Your Enemy, George Soros

Editor’s Note: We present here the major part of a mass-circulation pamphlet produced by the LaRouche Political Action Committee.

Introduction

Back during Presidential campaign year 2004, my associates and I were calling attention to an important book on the subject of The Confessions of an Economic Hit-Man. That man had a conscience. In the following report, LPAC is featuring a much bigger story, on the subject of George Soros as a political-economic hit-man. The George Soros we present in this report, has no conscience about what he has done, or what he does. This is a report written, in large part, by Soros’ own mouth.

George Soros is not a top-ranking financier, he is like the mafia thug, without a real conscience, like a thug sent to kill a friend of yours, but only a hit-man for the really big financial interests, hired out to rob your friends, and you, of about everything, including their nation, and your personal freedom.

George Soros does not actually own Senator Barack Obama; some other people do; but, Soros is a key controller, and seemingly the virtual owner of both Democratic Party Chairman Howard “Scream” Dean, that Party, perhaps your political party, and, in fact, your nation, which are both what political-economic hit-man George Soros is aiming to destroy.

—Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.
June 16, 2008
George Soros: Hit-Man for The British Oligarchy

by Hector A. Rivas, Jr.

The British financial oligarchy is desperately committed to completely annihilating all forms of sovereign nation-states from our planet, most importantly, the United States, and George Soros is their chosen hit-man to accomplish the task. Directly, on behalf of the city of London, George Soros, with the aid of his puppet, Democratic National Committee Chairman Howard Dean, bankrolled filthy operations against Hillary Clinton’s primary campaign, to guarantee that no policies which reflect a revival of Franklin Roosevelt’s commitment to the lower 80% of family income brackets take hold in the White House after January 2009. Soros is no newcomer to the world of criminal activity. According to former associates and published reports he was handed his start-up money by Baron Edmond de Rothschild’s right-hand man, George Karlweiss, who also launched the career of fugitive narcotics-trafficker Robert Vesco. Since then, Soros has been involved in various vicious operations, under the direction of the British Empire, such as financial speculative warfare to destroy national currencies, pushing murderous, “useless eater” euthanasia policies, and massively financing international campaigns for the legalization of drugs. But of course, the disgraceful character of George Soros is not solely attributable to himself, but rather, it was partially generated by his handlers during his formative adolescent years: the Nazis.

The Golem Is Born

The pathetic creature known as George Soros made a willful decision early in life to become the character that he is now: a Golem. A teenager during the Nazi Occupation of his homeland, Hungary, Soros began his genocidal legacy by working for the killing machines that slaughtered 500,000 Hungarian Jews during the Holocaust. Young Soros was given a job looting the properties of Jews under the regime of SS Lt. Gen. Kurt Becher, head of the Waffen SS section known euphemistically as The Economic Department of the SS Command.

Soros credits his father for his own good fortune in avoiding the gruesome scenes of the concentration camps. In a broadcast on WNET/Thirteen TV on April 15, 1993 Soros recalled those experiences that formed his beastly identity: “When the Germans came in, he [the father—ed.] said, ‘This is a lawless occupation. The normal rules don’t apply. You have to forget how you behave in a normal society. This is an abnormal situation.’ And he arranged for all of us to have false papers, everybody had a different arrangement. I was adopted by an official of the minister of agriculture, whose job was to take over Jewish properties, so I actually went with him and we took possession of these large estates. That was my identity. So it’s a strange, very strange life. I was 14 years old at the time.” His father, Tivadar Soros, professed further that, “as pseudo-Christians, we had not quite reached that level of Christianity where we were willing to return bread for stones.”

The Soros family indeed offered plenty of stones to the many poor Hungarian Jews who were shipped off to Auschwitz to meet their death.1

The Soros family was among the “elite” Hungarian Jews, which afforded them the ability to make arrangements to survive under the Nazi occupation. Prince Alexis Scherbatoff, former member of the U.S. Army Counterintelligence Corps before and after World War II, alleged that Soros obtained his first small fortune by selling his share of the loot seized with the Nazis. He reported that Soros’ first accomplice was another Hungarian Jew, who sold rubies and other Nazi plunder in Belgium after World War II.

Ben Hecht, author of the book Perfidy, documents the activities of the Nazi Economic Department in Hungary, and the atrocities committed by the employers of young Soros. The Department was in charge of pillaging Jewish properties and “removing the gold fillings from the millions of teeth of the dead Jews; in cutting off the hair of millions of Jewesses before killing them, and shipping bales of hair to Germany’s mattress factories; in converting the fat of dead Jews into bath soap, and in figuring out effective methods of torture to induce the Jews awaiting death to reveal where they had hidden their last possessions.”

George Soros was confronted with such images during an interview with Steve Kroft on CBS’s 60 Minutes on December 20, 1998:

Kroft: (Voiceover) These are pictures from 1944 of what happened to George Soros’ friends and neighbors. (Vintage footage of women and men with bags over their shoulders walking; crowd by a train)

Kroft: (Voiceover) You’re a Hungarian Jew…

---

Mr. Soros: Right. I was 14 years old. And I would say that that’s when my character was made.

Kroft: In what way?

Mr. Soros: That one should think ahead. One should understand and—anticipate events and when—when one is threatened. It was a tremendous threat of evil. I mean, it was a—a very personal experience of evil.

Kroft: My understanding is that you went out with this protector of yours who swore that you were his adopted godson.

Mr. Soros: Yes. Yes.

