaport, INSNA pioneer, put the tertius strategy yet another way, after having won a game theory tournament with his strategy called TFT-FOR-TAT: “[H]ow did it win the tournament? By allowing all the other strategies to eliminate each other. (‘Let you and him fight!’ he [Rappaport] explained). He gave some examples to illustrate the principle. A former student of his had developed a scenario called a ‘true’—a duel for three shooters, all of whom should shoot at the same moment. The first man is known to be a crack shot; he hits his target 95% of the time. The second man is almost as good a shot; he hits his target 90% of the time. The third man is a poor shot; he can hit a target only 50% of the time. So which of these three ‘true lists’ is most likely to survive? Answer: the third guy. The other two men will kill each other, leaving the worst marksman unscathed. TFT-FOR-TAT’s victory represented a similar outcome: it allowed the other strategies to kill each other off.” (Meta Spencer, “Rappaport at Ninety,” Connections magazine, www.sfu.ca/~insna-connections-web/volume24-3/meta.web.pdf).

25. Quote taken from a blog referring to Ron Burt’s theory about structural
With the advent of the Internet, game theory would take on a whole new meaning. Social networking would then be given a venue to “change what people believe and what they do.” INSNA’s helmser of information would now map out social networks on the Internet like a giant electromagnetic grid, by developing software that expanded on the work of Moreno’s sociograms, eventually developing 3-D modelling.

INSNA first began playing around with the idea of social networking through the Internet on EIES, the Electronic Information Exchange System, one of the first networking technologies, and they coordinated their early conferences with this technology.

INSNA players developed some of the software for social network analysis, such as UCINET and SOCNET, which could analyze social networking sites such as myspace.com, facebook.com, ancestry.com, or multiple interface gaming, such as Microsoft’s “Counterstrike.” The cybernetic “change agents” developed technologies to map the flow of rumors through society, which they claim spread like the transmission of epidemics, such as AIDS. This technology could also be used to create social movements, thereby setting the stage for gang and counter-gang conflicts—techniques entirely coherent with those used in Venetian or British colonialism.

These programs could be used to steer or “herd” popular opinion into a desired direction under one condition: the existence of willing guinea pigs. This required people to provide full psychological profiles that could be used for manipulation. If the “guinea pigs” bought into the positivist’s binary view of mankind, then the game theory matrices could be set up through a vast array of “Karl Rove talking points.” In other words, the social engineers could outline a “group think” matrix, like a “Choose Your Own Adventure” book.

The social networking sites gradually filled up with youth who had bought into the fad. They were told that they no longer had to take part in the messy aspects of social interactions. They no longer had to look people in the eye, or sit with them in a room. Instead they could sit in a cyber-pod and become pod people. Each youth could run from his pod world at the computer lab, to his pod world at the coffee shop, to his pod world in his dorm. He could then shield himself from human interaction in the outside world, by putting earplugs into his podpiece to create a walking podworld devoid of human interaction. And here is the real kicker: Every once in a while, the pod person could have a real, anonymous experience. He could play the role of Georg Simmel’s The Stranger. He could get together with other anonymous pod people for a “spontaneous” orgy. This would be his only non-cyber experience.

And from their helicopters above, billionaire voyeurs stare at and play with their little “natives.” They mess around with the anthill and watch its patterns change:

“Similarly, in exchange theory, our assumptions about what the natives know about the nature of their networks is critical to our theorizing. We love the Kula Ring because, according to Malinowski (1922), the total shape of the network, not to mention its consequences for social solidarity, were matters which ‘not even the most intelligent native has any clear idea of.’ The most intellectually charming aspect of network analysis is that we are able to make visible that which, without our ‘macroscope’ is invisible to natives. We are able to get up in our helicopter and see the traffic patterns in which the natives are stuck. What is more, in my research, I have never found a case in which the natives’ views of their structure are entirely accurate. And this goes also for our ‘most intelligent natives’ whom we call intellectuals. In my study of the American intellectual elite (Kadushin 1974), we asked respondents to characterize intellectual circles. None of them had an even close to accurate picture. I know our network picture was accurate not only because it ‘worked’ and made good sense at the time and was acknowledged as correct and ‘obvious’ once the natives had seen it, but because, even though I could not realize it at the time, it also predicted the intellectual circle pattern ten years later. In the upper right hand corner of our computer drawn sociogram (direction entirely accidental and arbitrary) the circle which eventually became known as the Neo-Conservatives was clearly shown.”

27. See footnote 9.
29. Remember Matrix II? “The new philosophy of human interrelations, sociometry, gives us a methodology and guide for determination of the central structure of society through the evocation of spontaneity of the human subject-agents. These factors, once located and diagrammed, supply us with the basis upon which the planning of all the many facets and activities of society may be undertaken—from juvenile and adult education to super-governments and world states.” And, “The task of the social scientist is to invent the adequate tools for the exploration of a chosen domain. On the level of human interrelationships, this domain is made up of the interactive spontaneity of all the individuals composing it. Therefore, the task of the social scientist becomes the shaping of the tools in the fashion as to enable him to arouse the individual to the required point of spontaneity on a scale which runs all the way to the maximum. But individuals cannot be aroused—or only to an insignificant degree—by undynamic or automatic means. The individuals must be adequately motivated so that the full strength of their spontaneous responses is evoked. Thus, the intention and shaping of methods for social investigation and the stirring up of reactions, thoughts and feelings of the people on whom they are used must go hand in hand.”
   “Sociometric View of the Community,” J.L. Moreno. Moreno is known as a pioneer in “psychodrama,” and developed sociometry.
Maybe this is what attracted Rupert Murdoch to this social networking technology: He realized that he could keep track of his favorite Nazi movement—the neoconservatives.

“Social structure becomes actually visible in an anthill; the movements and contacts one sees are not random but patterned. We should also be able to see structure in the life of an American community if we had a sufficiently remote vantage point, a point from which persons would appear to be small moving dots. . . . We should see that these dots do not randomly approach one another, that some are usually together, some meet often, some never. . . . If one could get far enough away from it, human life would become pure pattern.”

Conclusion

Every empire knows that destruction is best done from the inside. Georg Simmel wrote:

“It has been said that England could gain India only by means of India, as Xerxes earlier understood that Greece could best be conquered by means of the Greeks. Precisely those who by likeness of interests are brought together best know reciprocally each other’s weaknesses and their vulnerable points, so that the principle of *similia similibus*—the annihilation of a condition by producing a similar condition—may here be produced in the widest degree.”

These seemingly brilliant and elaborate social engineering schemes have one crucial flaw: They completely backfire if no one shows up to the “game.” That is, if no one buys into the view of the mind which claims that the mind is merely capable of saying yes or no to outside stimuli, then “they” won’t be able to “game” the herd. Socrates did not allow himself to be gamed. He refused to accept the “rules of the game,” and he constantly pointed out the absurdities of the axioms of his day. The Socratic method is used to this day, by all sovereign minds, to break the mental haze created by the empires of the past.

Why would you want to show up at their game every day? For you addicts, why show up at their game 36 times a day? Why show up at all? One day, you just may wake up from the haze to find the Coliseum cheering and blood on your hands. Snap out of it! Don’t be duped by these “Dungeons and Dragons” gamers. Imagine Karl “turd blossom” Rove, like a roly-poly little grub, sitting in his mother’s basement next to the nerdy Bill Gates, decked out in gladiator gear, thinking of ways to engineer society’s discussion and destruction.

Instead of playing with these perverts, fight on behalf of the universal principles that are at the core of the U.S. Constitution. Fight for the general welfare; fight for future generations—your posterity; fight for the sovereignty of your mind. Don’t be Rupert Murdoch’s silly little tool, fleeing into the gladiator’s Coliseum of a fantasy cyber-world. Join a real social process, which discusses the history of the development of ideas. You just may have a lot of fun doing so. Remember, Russell’s positivists are utterly bored as they await the eventual heat death of the universe. Why get gamed into these schemes of their pseudo-scientific pessimistic drivel?

The most stunning refutation of the conceptions of the cybernetics crew came from Lyndon LaRouche. The most succinct dismissal of the cybernetic concepts discussed in this paper is contained in LaRouche’s “Vernadsky and Dirichlet’s Principle” (*Executive Intelligence Review*, June 3, 2005). LaRouche and his colleagues are now the sole torch-bearers for the dynamics of Leibniz.

Understanding the development of ideas through the history of mankind is the core of LaRouche’s method. Given the developments of the recent period, LaRouche’s method has been shown as the only one competent to deal with the on-rushing economic crisis. Anyone who understands creativity as LaRouche does, knows that creativity is the most devastating refutation of entropy.

“Since the universe is changing, anti-entropically, through the process of generation of discovery of universal principles, it is the anti-entropy which bounds the universe.”

---

31. The quote is from Roger Brown of the University of Michigan, who did a study on the sociological impact of the assassination of John F. Kennedy. [www.insna.org/INSNA/na_inf.html](http://www.insna.org/INSNA/na_inf.html).


II. Case Studies: The Disease Spreads

MySpace: Murdoch’s Nuremberg Rally

by Delante Bess

A person who participates in the faceless tomb called MySpace, whether he admits it or not, has a soul that is being molested. This report intends to give the young reader a chance to know who the enemies of humanity really are, and in particular, to know why they choose a rotten scrapheap, like the satanic Murdoch, to target the young generation through his purchase of MySpace and other youth-oriented websites. Who is Rupert Murdoch, anyway? From where does this disease come?

If the young reader wants his dead soul to be unburied from the MySpace grave, if he wishes to cure its ailment and to reclaim its life, he must pay close attention to this article and receive a purified dose of reality.

Let us look at a case study on this virus.

From Daddy’s Little Test Tube

Let’s take a step back to when young Rupert (born in Australia in 1931) was sitting on his father’s lap, getting groomed. What was it that little Rupert was too naive to recognize about the man he so passionately admired?

Rupert’s father, Sir Keith Murdoch, was a stammering imbecile.

Frustrated because of his stammer, Sir Keith conjured up some money, and sent himself off to London, where he took some courses at the London School of Economics. Yet, he was miserable there, for still, he could not find a cure for his stammer. He grudgingly wrote to his parents, “The survival of the fittest principle is good, because the fittest become very fit indeed,” a message little Rupert surely never forgot.

In both Rupert’s and his father’s case, being brainwashed with the most evil oligarchical ideas makes the perfect dupe, one suited for carrying out the oligarchy’s interest. This may remind the young reader of how the “top” universities in the United States and Western Europe operate today.1

In the early 1900s, Sir Keith Murdoch made enough noise for the British elites to recognize that he wanted to be their lickspittle. So, they gave him menial journalism jobs; they were impressed with his shorthand skills. In the 1920s, he made his way up the British ladder until he was free to be tossed around in the British Establishment.

In this period, Keith Murdoch developed an almost filial relationship to British Round Table’s Lord Northcliffe, and Keith tried to model himself after him, especially his ruthless use of power. Doing this, he received “brownie points” from top layers of “the Families.”

The Families

In Australia, Sir Keith Murdoch got on big with “the Families,” especially the old British elitist Baillieu family. W.L. Baillieu installed him as an editor of the family’s Melbourne Herald newspaper, the paper that Rupert would later take over. Since Sir Keith was fresh from training in the sensationalist “sex and crime” style of Lord Northcliffe, he became chairman at the Herald and Weekly Times Holding Company, which owned Australia’s largest press empire. This, in fact, created the beginnings of the Murdoch family empire.

Keith Murdoch made sure to stay in line and to stay loyal to his successors, and became a key propagandist for the oligarchical schemes of “the Families.”

Lord Northcliffe would send his critical commentaries on the Herald to Murdoch, reminding him to model it on Northcliffe’s Daily Mail and Evening News. The policy was to include many more pictures and “human interest” stories, in order to thoroughly eradicate any moral political principles from the paper. The policies were so overtly fascist, that the Nazis’ Berlin-based shortwave radio picked up broadcast items from his newspaper. Gen. Douglas McArthur called Keith Murdoch an “Australian Quisling.”

In Australia, through the “Family” connections, the Murdoch family helped sponsor fascism in the 1930s, as they still do today, through the local branches of Britain’s Mont Pelerin Society, which owns the leaders of both the Liberal and Labour parties.

With this, the reader must imagine Murdoch the younger, looking at his father, like a puppy wagging its tail at his feet, waiting to be fed, and saying, “I want to be just like you, Dad!”

That he did.

---

Just Another Piece of British Excrement

Rupert Murdoch was sent to Oxford, where he graduated. There he had the unfortunate experience of being taught by Robin Farquharson, the Voltaire Society secretary. Farquharson further destroyed Murdoch with an introduction to insane “game theory” and mathematical modeling. Although there is not one intellectual bone in Rupert’s body, he often quoted science-fiction writer Arthur C. Clarke, who said, “In the struggle for freedom of information, technology, not politics will be the ultimate decider.”

When Rupert’s schoolterm ended, he did not return directly to Australia, but decided to do a stint at the London Daily Express, learning what the British Round Table’s Lord Beaverbrook (Max Aitken, 1879-1964) called, “the black art of journalism.” Lord Beaverbrook said to Murdoch, “If you work for me, you will never become a millionaire, but you will live like one.”

That he did, and more.

Beaverbrook’s ‘Brothel’

Typical of a prostitute like Rupert Murdoch to call it what it is.

To give the reader a closer look at the disease, it must be known that before Rupert came out of the test tube, his future employer, Lord Beaverbrook, was already engaged in a fascist move to destroy the United States, and any of its allies in Europe. Beaverbrook’s Daily Express had two notable head-

2. U.S. government archives from the FDR era, which were made available to EIR researchers, feature extensive intelligence reports on the international fascist plots from the files of the U.S. State Department, U.S. Army Intelligence and Navy Intelligence, and the Coordinator of Information (COI). The COI study’s segment on “Policy in regard to Great Britain,” elaborated the following Synarchist plan: “To bring about the fall of the Churchill Government by creating the belief in the country that a more energetic government is needed to prosecute the war; it is recognized that an effective means of creating suspicion of the Government’s efficiency would be to induce the resignation of Lord Beaverbrook; to bring about the formation of a new Government including Sir Samuel Hoare, Lord Beaverbrook and Mr. Hoare-Belisha.”
Delmer, in turn, wrote an article in 1939, which countered the majority of the world media coverage, and blamed the terrorist attack on a communist. This was a crucial step in the engineering of Hitler’s dictatorship. With Hitler’s reign, World War II was inevitable.

Sound familiar?

Although Franklin D. Roosevelt and Winston Churchill hated each other, the British had to kiss the feet of FDR, because the British-created tool, Hitler, got out of control, and went on a rampage for his own world dictatorship. The British Hitler supporters, reluctantly, joined forces with Roosevelt, in order to stop Hitler from destroying their precious “British Empire.”

After the war ended, Rupert Murdoch had been sufficiently sodomized to enter into Beaverbrook’s “brothel,” in the 1950s. He more than likely was recommended by his father’s oligarchical circles.

We are now getting to the microcosm of the disease.

The Tasmanian Devil

All forms of government ultimately are not going to succeed in trying to control or censor the Internet.

—Rupert Murdoch

In 1953, Murdoch and Beaverbrook acted in the tradition of Murdoch’s Australian ancestry: They bonded like the baby koala riding on the back of its mother. Mother Beaverbrook trained the child to become the most wildly bestial species ever to exist, and trained him to act on the lowest degrading instincts, never on principle.

Because of Britain’s degenerate culture in the 1960s, Murdoch easily penetrated its society with Beaverbrook-style immoral journalistic tactics. Just take a look at the news coverage on page 3 of any of London’s Sun newspapers. This alone can give the reader insight into Murdoch’s psychosis.

With the assassinations of John F. Kennedy, Robert Kennedy, and Martin Luther King, coupled with the destruction of Franklin Roosevelt’s Bretton Woods System in 1971, under George Shultz’s Nixon Administration, this Murdoch disease was able to creep in through the cracks of an unprotected American system.

The Synarchist fascist Felix Rohatyn4 virtually brought Rupert into New York City, after confirming a negotiation to buy up New York magazine. Rupert and Rohatyn’s lawyer Stanley Shuman were nominated as board members of New York magazine, within days.

Rupert then took over the New York Post, by arrangements of Wall Street “bankers” Allen & Co., the Dope, Inc.-implicated private investment group that put mob boss Meyer Lansky into his Caribbean casino headquarters. To help destroy this paper, Murdoch resuscitated Max Newton, and made him the chief economics columnist for the Post.5

Like his controller Lord Beaverbrook, Murdoch praised Soviet Communism; it is reported he had a bust of Lenin in his college dorm. But recently, the warmongering Fabian beastman, Tony Blair, had shifted Murdoch’s policy to “far-right” fascism. Now, to no one’s surprise, Murdoch is waving his dirty money under Hillary Clinton’s nose.

To the naive mind, Sir Rupert’s kangaroo-like hopping back and forth from “left wing” to “right wing,” may be dazzling or confusing. But this is no mere political opportunism, no more than Bertrand Russell’s acting as “a pacifist,” while remaining the leading figure pushing the nuclear terror scheme of “mutual and assured destruction” (MAD). These are actions of any immoral Philosophical Liberal. Philosophical Liberalism is premised on a systemic rejection of any true moral principle.

Becoming a U.S. citizen in 1985 gave Murdoch the ability to get around rules against foreign ownership of American TV stations. Hence, while the gutless U.S. Congress deliberately blindfolded themselves, and Mark Fowler’s Federal Communications Commission (FCC) changed policies to approve Murdoch’s media acquisitions, he bought up FOX networks. Although the FCC was supposedly dominated by Democrats—it was chaired by Reed Hunt, a close friend to the pro-British genocidal lard, Al Gore; they worked with the Republican Congress to pass the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

With these pro-British deregulatory policies in place, Rupert “By Dingo” Murdoch has been able to impose his degraded perceptions across the venues of 9 satellite networks, 100 cable channels, 175 newspapers, 40 book imprints, 40 television stations, and a movie theater. His outreach to the world’s population is tremendous, with the potential to reach 280 million people with his U.S. television networks, with an astounding 300 million people touched through his Asian satellite network, with cable channels that stretch to over 300 million homes, and with degraded magazines brainwashing 28 million people.

His tentacles reach more than half the world’s population


4. Felix Rohatyn, present controller of Nancy Pelosi and all things evil in the Democratic Party, was originally groomed by Synarchist financier André Meyer of Lazard Frères. Meyer was reported by U.S. intelligence documents to be a supporter of the 1938 Munich pact. See Jeffrey Steinberg, “We Can Beat Rohatyn and the Synarchists,” EIR, June 27, 2006. His commitment, ever since, to privatization of everything, including the military, was exemplified by his directing role, along with George P. Shultz of the University of Chicago, in the Augusto Pinochet coup against the Salvador Allende government in Chile, in 1973.

5. According to Murdoch’s biographer, William Shawcross: “Max Newton was the son of a lead burner in a sulfuric acid factory in Perth. He won a scholarship to Perth Modern School, where, showing an early interest in sex, he gave seminars to other boys about the merits of masturbation…. After two years without alcohol, he got blind drunk in the middle of his finals. Even so, he was awarded one of the two firsts given in economics that year, and was made an honorary fellow of Clare College.” A model British subject!
density! This has obviously been a major contributing factor in lowering the cognitive level of the human species. Murdoch’s media outlets have helped to steer the war drive for the world’s “English-speaking Empire,” and they have defamed or blacked out any American patriot who has fought against fascist policies. Now, he wants to reach the underdeveloped nations (that have little or no electricity) with insane little green laptops that have hand cranks for battery support! As true liberals all agree, starving children have the right to be illegally monitored and brainwashed, too!