Kroft: Went out, in fact, and helped in the confiscation of property from the Jews.

Mr. Soros: Yes. That’s right. Yes.

Kroft: I mean, that’s—that sounds like an experience that would send lots of people to the psychiatric couch for many, many years. Was it difficult?

Mr. Soros: Not—not at all. Not at all. Maybe as a child you don’t—you don’t see the connection. But it was—it created no—no problem at all.

Kroft: No feeling of guilt?

Mr. Soros: No.

Kroft: For example that, ‘I’m Jewish and here I am, watching these people go. I could just as easily be there. I should be there.’ None of that?

Mr. Soros: Well, of course I could be on the other side or I could be the one from whom the thing is being taken away. But there was no sense that I shouldn’t be there, because that was—well, actually, in a funny way, it’s just like in markets—that if I weren’t there—of course, I wasn’t doing it, but somebody else would—would—would be taking it away anyhow. And it was the—whether I was there or not, I was only a spectator, the property was being taken away. So the—I had no role in taking away that property. So I had no sense of guilt.

Mr. Soros: (Voiceover) Mm-hmm.

Kroft: (Voiceover) ...who escaped the Holocaust... (Vintage footage of women walking by train)

Mr. Soros: (Voiceover) Mm-hmm. (Vintage footage of people getting on train)

Kroft: (Voiceover) ...by—by posing as a Christian.

Mr. Soros: (Voiceover) Right. (Vintage footage of women helping each other get on train; train door closing with people in boxcar)

Kroft: (Voiceover) And you watched lots of people get shipped off to the death camps.

crafted and unleashed

Nazi collaborator George Soros, set off to England in 1947 where he became the protégé of radical positivist Sir Karl Popper, who taught at the Fabian Society-initiated London School of Economics in the 1950’s. This is the same Karl Popper who blamed a large part of the crises of developing countries on the “political stupidity” of its leaders. Popper himself states that, “We [the Empire—ed.] have liberated these states too early and in too primitive a way. These are no-law states yet. The same would happen if you’d leave a kindergarten to itself.” Soros’ mentor then argued that the “civilized world” has the right to launch wars against the Third World for the sake of “peace.” Soros bowed to his masters, and carried out that war.
Soros used his Quantum Fund to conduct financial warfare through derivatives and currency speculation. On the European front, in 1992, Soros won a key battle against the European Rate Mechanism (ERM), which was Europe’s financial structure to maintain stable exchange rates among the currencies of Europe. Soros created a financial crisis so that the system could be replaced by the Maastricht Treaty, which established the Euro as the single European currency, and put financial authority in the hands of one central bank, controlled by the Anglo-Dutch oligarchy. This plot began when representatives of Soros met on June 2, 1992, with top British and Anglo-Dutch financial predators, on Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II’s yacht Britannia.²

Part of this operation can be understood by looking at his attacks against the Italian lira in the early 1990’s, which earned him 400 billion lira ($280 million) within a matter of days, while the Bank of Italy was forced to spend, between June and September of 1992, $48 billion of its reserves in a vain attempt to defend its currency. Within a few years, Soros was under criminal investigation for these sinister attacks. Members of the Movimento Internazionale per Diritti Civili Solidarietà first submitted testimony on Soros to the Milan court in 1995, and by the next year, investigations were launched out of Rome and Naples, which were reported on in the Dec. 24, 1996 issue of Corriere della Sera: “The investigation has just started, but the results could be explosive, and the name of the individual being officially investigated gives an idea of how delicate this investigation is: The name is George Soros. … The crime is stock-jobbing. … It concerns the attack on the lira.”

Of course, not all of the money used in this operation can be attributed to “Golem” Soros, but was only money that was handed to him, by London. After all, a Golem doesn’t make himself, he is created and, true to form, Soros’ natural instinct is only to do what he is told in order to survive.

His father taught his boy how to follow his masters very well under the Nazi occupation in Hungary: “The most rational approach, in my view, was complete separation, followed by a quiet effort to blend in with the general population. That is the way animals do it: when they sense danger, instead of presenting a clear target to their enemies, their natural mode of self-preservation is to blend with the scenery and simply disappear. Naturalists call this phenomenon ‘mimicry.’”³

Soros was raised to behave like a beast, and so he does. Upon the destruction of the ERM, which set the stage for Maastricht and, inevitably, the Lisbon Treaty, Soros had only this to say: “I’m sure speculative actions have had some negative consequences. But that does not enter my thinking at all. It cannot. If I abstained from certain actions because of moral doubts, then I would cease to be an effective speculator. I have not even a shadow of remorse for making a profit.” He continues, “I did it only to make money.”⁴

Project Death

On Nov. 30, 1994, Soros spoke before an audience at the Columbia Presbyterian Medical Center, and announced his new foundation, Project on Death in America, to shift the training of hospitals, nurses, and doctors away from expensive life-saving treatment, to the proper care of the dying. In pushing euthanasia legislation, Soros made the Nazi “useless eater” policy legal in the U.S.

A Soros-sponsored assisted suicide (a.k.a. homicide) program to offer patients lethal prescriptions was the Oregon Death with Dignity Act, which subsequently passed in 1998: “As the first state in the United States to allow physicians to help terminally ill patients end their lives, Oregon’s experience will be closely watched by other states.”⁵

Through the Open Society, the Death in America project and other organizations concerned with “end-of-life” issues began collaboration on “transforming the culture of dying.” Soros promoted on his website a one-day seminar coordinated by Balfour Mount, M.D. of Royal Victoria Hospital in the mid-1990’s entitled “Searching for the Soul of Euthanasia.” Soros offered his personal thoughts on the matter: “The use of technology to extend life when life has no meaning, does not make any sense. … It may be more negative than positive, because it causes unnecessary pain and suffering, not to mention the expense” (emphasis added).

---

2. This is the very same Queen Elizabeth which EIR discovered in the 1990’s was on the exclusive clients list of George Soros’ mega-million-dollar offshore Quantum Fund in which he is once again currently active.


Does Soros Have a Drug Problem?

by Alexandra Perebikovsky

**The Hustler on the Street Corner**

In 1985, in response to the chaos of the British Empire’s dope trade, Lyndon LaRouche called on nations to cooperate in a “war on drugs”: “What we are fighting, is not only the effects of the use of these drugs on their victims. The international drug traffic has become an evil and powerful government in its own right. It represents today a financial, political, and military power greater than that of entire nations within the Americas. It is a government which is making war against civilized nations, a government upon which we must declare war, a war which we must fight with the weapons of war, and a war which we must win in the same spirit the United States fought for the unconditional defeat of Nazism between 1941 and 1945.”

Since then, the British Empire’s hustler on the street corner, George Soros, has continued pushing drug legalization in the United States and has even strayed over to the other side of the block and become a supporter of narco-terrorism in South America and Asia. Soros’ immorality and ruthless nature made him the perfect hit man for enforcing the Empire’s drug operations. Provided with funding through speculative activities, Soros launched his own war against anyone opposing the looting policy of London. Since the dope trade is the cornerstone for the physical and economic looting of nations by the British Empire, Soros chose Lyndon LaRouche’s “war on drugs” as his battleground. In defense of his drug hustling operations, Soros wrote that, “The war on drugs was doing more harm than the drugs themselves…. Drugs kill a few people, incapacitate many more, and give parents sleepless nights…. But, as he summed up, that is nothing compared to the harm of nations intervening on the free market.

Through his Open Society Foundation, Soros consistently funneled money into his Drug Policy Foundation (DPF) and Lindesmith Center to aggressively pursue drug legalization in the United States. Soros claimed, “When I decided to extend the operations of my Open Society Foundation to the United States, I chose drug policy as one of the first fields of engagement. I felt that drug policy was the area in which the United States was in the greatest danger of violating the principles of open society.”

1. See George Soros: Hit-Man for the British Oligarchy, by Hector Rivas, in this report.
4. Ibid.
fund the Marijuana Policy Project (MPP), an organization committed to reviving the Woodstock pot-smoking days of 1968. The MPP has given support to states across the nation in the fight to legalize marijuana and threw its support behind Rep. Barney Frank, who lost no time in bending over backwards and lighting up for the drug lobby by introducing HR 2618, a bill for the “medical use” of marijuana. In 1996, Soros reached deeper into the Queen’s underpants and funded ballot initiatives to legalize “medical marijuana” in California and Arizona through propositions 215 and 200, respectively. These propositions made it legal even for children to whip out the bong and receive doses of class-one drugs. In 2000, Soros took the legalization efforts even further and funded a bill to set up the legal retail distribution of marijuana in Nevada, thereby taking the first step towards more serious drug legalization.

Meanwhile, in South America, his activities were even more disastrous. With his fist in the British Empire’s laundered money bags, Soros threw his weight behind narco-terrorism in Colombia, Peru, and Bolivia. His group Human Rights Watch/Americas is a major part of the drug cartel’s drug production and terror apparatus, deploying millions of dollars annually for dope propaganda. In Colombia, he became the leading financier in the fight to legalize cocaine and, through Human Rights Watch, attacked government forces deployed against drug cartel guerrillas, who were slaughtering people across the region. On Nov. 8, 1990, the Medellin drug cartel, leading the violent murder and kidnapping operations in Colombia, sent out a letter demanding that the government publish a report by Soros’ Americas Watch, which denounced the government’s anti-drug actions as violations of human rights. One week later, Juan Mendez, the leader of the Colombian Americas Watch Report, called for “the most total disarmament possible” of the Colombian military in order to allow “free trade” of drugs to resume.

Using two groups in which he was a leading financier, the Andean Council of Coca Leaf Producers and the Andean Commission of Jurists, Soros then established an international project called “Coca 95,” to support the dope trade in Bolivia and Peru. At a conference on March 13-14, 1996, the Andean Commission of Jurists sponsored the “International Meeting on Current Scientific Studies on the Effects of Coca Consumption on Humans,” in which speakers attacked the anti-drug efforts of governments as a threat to the environment! Calling for free trade of all drugs, including cocaine, heroin, marijuana, and synthetics, the Andean Council of Coca Leaf Producers organized for an armed revolt in Bolivia. Soros even cut into the heart of Peru, funding the Presidential campaign of Alejandro Toledo.

LaRouche’s War on Drugs

Coming from the mouth of Dick Cheney and his ilk, the expression “War on Drugs” has been used to justify unprovoked wars on sovereign nations, imposing regime change on their governments, throwing millions of pennypants users and small-time dealers in jail in the U.S., driving desperate peasants in drug-producing countries over the cliff into starvation, and backing one cartel of drug runners against another, to keep the market under control—while religiously taking a hands-off attitude towards the big bankers who actually run Dope, Inc. from the very top.