Need I say more?

Sure. Let’s look farther, to Murdoch’s latest, and proudest infection: his disease that is infecting our generation.

**MySpace: ‘A Graveyard for Friends’**

I’m a catalyst for change…. You can’t be an outsider and be successful over 30 years without leaving a certain amount of scar tissue around the place.

—Rupert Murdoch

Do you want this perverse freak controlling YourSpace? Why would you spend your time playing with yourself in the view of this freak? How can any moral individual intending to do the good, be stuck on MySpace masturbating with others? Are you so sexually impotent that you no longer masturbate in private, but get others to join with you?

Murdoch’s move to buy up IGN and Intermix Media, Inc. should come as no surprise; both cater to the youth generation. This is not uncharacteristic of his pedigree, nor is it a coincidence that this particular media portal is of interest to him. After Murdoch purchased Intermix, all Intermix websites became part of News Corp.’s FOX Interactive Media. Murdoch now, through these sites, possesses detailed logs of the behavior and personal information of millions of youth, particularly 42 million MySpace abusers! With News Corp.’s control over “news” and “cultural output,” it becomes more feasible to create the desired effect of a gladiator’s ring in the Roman Colosseum.

Looking for pleasure? Anything is allowed in Murdoch’s online pantheon! Let’s look at just some of what Murdoch’s MySpace offers:

- **Pedophilia:** Although 29,000 sexual predators have been cast off MySpace, it was with no help from Murdoch. According to Wired magazine’s coverage of a computer crime unit, “MySpace busts are rare in this unit. About half the work done by the eight detectives here is aimed at online predators, but the networking site poses challenges that open chat rooms—a dying social scene among today’s youth—never did. ‘It’s a dangerous place for kids,’ said Frank Giardina,” a private detective. ‘It’s also difficult for law enforcement.’

- **Anorexia:** One MySpace group, with as many as 1,000 women afflicted with anorexia, has a video displaying the latest “results,” saying it wants “no people trying to recover—it ruins our motivation.” Murdoch, therefore, said MySpace won’t censor the videos, but will accompany them with “messages of support” for getting help.

- **SuicideGirls:** This cult of dead-looking women can also be found offering drinks at gaming conventions to the Army’s next recruits, or at “Halofest,” the annual satanic party widely advertised on MySpace.

- **Dehumanizing Murder Movies:** One movie promoted recently, and heavily, on Murdoch’s MySpace was “Saw 3,” the favorite existential torture movie of MySpace user Pekka-Eric Auvinen, who recently killed eight people, including himself, in Jokela High School in Finland.

---

6. “Were the proper development of the human culture of Australia not prevented, it could sustain a very much greater, and wealthier population than under the attempts of some, as perhaps Rupert ‘By Dingo’ Murdoch, to suppress its cultural development back to marsupial, or even much poorer, ‘MySpace,’ preconditions, again, today.” Lyndon LaRouche, “From Milken & Enron to Perugia: ‘Extreme Events!’” EIR, Nov. 23, 2007, and reprinted in this pamphlet.

7. As IGN’s website claims for itself, “IGN is the ultimate ‘Manhunt 2’ resource for trailers, screenshots, cheats, walkthroughs, release dates, previews, reviews, soundtracks and news.” “Manhunt 2” was the inspiration for the two deranged killers in Detroit who stabbed a man to death, in early November 2007, then sawed off his head and burned his body, all in the style of “the game.”

8. IGN is a subscription-based gamer site, which tapped one of News Corp.’s favorite demographics: young males. Likewise, Intermix, whose crown jewel was the graveyard MySpace, has become the premier place where all dead young souls reside. Murdoch lusts over it, saying: “You can see this was life…. This was real.”

• Murder Rings: As MyDeathSpace recorded as of November 2006, there have been 600 murder victims with MySpace profiles, and 35 murderers. More significantly though, MySpace, like Facebook, creates an unprecedented place for studying, and steering, how youth react to murders and violence; it’s a type of anthropological zoo. Charles Figley of Florida State University’s Traumatology Institute said, “On social network sites … victims’ buddies can howl at killers’ cousins, and the cousins can scream back. All the old social relationship models and theories don’t apply anymore… We’re rewriting textbooks here.”

• Suicides: Megan Meier, a 13-year-old girl from Dardenne Prairie, Mo., committed suicide on Oct. 16, 2006 because she was being teased and rejected by fake personalities on Murdoch’s MySpace. The tragic incident wasn’t known by the Internet mobs, until the Suburban Journal released a report by Steve Pokin, on Nov. 12, 2007.

To summarize the report by Pokin: Megan Meier, who had social problems, was given access to MySpace by her parents, and became friends with someone she believed to be a 16-year-old boy named Josh. Josh, who pretended to like her, was a fake personality created by the parents of a former friend of Megan’s. Megan sought refuge with “Josh,” on MySpace. A dialogue occurred among Josh, Megan, and other MySpace personalities. During the dialogue, Megan called her mother, and told her, “They are posting bulletins about me.”… ‘Megan Meier is a slut. Megan Meier is fat.’” These multiple attacks on Megan’s self-esteem, caused her to commit suicide later that evening.

An incident like this could not have occurred without Murdoch’s MySpace, which is intended to destroy real human communication of ideas.

As Rupert himself puts it, young people “don’t want to rely on a God-like figure from above to tell them what’s important. And to carry the religion analogy a bit further, they certainly don’t want news presented as gospel. Instead, they want their news on demand, when it works for them. They want control over their media, instead of being controlled by it. They want to question, to probe, to offer a different angle.”

This sophistry is the old Anglo-Dutch Liberal policy—to control the minds and steer the culture for those who would lead the future of mankind, while making it appear as if the people control themselves. “I’m free!” says the MySpace user, as he or she searches for perverted pleasure.

Historically, the most efficient way to destroy a human culture, is to induce it to doom itself, precisely the force of tragedy of our parents’ generation.

Go ‘Cold Turkey’ on British Ideas

Now that we have looked at the Murdoch virus, there are two ways to help cure this seemingly, “British common cold.”

First, recognize the fact that the world monetary-financial system is dead! (the same evil monetary system that gave you Murdoch and HisSpace). The only possible alternative to the dead system is to return to the Franklin Roosevelt-style American System principles, which is in line with what Lyndon LaRouche is proposing.

Second, recognize that as today’s generation of young adults, the future of mankind rests on how you think and act in society. By allowing the enemy to deter your mind from discovering new and profound principles, as ideas, they have not only arrested your development, but they have engineered you to become the new unconscious international terrorist of our day.10

I hear the cynical, enraged youth now, after reading this report, say: “I don’t care what you say! This is my life, this is my freedom, this is my leisure time! I will never let Murdoch control me!”

These are similar to the words uttered by the new young suicide killers. Will you allow your ignorance and infantile ego to be the cause of the force of our tragedy?

10. Ibid.
Facebook: A Tombstone With a Picture Attached

by Nick Walsh

As an elderly porn advocate recently noted in an article in (waste of) *Time* magazine, visits to porn sites on the web are down 33% over the last two years. Where, the author asks, have all the viewers gone? To “social networking” sites like Facebook! As of today, there are 50 million dead souls floating in this virtual graveyard, a number growing by the day. Why all the furor?

As stated by founder Mark Zuckerberg, “the company develops technologies that facilitate the sharing of information through the social graph, the digital mapping of people’s real world social connections.” Wait a minute! Only a fool would profess to digitally map the metaphysical principles of human social interaction. This professed mission is physically impossible, and actually insane. So what’s really going on? This “social graph” is actually a mind control site, now directed by mass killer enthusiast Bill Gates, and anglophile Facebook board members Peter Thiel and Jim Breyer. Whose minds will they control? Only those who want to clear the way for unchecked globalization.

A Cyber Grave: The Fantasy Life of Cowardice

There’s always this ideological framework that one sees on the other side: “Yes, there’s this artificial reality, but it’s not the real world. There’s always a difference between a copy and the original; there’s a difference between being an avatar and being a physical person, and those things are fundamentally, completely different and that this real world of physical space-time is the primary reality.” I would submit to you that it’s more of an open question…

Peter Thiel, Board of Directors of Facebook

First look at Peter Thiel, who contributed $500,000 to Mark Zuckerberg in 2004, to start up Facebook, and who has had a long history of interest in campus-based mind control. His career began as a founder of the *Stanford Review*, the flagship publication of dirty fascist William F. Buckley’s Intercollegiate Network in 1973. As LaRouche PAC exposed one year ago in the pamphlet “Is Joseph Goebbels on Your Campus?” the Intercollegiate Network was part of a highly coordinated nationwide fascist youth movement on the college campuses of that time. The Intercollegiate Network, just like Facebook today, had the appearance of being run by independent-minded youth, while actually being run by high-level political circles interested in turning campuses into human zoos.

Thiel is a lackey of the right-wing oligarchy, and was allowed to earn money gambling with derivatives at Crédit Suisse bank, and later, to make a killing from the PayPal website. Today, he is on the board of the right-wing Vanguard PAC, whose mission is to promote right-wing Federalist Society members for the Supreme Court, such as Samuel Alito and Antonin Scalia. These movements, as shown in the LaRouche PAC pamphlet “Children of Satan IV: Cheney’s Schmittlerian Drive for Dictatorship,” are nothing but pawns of the British empire, just as Hitler and his legal theorists in 1930s Germany were. But, to elaborate this point, read Thiel here, waxing about the glories of the new British empire: “More and more of the world’s wealth exists in these offshore jurisdictions and havens. About 40-50% of the wealth of the world exists in small offshore jurisdictions. In places like Monaco, or Andorra, or San Marino, or Lichtenstein, or Luxembourg, or Switzerland. You have all the Cayman Islands, the Caribbean, Bahamas, Barbados, Antilla….” These islands, nearly all of them part of the Anglo-Dutch world empire, are what Thiel and friend Richard Rahn, who is director of the British Cayman Islands, claim to be the new virtual economy.

Robinson: “Does electronic money, E-money, pose a threat to the sovereignty of the United States?”

Rahn: “Yes, and to every other government.”

Robinson: “And you’re happy about that?”

Rahn: “Yes. Because it empowers people. It liberates people.”

[Robinson then turns to] Thiel: “Yes it does. Although mostly to emerging world governments as a first step. [The] U.S. Government [is] probably the least threatened, but

1. Or, as in this case, one might more appropriately ask, “Why all the Führer?”

they’re all threatened to greater-lesser degrees.”

Robinson: “Let’s establish a beat—right off the bat there. The two of you are talking about a revolution then.”

Rahn: “That’s right.”

Thiel: “Well, it’s happening right now, whether people realize it or not.”

Silicon Valley Implants

Thiel’s fellow Facebook board member, Jim Breyer, is also a long-time lackey for Anglo-American psychological war games and economic globalization. He sits on the board of Anglo-American Accel Partners, which has one branch in Palo Alto, Calif., the location for 1950s MK-Ultra mind-control experiments, and the other in the heart of the City of London, within a short walk from Buckingham Palace. This “equity” (a.k.a. robbery) firm, Accel, gave $12.7 million to Zuckerburg, for Facebook, in August 2005, to develop a site where “people can go and look up other people and find relevant information on them … what they’re interested in, their contact information, what courses they are taking, who they know, who their friends are, what people say about them, what photos they have now…. “ Huh? Why would an Anglo-American financial group be interested in controlling a social networking website?

To answer this question, look at Breyer’s long, close business partnership with Gilman Louie, member of the Markle Foundation Task Force on National Security in the Information Age, and founding CEO of In-Q-Tel, a non-profit venture capital firm chartered by the CIA, with a mission to not only “discover” new firms with technology useful to “intelligence gathering,” but to corral private investment into these firms as well. Upon Louie’s appointment to the CIA post, which he held from 1999-2006, Breyer said, “It appears in this effort the CIA has chosen well.” Breyer’s and Louie’s interest in cultural warfare goes back decades to when Accel supplied Louie’s video-game firm Spectrum HoloByte with huge infusions of cash.

3. Breyer also sits on the Board of Directors of WalMart Stores, Inc. and child-fantasy company Marvel Entertainment, Inc.

4. Breyer shares the Board of Accel-KKR with the founders of Kohlberg, Kravis, and Roberts, a “pioneer” equity firm in the 1980s for economy-destroying “leveraged buyouts.” (See Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., “From Milken and Enron to Perugia: Extreme Events,” in this pamphlet.)

Breyer and Louie also work closely with Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) board member Anita Jones, who sat on the board of In-Q-Tel with Louie. DARPA is not only leading the effort to create human cyborgs for Dick Cheney’s perpetual wars, but also was the creator of the Information Awareness Office (IAO) in 2002. According to DARPA’s own fact file, using 9/11, of course, as pretext: “The most serious asymmetric threat facing the U.S. is terrorism. This threat is characterized by collections of people loosely organized in shadowy networks that are difficult to identify and define. These networks must be detected, identified, and tracked.”

5. See Gabriela Arroyo-Reyes, “The Cyborgs of Silicon Valley,” in this pamphlet.

6. Would this shadowy definition of “asymmetric threat,” allow the IAO to “detect, identify, and track “loosely organized people,” such as college students, plotting the impeachment of Dick Cheney?
Look to the year 2005, when Accel infused Facebook with cash. That was the same year that Jim Breyer co-directed the National Venture Capital Association (NVCA) with Gilman Louie. The NVCA, claiming to represent "free capital," is composed mostly of money from Thiel's favorite "offshore" tax havens, such as the British Cayman Islands. These Cayman Islands are the financial center for dismantling the American auto sector, for hyperinflating our presently dead housing bubble, and for start-up "tech firms" (e.g., firms developing killer game simulators and mind-control/fantasy sites, to destroy American culture). But, don't separate this "venture capital" from Cheney's perpetual war policy. In 2006, at a time when a "night of the long knives" was carried out by Cheney's CIA Director Porter Goss against anyone in the CIA opposed to domestic spying and torture, Breyer's buddy Gilman Louie proved himself loyal to the cause, and received two Seal Medallions and the Director's Award for "services rendered."

The Gates of Hell

To seal the tomb, or put a virtual nail in Facebookers' coffins, look now at Facebook's new leading ghoul, Microsoft's Bill Gates. Contrary to popular mythology, Gates is not a proof of the success of globalization, but rather the opposite. Gates's mind and morals typify the satanic rot which is globalization, and serve as an alarm to non-stupid citizens of this republic, that something has gone terribly wrong. Look, for starters, to the Microsoft "Judgment Day" Halloween Party of Oct. 31, 1995, hosted by Gates to celebrate his recent takeover of id Software's kill-simulator "Doom," the game which would program Eric Harris and Dylan Klebold to be extremely effective suicide-murderers, in the Columbine High School massacre. The party included a Doom tournament, called "Deathmatch '95," and an id Software display featuring "an eight foot tall vagina with dildo teeth. Inside, two members of [the band] G.W.A.R dressed in fur and raw meat attacked passersby with rubber penises."

Of course, Gates was not to be outdone, appearing himself, in the evening's Microsoft Games promo video. The video begins with a first person view of the Doom simulator, with a shotgun firing off kill after kill of monsters. Then, the shotgun shooter walks onto the screen, turns, and reveals Gates himself to be the assassin, dressed in the black trench coat, such as what the Columbine killers would later wear.

Gates, while cooing that Windows is the only software for really advancing these killer games, is then approached by another ghoul, and blows it away with his pump shotgun, before saying, "Don't interrupt me." Afterwards, the Microsoft slogan appears, but changed, to read, "Microsoft: Who Will You Execute Today?" which then becomes splattered with blood and brains after a loud gunshot sound.

Columbine Bill Is Watching You

There's this notion that "traffic" [on the Internet] equals profit, and, you know, traffic does not necessarily equal profit. Traffic equals—maybe you can take it and translate that into something, and I always think, maybe we'll buy the traffic first, and figure out what to do with it next…

*Bill Gates on the Charlie Rose Show*

Don't kid yourself. Bill Gates is not a passive “investor,” nor is he a creative entrepreneur. He is an aggressive enabler, for decades now, of the military-entertainment complex, and of its intention to destroy the minds of our military personnel, and our youth. Through games like online Counterstrike and Halo 3 for Xbox, Gates is advancing the psychotic “Revolution in Military Affairs,” along with its accompanying cult of teenage “suicide bombers,” like Seung-Hui Cho of Virginia Tech. Also, Gates's vision, like that of Thiel and Breyer, is to equate the human mind with a “plugged in” digital system, the Wellsian vision of a world brain. The name of the brain will be: Microsoft Xbox. At least one in every living room! Gates's stake in Facebook is driven by this psychological motive: "Tune in, turn on, and dumb down."

Read his words yourself. Interviewed in 1993, Gates said, "I don't think there's anything unique about human intelligence. All the neurons in the brain that make up perceptions and emotions operate in a binary fashion. We can someday replicate that on a machine." Eventually, we'll be able to sequence the human genome and replicate how nature did intelligence in a carbon-based system. It's like reverse engineering someone else's product in order to solve a challenge.” Then the interviewer asked, "Isn't there something special, perhaps even divine, about the human soul?" and Gates replied, "I don't have any evidence on that," while reportedly rocking, nervously, back and forth.

Now, look to Gates's "strategic partnership" with Facebook, agreed to in August 2006. *Within one month* of this part-

---

7. A British partner of Breyer at Accel, Bruce Golden, also works at Mind Candy, a London gaming firm presently developing “Moshi Monsters.” (See video at http://www.moshimonsters.com/)

8. A source for this article reported, in confidence, that the name Microsoft was chosen to honor the founder's brain.

9. Jim Breyer referred to this company in 1996 as an "insanely great product company."

10. This video, which can be found by searching under "Bill Gates Doom video" on the Internet, also establishes Gates, truly, as the founder of Eric Harris and Dylan Klebold's "Trench Coat Mafia."

11. Gates is more properly referred to now, as “Knight Commander of the Order of the British Empire," as he was recently anointed with this dishonor, by the old hag, Queen Lizzie, herself! This reward is for those who have made "an important contribution to British interests."

12. Is this what those long lines for Xbox are all about?
nership, Facebook introduced NewsFeed and Mini-Feed, whose purpose was to track and report the minute-to-minute activity of each user on the web, even when the user is not logged on to Facebook. In November 2007, Gates advanced further, investing $240 million into Facebook (whose annual revenue is only $200 million,) to provide access for 12 major companies, such as Coca-Cola, Blockbuster, and Verizon, to the NewsFeed system. Now, the Facebook fool can be tracked, even when not logged on to a computer! For example, if a Facebooker rents a movie at Blockbuster, or buys concert tickets from an affiliated vendor, all the details of those transactions are e-mailed to Facebook, and become part of the regularly updated NewsFeed post. When any member of “John Dodo’s” Facebook “family” logs on to Facebook, he or she will read, “John Dodo was on these websites, investigating or purchasing these items, at these times.” These posts will be read not only by John Dodo’s “family,” but they are sent back to the affiliated companies, for further profiling and thought-shaping of the users (or, should we call them “hosers”?!) Soon enough, posts will read something like this, “The Facebook has determined that you will be interested in these movies, this music, this type of sexual partner, and these political views. Also, the Facebook has determined that you are not the type of person interested in science, Classical music, or, for that matter, truth.”