For Lyndon LaRouche—who coined the expression “War on Drugs” in the 1970s—it has always meant the exact opposite. On March 9, 1985, LaRouche presented a 15-point war plan at a Mexico City conference which centered on cooperation among sovereign nation-states, to identify, attack, and destroy the British-centered [financial] interests who actually run the drug trade. These interests act as a powerful government-in-fact, against which we must wage war. Treaties should be agreed upon among nations, to conduct joint military actions against the drug trade, “to the effect that necessary forms of joint military and law enforcement action do not subvert the national sovereignty of any of the allied nations. …” Intelligence and technological aid “should be supplied with assistance of the United States,” in order to eradicate all illegal plantations, processing centers, and laboratories, and all unlogged aircraft flying across borders, which fail to land according to instructions, should be shot down. And most significantly, “A system of total regulation of financial institutions, to the effect of detecting deposits, outbound transfers, and inbound transfers of funds, which might be reasonably suspected of being funds secured from drug-trafficking, must be established and maintained…. Special attention should be concentrated on those banks, insurance enterprises, and other business institutions which are in fact elements of an international financial cartel coordinating the flow of hundreds of billions annually of revenues from the international drug traffic.” Those involved are guilty of “crimes against humanity,” based on the Nuremberg standard. Confiscated drug funds, LaRouche added, should be allotted “to beneficial purposes of economic development, in basic economic infrastructure, agriculture, and goods-producing industry.”

That is the essence of LaRouche’s “War on Drugs”—and that is why George Soros, and his British masters, hate it.
thereby toppling the anti-drug government of Alberto Fujimori and once again plunging the nation into chaos.

Sound pretty bad? Well, it’s not new. The British Empire’s drive for imperial control is what is truly behind these attacks on nations. Soros’ promotion of narco-terrorism is the equivalent of the “gunboats” employed by the Empire in its launching of the 19th-Century Opium Wars against China and India.

**British Diplomacy**

One of the leading drug traffickers of the British Empire wrote that as long as drug use continues to dominate a country, “there is not the least reason to fear that she will become a military power of any importance, as the habit saps the energies and vitality of the nation.”

For the last two centuries, the British Empire, using this policy to maintain its imperial control over the world, has dominated the dope trade, using it to prop up its horrific system of slavery. The British East India Company first opened up the opium trade with China in 1715 but, it was not until Lord Shelburne’s 1763-83 melding of the bankrupt East India Company and near bankrupt British nation into a global empire, that Britain had a monopoly in the dope and slave trade.

Under the evil free trade doctrine of Adam Smith, this British Empire used its might as a sea power to construct a system of controlled trade and drug trafficking to economically and culturally suppress nations. The prime drug of choice was opium. With the deployment of East India Company merchants into India, the West Indies, and the United States, populations were forced to grow opium and cotton on slave plantations. Banning any kind of manufacturing in the colonies, cotton was exported, loaded onto Royal British Ships, taken on a long trek all the way to “the manufacturing house” of England, spun into cloth, and dragged all the way back to India. Meanwhile, Indian opium was exported to China, and the profits were used to pay for the entire shipping and manufacturing of the imported cloth! This system succeeded in enslaving the populations of India, the Americas, and China, destroying their land, and rendering the nations incapable of improving their impoverished condition!

The Chinese emperor, sick at the sight of his destroyed nation and attempting to resist this cultural enslavement and bombardment of the population, “seized every particle of opium; put under bond every European engaged in the merchandise of it; and the papers of to-day (1839) inform us that he has cut off the China trade, ‘root and branch.’”

Furious, the British demanded that their “produce” (a.k.a. opium) be imported, or else. As one of the London Times editors puts it, “We have everywhere obtained that our goods shall be imported into all these countries. To attain those ends, we use all sorts of means, from courteous invitation to bombardments. We prefer to employ mere eloquence, because it is cheap and easy; but if talking fails we follow it up by gunboats, and, in that convincing way, we induce hesitating ‘barbarians’ not only to accept our two unvarying conditions, but also to pay the cost of the expedition by which their consent to these conditions was extorted from them. China was so unwilling to listen to our advice, so blind to the striking merits of our opium and our consuls, that we were obliged, with great regret, to resort to gentle force with her.”

Any challenge to British imperial policy was immediately met with gunboats and, in the case of China, two opium wars between the years of 1839-1842 and 1858-1860 were waged in order to complete the process of “opening up all of China” to British free trade. This British imperial drive continued and, by the end of World War I, the extent of British imperialism was felt everywhere. Nations which had attempted to avert British imperial control were destroyed economically and culturally and their countries were flooded with drugs.

---

Revive the War on Drugs!

The British Empire still exists as an active threat to the world today, though the name has since become taboo. If you’ve bought in to the media cover stories that history occurs only as isolated local events and are thinking, “I don’t believe in conspiracy theories,” then you don’t know history. In reality the same financier and oligarchical circles which were responsible for the China opium wars throughout the 18th-19th centuries, typified by the ancient imperial models of Babylon, Persia, and Venice, are responsible for creating the current global financial and economic collapse.

George Soros is one of the main British instruments, carefully chosen to be a front man of the Empire, covering up for its disgusting looting policy, now known, euphemistically, as globalization. Through organizations such as Human Rights Watch and Open Society, Soros pushes drugs and destroys nations. Soros says that now, “The United States, like nineteenth-century Britain, also has an interest in keeping international markets and global commons, such as the oceans, open to all.” Just like the British East India Company’s devastation of India and China through two opium wars and decades of free trade, the same Empire calls on Soros as the assassin in the destruction of the United States. It is only through the obliteration of British hack George Soros and the British Empire which he represents, that we can hope to sober up the United States today.


George Soros: The Forced-Open Society

by Leandra Bernstein

As the world financial system hobbles on its last legs, the City of London has once again unleashed George Soros to open the gates of Hell at the present strategic turning-point in world history. Soros has long been a front man chosen to subjugate nations by funneling offshore money into corruption conduits coyly masked as “philanthropic” and “human rights” organizations. His purpose is to eliminate the U.S. system of national sovereignty, as he states himself, “Democracy and open society cannot be imposed from the outside because the principle of sovereignty stands in the way of outside interference. . . Admittedly it is difficult to interfere with the internal affairs of sovereign countries, but it is important to face up to the problem.”

Not Philanthropy; Misanthropy

During his first criminal investigation for stock manipulation in 1979, George Soros started The Open Society Fund. The Fund was supposed to create “open societies” through philanthropic organizations; today it operates in 29 countries. The term “open society,” Soros took from his mentor, British Aristotelian Society figure Karl Popper. Following Popper, with an admixture of Friedrich von Hayek’s economics, Soros raised the banners of “open society” and “people’s right to choose” in order to promote his own notions of personal financial aggrandizement through speculation, and to campaign against the modern nation-state.

Asserting that “states have interests but no principles,” Soros explains that the ideal open society would suppress particular national interests, while an international political and financial structure takes responsibility for the so-called common good.1 Accordingly, Soros arms his philanthropic organizations with cash, buying up key sectors within the population who are then let loose to overthrow a government that tries to maintain a “closed society.”2 If a nation wishes to control its own natural resources, it’s a closed society. If a nation wants to develop its economy and power of labor through tariffs and regulations, it’s a closed society. Any nation that rejects globalization (i.e., British imperialism), is a closed society and subject to attacks from Soros and his shadow government of national agents.

The Open Society Institute (OSI), Human Rights Watch, the Soros Foundation, the Extractive Industries Transparency Institute, are all British-style intelligence outfits under the supervision of Soros. In 2002, Soros admitted to personally spending over $2.1 billion in five years on his philanthropic ventures. Of his organizations, he writes, “They work with the government when they can and independently of the government when they cannot; sometimes they are in outright opposition. When foundations can cooperate with the government, they can be more effective; when they cannot, their work is more needed and more appreciated because they offer an al-

1. In this respect, Soros’s admiration for the UN, WTO, World Bank, and IFTI (International Financial and Trade Institutions) is notable, as well as his past and present collaboration with these institutions and their ranking members.

2. To better understand this process, see Euripides’ Greek tragedy, The Bacchae, on the cult of Dionysus.
alternative source of funding for civil society. As a general rule, the worse the government, the better the foundation because it enjoys the commitment and support of civil society.”

That same year, George Soros and Liberal Imperialist (limp) Tony Blair collaborated on an explicit assault on national sovereignty, they launched the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI), to create an international standard by which nations rich in oil, natural gas, and strategic metals would report all revenues and payments related to these resources. The international organization (EITI) lobbies the governments to adopt a standard for revenue reporting which allows them to peer into government-company revenues in strategic industries. Whatever they view as “closed society” behavior is brought before the tribunal of the paid-for demos; or, if the behavior seriously threatens imperial interests, the UN, World Bank, IMF, WTO, etc., can be mobilized to implement sanctions. This process of subjecting a sovereign nation to a fixed international standard of behavior is called, “transparency.” The fixed relationship among those subject nations is called Empire.

Blair explicitly stated his vision for such international institutions in a speech before the UN World Summit in September 2005: “For the first time at this Summit we are agreed that states do not have the right to do what they will within their own borders, but that we, in the name of humanity, have a common duty to protect people where their own governments will not.” Already the EITI has 23 countries lined up to be swallowed into the Commonwealth and looted. These nations are primarily in the Horn of Africa, but include crucial states bordering Russia and China.

Yet, many well-meaning people inside the U.S. and elsewhere have thrown their support behind Soros for his “human rights advocacy,” rallying to the call of ending “authoritarian regimes,” and increasing “transparency.”

The Fight for Eurasia

In his historic 1983 economic forecast, Lyndon LaRouche warned that if the Soviet Union were to reject his Strategic Defense Initiative, adopted by President Reagan and offered to Moscow as an area of cooperation, then “the strains on the Comecon economy would lead to a collapse of that economic system in about five years.” At his October 1988 address at the Kempinski Hotel in Berlin, LaRouche repeated that warning: “All of us who are members of that stratum called world-class politicians, know that the world has now entered what most agree is the end of the postwar era. . . . What governments do during the coming two years will decide the fate of all humanity for a century or more to come. . . . The time has come for a bold decision on U.S. policy toward Central Europe.” One year later, the Berlin Wall came down, and the LaRouche Movement grabbed the attention of influential circles throughout Eurasia around the prospect of building the Productive Triangle and later the Eurasian Land-Bridge to transform the continent into a prosperous community of nation-states.

LaRouche was the first to identify and act on the coming turning point in world history. But, within the British establishment, a small handful of strategic planners were also thinking in terms of long historical waves, and preparing for possible regime change in the U.S.S.R. and the Comecon. They saw the crisis in East Germany, Eastern Europe at large, and the Soviet Union as an opening for a drastic turn toward their system.