**Burying Yourself in Facebook**

**Audience Member:** “...the interesting question will be, whether computers are alive.”

**Thiel:** “I actually think the interesting question will be whether human beings are alive, but maybe it’s the same question.”

So, is it just “information” about people that Thiel, Breyer, and Gates are interested in? Keep in mind that for them, information is equivalent to human intelligence. For science-fiction devotees Thiel, Gates, and Breyer, controlling information is the same as controlling the mind, or society as a whole. It’s called, *globalization.*

Have you been to the zoo and looked at the animals in the cages? Facebook is worse. The people in Facebook must give up their souls to get in the cage. Humanity is not allowed in the “social graph,” only information. If this seems extreme, just look at the latest Facebook-related murder case in Perugia, Italy. A student, Meredith Kercher, was pinned down, then fatally slashed across her neck, after a ghoulish Halloween party only the night before, the pictures of which were posted on Kercher’s Facebook account. In the aftermath of the murder, large groups on MySpace and Facebook have been “ooohing” and “aaah-ing” over every last detail of the sick crime, creating quite the sociogram for all to see. LaRouche is not being facetious when he calls Facebook a “tombstone with a picture attached.”

14. Facebook may also be described as the Internet version of H.G. Wells’ *The Island of Dr. Moreau.*
15. See LaRouche, *op cit.,* footnote 4.
What IS Wikipedia?

by Brent Bedford

I think that one of the great strengths of the open collaborative approach is the fast and powerful destruction of untenable conspiracy theories. It is quite easy to watch a pseudo-documentary like “Loose Change” and to find it compelling, until you back up and do some homework with the help of sites like Wikipedia.

—Jimbo Wales, 02:48, 7 July 2006 (UTC); from the “9/11 Conspiracy Theories Page” of campaigns.wikia.com

According to its page, “What Wikipedia is NOT,” Wikipedia is NOT a publisher of original thought (WP: NOT#OTHOUGHT). It is NOT a democracy (WP:NOT#DEMOCRACY). Wikipedia is NOT an indiscriminate collection of information (WP: NOT#INFO, WP:NOT#NEWS), yet at the same time, it is NOT censored (WP:NOT#CENSORED). What, then, is Wikipedia, and how does such a formless, “collaborative approach” so powerfully and quickly destroy “conspiracy theories”?

You Don’t Believe in Conspiracy Theories, DO YOU?

In a world where “conspiracy theories” are ruled out, you are not permitted to determine the reason for anything. All you can do is arrive at a conveniently arbitrary consensus, through submission to manipulation and persuasion. To ensure the “fast and powerful destruction” of conspiracy theories, Wikipedia was created. Wikipedia is simply a conspiracy of anonymous editors who create and change Wikipedia entries. Did the Nazis facilitate the burning of the Reichstag to consolidate Hitler’s dictatorship? If you read the “Reichstag Fire” page on Wikipedia (in its current form), you are led to believe that it was done at the hands of Communists.

But is there really a consensus against conspiracy theories?

In order to create this consensus, or break it, when “necessary,” Jimmy Wales has structured Wikipedia to be a very loose and powerless body. As Wales described it, his relationship to Wikipedia is analogous to the Queen’s relationship to her Parliament. Wikipedia’s first step toward achieving consensus involves the Mediation Committee (MedCom), a body drafted by Wales in 2004 to resolve “disputes.” Wales has the final say on its members. When MedCom fails to neutralize dissidents, they are referred to the Arbitration Committee (ArbCom), which imposes binding solutions by decree. (Of course, Wales reserves the right of executive clemency in all matters.)

ArbCom ensures that WP:NPOV (Neutral-Point-of-View) is never violated. If they fail, Jimbo Wales has the final say, and he even retains the power to dissolve the ArbCom at any time. To make sure Wikipedia does not degenerate into anarchy, Jimbo created WP:NOT#ANARCHY. As I show below, these rules do nothing but obscure the fact that Jimbo always has the final say—not the “editor” or “community.”

On the Wikipedia:Elections page, it is even stated explicitly that Wikipedia discourages voting and favors decree by consensus. In the case of ArbCom, even though they held “elections,” these were only “suggestions” to Jimbo on whom they thought he should select, reject, or retain for the ArbCom. He has the final say—always! (WP:CONEXCEPT, “consensus exceptions”).

And, the policy of ArbCom itself is not based on any sort of principle except that which “may be tweaked as the Committee gains experience and learns better ways of doing things.” This policy toward policy, it has been suggested by Wales, is not subject to amendment by the “community.”

So, What IS Wikipedia??

Well, as it turns out, the reason Wikipedia stinks so much, is that its loyal Wikipedians worship a man, Jimbo Wales, who follows in the footsteps of ... Ayn Rand! Wales found...
inspiration for naming his poor daughter after a character from Ayn Rand’s cheesy epic, *We the Living*. What’s worse, Wales’ entire history is spilled out in daily rantings on alt. philosophy.objectivism.bit.listserv.politics, and elsewhere, all throughout the 1990s, when he underwent a peer-dominated religious transformation to become the ego-worshipping, Ayn Rand-defending anarchist that he still is, today. This serves as the most painful documentation of Wales’ pathetically mediocre existence. And to think—Wales’ hometown, Huntsville, Ala., was the residence of the German V-2 rocket scientists, whose scientific knowledge propelled America into the Space Age!

Before founding Wikipedia, Wales moderated and contributed material to websites devoted to Ayn Rand’s doctrine of Objectivism. When that failed to take off, he started something much different than an Ayn Rand novel, a soft-core porn portal, called Bomis.com. He moved to California and subsequently founded Wikipedia with his good libertarian buddy from the USENET groups, Larry Sanger. By removing Ayn Rand’s name, but demanding that only material published from inside her hellish world be tolerated on Wikipedia’s pages, hundreds of people were fooled into giving Wikipedia credit for its good aspects, which were all actually unintended by Wales (and, which people have discussed implementing since the 1940s), while missing the significance of its faults, as well as its mysterious alliance with Google.

‘La-Rou-che? I Looked Him Up on Google and Read About Him on Wikipedia...’

In August 2004, User:Herschelkrustofsky followed procedure and filed a request for arbitration, over a dispute involving User:Adam_Carr, User:John_Kenney, and User:Homey, three people who had engaged in “edit wars” with him over the status of Wikipedia:Lyndon LaRouche. These users refused to participate in User:Herschelkrustofsky’s earlier request for Mediation, through MedCom, which is the procedure Wikipeudians are encouraged to follow.

The edit wars began over the following superlative: “The most outspoken proponent of American System Economics in the early 20th Century was Dr. Sun Yat-sen. Today, it is the American politician and economist Lyndon LaRouche,” which User:Herschelkrustofsky had added to Wikipedia: American System. User:Homey deleted this statement, and also reverted edits made on Wikipedia:Frankfurt School, which cited Lyndon LaRouche as the third major school of criticism of the Frankfurt School.

An attempt to summarize the proceedings of this legal battle here would be long and pointless. The case will be preserved online—unless WP:OFFICE deletes it—at Wiki media:Requests_for_arbitration/Lyndon_LaRouche and /Lyndon_LaRouche_2. It is noteworthy that User:Adam_Carr is a political enemy of Lyndon LaRouche’s movement in Australia. The outcome of the LaRouche1 trial led to terms of probation for User:Herschelkrustofsky.

Subsequently, in LaRouche2, involving opposition to User: Herschelkrustofsky by other political enemies of LaRouche—Chip Berlet (User:cberlet) and Linda Mack (User:SlimVirgin)—the ArbCom ruled that User:Herschelkrustofsky had violated his terms of probation created after LaRouche1, and they enacted a one-year ban against editing Wikipedia, which was later extended to July 2008.

After delivering this fateful sentence, ArbCom enacted the following decrees, with accompanying penalties for future users who violated them:

- Original work which originates from LaRouche and his movement may be removed from any Wikipedia article in which it appears other than the article Wikipedia:Lyndon LaRouche and other closely related articles.
- Supporters of LaRouche are instructed not to add references to him directly to articles, except where they are highly relevant, and not to engage in activities that might be perceived as “promotion” of LaRouche.

In light of this ruling, User:Will_Beback proceeds to delete material not just having to do with LaRouche, but with José Rizal, Friedrich List, Henry Cary, the doubling of the cube, Pope John Paul II, and the drug-legalization policies of Milton Friedman and George Shultz.

**SlimVirgin**

This story is demented and broken on so many levels, it is quite difficult to know where to begin, even. Here we have an excellent Wikipedia administrator who has been victimized by lunatic conspiracy theorists, a private person who has absolutely no relation to the wild stories that this article promulgates. Slashdot, you have been trolled.

—Jimmy Wales

At the end of July 2007, a professor of Physics and International Humanitarian Law, Dr. Ludwig de Braeckeleer published an exposé of Wikipedia’s penetration by intelligence services. The article was published on the Korean website OhMyNews, and was quickly picked up by Slashdot and other sites.

Dr. de Braeckeleer had been investigating the 1988 incident involving Pan Am Flight 103, known as the Lockerbie bombing. He wrote:

“In the aftermath of the Lockerbie bombing in 1988, the finger of suspicion quickly pointed to a Syria-based Palestinian organization—the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, General Command (PFLP-GC)—hired by Iran. The terrorist group was created by a former Syrian army captain, Ahmed Jibril, who broke away from the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine (PFLP) in 1968.
“I had learned from a recently released U.S. National Archives file that Shin Bet, the Israeli Security Agency, had infiltrated the PFLP and helped the Entebbe hijackers (Israeli commandos rescued the hostages in Uganda in 1976), so I wanted to learn more about the link between the PFLP and the Shin Bet. I also wanted to learn more about allegations made by David Colvin, the first secretary of the British Embassy in Paris, concerning the rather bizarre collaboration between the PFLP and the Shin Bet.

“As I could not locate the article in which I had learned about the allegations, I consulted the article on the Entebbe Operation on Wikipedia, where I knew the story had been noted. To my surprise, I found that all references to the alleged collaboration between the PFLP and the Shin Bet had been suppressed. Moreover, it is no longer possible to edit the page.”

Upon a closer inspection of these Lockerbie-related Wikipedia articles, de Braeckeleer identified SlimVirgin as an editor of articles relating to Pan Am 103, as well as those of ABC News journalist Pierre Salinger—one of the original investigators of the Pan Am 103 incident, who was working out of the ABC News London Bureau at the time. Salinger served as the White House press secretary for Presidents Kennedy and Johnson, as a U.S. Senator from California, and as campaign manager for the Robert Kennedy 1968 Presidential campaign. While investigating the Pan Am 103 incident in London, he and his collaborator, John Cooley, hired a young graduate student from Cambridge, Linda Mack.

As John Cooley would later write, in a submission to the website Wikipedia Review, which investigates suspiciously powerful Wikipedia Administrators, like SlimVirgin:

“She claimed to have lost a friend/lover on [Pan Am] 103 and so was anxious to clear up the mystery. ABC News paid for her travel and expenses as well as a salary…

“…Salinger came to believe that [first name redacted but known to be Linda] was working for [name of intelligence agency redacted but known to be Britain’s MI5], and had been from the beginning; assigned genuinely to investigate Pan Am 103, but also to infiltrate and monitor us.”

A Cambridge University website showing the names and e-mail addresses of its alumni, provided the link between Linda Mack and her former e-mail address, slimvirgin1@yahoo.com.

SlimVirgin is also known for her edits of Lyndon LaRouche-related Wikipedia pages going back to 2004.
These violent video games are murder simulators. They’re not just murder simulators—they are mass-murder simulators, because the child drills, and drills, and drills, and drills, to kill every living creature in front of him, until he runs out of targets, or he runs out of bullets.


As Lyndon and Helga Zepp-LaRouche have made the point, the violent video-game industry, spearheaded by individuals like Bill Gates, but directed by higher-level Anglo-American circles connected to the Revolution in Military Affairs, is aggressively recruiting potentially millions of teenage suicide bombers in the United States and Western Europe. The facts are irrefutable. These teenage suicide bombers are being cultivated to have the same psychological motive, to “fight and die for my cause,” as radical Islamic terrorists of the Middle East. But, in many cases, their lethal ability, because of the video games, is greater than even the most well-trained assassins. Therefore, the leading threat to our national security today, is not terrorism in Asia, but rather, the mass movement of American and European school-age terrorists being orchestrated to murder their schoolmates, and themselves, by agencies directing today’s computer game industry. Those in high-level positions of government today, who deny the obvious cause of this new violence, or, even worse, defend the violent video-game industry’s “rights” in the “free market,” are themselves “working with the terrorists.” Those who defend violent video games are the enemy of the United States. The facts permeate every case so far, in which a school massacre has occurred. Important cases, to prove the point, follow:

1. Col. David Grossman (ret.) is a West Point psychology professor, professor of military science, and an Army Ranger, who has combined his experiences to become the founder of a new field of scientific endeavor, which has been termed “killology.” In this new field, Grossman has made revolutionary contributions to our understanding of killing in war, the psychological costs of war, the root causes of the current “virus” of violent crime that is raging around the world, and the process of healing the victims of violence, in war and peace” (from his website Killology.com).

2. Those who don’t blow themselves up in acts against society will be well prepared recruits for the increasingly privatized armies of the Anglo-Dutch Empire’s perpetual war world, i.e., the new Allgemeine SS. See Oyang Teng, “Video Games and the Wars of the Future,” in this report.

3. The kid went straight from the video controller, to the loaded weapon in the high school!
Butcher MD,” “Lord of War,” “Saw,” “Apocalypse Now.”

Now, these video games are simulators. There are flight simulators, that teach you how to fly. And there are murder simulators, whose only redeeming social value is that they teach you how to commit the act of murder. If these things were rape simulators, we would not tolerate letting our children play them. And yet, we sit and watch our children play endless hours, practicing blowing people’s heads off.

—David Grossman, interviewed by EIR, April 27, 2007

On that morning, the deadliest mass shooting by a lone individual in U.S. history occurred, when Seung Hui Cho entered Norris Hall at Virginia Tech University, shot and killed 32 people and injured 17, before shooting and killing himself. Nearly all of the killing was done in 10 minutes. Cho had no history of weapons training. He had purchased the two guns used in the massacre two months earlier, and practiced with those weapons for a total of one hour, on a shooting range. Police asked, upon surveying the massacre scene, “How could one person do all this damage?”

Although it’s obvious, from study of the facts, that Cho was addicted to and driven, by violent games, this element of the story has been the subject of a major cover-up, reaching as high as the former head of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Tom Ridge. The following evidence reveals the truth.

On April 18, 2007 the Washington Post website, under the headline “Centreville Student Was Virginia Tech Shooter,” wrote, “Several Korean youths who knew Cho Seung Hui from his high school days said he was a fan of violent video games, particularly Counterstrike….” Hours later, the article disappeared from the site, and was never published.

In the 1980s, recruiters trolled video arcades, looking for “the good ones.” Today, they monitor online. Auvinen’s “stats” pictured here.

On April 22, N.R. Kleinfeld, in a New York Times article, wrote, after talking with relations of Cho, “When Mr. Cho entered Virginia Tech, which is crouched in the Blue Ridge Mountains of southwest Virginia, his parents drove him to school with guarded expectations. Perhaps he would no longer retreat to video games and playing basketball alone the way he did at home.”

Cho’s roommates, in interviews, said they never saw him playing video games, though the same roommates admitted, they had nearly no interaction with him. They reported, that he spent all his time in his room, on his computer. The police reported that when they found Cho’s computer, he had removed the hard drive, and it has never been found. One investigator said, “I would like to get my hands on that computer.”

In a videotape Cho made before the massacre, he called out the names of Eric Harris and Dylan Klebold, the two Columbine killers, who had trained tirelessly on “Doom.” Cho said to others, he “planned to repeat Columbine.” Training on “Counterstrike,” as his heroes had on “Doom,” was essential preparation for that plan.

Although the Virginia Tech Panel Report of August 2007 shamelessly ignored all evidence of Cho’s “Counterstrike” training, it indirectly proved the role of the games, through its description of the massacre. Keep in mind, as you read the following, that Cho, as with many of these killers, had no previous training with any firearms.

The Virginia Tech Report described how in Norris Hall, the main scene of killing, Cho shot and killed a total of 33 people, including himself, and injured 17 others for a total of 48 people. The report said, the time Cho took in Norris Hall was “about 10-12 minutes in total.” During those 10-12 minutes, he walked around, and surveyed five classrooms, and returned to the classrooms “more than once.” At two of the classrooms, Cho was prevented from entering, because students and a teacher held the door closed. At one classroom, “Cho returned and beat on the door, opened it an inch and fired about five shots around the door handle, then gave up trying to
re-enter and left.” Cho also tried to enter another classroom, but was held off by a teacher at the door. From the report’s descriptions, it can be estimated that Cho spent between 50-75% of his time, that is, five to seven minutes, actually shooting. At other times he was either trying to gain access to the classrooms, or reloading his weapons. Most of the killing occurred in one classroom. During those five to seven minutes, he would have shot and killed, or injured, one person, on average, every six to eight seconds. Cho is reported not to have uttered a word during the entire time. The panel report wrote that he went about his killing “methodically.”

According to Grossman, “‘Counterstrike’ is a game which has a complex set of rules that rewards head shots. If you shoot at the enemy, and you hit them in the torso, you might get 15, 20, 30% probability of a kill, but if you shoot at the head, you get a 90% probability of a kill. And so, while you are shooting, you are trained in the game to do double taps to the head, poom-poom, poom-poom, two, three, four, five shots to the head, which is what’s happened in the actual phenomenon…. It’s a very realistic game, in which heads explode, and bodies fall, and people twitch and they die” (*EIR*, May 24, 2002).

The fact that Cho was so driven to kill people he had no personal relation to, and that he spent only a few seconds shooting at each of his targets in such a methodical way, is evidence that he thought he was simply playing just another video game. The people he killed were targets on a computer screen. The game ended with a bullet to his own head.

In a video made just before the killing, Cho, sounding like a deranged terrorist from the Middle East, said, “You had a hundred billion chances and ways to have avoided today, but you decided to spill my blood. You forced me into a corner and gave me only one option. The decision was yours, now you have blood on your hands that will never wash off.”

Q: There was a case in 1996, in Port Arthur, Tasmania, in Australia, where some combat shooter killed 35 people, wounding 22. And the point was made that the killed-to-injured ratio, was 1.6:1, which is exceptionally good. Now, in the case of the Erfurt boy, he killed 16, and wounded, I think, 6, or 9. You have a killed-to-injured ratio of 2.5:1, approximately. Now, can you really acquire that kind of skill, which puts you in a special forces kind of level? Can you get that from computer games alone?

A: Absolutely.


---

**The Case of Robert Steinhäuser, 19 years old, Erfurt, Germany, April 26, 2002**

Robert Steinhäuser entered the Johann Gutenberg school in Erfurt, and announced, “I won’t be writing to-day,” then stormed through the school firing rapid and fatal head shots. The following news account, as with the account of Virginia Tech, provides sufficient evidence of the cause.