Soros was their point-man. His interventions throughout the contested East European area, but especially in Russia and the Balkans, were decisive in turning a moment of great happiness and hope—the 1989-1991 end of the Cold War division of Europe—into a tragedy for the region’s nations and its people. Everywhere, Soros’ campaign for an “open society” said that a central test of “openness” was radical financial and economic deregulation.

3. Earlier, in 1999, Blair demanded the NATO bombing of Serbia/Yugoslavia, under the humanitarian guise of protecting Kosovo and Albania against the Serbs. Blair’s rejection of the principles of the 1648 Treaty of Westphalia have pioneered the modern era of pre-emptive war, and much of the mess of our war-torn planet today.
Soros deployed into Eastern Europe to build his foundations, well before the Berlin Wall came down in 1989. The Stefan Batory Foundation in Poland, registered in May 1988, was ground zero for the European test-run of Jeffrey Sachs’ “shock therapy” model, later used throughout the region to implement free-market looting, and monetary austerity. Soros wrote of the Polish implementation, “The IMF approved and the program went into effect on Jan. 1, 1990. It was very tough on the population, but people were willing to take a lot of pain in order to see real change. Inflation has been reduced, but the outcome still hangs in the balance because structural adjustment is slow in coming. Production has fallen 30%, but employment has fallen by 3%. This means the entrenched management of state enterprises is using the respite it gained from wage claims to improve its profit margins and keep the workers employed. There is an unholy alliance between management and labor that will be hard to break.”

In other words, Shachtian monetary austerity, slave labor, and wrecking guarantees to state workers. The existing, military-oriented industry of the Soviet bloc was not to be retrooled for Eurasian development, it was to be trashed. The skilled industrial workforce of Eastern Europe was to become a cheap labor pool.

This was the same model used to cripple Russia. Here, too, Soros was in the midst of cultivating a new leadership to implement the Hayekian-Friedmanite model, even before the Soviet Union cracked. In 1990, he financed foreign jurists and economists to prepare documentation in support of the Shatalin Plan, also known as the “500 Days” plan for shifting the U.S.S.R. to free market economics, shutting down the Soviet military-industrial economy, and imposing “budgetary discipline.” Academician Leonid Abalkin and the late Valentin Pavlov, then the Soviet finance minister, acted to block full adoption of the Shatalin Plan in 1990. But the next year, some of the young economists whom Soros had sponsored, on trips to meet International Monetary Fund officials and other free-market gurus in the West, took power in the first post-Soviet Russian government under Boris Yeltsin. They implemented the disaster of a program which Soros had sought: shock price liberalization, privatization of state industry, rotten deals to sell off strategic metals stockpiles, and an open field for criminal trafficking in raw materials, weapons, and drugs. In only five years, the labor force had largely shifted from production to criminal activity, the living standard plunged, and the former Soviet region saw the fastest expansion of drug trade and drug use in the world.4

In the years following the Soviet break-up, Soros set up foundations in 23 countries. After the launching of the 1991 Balkans War, Soros dumped millions into the region, earmarking $15 million for political subversion in Croatia alone. In December 1996, Croatian President Franjo Tudjman launched a useful attack, saying, “With the help of Soros, [the organizations] have entirely infiltrated society…. They have involved in their project 290 different institutions, as well as hundreds of people…. [T]hrough financial support, they roped in members of all ages and classes, from high school students to journalists, university professors, and academicians, from all circles of culture, economy, science, health, law, and literature…. They openly say: Their duty is to change the property and government structures through donations.…. To create favorable circumstances for the subversion of the present authority and situation in Croatia, to gain control over all spheres of life, they intend to focus their energies and influence on the media and the world of culture.”

At the same time, Soros set up the International Science Foundation (ISF), offering sizable grants to Russian scientists. People were poor and looking for a living; Soros stepped in with projects and money. Many confided that they knew it was wrong, but they needed the money to survive. Though he was able to pay the scientists, Soros’ R&D investments were not nearly enough to accomplish breakthrough work. U.S. intelligence sources were convinced that Soros was just picking their brains. The ISF initially offered large grants, but as Soros steadily withdrew funds, he drew young scientists out of the country, taking from Russia its most vital natural resource.

In 1997, Soros pledged a $300-500 million infusion of funds into Russia over the following three years, through the Soros Foundation and the Open Society Institute. The seven-part package aimed to make Soros indispensable in areas where Russia was hurting the most: health care, education, culture, books (through a textbook donation program, the OSI seized the high ground in Russian schools), Internet access, law and local government, and retraining of military personnel.

During the height of the Open Society Institute’s expansion in Eurasia, Soros continued to play the market there, as well. He snapped up a 25% interest in Russia’s national telecommunications company for $1 billion, and later sold it. In the Russian bond collapse of August 1998, which was precipitated by a wave of speculative money fleeing the chaos touched off by Soros’ and related hedge fund currency operations in Southeast Asia, the Quantum Fund and other of his funds lost $2 billion.

In June 2003, Soros announced that he was scaling back his funding of operations in Russia in order to focus more on the United States, after becoming “preoccupied with problems of globalization” and, since Sept. 11, “with the role that the United States plays in the world.”

On June 12 of this year, the OSI announced an initiative to spend $800 million over the next 10 years “to advance democracy and progressive reform in the United States.” Grants will be funded to study how institutions like the EU and the UN can be used to “influence or constrain illiberal behav-

---

4. The spread of drug use coincided with an epidemic of HIV/AIDS largely along the drug trade route into Afghanistan. Today, the Soros Foundation prides itself in “treatment, advocacy, and harm reduction services” to deal with HIV/AIDS and TB, the results of Soros’ free-market reforms.
ior,” and how stability and order can be maintained after an “authoritarian regime” has been collapsed. The shock troop attacks Soros’ hordes carried out in the East should be fair warning to those in the U.S. who continue to be soft on Soros’ “democratic reforms” and “open society” projects.

There Is No Transparency Off-Shore

Contrary to the romantic view of super-financier, George Soros, he has never acted alone in any of his operations, and his primary self-interest has been saving his sorry neck from his sponsors.

A decade before launching The Open Society Fund, Soros left his post at Arnhold and S. Bleichroeder Inc. with sponsorship to launch the off-shore Quantum Fund N.V., which was reportedly managing $11-14 billion in 2001. Both the Quantum Fund and Soros Fund Management, operate as crucial sources for the money going to the international projects cited above. By setting up in the Netherlands Antilles, a British Protectorate, and excluding American citizens from investing in the fund or sitting on the board of directors, Soros eludes U.S. law enforcement scrutiny, U.S. taxes, and other regulations, while demanding transparency from everyone else.

Soros has gone so far out of his way to avoid U.S. laws that he is not even on the board of his own fund, but serves as official “Investment Advisor” through the New York based Soros Fund Management. Instead, the list of investors and the board of the Quantum Fund is stacked with British, Italian, and Swiss financiers, with Queen Elizabeth II holding a special position on the list of exclusive clients. Quantum board member Richard Katz is also on the board of the London N.M. Rothschild & Sons merchant bank, and is the head of Rothschild Italia S.p.A.; Nils O. Taube, is the head of the London investment group, St. James Palace, a major partner with Lord Rothschild; and George Karlweiss, of Edmond de Rothschild’s Swiss Banca Privata. According to interviews and published sources, Karlweiss played a key role in giving Soros the initial start-up capital for Quantum. The Rothschilds’ banking apparatus, with its international branches, has been, and remains at the center of British sponsored dirty money and financial warfare operations, from money laundering, to raw materials grabs, drugs-for-weapons deals, sponsorship of international crime networks, and significant control over the gold trade—which is essential for the global drug trade.

Quantum board member and top Swiss financier, Edgar de Picciotto, was involved in launching attacks against LaRouche’s European organization through the mid-late 1980’s when he pushed money through the Swiss think-tank, Geo-Pol, to fund the corrupt Laurent Murawiec, presently residing at the neo-con Hudson Institute. De Picciotto is presently the chair of the Union Bancaire Privee, the offspring of a shady merger with Edmund Safra’s Trade Development Bank, notably involved in the Iran-Contra affair. Safra, who became too dirty for even the British to use, was famously murdered in 1999 when under investigation by Swiss and U.S. authorities for using his Republic Bank of New York to transfer billions of dollars.

5. Arnhold and S. Bleichroeder Inc. represented Rothschild banking interests in Germany during the period of Chancellor Bismarck. As of 1993, it was the principal custodian of the Quantum Fund, along with Citibank.

6. In his 2001 Strategic Memorandum: Look What Happened in Brazil, Lyndon LaRouche describes Laurent Murawiec as “a real-life ‘Beetlebaum’ of the legendary mythical horse-race, and a hand-me-down political carcass, currently in the possession of institutions of a peculiar odor.”
Federal Reserve notes to Mafia-controlled banks in Moscow in the early 1990’s. He was also under investigation for laundering money through the Turkish and Colombian drug trade.

According to former U.S. State Department intelligence officers, familiar with the Soros case, Soros’ Quantum Fund amassed its billions from “silent investors,” like Marc Rich—as well as Mossad agents Shaul Eisenberg and Rafi Eytan. During the Soviet break-up, Quantum Fund investor, Marc Rich, was crucial in the raw materials smuggling. He did the groundwork of coercing desperate and corrupt Russian and Soviet leaders to sell the nation’s raw material wealth to the global markets. That money was then taken out of the country and invested in offshore accounts. Rich, a U.S. fugitive since 1984, organized the looting from his office in London, where he helped his Russian contacts sell those materials normally used for domestic consumption.

For 17 years, Rich was a fugitive in London from charges of tax evasion, fraud, and trading with the enemy (Iran). Rich hired Lewis Libby as his personal attorney. In 2001, Al “stinking possum” Gore helped get a Presidential pardon for Rich in the final hours of Bill Clinton’s term. Later, in testimony before Congress, Libby admitted that he secured the pardon for Rich by working through Gore’s former chief of staff, Jack Quinn (as well as two former Mossad agents employed by Rich).

A Piece of Advice

The global economy is presently undergoing a hyperinflationary blow-out. The international institutions and financier networks outlined above, whose activities are illegal under the United States Federal Constitution, have been positioning themselves for decades to seize control now. It is now possible for the government of the United States to immediately shut down Soros’ filthy operations and launch the recovery prescribed in LaRouche’s “Three Steps to Survival.”

It was wise for all those who are presently defending George Soros by accepting his money to take pause: Whatever happens otherwise, if the United Kingdom continues its present course, Britain’s imperial design (1763-2008) is now doomed to a very early and ugly end. All that remains in doubt on this account, is, whether or not the disintegration of the British empire will carry the rest of European civilization down with it, down into a prolonged, planetary-wide dark age, down forever from the Britain of Lord Shelburne which aspired to become a permanent successor to the failed Roman Empire. Is the money really worth it?