“By all accounts, he stalked through the school searching out teachers and killing them with point-blank shots from the Glock to their heads. ‘There were dead bodies lying everywhere in the corridors,’ said Thomas Rethfeldt, 18. In all, the lethal teenager shot 40 rounds and killed almost a quarter of the school’s teaching staff. ‘I thought it was fireworks. Then the door opened, and a masked man came through the door. The teacher was standing there, and he shot her through the head, through her glasses,’ said student Dominik Ulbricht.

“Dubbed the ‘Erfurt Terminator,’ Steinhäuser killed pretty much everyone he aimed at. ‘Many of the victims were killed with headshots; he clearly was a trained marksman,’ said Bernhard Vogel, premier of the state of Thuringia, where Erfurt is located. Only one person was wounded on the leg from a gunshot. The other three wounded were hospitalized from shock.”

Although Steinhäuser was a member of a gun club for a year before the massacre, no gun club provides this type of “skill and will to kill.” Computer games, like “Counterstrike,” do. Upon investigating Steinhäuser’s computer, police found he had spent countless hours playing violent games “Ninja,” “Doom,” and “Counterstrike.” One officer said, “He had clearly become obsessed by these games and by the use of guns.” The teen trained for a year on the games, with intent to carry out the massacre. During the crime, he wore the black clothing and mask of the ninja player from the game.

At the Bill Gates-inspired Columbine High School massacre, which is something worshipped by many of the later killers, Dylan Klebold and Eric Harris chose Hitler’s birthday (April 20) to carry out their premeditated killing.

---


5. Steinhäuser stopped shooting, only upon recognizing the face of a teacher he was about to kill. He pulled off his mask, and the teacher said, “Robert? Pull the trigger. If you shoot me now, then look into my eyes.” The teen, confronted by something that never occurs in a killer simulator, responded, “No, that’s enough for today, Mr. Heise!” The teacher locked him in a room, and shortly afterwards, the gamer shot himself. In the cases where killers have survived, or, “come to” for a moment, it is clear that while committing the murder, they were under a strange, controlling force, not entirely their own. See Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., “The Mask of Nancy Pelosi: The Force of Tragedy,” *EIR*, Nov. 9, 2007.
spree. Not coincidentally, Steinhäuser chose the birthday of, apparently, his favorite Nazi, Rudolph Hess.  

Now basically, the children who’ve never played the violent video game before, when they have to kill somebody, they’re thinking about it. It’s a conscious, thinking effort. But, the children who’ve played the games a lot, and are very good at the games—there is no conscious thought; there is nothing but brain stem activity; it completely bypasses their conscious brain. The video game turns killing into a conditioned reflex.

—David Grossman, interviewed by EIR, May 24, 2002

The Case of Michael Carneal, 14 years old, West Paducah, Ky., Dec. 1, 1997

At Heath High School in Paducah, shortly after morning prayers had ended, 14-year-old Michael Carneal raised a .22 caliber pistol in the midst of a crowd of students, fired eight times, and hit eight of them. He reportedly stopped shooting when he saw the bullet holes in the wall, and his close friend lying dead, covered in blood. When the school principal paralyzed for life. Carneal had no previous training with firearms, although he’d logged countless hours killing, on Microsoft’s “Doom” and “Quake.” His skill, and will to kill, were strong, as evidenced by the difficult theft of the gun out of a locked box in a neighbor’s garage.

Grossman said, “It’s a 14-year-old boy, that’s never fired a pistol before stealing that gun! Now, where did he get that incredible, unprecedented skill? Well, when he committed that crime, he planted his feet—and, according to all witness statements, he never moved his feet throughout the crime. He held the gun up at a two-handed stance. He never fired far to the right. He just put one bullet in every target that popped up on his screen.” Carneal’s sister, present at the scene, reported to police that she did not recognize her brother’s face as he committed the act.

“A stunning case,” said Grossman. “You know, I train the FBI, I train our Green Berets, and nobody in history can find an equivalent achievement of marksmanship skills. He fired eight shots, got eight hits on eight different kids, five of them were head shots; the other three, upper torso. Three of those children, with just one 22 caliber bullet—a 22 caliber bullet is a very small, anemic round—he put one 22 caliber bullet in every child. Three of them were killed, and one of them is paralyzed for life.

“Now, this is the kind of supernatural shooting skills we’re seeing. Part of it is visualization. Understand that a flight simulator can’t teach a kid to fly. A flight simulator doesn’t teach you to fly; it makes the learning curve much, much, faster. So, if you spend endless hours in a flight simulator, when you get in a real plane, you learn much faster. The kid in Paducah had spent countless thousands of hours playing the murder simulator, the point-and-shoot video games. He stole a pistol from a neighbor’s house, and he fired two clips of ammunition—now that was his flight training—he fired two clips of ammo from a real pistol. Prior to that he’d never fired an actual pistol, but he transitioned very, very quickly from the simulator to the reality, because of all of his thousands of rounds.

“Aafterwards, the police asked him, ‘Okay. You shot the person you were mad at. Why did you shoot all these others? Some of ’em were your friends!’” (EIR, March 17, 2000). But Michael Carneal didn’t know.  

Microsoft is running these types of operations. They are not merely profiting monetarily, but they’re involved in orchestrating these actions.

—Lyndon LaRouche, Nov. 12, 2007

The Case of Wired Magazine Writer Clive Thompson, 38 years old, Worcestershire, U.K., Nov. 5, 2007

On Nov. 5, 2007, degenerate writer Clive Thompson supplied clinical evidence to support the charge by Lyndon LaRouche that, the intended end-game of computer games is to drive the player to suicide. In addition, he provided clinical evidence that it is an obvious intention of certain institutions to popularize this cult of death, in the United States and Western Europe. In his enraged screed, titled, “Suicide Makes Sick Sense After Playing Halo 3,” Thompson wrote, “I used to find it hard to fully imagine the mindset of a terrorist. That is, until I played Halo 3 online, where I found myself adopting—with great success—terrorist tactics. In—

7. This case is a distilled study of how the video games “get into a child’s head,” and come to control the mind, and the actions. Carneal, at home, was plagued with psychotic fears. A family member reported that he would jump from a chair to his bed, “believing that there were people beneath the floorboards waiting to cut off his feet with a chainsaw.” These types of thoughts are not, naturally, in a child’s mind, nor do they arise simply from “chemical imbalance”; although bestial “ologists” of today insist the contrary. These thoughts are in the program of the games played.

6. The Nazi associations for these killers are, also, “in the program”; it is implicit, of course, through committing daily mass murder, on a video screen, but, it is also explicit, as in the Nazi-worshipping subculture of firms like id Software.
 inclucding a form of suicide bombing.” The infantile Thompson whines that he “sucks” at Halo 3, played on Bill Gates’s Xbox live, because he has a wife, and kid, and therefore only gets “maybe an hour with Halo on a good day.” But, Thompson proclaims, therefore, he has learned to kill superior opponents by charging them, while being shot, and throwing a grenade at them at the last moment, to kill, “from beyond the grave.” “It was after pulling this maneuver a couple of dozen times that it suddenly hit me: I had, quite unconsciously, adopted the tactics of a suicide bomber—or a kamikaze pilot. It’s not just that I’m willing to sacrifice my life to kill someone else. It’s that I’m exploiting the psychology of asymmetrical warfare.

“For me,” the disgruntled family man continued, “dying will not penalize me in the way it penalizes them, because I have almost no chance of improving my state. I might as well take people down with me. Or to put it another way: The structure of Xbox Live creates a world composed of two classes—haves and have-nots. And, just as in the real world, some of the disgruntled have-nots are all too willing to toss their lives away—just for the satisfaction of momentarily halting the progress of the haves. Since the game instantly resurrects me, I have no real dread of death in Halo 3.” On the subject of suicide, Thompson concludes, that “something about playing the game gave me an ‘aha’ moment that I’d never had before: an ability to feel, in whatever tiny fashion, the strategic logic and emotional calculus behind the act.”

In another Wired magazine article, by the same Thompson, titled “I, Columbine Killer,” he revels in the game “Columbine Massacre RPG,” a game created to simulate the Columbine massacre! He writes, “I barrel into the Columbine High School cafeteria, pull down the fire alarm, and the kids erupt into chaos. Then I pull out my Savage-Springfield 12-gauge pump-action, which I’ve sawed off to 26 inches for maximum lethality. A jock stumbles across my path: With one blast, he lies dead on the floor. ‘This is what we’ve always wanted to do!’ hollers my fellow killer, Dylan Klebold. ‘This is awesome!’”

This game, as can be observed, places the player in the shoes of satanic gamers’ “folk heroes” Eric Harris and Dylan Klebold. What’s the end of the game? Thompson can’t wait to tell you: “As the school shootings wind up, your avatar commits suicide in the library alongside Harris.

The game cuts to real-life photographs of the killers’ dead bodies, taken from security cameras in the schools.”

Conclusion

And then there is the future. Coming soon to American youth is the new game “Persona 3,” produced by Atlus Company for Sony’s “PlayStation 2” console. In this game, your avatar is a high school student, going through the motions of high school life, until each day when “The Dark Hour” occurs and your school becomes “Tartarus,” a monster-infested labyrinthine tower where students join together to battle “the enemy,” called “the shadows.” How does your avatar summon his “persona” in order to fight “the shadows?” By committing suicide! Yes, indeed, each time the student desires more power, he or she must pull a pistol up to their head, called an “evoker,” and shoot themselves.

In the Middle East, radical terrorist recruits are gained through perversions of the Islamic religion. In the United States and Western Europe, the perverted religion of the terrorist, is the video game. The level of production, promotion, and mass distribution of these games, by people like Knight Commander of the Order of the British Empire Bill Gates, Rupert “I, by dingo, like it bloody” Murdoch, Sony Company, Wired magazine, and others, in the face of the obvious effects of such games, proves clearly that the satanic effect is the intent. What is the end of game-playing today? To shoot yourself? To shoot your friend? Or, to shoot your classmates, and then, yourself? Is that why you play? If the people of the United States, led by the 16-to-25-year-old age bracket, do not destroy MySpace, Facebook, and these computer games, the United States cannot survive.

Only a zombified terror must be excited about that.

A significant part of the information on Seung Hui Cho presented above was drawn from unpublished reports by EIR’s Donald Phau.

8. This author chose not to provide a full case study for Columbine, since the fact that “Doom” was a key cause of that atrocity is well known, although still denied. Only an idiot, or a liar, would attribute the cause of any of these school atrocities to, “the kids were picked on at school.” This is a new violence, which corresponds directly to the distribution of specifically designed games, to our youth. In the case of Harris and Klebold, they took advantage of a modifying feature, provided by Gates’s Microsoft, to design the killer game to fit their high school layout! In “God mode,” where the shooters could “never die,” the two were able to train endlessly, on targets appearing in the settings of their own school. To one of these levels, Harris applied the name, “KILL’EMAAAAALLLLL!”

9. An older game of the British Empire. These perversions, never indigenously generated, are the product, still today, of the commitment and expertise of certain British imperialists. A few of these fops fell so in love with their games, they, themselves, “went native.”
Kepler and Leibniz: Giving The Astronomer a Hand

It is said that, when Johannes Kepler first saw John Napier’s table of logarithms, he wept tears of joy. Kepler spent, literally, years on simple, repetitive calculations, and he even hired a young man for the sole purpose of helping him with calculations. Despite this enormous burden of logistics, Kepler made those crucial breakthroughs upon which all modern science is based. Those are the discoveries of, first, Universal Gravitation, and second, the harmonic ordering of Universal Gravitation throughout the Solar System.

Among his unpublished works, two letters were found, that had been exchanged between Kepler and a man named Wilhelm Schickard. Schickard was a close friend of Kepler’s at Tübingin University, and both were students of Michael Maestlin. The letters represent a discussion the two had on the construction of a machine that could perform the four routine operations of arithmetic, even with very large numbers. It used a series of sliding windows, buttons, and geared vertical cylinders. It can be surmised that, given Kepler’s very clear insight into the importance of scientific discovery, and the enormous impediment created by long series of routine calculations, he must have been very interested in constructing such a machine. A working version was never located.

Blaise Pascal made a calculating machine some time later. Pascal’s Pascaline was built on similar principles to Kepler’s, but was not as advanced, as it was only designed to add and subtract, and could multiply with repeated additions. He built the machine when he was 18, with the immediate intent of aiding his father in financial arithmetic. It apparently cost more effort to construct than the labor savings involved in its use, but all future calculating machines used its core principles.

Gottfried Leibniz, the man who discovered the Calculus and launched the science of physical economy, designed his own calculating device, which incorporated Pascal’s addition wheels, but added a crucial third row in order to perform multiplication and division. In Leibniz’s machine, two sets of wheels performed the additions and multiplications, and they were set at right angles to the set of wheels that displayed the numbers.

In his description of this procedure, Leibniz points out that, by using his machine, scientists will never incur an error in calculation, and huge numbers are just as easy to use as small numbers. What are the uses of this machine? Leibniz says, in conclusion:

“[T]he astronomers surely will not have to continue to exercise the patience which is required for computation. It is this that deters them from computing or correcting tables, from the construction of Ephemerides, from working on hypotheses, and from discussions of observations with each other. For it is unworthy of excellent men to lose hours like slaves in the labor of calculation, which could be safely relegated to anyone else if the machine were used.”

Leibniz's Calculating Machine

Gottfried Leibniz, the man who discovered the Calculus, and launched the science of physical economy, designed a device for performing the four basic arithmetic operations, even with huge numbers, and incurring no errors. Here is how Leibniz's calculating machine works:

The first row of wheels displays the digits of the resulting product—the ones, the tens, the hundreds, etc.—and each wheel has ten gear-pins. The second row is organized like the first, but the wheels only have as many pins as that wheel represents. For example, if this number is 365, then the first wheel has five pins, the second has six pins, and the third has three pins. These wheels also have a smaller wheel superimposed upon them, for the multiplication. The third row represents the number being multiplied by the second row, but the wheels are of various sizes, with diameters making a proportion with the smaller wheels of the second row, which is equal to the multiplication factor. For example, if we are multiplying 124 by 365, the second row is organized as stated above, but the smaller wheels are connected by either belts or chains to the wheels in the third row. The wheel representing the number 4 is four times the diameter of the small circle on the 5 wheel; that of the 2 wheel is twice the diameter of the small circle on the 6 wheel; and the 1 wheel is the same diameter of the small circle on the 3 wheel. All the wheels of the second row are connected, so they rotate at the same speed together. Finally, the wheels in the first row are set at right angles to the wheels in the second row, so that the pins catch on each other, like gears.

To perform the multiplication, first rotate the 4 wheel once, which rotates all the wheels of 365 four times. This rotation advances the first row to represent 365 times 4, or 1,460. Now, the first row is slid to the right, so that the 5 in the second row is above the tens digit in the first row. Now, the 2 wheel is rotated, rotating the 365 wheels twice, which rotate the first set of wheels (not including the ones digit wheel), effectively adding 7,300 to 1,460, and the first row then displays 8,760. Last, the two rows are slid over again, and the 1 wheel is rotated. This adds 36,500 to 8,760, resulting in 45,260. All of the motions, after the initial set up, can then be automated by a simple hand crank, or a steam powered engine.

—Peter Martinson

Leibniz clearly wanted everybody to know how his machine worked, so that knowledge could be spread as far as possible. He even tried to convince the Russian Czar, Peter the Great, to give one of his calculators to the Emperor of China. He did not want the mechanical calculating machine to be a hidden black box that kept the knowledge of the operations from the operator, as Paolo Sarpi and Bill Gates have done. He wanted science to be open to everybody. This ideal of Leibniz made him hated by the agents of the new Venetian Party seated in London, who deployed the hoax of the “Wicked Witch of the West,” Isaac Newton, against the great German scientist.
Charles Babbage: Saving English Science From the British Empire

There was virtually no advance in mechanical computing technology between the death of Leibniz in 1716, and the work of Charles Babbage (1791-1871), in the early 19th Century. Babbage, working at Cambridge, recognized, along with his collaborator, England’s leading astronomer John Herschel, that their country had become the intellectual backwater of Europe, and was lagging disastrously behind the growing economic and industrial power of the new U.S.A. In 1812, they attacked this problem, by adopting Leibniz as their champion, and they published an attack, titled The Principles of Pure Deism in Opposition to the Dotage of the University, referring to the political decision of the Royal Society to push Newton’s not-Calculus over Leibniz’s Calculus. This attack prompted the creation of the Cambridge Analytical Society.2

In the aftermath of Carl F. Gauss’s discovery of the orbit of Ceres, Babbage saw the immediate need to improve the accuracy and error reduction in astronomical observational data, which had become a limiting factor in further breakthroughs. In 1823, he convinced the British government to grant him the money to build a machine capable of improving the astronomical tables used by maritime navigators for determining longitude. His Difference Engine was able to take a small number of manually performed calculations, and then mechanically generate a fully completed nautical almanac, all based on the initial principles of Leibniz’s original calculating engine. The construction of the machine was slow, and ran into many problems, which Babbage blamed on the lack of precision in machine-tool design in England.

Before completing his Difference Engine, Babbage moved on to his more advanced Analytical Engine, which would be able to solve virtually any set of algebraic relationships. He was inspired by the use of punch-card programming of mechanical looms in France, designed by Joseph Marie Jacquard, and decided to also use punch cards for his engine. He used two sets of cards:

“[T]he first to direct the nature of the operations to be performed—these are called operation cards; the other to direct the particular variables on which those cards are required to operate—these latter are called variable cards.

“Every set of cards made for any formula will at any future time, recalculate that formula with whatever constants may be required.

“Thus the Analytical Engine will possess a library of its own. Every set of cards once made will at any future time reproduce the calculations for which it was first arranged.”3

This machine was also never completed. Babbage had designed a yet more efficient machine, for which he believed “…it will take less time to construct it altogether than it would have taken to complete the Analytical Machine from the stage in which I left it.”4 Lyndon LaRouche has noted that the principles established by Leibniz, then by Babbage, are the core of all modern digital computers.5 The only advances made in this domain were in the types of materials and the technology used in manufacturing. Besides that, no principled advance has been made in digital computing. Of course, that does not include the development of Analog Computers, which are more analogous to the designs of machine tools, than digital systems.

The Typical American Scientist: Vannevar Bush

“Two centuries ago,” wrote Vannevar Bush, “Leibniz invented a calculating machine which embodied most of the essential features of recent keyboard devices, but it could not then come into use. The economics of the situation were

5. LaRouche, op cit.
against it: the labor involved in constructing it, before the days of mass production, exceeded the labor to be saved by its use, since all it could accomplish could be duplicated by sufficient use of pencil and paper. Moreover, it would have been subject to frequent breakdown, so that it could not have been depended upon; for at that time and long after, complexity and unreliability were synonymous.

"Babbage, even with remarkably generous support for his time, could not produce his great arithmetical machine. His idea was sound enough, but construction and maintenance costs were then too heavy. Had a Pharaoh been given detailed and explicit designs of an automobile, and had he understood them completely, it would have taxed the resources of his kingdom to have fashioned the thousands of parts for a single car, and that car would have broken down on the first trip to Giza.

"Machines with interchangeable parts can now be constructed with great economy of effort. In spite of much complexity, they perform reliably. Witness the humble typewriter, or the movie camera, or the automobile. Electrical contacts have ceased to stick when thoroughly understood. Note the automatic telephone exchange, which has hundreds of thousands of such contacts, and yet is reliable. A spider web of metal, sealed in a thin glass container, a wire heated to brilliant glow, in short, the thermionic tube of radio sets, is made by the hundred million, tossed about in packages, plugged into sockets—and it works! Its gossamer parts, the precise location and alignment involved in its construction, would have occupied a master craftsman of the guild for months; now it is built for thirty cents. The world has arrived at an age of cheap complex devices of great reliability; and something is bound to come of it."

Franklin Delano Roosevelt understood the necessity of scientific advancement for national security. During World War II, the involvement of science in the war effort was not only required in the development of new, more powerful, and longer range weaponry, but also in aiming the new ordnance. Accurate trajectory charts for the various ballistic weapons, including underwater weaponry, were in high demand, but they required astronomical scales of calculation to produce.

Vannevar (sounds like “achiever”) Bush (1890-1974) had already been concerned about producing number crunchers, in the tradition of Leibniz and Babbage. Just before the war broke out, the Army Ordnance Department had commissioned Bush to apply his machine shop at MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) to the calculations of ballistics trajectories. He had been working on improving his Differential Analyzer since 1931, and was assembling a new, more powerful version. This machine was an advance over both Leibniz’s and Babbage’s, in that, instead of calculating using only discrete steps of integers, it could perform continuous calculations. This analog computer, which performed calculations by physically acting out the principles, opened up the prospect of applying mechanical calculation to problems involving the integral calculus.

The Differential Analyzer used principles similar to Leibniz’s engine, but, instead of displaying a set of digits representing the solution to the problem, it could be set up to draw a smooth curve on a drawing board, and it could even take as input a curve traced on a piece of paper by a person. To accomplish this, he replaced Leibniz’s orthogonal gears with smooth disks, one rotating to turn the other. The greatest source of error, initially, was transmitting the small, precise rotations through yards of machinery to the output table. This technical problem was solved by the machine-tool designers at Baltimore’s Bethlehem Steel, who designed the Torque Amplifier, which amplified the smallest, weakest ro-

7. For a pedagogical example of this, see Sky Shields’s construction of the catenary curve, in the December issue of Dynamis, http://wlym.com/~seattle/dynamis.
Bush built his first machine, called the Profile Tracer, to obtain his doctorate in engineering. This machine was slung between two bicycle tires and pushed like a lawnmower. As it moved, a pen inside would continuously draw the changing elevation of the land onto a rotating drum of paper, producing a virtual photograph of the cross section of the land traversed. The mechanism inside the Profile Tracer formed the basis for his next machine, made purely for calculation—the Product Integraph. This device, built with his student Herbert Stewart, was the key to performing integral calculus using an array of rotating wheels. Stewart’s plan had been to observe the output at specific time intervals, but Bush recommended attaching a pen to it, to draw a smooth curve that represented the integral itself. The Differential Analyzer used more than a dozen of these Product Integraphs, in a structure half the size of Bush’s laboratory. By the end of the war, it was the most important calculating machine in the United States, as it was the fastest and most accurate producer of trajectory tables.

The development of the principles governing the functioning of analog computers lost all funding after the death of Roosevelt. At that point, the new program of Cybernetics, driven by London through Columbia University, had virtually taken over. Norbert Wiener, Bush’s former student, had been installed as the head of MIT’s Research Laboratory for Electronics (RLE), and all research was now directed towards development of the digital computer. In his new recommendations for development of the computer, he specified:

“That the central adding and multiplying apparatus of the computing machine should be numerical, as in an ordinary adding machine, rather than on a basis of measurement, as in the Bush differential analyzer.”

Today, Bush’s Differential Analyzer sits in a museum case in the basement of MIT, while the digital computer, operating with no advance over Babbage’s Difference Engine, has become the false symbol of “technological advance.” Each somewhat faster component is advertised as a great breakthrough, although the principles remain the same.

To sharpen the point about computing machines, it should be sufficient here to state, once again, the difference between Man, on the one side, and both animals and computers on the other. The great hoax, is the promotion of the idea that Man can be studied as either a social animal, or an advanced computer. As any of the scientists just described knew, since humans are not computers, computers cannot perform science. Inverting this, any operation that can be performed by a machine, cannot be attributed to a human trait. Mathematical calculations are purely logical deductive procedures, which humans can, of course, do. But, human scientific discovery is not an epiphenomenon of calculations. For example, Carl Gauss was known for his titanic calculating abilities, yet his work was not an outgrowth of his calculations. He knew that calculations were merely a useful, necessary, albeit mechanical tool, for precisely locating those paradoxes which lie between measurements taken from various senses.

The human mind was not modeled on the design for the digital computer, therefore the mind cannot be assumed to follow the rules of those machines. But, Lyndon LaRouche has demonstrated that true economic growth must proceed from an increasing density of discoveries, per person. There are principles bounding the creative abilities of the human mind, and they are knowable principles. But, they are not found by looking at how computers or animals work. So, get your sticky hands off that computer keyboard or joystick, and go use your creativity! For starters, begin with Kepler’s discovery of Universal Gravitation, followed by his discovery of the harmonic ordering of the whole Solar System, at http://www.wlym.com/~animations. And get political—it’s more fun being creative during a renaissance, than during a dark age.

8. Wiener, who got his start when Vannevar Bush appointed him to head up the anti-aircraft ordnance department, faced the problem of targeting a German Luftwaffe dive bomber, which moved just as fast as the bullets used to shoot it down. He made some unique innovations, including his concept of feedback loops, in modeling the targeting of a weapon after the mind’s control over the human body. He then went off the deep end, when he started modeling the mind after weaponry control systems.

Pennsylvania Breakthrough
On LaRouche’s ‘Firewall’ Bill

A packed session in the Pennsylvania legislature on Nov. 29 heard from state financial officials and city council members, EIR researchers, one of Pennsylvania’s U.S. Congressmen, and a state judge—as city governments all over the Commonwealth came out for the resolution H.R. 418 of State Rep. Harold James, and for what that resolution supports: Congressional action on Lyndon LaRouche’s Homeowners and Bank Protection Act of 2007 (HBPA). At the close of the Nov. 29 hearing of the Pennsylvania House Intergovernmental Affairs Committee, its chairman, Rep. Curtis Thomas, said, “We will move this resolution.”

In his own testimony leading off the hearing, Representative James said, “The Homeowners and Banks Protection Act called for in H.R. 418, is intended to stop the bailout of speculators, hedge funds, and inflated financial securities, while at the same time keeping the doors open of the state and Federal chartered banks, especially our local community banks, as real estate values are reduced to reasonable levels. We need a ‘firewall’ of Federal protection for these banks, as suggested by economist Lyndon LaRouche.”

He called on Pennsylvanians to take a leadership role. “Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee,” he said, “it is time for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and our Congressional delegation to take national leadership, in the Spirit of 1776. Pennsylvania should offer the nation a bipartisan solution to the home foreclosure and banking crisis now upon us, a crisis which threatens to set off an economic collapse worse than the Great Depression of the 1930s.” (See below for his full speech.)

Some 12 Pennsylvania cities—including its metropolises of Philadelphia and Pittsburgh—and the biggest city in neighboring New Jersey, all voted for the HBPA in public resolutions during the last two weeks in November. Philadelphia’s City Council unanimously endorsed H.R. 418 on the very morning of the Harrisburg hearing (see box). Representative James has tremendous momentum in his campaign to get one or more of Pennsylvania’s Congressmen to introduce the crucial measure against mass foreclosures and bank failures, before the banking crisis makes it too late.

LaRouche had warned back in August, that if the HBPA were not acted on by October, the wreckage of the U.S. economy by the financial crash, could become unstoppable.

U.S. Rep. Paul Kanjorski, Democrat of Pennsylvania’s 11th District, did not endorse the HBPA in his testimony, but said, “I am encouraged by your efforts to help ensure that all of the lawmakers from Pennsylvania in the U.S. Congress understand the interest of the Pennsylvania legislature, about the need to help affected American families to remain in their homes and to protect the U.S. economy.”

Secretary of the Pennsylvania Department of Banking Steve Kaplan outlined the foreclosure crisis in the state, while offering only “band-aid” solutions, such as phone hotlines.

Next week’s EIR will report fully on the deliberations in Harrisburg. Here are some additional highlights:

EIR Economics Editor Paul Gallagher stressed that we are not facing a mortgage crisis, but, rather, the collapse of the global financial system. Gallagher’s testimony documented the hundreds of billions of dollars which have already had to be written off by the banks, the hundreds of billions of dollars which have already been pumped into the system by the Federal Reserve and the European Central Bank to no avail, and the horror of what is in store for homeowners and banks unless the HBPA is immediately implemented.

Economist Richard Freeman, also from EIR, testified on the constitutional principle of the General Welfare and identified the actions taken by Franklin D. Roosevelt as the model for what is being proposed today in LaRouche’s HBPA.

Brian Hudson, executive director of the Pennsylvania
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State Rep. Harold James testifies before a committee of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives on Nov. 29, on behalf of state resolution HR 418, calling for the U.S. Congress to enact the Homeowners and Bank Protection Act.

Housing and Finance Agency, claimed that the crisis can be managed. However, when he was asked how many families the state bond program would be able to help avoid foreclosure, he estimated 250 to 300 families. One of the legislators then asked him, “Didn’t you just say there are 12,000 to 15,000 delinquent mortgages?”

Harrisburg City Councilwoman Linda Thompson stated her complete support for the HBPA. She works with a group called LOVESHIP, which counsels those whose mortgages have been foreclosed. She reported that over the last three months, the number of Harrisburg households requiring foreclosure counselling has quadrupled.

The final witness was Judge Jeffrey Sprecher of the Berks County Court of Common Pleas, who had ordered a moratorium on foreclosures in a case which had been brought before him, involving 800 families in central Pennsylvania. He stated that he supports the HBPA, “100%,” and made the point that foreclosures benefit no one—not the homeowners, not the banks—and are a huge cost to the taxpayers.

Testimony by Rep. Harold James

Pennsylvania State Rep. Harold James delivered the following remarks to the Nov. 29 public hearing of the State House Intergovernmental Affairs Committee on H.R. 418, in Harrisburg, the state capital.

Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, it is time for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and our Congressional delegation to take national leadership, in the Spirit of 1776. Pennsylvania should offer the nation a bipartisan solution to the home foreclosure and banking crisis now upon us, a crisis which threatens to set off an economic collapse worse than the Great Depression of the 1930s.

We are witnessing the collapse of a financial bubble of tens of trillions of dollars of mortgages, mortgage-backed securities, and financial derivatives, all based on home prices that were artificially inflated by Federal Reserve policies over the past several years. We are looking at hundreds of thousands of job cuts in financial services, construction, home improvement, and other related sectors. The collapse of consumer spending caused by layoffs and declining home values, as economic growth falls to zero and below, would wipe out 3 million jobs, according to the Washington-based Economic Policy Institute. The tax revenues of state and local governments would collapse accordingly.

This situation could become worse than the Great Depression, since the current economic collapse is combined with huge price increases for food, energy, and other commodities. The U.S. dollar is falling to record low levels, partly because the Federal Reserve is trying to bail out the big banks and mortgage lenders, by injecting hundreds of billions of dollars into the banking system, backed by almost worthless securities. This threatens to collapse the world monetary system.

The Homeowners and Bank Protection Act called for in H.R. 418, is intended to stop the bailout of speculators, hedge funds, and inflated financial securities, while at the same time keeping the doors open of the state and Federal chartered banks, especially our local community banks, as real estate values are reduced to reasonable levels. We need a “firewall” of Federal protection for these banks, as suggested by economist Lyndon LaRouche, to prevent a panic “run on the banks,” like we saw in England in September, when depositors lined up for four days to withdraw their savings out of Northern Rock Bank, or on Aug. 16, 2007, when panicked depositors flooded the offices of Countrywide Bank in the Los Angeles area. The banking crisis will get much worse, as indicated by the billions of dollars of losses already reported by banking giants like Merrill Lynch and Citicorp, the multi-billion-dollar losses of mortgage giants Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and the shocking projection by Goldman Sachs of $400 billion of losses to the banking system from subprime exposure alone.

We need a second “firewall” to protect homeowners and renters from being evicted by the millions as a result of this emergency crisis. In 1934, the U.S. Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of a moratorium on foreclosures, as enacted at that time by the state of Minnesota and many other states and municipalities, after the inauguration of Franklin Roosevelt. The policy of a foreclosure moratorium in times of economic emergency, has a long history of bipartisan support in Pennsylvania, especially since 1983, when a severe economic recession led to foreclosure moratoria in Allegheny, Philadelphia, and other counties, and the adoption of the Foreclosure Prevention Act 91 by the state legislature. A national morato-
Rium is needed today, because the crisis of home foreclosures is too big to handle on a state-by-state, or case-by-case basis.

We need to freeze the foreclosure process, also because of the widespread fraudulent practices that have come to light. In Pennsylvania, the Poconos area is notorious for what Congressman Paul Kanjorski has called “abusive and deceptive mortgage lending practices.” Just ten days ago, a mortgage broker in the City of Erie pleaded guilty to felony charges, and now faces a maximum 25-year prison sentence. The fraud also extends far beyond the subprime sector. A class action suit by over 800 mostly middle class homeowners in Lancaster, Berks, and other central Pennsylvania counties, charges 24 major banks and mortgage lenders with complicity in a massive fraudulent scheme, including Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Chase Home Mortgage, Citicorp Home Mortgage, Countrywide, SunTrust, Sovereign Bank, Wachovia Mortgage Corporation, and Washington Mutual Home Loans.

Mr. Chairman, the Pennsylvania Congressional delegation has an overwhelming mandate for action in this crisis. Municipalities all over this Commonwealth have expressed their support for H.R. 418, and agree with me and the 40 bi-partisan co-sponsors of this resolution, that Congress should take emergency action and introduce the Homeowners and Bank Protection Act. Resolutions of support for H.R. 418 have been adopted by the City or Borough Councils of New Castle, Johnstown, Erie, Ellwood City, Monessen, West Mifflin, Berwick, Canonsburg, and Sunbury. The Pittsburgh City Council unanimously passed a resolution of support for H.R. 418 two days ago, which reports that out of 38,333 foreclosures in Pennsylvania in 2006, 12,204 of them occurred in the Pittsburgh area.

Local elected officials have expressed personal support as well, such as Council members from the cities of Washington and Lancaster. I also have a letter of support endorsed by three members of the City Council of Bethlehem, including the Council president. Many other Councils that could not consider a resolution in time for this hearing for procedural reasons, have support resolutions in the works. The city clerk of Scranton wrote to me on behalf their Council: “It is with great pleasure that Scranton City Council offers their full support for House Bill 418. It is their hope that our Legislators will support this and take emergency action to protect homeowners and banks by enacting a Homeowners and Banks Protection Act.”

I am also very happy to announce, that the Philadelphia City Council just passed a resolution of support for H.R. 418 and the Homeowners and Bank Protection Act this morning. The resolution was introduced by City Council members W. Wilson Goode, Jr. and Donna Reed Miller, and was adopted unanimously.

The Newark, New Jersey City Council passed a resolution supporting similar legislation two days ago, which means that the largest city in New Jersey is committed to organizing support from that state’s Congressional delegation. Resolutions similar to H.R. 418 are already pending in other states, like Illinois and Michigan, and have been adopted in other cities, like Gary, Indiana; Jackson, Mississippi; and Pomona, California. If Pennsylvania takes the lead in Congress, I believe that the rest of the nation is ready to follow.

Philadelphia City Council President Backs HR 418

Near the close of the hearing, Representative James announced his receipt of the following letter of support from Philadelphia City Council President Anna C. Verna, and entered it into the record.

Dear Representative James:

I write to voice my strong support for your bi-partisan resolution, HR 418, which I understand is under consideration today. This calls on the United States Congress to take emergency action to protect homeowners and banks currently threatened by the foreclosure crisis.

The mortgage crisis in America, this Commonwealth, and the City of Philadelphia is rapidly accelerating. Many mortgages were provided to unsuspecting borrowers that they could not afford to pay over the long haul. Mortgage lenders made millions of dollars from these predatory loans. Some of the lenders have since gone out of business from the level of delinquencies in the mortgages they made, leaving borrowers with no recourse.

The effects of foreclosing on thousands of homes in Philadelphia will be devastating to the unsuspecting borrowers who will lose their homes. It will further seriously impede the City’s efforts to rebuild its neighborhoods. Foreclosures will create thousands of vacant structures, blighting neighborhoods across the city, creating homeless families, and inflicting serious damage on our real estate market.

This is a national crisis, but we at the local level are bearing the brunt of the problem. I fully support HR 418. The federal government should do its part to protect the hardworking homeowners in Philadelphia, and elsewhere, from the results of being provided loans they can no longer pay. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Anna C. Verna
HBPA or Bust

With each passing week, the breakdown of the U.S. banking system is becoming more visible.

The FDIC released its Quarterly Banking Profile for the third quarter the last week of November, and its tone was uncharacteristically gloomy, the normally perky assessments of financial health replaced by a slew of statistics which show that the situation is rapidly deteriorating. The report still reflects a fantasy-world, but reality is creeping in around the edges.

The big banking deal of the week was the $7.5 billion injection of cash into bankrupt Citigroup by the Abu Dhabi Investment Authority, which itself is awash in petrodollars, thanks to the high price of oil. This is a replay of the way the Saudis came to the rescue of what was then Citicorp in 1990, after the bankrupt bank had been taken over by the Fed; as today, the bank badly needed capital.

To be fair to Citigroup, it is far from alone in the bankrupt category—the names J.P. Morgan Chase and Bank of America spring immediately to mind. But to understand the nature of the crisis, one has to switch focus from the trees to the forest, from individual institutions to the system as a whole.

Trillions of dollars of nominal value of financial assets have vaporized in recent months, with more disappearing every day, a deflation of historic proportions which is eating through the balance sheets of financial institutions and speculators all over the world. The values themselves may never have been real, but they did exist as accounting entries, as assets and liabilities and off-balance-sheet items, where they were treated as if they were real. It is that perception of value which is now vaporizing, at an accelerated rate.

This wave of losses is headed inexorably toward the balance sheets of the world’s banks, and the bankers know it. The turmoil we’ve seen so far, the firing of chief executives and other top officers at some of the biggest banks, the losses which grow by billions between the time they are projected and the time they are admitted, the losses on financial instruments which were once considered safe, are all signs of the shock waves of collapse that are circling the globe.

The Bank for International Settlements, in its latest derivatives survey, reported a record $613 trillion in notional value of derivatives outstanding in the over-the-counter markets and derivatives exchanges as of June 30, 2007, an increase of $159 trillion, or 35%, in 12 months. Of particular interest was the $51 trillion in credit derivatives, which have increased more than tenfold since 2004. These numbers, while understated from the likely quadrillions, are so astronomical already, that further quantification is irrelevant. Once you’re gone, you’re gone.

This growth in derivatives continued in the third quarter. The FDIC reported a staggering $173 trillion in derivatives at U.S. commercial banks as of Sept. 30, a figure which is 16 times the $10.8 trillion in total assets of the commercial banks, 27 times their $6 trillion in loans outstanding, and 157 times their $1 trillion in equity capital. A loss equivalent to just 0.63% of that derivatives total would be enough to wipe out all the equity in these banks.

For the Big Three banks, the situation is even more precarious. As of Sept. 30, J.P. Morgan Chase had $84 trillion in derivatives, Citigroup $38 trillion, and Bank of America $34 trillion. These numbers make their CDO, SIV, and subprime exposures look tiny by comparison, even though those “little” numbers are big enough to blow the banks out of the water. A loss equivalent to just 0.14% of its derivatives portfolio would be sufficient to wipe out every last dollar of Morgan Chase’s equity, while at Citigroup it would take 0.33%, and at Bank of America 0.40%.

The point is that losses of this magnitude, and much greater, have already occurred. We cannot accurately quantify these losses, as the statistical reports tend to follow well after the fact, and are usually sanitized when they are released. We can say with certainty that the losses are in the trillions of dollars, more than enough to wipe out the reported equity in the system, and that they are growing at an accelerating pace. The system has already blown, and the bookkeeping admissions are now under way.

The central banks and the plunge protection apparatus are working overtime to try to minimize the damage, but are only pouring money down a rat hole. The big banks are virtual zombies at this point, and will all have to be reorganized, and the casino closed.

What is required now, immediately, is to put up the firewalls against further damage, to stop foreclosures and preserve essential banking functions. It is past time for the Homeowners and Bank Protection Act.
The mass “removal” of Mexicans from the United States went into high gear in 2007. The total number deported and otherwise expelled will probably total over a half million for the calendar year, with some Mexican government advisors reporting that as many as 1 million adults will be shipped back across the border in 2007, leaving 100,000 abandoned children behind—many of whom are U.S. citizens who were born in this country. There are currently some 13 million Mexicans residing in the United States, about half of them illegally.

The mass expulsions and attendant round-ups, raids, and media-induced xenophobia, are a massive human, economic, and social catastrophe in the making—courtesy of the “pro-family” Bush Administration, and the predatory financial interests behind it.

These hundreds of thousands of Mexicans are being deported back to a country they fled as economic refugees, because they were unable to scratch out a living for themselves and their families under the policies of globalization and free trade which were imposed on Mexico and which shattered that economy. They are being hunted, herded, and shipped back, like so much human cattle, to a country devastated by the lack of water projects and other basic infrastructure—as seen in the recent massive floods in the southern state of Tabasco—a country where the free-trade policies of the last 25 years have created a 50% real unemployment rate, a 30% plunge in real per-capita consumption, and plummeting food production, which threatens the very survival and sovereignty of the nation.

As Lyndon LaRouche put it in a recent discussion with members of his youth movement in California:

“The population [of Mexico] has grown somewhat, but it’s extremely poor. They’re pushed across the border, to come up here and work at virtually slave labor! As expendable human beings! And the minute they don’t need their labor, you find out what expendable is…. Now, you have this great problem, here in the United States. The racists are starting to move on this thing—big. And it will tend to get bigger…. Why do these people come here? They had no other place to go! They were driven here—like cattle! To work as virtual slave labor in the United States. Sixteen people sleeping in a room, or something, that kind of stuff; or working on a slave job to try to squeeze some pennies to send back across the border.”

This is hardly LaRouche’s first warning about the looming catastrophe.

In September 2007, this magazine reported that the exploding U.S. mortgage crisis was leading to a sharp drop in remittances sent home by Mexican workers in the United States—about 20% of whom are employed in construction. EIR documented the wave of layoffs already hitting this layer, and warned that “the worst is yet to come.”

Seven years earlier, in December 2000, LaRouche had written that the coming “demise of the importer of last resort,” the speculatively inflated U.S. economy, would lead to a dramatic reversal of the export of goods and labor force to the United States, by desperate Third World nations.

Three decades ago, LaRouche had already exposed these fascist policies, in a 1976 half-hour national television address, in which he warned of the existence of the genocidal “Paddock Plan,” in which the Kissinger-allied agronomist and government advisor William Paddock was calling for Mexico’s population to be cut in half by the end of the century, and to “close the borders and let them scream.”

That is exactly what is now happening: The Paddock Plan has become reality. The United States is implementing a policy of genocide toward the nation of Mexico, and against Mexicans residing in the United States.

But worse than what we are doing to millions of Mexicans, is what we Americans are doing to ourselves: We are al-
lowing ourselves to become as beasts, in our treatment of others in this fashion. This is not the “beacon of hope and temple of liberty for all mankind” of which the Marquis de Lafayette so eloquently spoke.

An Exemplary Relationship

There is, of course, a better way—but it certainly has not been found or promoted by any of the Democratic or Republican Presidential candidates, to date.

For that, they will have to turn back to the words of U.S. President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, who on April 21, 1943 stated in a visit to Monterrey, Mexico:

“Mexican farm workers, brought to the United States in accordance with an agreement between our two governments, the terms of which are fully consonant with the social objectives we cherish together, are contributing their skill and their toil to the production of vitally needed food. . . . It is time that every citizen in every one of the American republics recognizes that the Good Neighbor policy means that harm to one republic, means harm to every republic.”

And today’s political leaders will have to turn to LaRouche, who for three decades has been promoting U.S.-Mexican relations based on cooperation around great infrastructure development projects, including large-scale water, rail, and energy projects (see, for example, “Vermadsky and the Biogeochemical Development of the Great American Desert,” EIR, May 9, 2003). Exemplary are Mexico’s PLHINO (Plan Hidráulico del Noroeste, or Northwest Hydraulic Plan) and PLHIGON (Plan Hidráulico del Golfo Norte, or Northern Gulf Hydraulic Plan), and the giant NAWAPA (North American Water and Power Alliance), a plan which provides for the transference of vast quantities of fresh water from Alaska and Canada’s Arctic rivers, down through the western part of the United States and into northern Mexico.

All three projects were initially proposed and designed from an engineering standpoint back in the 1960s, but they were placed on the back burner (at best) during the last 40 years of economic policy insanity which has swept most of the planet.

All three projects, however, were put back on the front burner at a conference held on Nov. 9, 2007 in the state of Sonora, Mexico, where LaRouche’s analysis of the global financial crisis and its solution through a new world system based on projects such as these, was at the center of the deliberations. The gathering brought together some 600 attendees, including a number of federal senators, the state’s governor, and local officials, farmers, and engineers, reflecting the determination to fight which is now sweeping Mexico (see article below).

The composite picture which emerges, which we detail below, is of an integrated NAWAPA-PLHINO-PLHIGON project, which we here dub “NAWAPA-Plus,” which will be built in stages over a 30-year period, and which will produce stunning results such as:

• Water: It will increase Mexico’s freshwater withdrawals by nearly 70%, from today’s 77 cubic kilometers (km$^3$) per year, to 140 km$^3$, for use in industry, households, and agriculture.

• Irrigated Land: It will add about 5 million hectares of new irrigated land to the 6.5 million that currently exist in Mexico—a 75% increase.

• Food: It will increase food production dramatically: Grains, for example, will eventually rise by as much as 20 million tons per year, nearly doubling today’s production of 25 million tons. This will vastly improve the nutrition of the existing population of 108 million, help feed Mexicans born in the coming years, and also those who will be returning from the United States.

• Employment: Perhaps a million Mexicans will be employed directly in the construction of the PLHINO and PLHIGON projects at their height—including dams, tunnels, canals, and pumping stations. Millions of additional jobs will be created to produce the cement, steel, and machinery for these projects, and to farm the 5 million hectares of newly irrigated land.

• High technology: Beyond the significant increase in hydroelectric power from the PLHINO and PLHIGON projects, nuclear energy and nuclear-powered desalination plants are an essential feature of NAWAPA-Plus. The development of this technology, along with magnetic levitation for the high-speed rail lines that will parallel the water routes from the
Bering Strait down to the Darien Gap, will provide the science driver for the entire region.

As LaRouche put it, in his recent talk with the LaRouche Youth Movement (LYM): “Why not give people in Mexico the chance to live, by supporting a project for developing the PLHINO, which is an exemplary project? If we had not destroyed the ability of Mexico to develop, in 1982, this problem wouldn’t exist. So now we have to go to ground zero and say, ‘All right, they have a right to live there. They have a right to a project where they can grow their own food.’ And we will find our soul back, in the United States, if we do it.”

The PLHINO

Mexico has too much water … and also too little. The Southeast is virtually floating on water, and the North and Center of the country are bone dry. That is an oversimplification, of course, but it makes the essential point. So Mexico may have an average rainfall of 773 millimeters per year (which compares favorably with the 742 mm for the U.S.), and an average 4,573 cubic meters (m³) per capita per year of available water. But human beings don’t live in mathematical averages; they live in real, geographical-economic space. In Mexico, the essential features of that space are defined by two large mountain ranges, the Western Sierra Madres and the Eastern Sierra Madres, which meet in the South-Center of the country in a dense, neo-volcanic knot.

As Figure 1 shows, the North, Center, and Northwest hydrological regions have 77% of the country’s population, but only 32% of the available water; whereas the four hydrological regions in the Southeast account for 68% of the country’s water availability, but only 23% of its population.

In fact, as Table 1 shows, regions X and XI alone (covering the states of Chiapas, Tabasco, Veracruz, and parts of Oaxaca, Puebla, and Hidalgo—a mere 10% of the national territory)—have a staggering 60% of the total national surface runoff. That is because the country’s three largest rivers all flow down into the Gulf of Mexico in this area of the Isthmus of Tehuantepec: the Coatzacoalcos (32.8 km³ of runoff), the Papaloapan (44.7 km³), and the enormous Grijalva-Usumacinta, whose 115.5 km³ of runoff make it one of the major rivers in the world (see Figure 2 and Table 2).

So the great challenge in Mexico has always been to take the water from where it is abundant, and transfer it to where it is not.

The PLHINO does just that.

The project was conceptualized in the mid-1960s, and systematized as a hydraulic plan in the early 1970s. Since that time, LaRouche and his associates in Mexico have consistently campaigned for its implementation.

At the recent Nov. 9 conference in Sonora, a new, detailed design for the PLHINO was presented by the distinguished Mexican engineer Manuel Frías Alcaraz. In the Frías design,
approximately 75% of the runoff from five under-utilized rivers on the central Pacific Coast of Mexico would be used to feed a canal running northwestward along the Pacific Coast, with a combined flow of 220 m$^3$/second of water (about 7 km$^3$/yr.). These five rivers (San Pedro, Acaponeta, Baluarte, Presidio, and Piaxtla—see Figure 2) would each have new dams constructed upstream, and they would be connected by a series of four tunnels (ranging in length from 21 to 33 kilometers, with 7-meter-diameter tubing), which would gradually bring the water down by gravity from 570 meters above sea level at the first dam, to 370 meters above sea level at the last one.

The relative disadvantage of building tunnels is that they require significant capital investment, more than what is required for the alternative construction of sea-level canals and pumping stations. But pumping, on the other hand, has continuous operating costs (energy, in particular), which is not the case when tunnels are built that save energy by employing gravity to move water, based on the difference in heights. These factors have to be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, but the Frías proposal is clearly workable.

From the Piaxtla reservoir at 370 meters above sea level, Frías then proposes to construct a series of canals, pumping stations, and smaller dams and tunnels that would transfer the accumulated 220 m$^3$/sec of water all the way to the Yaqui River in Sonora.

In this way, Frías explained to the enthusiastic Sonora gathering, we would be creating an artificial river some 460 km in length, which is comparable to the 580-km-long Santiago River, the country’s seventh largest. And what nature took a million years to do, we can accomplish in a decade, Frías
emphasized. The total PLHINO project is estimated to take ten years to complete, with an annual investment of about $1 billion—“monetary resources equivalent to [Mexico’s] purchase of food for only one year,” according to Frías.

The 7 km³ of transferred water, along with additional amounts gathered directly underground by the tunnel tubes, will allow for the irrigation of 330,000 hectares of new farmland in the state of Sinaloa, and another 470,000 hectares in Sonora—for a total of 800,000 hectares opened to farming by the PLHINO.

The Tabasco Flood

As the Sonora conference was meeting, the participants’ attention remained riveted on the nightmare flooding that had ravaged Mexico’s Southeast scarcely two weeks earlier.

In just three days at the end of October, about one meter of rain fell on the impoverished states of Tabasco and neighboring Chiapas. Where the Grijalva and the Usumacinta rivers unite in the coastal flood plain, just downstream from the city of Villahermosa, an “hydraulic plug” developed, which caused a backup of enormous amounts of water.

Approximately 1 million of Tabasco’s 2 million inhabitants were affected by the flooding, with close to 90% of the state, including its capital city of Villahermosa, being under water. The situation in Villahermosa was so dire that the state government secretary Humberto Mayans warned of a “very high risk” of social crisis and riots like those that occurred after the last great regional flood in 1999. He noted that there were 100,000 people wandering around, who had lost everything, and that there was a great danger of outbreak of disease, due to the lack of potable water and basic sanitation services.

In a status report to the media on Nov. 19, Tabasco Gov. Andrés Granier described the dire conditions faced by many residents, whose garbage-filled homes were now uninhabitable, and who had no means of earning money, thanks to the destruction of Mexico’s formal economy by 25 years of globalization and free trade: “Even if there is no water in their houses, there is hunger… How can they get the money to buy food, when the majority of them worked in the ‘informal’ economy, and have lost their jobs?”

Mexico’s pathetic free-marketeer President Felipe Calderón had the audacity to blame the flood disaster on “climate change,” but Governor Granier quickly disabused him of that lie, charging that the tragedy is the result of years of disinvestment in infrastructure. Granier pointed out that, had long-planned water-control projects been built, “there would have been damage, yes, but not the catastrophe we are now suffering.” This would require, minimally, an investment of 6 billion pesos (about $550 million), the governor said, yet the federal budget has allocated only a pathetic 387 million pesos ($35 million).

The essence of the problem was described in a report filed by the Society of Civil Engineers of Mexico (CICM) on Nov. 6:

The basin of the Grijalva, the more western of the two rivers (see Figure 2), represents 27% of the surface area subject to the heavy rainfall. Of the four major dams on the Grijalva and its tributaries, which were built between 1959 and 1987, the three furthest upstream (La Angostura, Chicoasén, and Malpaso), were able to control 100% of the water volume reaching them, without having to release any of it further downstream. The fourth dam, Peñitas, was able to contain about one third of the 3,000 m³ per second of water reaching it, but was forced to release the remainder downstream. But the CICM calculated that this volume of water contributed only 3% of the total floodwaters that hit Tabasco.

Engineer Frías argues that Peñitas’s original design and construction were inadequate, creating a reservoir far too small to contain the substantial run-off from the river during periods of heavy rainfall.

The Usumacinta and its tributaries and other rivers in the region, constitute 73% of the surface area hit by the rains. And they have no dams on them whatsoever. Thus, the devastating flood. The CICM concluded with the understated recommendation that Mexico must “promote a greater investment in infrastructure projects in the entire national territory, and especially in the South-Southeast region, to prevent disasters and contribute to sustained and balanced long-term economic development.”

The PLHIGON

Such a regional infrastructure project has long been designed and proposed, and is known as the PLHIGON, the Northern Gulf Hydraulic Plan. As with the PLHINO, the LaRouche movement has promoted its implementation for decades. For example, in late 1999, in the wake of the last great Tabasco flood, EIR published a study written by the late Carlos Cota Meza, one of the leaders of the LaRouche movement in Mexico, under the provocative headline: “How To Create More Than A Million Jobs a Year in Mexico.” That report was accompanied by a series of maps, including one detailing the PLHINO and the PLHIGON which we reproduce here as Figure 3.

The PLHIGON will control the historic flooding problem in the region, produce significant amounts of hydroelectric power, and move vast quantities of fresh water northwest along Mexico’s Gulf Coast, part of which will then require complementary projects that will pump it up to Mexico’s north-central plateau, which is part of the Great American Desert.

The total amount of water runoff to be controlled and withdrawn for use is enormous, and dwarfs the PLHINO’s scope of 7 km³ of water withdrawn, out of a total runoff of 9.5 km³. The Southeast’s four big rivers (Grijalva-Usumacinta, Papaloapan, Coatzaocoalcos, and Tonalá—the first, second, third and sixth biggest in the country, respectively) jointly produce some 204 km³ of runoff, of which only 15%, or 30 km³, will be withdrawn for use in the PLHIGON.

In the detailed design drawn up by Frías, six major dams
will be constructed on the Usumacinta River and its tributaries, some of which will involve binational projects with Guatemala. These will create hydroelectric installed capacity in the range of 9.5 gigawatts, nearly doubling Mexico’s current hydroelectric installed capacity of 11 GW, out of a national total of 50 GW from all sources. It will also be necessary to increase the capabilities of the existing Malpaso and Peñitas dams on the Grijalva.

Besides producing electricity, these dams will be designed to control the rivers’ runoff, and prevent future flooding. That will allow the rich lands, in what is now a vast coastal flood plain stretching across Tabasco and the neighboring state of Campeche, to be placed in agricultural production, both for crops and pastureland. Frías estimates that more than 1.5 million hectares of land can be recovered, transforming the region into the country’s number-one agricultural zone. As a rule of thumb, 1 km³/yr of water will irrigate some 100,000 hectares of land. That means that about 15 km³ of the 204 km³ of runoff from the four mentioned rivers, will be needed for the 1.5 million new hectares of agricultural land.

It will also be desirable to build a canal eastward into the Yucatán peninsula, where the relatively arid conditions have forced an over-reliance on aquifers, which are rapidly becoming depleted.

A second canal, 59 km in length, will be constructed to link the city of Villahermosa to the Gulf coast, transforming that anguished city into a thriving internal port.

In a second stage, an additional 15 km³ of water will be transported northwestward along the Gulf coast, building dams, canals, and pumping stations for that purpose. There are technical difficulties involved in transferring such vast amounts of water either over (or under, with tunnels) the neo-volcanic knot in the center of Mexico, but these can be solved with the significant increase in power production that will come as Mexico fully develops its nuclear industry.

Substantial power will also be needed to pump water over...
the Eastern Sierra Madre into the Great American Desert region in north-central Mexico, a problem discussed in EIR, May 9, 2003, as follows:

“It should be noted that neither the PLHINO nor the PLHIGON would carry water up to the Great American Desert, to the arid center-north of Mexico. They would have to be complemented by other projects that would bring water up from the coasts to the central highlands. From the western side, this is not very feasible in physical-economic terms, since the Western Sierra Madre is quite high—it reaches heights of 3,000 meters above sea level. But on the Gulf side, it is much more feasible, given that the Eastern Sierra Madre ranges between 2,000 and 2,500 meters above sea level.

“One project that would be especially important for carrying water in that direction, at least as far as the city of Monterrey (which is just before you have to cross over the Eastern Sierra Madre into the highlands), is a proposal developed by Mexican engineer Manuel Frías Alcaraz, and which he has dubbed the TzenValle System. The idea is to divert about one-third of the water from the Pánuco River (the fifth in the country, in terms of runoff) and its tributaries, where these originate in the Eastern Sierra Madre in the state of San Luis Potosi. By means of a series of dams, tunnels, and canals located at some 250-300 meters above sea level, water would be carried north, and then pumped up as far as Monterrey, which is at 540 meters above sea level. In other words, the cost of the pumping would be kept to a minimum, because the water would only need to be lifted an additional 250 meters or so.

“The TzenValle System would carry an additional 6.8 km³ of water per year to this arid zone....

“American engineer Hal Cooper has also proposed a couple of projects to carry water from the Gulf of Mexico to the Great American Desert. In the first one, he calls for building a canal that would run from the extreme north of the PLHIGON, to Monterrey, and from there to Saltillo, Torreon and into the southern part of the state of Chihuahua, where it would connect to the Conchos River, a tributary of the Rio Grande. The most challenging stretch of the project would be to raise the water from Monterrey to Saltillo, a difference of about 1,050 meters. There is no way around pumping the water up, although you could possibly build some tunnels under the highest parts of the Eastern Sierra Madre.”

NAWAPA

But all of this is peanuts, compared to the really big water project known as the North American Water and Power Alliance. Designed by the Parsons engineering firm in the 1960s, NAWAPA is an integrated water, power, and agricultural project which proposes to take about 17% of the annual runoff of the rivers of Alaska and northern Canada (some 1,000 km³ of water), most of which now flows unused into the Arctic Ocean, and channel it southward to Canada, the United States, and Mexico.
In EIR’s design of NAWAPA-Plus, NAWAPA would then link up in Mexico with both the PLHINO and the PLHIGON, creating a single, integrated North American water project (Figure 4), which closely parallels both the concept and the actual physical route of proposed high-speed rail projects, stretching from the Bering Strait to the Darien Gap (Figure 5).

According to the original design by Parsons, the water would first be channelled into the Rocky Mountain Trench, a natural reservoir some 800 km in length which runs from the center of Canada down into the northern United States, and which is about 15 km wide and some 100 meters deep, on average. It would store some 400-500 km$^3$ of water, at a height of about 900 meters above sea level.

Cutting across the extreme northern tip of the Trench, a navigable waterway would be built in Canada, from Vancouver in the West to Lake Superior and the St. Lawrence Seaway in the East—a great waterway that would connect the Pacific with the Atlantic.

The eastern branch of NAWAPA would run south from this Canadian canal, through the center of the United States, where it would help to recharge the gigantic Ogallala Aquifer, which today is overexploited. From there, another canal would connect it to the Gulf of Mexico. At the extreme southern tip of the Rocky Mountain Trench, the Montana Pump Lift would be built, a pumping station that would lift the water from 900 meters above sea level to some 1,500 meters above sea level, on both sides of the Continental Divide in the Rockies. It is estimated that this would require some 80 billion watts (80 GW) of energy, a substantial amount, but the total plan proposes to build numerous hydroelectric dams along NAWAPA’s entire route, which would produce some 180 GW of energy.

In other words, even after using 80 GW for the Montana Pump Lift, there would be a net surplus of some 100 GW.

From there, the central branch of NAWAPA would run along the eastern side of the Rockies, cutting across the Great American Desert through Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, and Texas. On this route it would connect with the tributaries of the Rio Grande (Río Bravo), which forms the border between the United States and Mexico at that point. This would enable the transfer of large quantities of fresh water—some 6.8 km$^3$, according to the Parsons design—to the arid Center-North of Mexico. Here, at the Rio Grande, is where NAWAPA

### TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Withdrawals</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAWAPA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total New Withdrawals</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Increase</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Parsons Co.; U.S. Geological Survey; FAO; EIR.
and the PLHIGON meet.

The western branch of NAWAPA would also cut through the Great American Desert, crossing Nevada, Utah, Arizona, and New Mexico, where it would also feed into the Rio Grande, and would re-connect with the central branch of NAWAPA. From Arizona, a canal would be built to carry water across the border to Mexico, to the Yaqui River in Sonora, which would receive nearly 12 km³ of water a year. This is where NAWAPA and the PLHINO meet.

The western stretch of NAWAPA would also supply water to the north and center of California, and to the Colorado River, which in turn, would carry more than 5 km³ of water a year to northern Baja California.

Table 3 presents summary information on what NAWAPA alone will contribute to water withdrawals in Mexico, the United States, and Canada. It will provide about 24 km³ of fresh water to Mexico, increasing its current withdrawals by 31%; U.S. withdrawals will rise by 21%; and Canada’s withdrawals will increase by 76%. For the entire three-nation region, NAWAPA means a 22% increase in water withdrawals.

Is this not a far better concept around which to organize relations among the United States, Mexico, and Canada, than the benighted North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), which has brought only devastation to all three nations?

Figure 6 and Table 4 present the full impact of the NAWAPA-Plus projects on water availability in Mexico. For the country as a whole, there will be 63 km³ of new water available. Since Mexico currently gets 36% of its total water withdrawals from aquifers, and in the process overexploits more than 20% of all its aquifers—i.e., withdrawing more water than the amount of annual recharge—it will be necessary to use some 10 km³ of the newly available water to recharge the aquifers and reverse their depletion. That will leave net new water availability of some 53 km³, a 69% increase over today’s 77 km³.

If this is looked at by region, as shown in Figure 6, the NAWAPA-Baja area (Mexico’s hydrological Region I) will receive 5 km³ of new water from NAWAPA, which will mean a net increase for the region of over 100%.

The NAWAPA-PLHINO area (Regions II and III) will get 12 km³ from NAWAPA, and 7 km³ from the PLHINO, for a total of 19 km³ of new water. After aquifer recharge in this area, which is a serious problem, the net increase over today’s level will also be over 100%.

And for the NAWAPA-PLHIGON area (Regions VI, VII, IX, X, and XI), the 7 km³ of water coming from NAWAPA into Mexico through
the Rio Grande system, will be boosted by 30 km³ coming from the lower PLHIGON region, and another 7 km³ from the TzenValle project on the Pánuco River. That will create an increase of net new water availability of 168%. This dramatic upshift will create the basis for addressing, at long last, the pressing issues of the Mexican portion of the Great American Desert.

This increase in water availability will allow Mexico to irrigate some 5 million hectares of new land, a 75% increase over its current 6.5 million hectares of irrigated land. Of this newly irrigated land, 0.8 million hectares will be in Sinaloa and Sonora; 1.5 million will be in the Tabasco/Campeche flood plain; and about 2.7 million will be opened up in the upper reaches of the PLHIGON, including in the currently dry central highlands.

**And Beyond**

At the Nov. 9 Sonora conference on “Building a Bridge to the Future” with projects such as the PLHINO and NAWAPA, this author delivered an address which detailed the NAWAPA project, and concluded with the following remarks:

“Therefore, if we combine national programs such as your PLHINO and PLHIGON, which involve freshwater transfer from one basin to another within the country; and if we also add to that freshwater transference among river basins involving various countries, such as NAWAPA, we will be able to take a big step forward. But a third element is needed; there is a third leg to the stool, which cannot stand on two alone. And that is that we must also manufacture fresh water—and not just transfer it among basins. The most efficient way to do that is with nuclear desalination plants, which are quite feasible.

“Mexico can absolutely do this: master technologies of the future, such as nuclear energy. Likewise, high-speed rail lines must be constructed which employ magnetic levitation technology, which is already being done in China and elsewhere.

“The importance of these technologies is not only that they are more economically efficient, but they also introduce the unique element which is the motor of all economic development, that which produces the scientific and technological spark which distinguishes human economy from that of any other, inferior species.

“I am convinced that, if we study these proposals, if we organize our respective nations around these ideas, and if, most especially, we present these ideas to the youth of our countries—who, after all, are our future—then these proposals, which today may strike us as reasonable but impractical or utopian, will become, with our political organizing efforts, proposals which are not only reasonable, but also possible.”

As Lyndon LaRouche’s friend, the late Mexican President José López Portillo, urged in his memorable October 1982 address to the United Nations General Assembly: “Let us make that which is reasonable, possible!”

---

**Conference on the PLHINO**

**Optimism Builds the Bridge to the Future**

by Alberto Vizcarra Osuna

More than 600, among them farmers, workers, youth, academics, government officials, and state legislators from Sonora and Sinaloa, met Nov. 9 in one of the leading cities of the Mexican Northwest—in one of the regions with the greatest agricultural potential—Ciudad Obregón, Sonora, to participate in a forum entitled “Let Us Build the Bridge to the Future. Let’s Make the PLHINO [Water Plan of the Northwest] of the 21st Century.” The conference called by the Pro-PLHINO Committee of the 21st Century, was inspired by the idea that the state should return to a policy of vigorous public investment in basic economic infrastructure, to assure a greater availability of water, energy, and food, and to reverse the severe physical-economic damage that the country has suffered by submitting unconditionally since 1982 to the dictates of free-trade and globalization.

The PLHINO is a project first conceptualized in the mid-1960s, and drawn up as a great hydraulic work at the beginning of the 1970s. Since then, it has become the hope of a majority of inhabitants of Mexico’s Northwest, a means to consolidate cooperation among the states of Nayarit, Sinaloa, and Sonora, by building ambitious hydraulic infrastructure projects to transfer vast quantities of water from the Santiago River basin in Nayarit, to the Mayo and Yaqui River basins in Sonora.

The regulated management of voluminous amounts of water would give this region, which borders the U.S. desert state of Arizona [literally, “arid zone”] to the north, efficient sustainability. It would create tens of thousands of productive jobs; provide greater availability of water for urban and industrial use; generate electricity; develop fish-farming; expand tourism; and regularize crop and precipitation patterns, thereby improving both the ecology, and the growth of life in general. And, most importantly, it would significantly expand the agricultural frontier, allowing the production of the basic grains that the nation so urgently needs.

For 25 years, however, plans for the PLHINO has been consigned to the dustbin, as has every other major public infrastructure project in Mexico. Since the dogma of free trade seized control of Mexico after President José López Portillo left office in December 1982, the building of infrastructure, cities, and industries, and the industrialization and care of the
farm sector were abandoned, as economics was reduced to paying off the “eternal debt,” and protecting the easy-money-making rights of the foreign cartels and their local overseers.

A Marker for All Mexico

What was clear in Ciudad Obregón on Nov. 9, is the emergence of a committed leadership for the informal mass movement taking shape in the northwest of the country, under the banner of finally building the long-planned PLHINO, a movement determined to reverse free trade, and return to the General Welfare policies upon which Mexico was founded in 1810.

The leadership of the LaRouche movement in Mexico in creating that PLHINO-centered movement over decades, is well known to every Sonoran, as was acknowledged by the statewide daily, Diario del Yaqui in the run-up to the Ciudad Obregón forum. That daily reported on Oct. 28 that the demand to bring the PLHINO to fruition has been revived “since 2003, [when] leaders of the Permanent Forum of Rural Producers (FPPR), Adalberto Rosas López, Alberto Vizcarra Osuna, and Jaime Miranda Peláez, drawing inspiration from the economic concepts of Lyndon LaRouche, intensified their drive for the construction of the mega-project.”

The movement in Sonora and the Northwest, is a microcosm of the rebellion building up in Mexico as a whole, against the globalization and free-trade policies which have driven the Mexican economy below what can be tolerated, leaving the country without food, the most basic of human needs.

The first wave of rebellion exploded against the outcome of the July 2, 2006 Presidential elections, when millions of people camped out and marched for three months in the streets and plazas of the nation’s capital, in support of opposition candidate Andrés Manuel López Obrador, who opposed free trade. A second wave hit, when the price of Mexico’s most common staple, tortillas, doubled in the early part of 2007.

The next wave hitting Mexico is over water itself. As people gathered in Ciudad Obregón to discuss overturning free trade’s ban on the water projects required to end years of drought in their region, the entire nation was convulsed over the humanitarian catastrophe caused by the Katrina-like flooding in the South of the country, also a consequence of free trade’s ban on water management projects.

The juxtaposition of the catastrophic floods in the South and drought in the nation’s northern grain states, at the same time that global hyperinflation threatens to make Mexico unable to afford the food imports upon which it is now dependent, has made it impossible for any sane Mexican to avoid the reality that Mexico cannot continue to exist under globalization and free trade.

That reality, combined with the pressure of a popular movement kicking their backsides, has forced various levels of government institutions (city, state, federal Congress) to change their axioms, begin to fight, and turn to LaRouche and his movement to learn how to fight. Thus, on Nov. 14, Sonoran newspapers reported with front-page banner headlines, that the Federal Congress had finally earmarked an initial 30 million pesos (about $2.7 million) for the feasibility study required to build the PLHINO, in the National Water Committee’s budget for 2008. A member of the Chamber of Deputies’ Water Resources Committee told the press that the decision was the result of commitments made at the Ciudad Obregón forum, which LaRouche’s associates had initiated and coordinated.

The Awakening of a Cultural Tradition

The PLHINO received on “a second wind” in 2003, the year the prolonged drought in northern Mexico reached extreme proportions. After floods devastated the southern state of Tabasco last month, some northwest farmers began referring to their 2003 as a “reverse Tabasco.” The drought hit the irrigated districts in southern Sonora and part of northern Sinaloa so severely, that more than 200,000 hectares of farmland in the Yaqui and Mayo valleys, usually devoted to corn and wheat cultivation, went unplanted. That year, the dams which supplied these irrigated zones saw their reservoir levels shrink to below 10% of capacity. It was in that same year that the leaders of the LaRouche-inspired Permanent Forum of Rural Producers published a special pamphlet on the PLHINO and the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA), that vast cross-border project to bring water from the Canadian Rocky Mountains to the Great American Desert that covers much of the Southwestern United States and Northern Mexico.

The social process of discussion around that pamphlet awakened in the diverse farm, labor, and community layers the power of a deeply-rooted cultural tradition in Sonora, a state which was both carved out of the desert by intensive application of technology and infrastructure, and which has produced numerous leaders of the revolutions that have defined the sovereign personality of Mexico.

In April 2007, after the tortilla crisis had awakened official Mexico to the coming national food shortages, Sonora Sen. Alfonso Elís Serrano, with the backing of Sinaloa Sen. Mario López Valdez and Nayarit Sen. Raúl José Mejía Gonzáles, proposed and won approval in the Senate of the Republic for a resolution which identifies construction of the PLHINO as a priority, and of strategic importance to the nation’s economic development, given the nation’s urgent needs for water, energy, and food.

This commitment by the Senate gave national scope to the regional mobilization, and awakened enthusiasm in every productive sector of southern Sonora. On Aug. 15, representatives met to form the Pro-PLINHO of the 21st Century Committee, and announced themselves with an ad published in the
leading newspapers of the state of Sonora, supporting the Senate resolution.

The ad, signed by more than 30 agro-producer organizations and addressed to the President of the Republic, the governors of Sonora, Sinaloa, and Nayarit, and to the Congress, put forward the urgency of Mexico returning to a policy of food self-sufficiency, given the current international financial instability and the significant decline in the world’s grain reserves. The country has entered a critical phase in its food supply, just when the United States is beginning to reduce its exports, especially of grains such as corn, of which Mexico is the world’s leading importer. This is a dramatic convergence for the country, declared the ad: We face expensive and increasingly scarce food, and the threat of social instability triggered by inevitable outbreaks of hunger, if action is not taken.

**Discussion in the Congress**

The ad circulated widely within the Congress, where on Oct. 19, the presidents of the committees on Rural Development and Water Resources called an official meeting to receive the coordinators of the Pro-PLHINO of the 21st Century Committee. Also participating in that meeting were state senators from Sonora and Sinaloa, as well as Federal legislators from those two committees along with representatives from the National Water Commission.

The meeting centered around a presentation by engineer Manuel Frías Alcaraz, who—in the name of the Pro-PLHINO Committee—presented the technical aspects of the water project, and the comments of this author, coordinator of the committee, and widely known as an associate of Lyndon LaRouche for 30 years, who discussed the strategic importance of public investment in basic economic infrastructure.

Such programmatic discussion around the idea of the physical reconstruction of the national economy, had not been heard in the Congress since 1982. After that year, deliberations on the national budget never got beyond the unbalanced axioms of so-called budget balancing. From that point onward, public investment stopped being a catalyst for economic growth, and public expenditure was reduced to delivering handouts to Mexico’s millions of victims of free-market policies.

**Reality as an Ally**

The Forum began with a presentation by Dennis Small, Ibero-American intelligence director for EIR magazine. Small’s speech, entitled “The PLHINO and NAWAPA, Water Infrastructure Projects for a Model Relationship Between Mexico and the United States,” laid out the global strategic reality that no project, regional or national, no matter how big, can or would be built under the current, disintegrating world financial system. Small reported that an international uproar has broken out, in which countries such as China, India, Russia, and others, are making clear that they wish to build a relationship with the United States based on cooperation, and joint efforts in the construction of great infrastructure projects.

This international impulse, Small said, represents—as Russian President Vladimir Putin explicitly said—a demand that the United States return to the policies of Franklin D. Roosevelt, the U.S. President who put together a new economic system, known as the New Deal, to get the United States out of the Great Depression, and supported relations with other countries, guided by respect for sovereignty and looking towards agreements on development.

Building a bridge to the future will have to be founded upon the idea proposed by U.S. economist and former Presidential candidate Lyndon LaRouche, that we create a new financial system to replace the current model, a new system based on the issuance of long-term, low-interest credit, to make world economic recovery possible, he said.

Small’s detailed presentation of the idea of NAWAPA as a water project capable of transferring more than 100 cubic kilometers of water toward the Great American Desert, with a substantial portion of it, some 12 cubic kilometers, coming into the Yaqui River in Sonora, caused great excitement in the forum. The PLHINO is good, but NAWAPA, now that’s big, many participants exclaimed.

NAWAPA, said Small, would put an end to binational conflicts based on fighting over insufficient water. This, he said, is what Roosevelt and Cárdenas would have done, and also Lincoln and Juárez, and this is what we must do now. He called on the Forum participants to turn to this historic tradition as a reference point for a model relationship between Mexico and the United States today. It is, he maintained, the tradition that LaRouche represents in the United States, but also other forces within both the Democratic and Republican parties. We have to join together, and establish ourselves as a binational force, so that these great infrastructure projects we have identified, and which are necessary, will also become practical. Small ended his presentation by saying that what today is reasonable, but apparently utopian, with our efforts will become reasonable and possible—an explicit reference to the famous slogan of José López Portillo, “Let us make what is reasonable, possible.”

Engineer Manuel Frías Alcaraz presented what is now viewed as the first physical outline of an updated, PLHINO of the 21st Century. Premised on elementary physical principles, Frías’s proposal contemplates the transfer of 7 billion cubic meters of water, taking advantage of the overflow of the rivers of northern Nayarit and southern Sinaloa, to irrigate an additional surface of 800,000 hectares in the states of Sinaloa and Sonora. Frías’s presentation, supported by maps to scale, which defined the location of the new storage sites that need to be built, documented the necessary construction of tunnels to assure that water transfer is done pri-
Alberto Vizcarra emphasized in his presentation that the crisis of world financial disintegration is putting Mexico at a crossroads of adverse factors, that are shaping up as “a perfect storm.” And he detailed them: increasing international prices for food products, worsening dependency on food imports, mass deportation of undocumented Mexican immigrants from the United States, a decline in remittances being sent into Mexico from workers abroad, imported inflation and growing nationwide unemployment. Given all this, he said, Mexico has to define policies of economic protection which strengthen its productive potential, primarily in those regions where there exist the possibilities of increasing basic grain production that can address the tremendous vulnerability Mexico faces on this front.

The country’s Northwest, said Vizcarra, is a region which has a great national responsibility, in the midst of this international financial crisis. It is an affair of state, and a national security matter, that projects like the PLHINO be completed.

The historic nature of this movement and of this Forum, Vizcarra emphasized, is that we are meeting in the name of the future. And our experience throughout this whole organizing process is that when two or more people meet in the name of posterity, they gain an extraordinary power. With that power, he continued, we will make sure that this great moment does not find a morally small people. We are taking up what our forefathers had intended be done. Let us realize their intentions, so that they can rest in peace, and so that we can give a promising future to present and future generations.

In his speech which followed, Sen. Alfonso Elías Serrano led the participants to reflect upon the change in thinking required for Mexico to secure the future, asking: At what moment did we stop thinking about the future, and forget about great projects? In the 1980s, we stopped being “normal” people, he noted, and by the 1990s, when we signed the Free Trade Agreement, we even adopted the mistaken idea that it would be cheaper to import our food, than to produce it at home (see speech, below).

After the forum adopted a final resolution, the “Agreement of the Northwest” (see text, below), Sonora Gov. Eduardo Bours Caste gave the closing speech. He began by referencing Sen. Elías Serrano’s point, that to be “normal” means to think big. The country, he said, has no shortage of resources, only a shortage of infrastructure to adequately develop those resources. He criticized those who question the idea of great projects, because they lack vision. Therefore, we must change the way people think, and teach them to think big. Projects like the PLHINO, he concluded, are not short-term endeavors, but for the coming generations. And it is the responsibility of our generation to complete them.

A few weeks ago, I heard one of the members of the Pro-PLHINO Committee of the 21st Century say that 40 years ago, people held a larger vision of the future…that then, there were more normal people. And this made me ask myself, at just what moment did we cease to be normal people; at what moment did we stop thinking about the future, and concentrate on resolving day-to-day problems?

But it’s not a question of regretting or seeking someone to blame for what could have been, but was not. Rather, it is a question of recovering, as a nation, the vision of the future that we had in the days when great infrastructure projects were proposed in Mexico; in the days when advances were made in space exploration internationally; in the days when our universities carried out ambitious research toward eradicating diseases and epidemics in the world.

And this is where the North West Water Plan (PLHINO) comes in, because it proposes to bring water from [the state of] Nayarit to Sinaloa and Sonora, through the construction of great engineering works, transporting surplus water from one basin to the other, by means of 16 consecutive rivers that stretch from Santiago in Nayarit, to the Yaqui in Cajame, by means of which 16 billion cubic meters of water that currently pour into the sea in that area, could be exploited. With this, we would be in a position to confront the great challenges of food, water, and energy that we will need in the future, and to resolve in part the problem of immigration.

With the launching of the Free Trade Agreement [NAFTA] in 1994, and under the notion that it was more feasible to import food than to produce it, we stopped farming in the countryside at the rate that we had been, and we became dependent on the United States for food. Now, we realize that conditions in the world have changed regarding food supply and demand.

Already in the past few months, we have had a taste of what is coming if we don’t find alternatives for meeting Mexican’s demand for grain, to keep tortilla and other basic prod-
uct prices accessible.

At the same time, we have emerging countries like China and India which, in recent years, have been growing at historic rates, and their people, now with greater buying power, are demanding more and better products from the countryside.

This foretells a series of challenges that are to come in the area of food, water, and energy.

That is why we must put everything we have into pushing for the PLHINO, because it would guarantee Mexico’s food sovereignty, with nearly 400,000 new hectares for agriculture in the three states which would benefit. . . . It would create thousands of jobs in construction, tourism, industry, and the countryside, including more than 5 million agricultural jobs; it would generate the capacity to produce more than 1,000 megawatts of clean and cheaper energy, and it would provide Sonora with more than 1 billion cubic meters of water.

The PLHINO must be seen as both a matter of state and of national security, and any analysis of it must focus on the benefits that it would generate for the quality of life of the citizenry. Of course, the PLHINO requires an important investment of resources, but this is not a short-term project, but rather one that will come to fruition step by step over the coming years, which will also allow the use of novel financing options.

In sum, one must remember that Rome was not built in a day and that, as the popular refrain goes, if these things were easy, everyone would do them. . . .

Resolution: ‘Let Us Build The Bridge to the Future’

This resolution was issued by the conference in Ciudad Obregón, Sonora, on Nov. 9, under the title “Build the Agreement of the Northwest; Let Us Build the Bridge to the Future; Let Us Build the PLHINO of the 21st Century; Water, Energy, and Food for Mexico.”

The organizations of agricultural producers, workers, intermediary organizations, businessmen, youth, and state legislators from Sonora, Sinaloa, and Nayarit, meeting this November 9, 2007 in the “Regional Forum: Let Us Build the Bridge to the Future. Let Us Build the PLHINO of the 21st Century,” do hereby proclaim the following agreements and resolutions:

Whereas:

First. The nation is in the throes of an international scenario of financial instability, whose apex can be found in the crisis of U.S. mortgage debt, and whose hyperinflationary manifestation is impacting raw materials prices, primarily the food products whose prices in recent months have been inexorably climbing, which affects the entire world, and especially Mexico, which is one of the leading grain-importing nations.

Second. Said scenario is worsening as a result of a significant reduction in world grain reserves, at the same time that the main exporter of these, the United States, has decided to allocate millions of tons of corn to the production of ethanol, thereby turning it into a commodity of the speculative energy market, and thus reducing export volumes. Add to this the fact that the emerging markets of China and India have increased their food demand, a combination of factors that threatens a prolonged critical situation within which Mexico is tremendously vulnerable.

Third. Together with the foregoing, the depressive effects of the financial crisis on the United States economy are causing a process of unemployment, whose major impact is on the construction sector, within which one finds nearly 20% of the 14 million Mexicans who have emigrated to the U.S. since 1994, and who today are being pushed to return to Mexico. This would explain why, at the close of this year, Mexico will be showing a decline of more than 2% in the dollar remittances sent by Mexican workers in the United States. This in turn means a decline in foreign exchange reserves for importing goods, along with the return of our Mexicans who had found economic refuge in North America.

Therefore, we resolve and agree:

1. That the most appropriate path to take for protecting the nation from the adversities that are joining forces under the present international situation, is to undertake a vigorous policy of public investment directed at great infrastructure projects that can increase the productive powers of our population and assure a greater availability of water, energy, and food. In that sense, the construction of works like the PLHINO should be carried out under a renewed perspective of meeting the urgent national need to strengthen basic grain-producing regions, and which have a great development potential to guarantee the growth of the national economy.

2. That the expansion of the agricultural frontier in regions with great grain-producing potential, like the country’s Northwest, should be considered a state matter and national security matter. Thus, projects like the PLHINO can be delayed no longer, given that it has cost the nation too much to abandon these infrastructure projects whose economic potential has multifunctional characteristics. Assuring the interconnection of the 16 rivers that flow from the Western Sierra Madre to the fertile coasts of the states of Nayarit, Sinaloa, and Sonora, would allow the management of 28 billion cubic meters of water that would give efficient sustainability to the region, the generation of productive jobs, water for urban and industrial use, the production of electricity, the development of fish breeding, and tourism, regularization of cultivation patterns, expansion of moisture cycles that would increase the possibilities for rain in the region, thereby improving the ecology and the growth of life in general.

3. To actively support the point of agreement approved on April 24 of this year, in the Mexican Senate and presented by Senators Alfonso Elías Serrano of Sonora, Mario López Valdez of Sinaloa and Raul José Mejía Gonzáles of Nayarit, in which they call on the Federal Congress and on the Federal Executive to allocate the resources as of 2008, and to define an
annualized investment that would make feasible the completion of the Water Plan of the Northwest (PLHINO), in a period of time in accordance with the nation’s urgent needs for water, energy, and food. We similarly agree to support the Rural Development and Water Resources Committees of the Federal Congress, presided over by deputies Carlos Navarro López and Jesús Patrón Montalvo, respectively, the same committees which assumed the formal commitment to request, for the budgetary year to come, a fund of 72 million pesos, that would allow the beginning of feasibility studies related to the execution of this important water infrastructure project.

4. To call on the Commission of Agriculture of the National Congress to add its support to the point of agreement of the Senate of the Republic, and to the efforts of the Commissions of Rural Development and Water Resources, to assure that the fund of 72 million marks the beginning of a resolute militancy during 2008 which, with solid arguments, establishes the necessity of achieving an annualized budgetary projection to assure the completion of the PLHINO in a period of time that is in accord with the nation’s water, energy, and food constraints.

5. To call on the governments of the states of Nayarit, Sinaloa, and Sonora, as well as the municipalities of those three states and the agricultural producer organizations and others, to provide the economic funds for a feasibility study of the project, whose moral weight would represent a gesture of good will and an element of pressure, so that the federal government assumes the fundamental commitments that the general welfare demands of it.

6. To expand the deployment of the Pro-PLHINO Committee of the 21st Century to the states of Nayarit and Sinaloa, so that the region as a whole can be aware of the strategic importance of this project. In particular, that it fully understand the mission that the Mexican Northwest bears in helping the nation achieve adequate levels of food self-sufficiency.

7. To hold, with both the federal government and within the legislative arena, a broad discussion throughout 2008 on the sources of financing to which we will need to turn in order to complete projects like the PLHINO. Especially, to open up a debate on the use of a portion of the oil income surplus, to invest in infrastructure projects whose impact meets strategic needs. And to assure that the oil once again becomes a lever in the strengthening of the national economy and in the industrialization of the country.

8. We express our solidarity with the people of Tabasco, whose tragedy cannot properly be blamed on nature, but rather on the fact that for more than 30 years, the policy of investment in great water infrastructure projects was abandoned, which in the case of the Southeast demands the construction of these works on the fastest-flowing rivers of the country. The painful drama of Tabasco should be both a lesson and an inspiration to take up once again the basic criteria of economic functionality, in which infrastructure becomes the backbone for guaranteeing the economic growth and welfare of our people.

Hatred of Immigrants Will Sink Us!

by Gretchen Small

A “tsunami” of Mexicans being deported from the United States is now hitting Mexico, which, may reach 1 million in 2007 alone, members of the Advisory Council of the Mexican Government’s Institute for Mexicans Abroad (IME), charged in a Nov. 16 press conference, at the conclusion of a two-day closed-door meeting on the immigrant crisis, held at Mexico’s Foreign Relations Ministry.

Hugo Loyo, Economic Coordinator for the advisory council, spoke of a climate of “hatred” being whipped up against Mexicans in the United States, whether legal or undocumented. Since Bush’s immigration reform bill collapsed a few months ago, some 170 anti-immigrant laws have been passed locally, he said. He and other members of the advisory council warned that increasing anti-immigrant raids of workplaces and homes across the United States are creating a “truly critical” situation for Mexico, which must find jobs, housing, food, education, and health care for hundreds of thousands of people being dumped over the border, penniless, and often far from any family who could offer support.

Two weeks earlier, on Nov. 2, the president of the Migratory and Border Affairs Committee of Mexico’s Congress, José Nicolás Morales Ramos, charged that 400,000 Mexican workers had been sent back from the United States in the recent period, leaving nearly 100,000 children behind. Often, the workers were not even able to advise their children of why they would not be coming home, he said, even though many had worked in the United States for years, and their children are U.S. citizens.

These are stunning figures. Although such numbers are not reflected in U.S. statistics—yet—leaders of the U.S. Hispanic community, and local newspapers from across the country, tell the same story as these reports from Mexico: stepped-up immigration raids, even during the night; local sheriffs put under the direction of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), deputized as Federal immigration officials; anti-immigrant vigilantes forming to take the law into their own hands; families going into hiding for fear of being split apart, or deported back to a country where there is no work.

Whatever the numbers, it is an economic, social, and political fact, that more and more people are being rounded up and shipped out, in a climate of awful, spreading, racism against Hispanics.

The policy of fomenting of hatred against our closest neighbor to the South, is a danger to the United States republic itself. Nearly 10% of the Mexican population has migrated to
the United States—some 13 million Mexicans—at an accelerating rate, since the 1994 North American Free Trade Accord (NAFTA) crushed Mexico’s own industry and agriculture. Come January 2008, another 2.2 million Mexican farmers will be thrown into bankruptcy, when all protection on corn and bean imports ends, under the next phase of NAFTA.

Any fool can see that driving millions of Mexicans (and other Ibero-Americans) out of the United States under these conditions, will set off a vast explosion south of the border, and inside the United States itself.

How Low We Have Sunk

Consulted on the Mexican reports of a phase change in the rate of deportations, a Washington-based Hispanic leader told EIR on Nov. 29 that she frequently travels around the country to meet with the Hispanic community, and she has never seen people so shocked as they are today. People are dazed by what is happening, she said. Every day, there are more and more raids. Not just at workplaces, but officials are going door-to-door to homes, claiming they must follow up “crime reports.” In Maryland and Virginia, people are getting knocks on their doors at 3 and 4 a.m., and everyone caught at home is rounded up, children included, and their documents seized.

This labor leader reported, as did the Mexicans, that people are being picked up, whether they are undocumented, legal permanent residents, or, in some cases, even U.S. citizens. It is like the Great Depression, when trainloads of “brown” people were sent back to Mexico—only now they are being shipped out by planeloads, she said.

With the FBI reporting that hate crimes against Hispanics are up 25%, a siege mentality is developing, she added.

A study titled “Paying the Price: The Impact of Immigration Raids on America’s Children,” issued on Oct. 31, 2007 by the National Council of La Raza and the Urban Institute, likewise paints the human side of the intensifying raids. The Urban Institute researchers, studying the aftermath of immigration raids within the past year on three manufacturing plants in different parts of the country, found that children whose parents had been detained or deported, had been or were being cared for by other family members; the less fortunate are changing from baby-sitter to baby-sitter, or moving from home to home of friends or family. Entire families of those related to deportees are hiding out in basements or closets for days or weeks on end, for fear of being split apart.

There are approximately 5 million children in the United States with at least one undocumented parent, according to the study, and 3.1 million of those children are U.S. citizens. On average, one child is likely to be affected for every two workers arrested, the study found. A large majority of those children are under the age of five.

The study concluded what any sane human being knows: “After the arrest or disappearance of their parents, children experienced feelings of abandonment, and showed symptoms of emotional trauma, psychological duress, and mental health problems . . . . The combination of fear, isolation, and economic hardship induced mental health problems such as depression, separation anxiety disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, and suicidal thoughts.”

Halliburton and Blackwater Love It

Mercenaries and vigilantes are being given a larger and larger role, under this “kick ‘em out and watch ‘em scream” policy. Things have gotten so insane, that Texas Gov. Rick Perry gleefully announced on Nov. 19 that he’s found $3 million in Federal funding, to gear up a program in which Internet users monitor live broadcasts of images from 200 web cams set up along the U.S.-Mexican border, and advise border officials if they see something suspicious! In a month-long trial of the program in 2006, 221,562 users registered to monitor the images from the cameras, sent more than 13,000 e-mails to state officials, which helped arrest a grand total of ten illegal immigrants, according to the Houston Chronicle.

Nastier yet, is the policy of handing control of the U.S.-Mexican border over to the private mercenary forces proliferating, and profiteering, under the Revolution in Military Affairs policy championed by George Shultz, Felix Rohatyn, et al.

With the eight detention centers run by DHS’s Office of Detention and Removal Operations filled to bursting with Mexican construction workers, dishwashers, gardeners, etc., who have been arrested for entering the United States without the right papers, the DHS has already contracted private companies to run an additional seven centers. DHS officials say that only private facilities will be expanded, and they may hand some of the detention centers they now run over to private interests.

Waiting in the wings on this one, is KBR, the subsidiary of Dick Cheney’s Halliburton, which since at least 2006 has had a $385 million contract with DHS, to provide “temporary detention and processing capabilities,” should there be an “emergency influx of immigrants, or to support the rapid development of new programs,” in the event of other emergencies.

The push is on to now turn policing of the border over to these private mercenary companies, as well as—were the Heritage Foundation to have its way—border patrol operations and anti-immigration actions in the interior of the United States. California Democratic Congressman Bob Filner has rightly warned that plans by the notorious Blackwater USA to build an 824-acre military-style training facility in the town of Potrero, eight miles from the Mexican border, signals a drive to privatize border security, generally. He told Salon.com in October, that the Minutemen vigilante group is already present on the border, and if Blackwater moves in with its operations, it will make things even worse. “You don’t want armies around who will sell out to the highest bidder…. The border is a very sensitive area, and if Blackwater operates the way they do in Iraq—shoot first and ask questions later—my constituents are at risk.”
For months before the Nov. 27 Middle East peace conference in Annapolis, Md., Lyndon LaRouche had been promoting the idea that Syrian participation, opening the prospect of a near-term Israeli-Syrian peace agreement, would cause a significant shift in the political dynamic in the region, and should be strongly promoted. He cautioned against any grandiose expectations of a “big breakthrough” on any of the peace tracks at Annapolis, focusing instead on a needed tilt in direction, to bring some sense of optimism to a region otherwise beset by crisis. Build momentum by a series of small achievements, LaRouche urged. When LaRouche first started pushing for the Syrian participation, the prospects of Damascus being invited to the table were nil—despite some public statements by Israeli President Shimon Peres, suggesting that Syria and Israel could reach a meeting of the minds.

Early reports of the results of the Annapolis meeting offer some cause for cautious optimism, at the same time that the danger of war remains high. First of all, the Syrian government did participate in the meeting, and, according to a wide range of American, Arab, and Israeli sources, the Israeli government played a significant role, along with Arab League states, in encouraging Damascus to attend. Within the Israeli establishment, there is a growing support for a peace treaty with Syria, involving the return of Golan to Syria, a fair division of the waters beneath the Golan Heights, and other remaining issues.

As one senior U.S. intelligence source told EIR, a Syria-Israel peace deal is “99% worked out,” and if a treaty is signed in the near future, “40% of the problems in the region will have been solved.” Already, sources from the region are reporting that a consensus has been reached, as the result of coordinated Syrian, Egyptian, and Saudi diplomatic efforts, to break the stalemate over the selection of a new Lebanese President. If the agreement is finalized over the next days, this will significantly reduce the danger of a new Lebanese civil war—one of the most important accomplishments at Annapolis was that Vice President Dick Cheney, and other war-party fanatics inside the Bush Administration, were robbed of an opportunity to press for an immediate military attack on Iran. According to these former officials, Cheney had been banking on a breakdown of the Annapolis talks, and a discrediting of Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice, who staked her personal reputation on some modicum of success in bringing together a wide array of regional and international players, to jump-start the Israeli-Palestinian peace negotiations, after a seven-year shutdown. Now, as one source put it, “Annapolis did not fail, and Cheney is fuming.” Furthermore, Saudi Arabia’s foreign minister, in a widely publicized interview on the eve of the Annapolis talks, made it clear that the kingdom has no interest in another regional war, even against Iran.

According to LaRouche, another factor that cannot be underestimated, is that the Bush family, and most emphatically, former President George H.W. Bush and former First Lady Barbara Bush, are desperate to salvage some shred of family legacy, after seven disastrous years of the Bush-Cheney Presidency. Progress on a Middle East peace deal, involving a Syria-Israel agreement, and a long-overdue just solution to the Israeli-Palestine conflict, represents the last best hope that the Bush family name can be saved. And that is no small matter if your last name is Bush. Do not be surprised to learn that Rice, who has her own “legacy issues,” received significant backing from leading “Bush 41” allies, such as former National Security Advisor Gen. Brent Scowcroft and former Secretary of State James Baker III. And do not be surprised to see the Russian government of President Vladimir Putin step forward, to play a key role in furthering the peace effort. At Annapolis, Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov announced that Russia has been speaking with the Bush Administration about hosting a follow-up conference to Annapolis in Moscow, early in 2008. The focus: a Syria-Israel peace deal.

A small, but potentially important step has been taken, but now, the real fight has begun.
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