7. Before running $2.5 billion in “natural resources” trade with Russia, Marc Rich got his start in the triangular trade of weapons, oil, and drugs, around the Afghan and Iran-Iraq wars. See EIR Special Reports, To Stop Terrorism—Shut Down Dope Inc. (2001), and The True Story of Soros the Golem (1997).


---

The Case of Malaysia

by Alexandra Perebikovsky

Technically, Malaysia gained its independence from the British Empire in 1957. Since then however, the British intention has been to continue using the nation as its very own playground for its free trade chaos and looting operations. In 1997, Lyndon LaRouche stated, “free-trade, practiced against the nations of Southeast Asia, is simply a new form of colonialism, whose fruit is mass murder. In that sense, there is no difference, in effect, on people, between free trade and Nazism.”

Up until the mid-1990s currency crisis, Malaysia was a staunch follower of globalization, albeit taking significant steps toward development in the years following its independence. Since the British deployment of George Soros into Southeast Asia to loot the currencies of these nations, Malaysia has changed its view. In the mid-1990’s, Lyndon LaRouche forecast that the so-called “Tigers” of Southeast Asia, after years of globalization, hot money flows, and destructive speculative activity would suffer the same fate as Mexico after 1995—utter collapse. Indeed, in the months leading up to July 1997, Soros worked tirelessly to carry out London’s currency warfare, with the intent to collapse the Southeast Asian economies. The Tiger economies had succumbed to the flood of hot money in the 1990s, which created bubble economies based on inflated stock values and financial services. The government “guarantees” on foreign investments, imposed by the western speculators, ultimately bankrupted the Southeast Asian national economies.

Soros financed a large portion of this hot money. He began his attack on the Thai and Malaysian currencies in February of 1997 “with a zeal I haven’t seen since the successful assault on several European currencies around three years ago” according to one analyst. Through speculation in futures markets, Soros’ Quantum Fund leveraged $1.2 trillion. He took short positions against the Thai baht, the Philippine peso, the Indonesian rupiah, and the Malaysian ringgit, sending these currencies crashing by 40-70%, collapsing stock markets, and wiping out currency reserves. The breaking point was in July of 1997 when the Thai baht was forced to float, with greater than 20% devaluation, after...
the government had unsuccessfully spent over $15 billion trying to defend the currency. The IMF austerity conditions imposed on these nations following the collapse drove their economies back 15-20 years in their potential for development and their standard of living.

On Sept. 20, 1997, Malaysian Prime Minister Dr. Mahathir bin Mohammed stood before the IMF and defiantly spoke out against the looting policies of the British Empire: “We in Malaysia laughed at the suggestion that our country would follow the fate of Mexico. . . . But now we know better. We know now that even as Mexico’s economic crash was manipulated and made to crash, the economies of other developing countries, too, can be suddenly manipulated and forced to bow to the great fund managers who have now come to be the people to decide who should prosper and who shouldn’t.”

Prior to the attack on the Southeast Asian markets, Mahathir had been an outspoken follower of globalization. However, following the British Empire’s organized takedown of the Malaysian economy, the fantasy of “free trade” was broken. Reflecting the proposals of economist Lyndon LaRouche, Dr. Mahathir launched his own attack against speculator George Soros, calling him a “moron.” EIR’s special report “The True Story of Soros the Golem; A Profile of Mega-Speculator George Soros,” circulated widely in Malaysia’s leading circles. London, surprised by Mahathir’s sudden backlash, unleashed a string of slanders, including an article published in the Asian Wall Street Journal on Sept. 19, 1997, titled: “Malaysia’s Mahathir Finds Strange Source for Soros Campaign; Asian Country’s Media Tap U.S. Conspiracy Theorist Lyndon LaRouche, Jr.” In an attempt to destroy any influence or connection Malaysia had to LaRouche, London deployed Soros once more to clean up the mess. Soros was given a chance to defend himself against Prime Minister Mahathir’s accusations and attempted to deny the charges—he was not successful:

Ted Koppel: “And he, I mean his charge is that you, in effect, systematically set out to destroy Malaysia’s currency.”

Soros: “And that is absolute nonsense. Now, you know, what more can I say? It’s just absolutely no foundation at all.”

Koppel: “Because—I mean put it in easily understandable terms. I mean if you could have profited by destroying Malaysia’s currency, would you have shrunk from that?”

Soros: “Not necessarily, because that would have been an unintended consequence of my action. And it’s not my job as a participant to calculate the consequences. This is what a market is. That’s the nature of a market. So I’m a participant in the market.”

Koppel: “Apolitical, amoral?”

Soros: “That’s exactly right.”

The Backlash

In September 1998, Dr. Mahathir shocked the world by declaring sovereign currency controls on the Malaysian ringgit, pegging the currency to a fixed exchange rate against the dollar, and thereby effectively ending the speculators ability to loot the country through currency speculation. Soros, and the entire Western financial oligarchy, went berserk, claiming that Dr. Mahathir’s actions against IMF orthodoxy would bring damnation down upon his country. In fact, as was later obvious to all, his defense of the nation’s sovereignty saved the population from the devastation suffered by every other nation that had been subjected to Soros’ butcher knife.

Following Malaysia’s break with globalization, London launched an even nastier operation to create an internal crisis in Malaysia. Anwar Ibrahim was the deputy prime minister and chosen heir to Mahathir; he became the target to carry out the Empire’s brutal operation.

Anwar was later kicked out of his post as deputy prime minister because he “lacked the moral standards required” to lead the nation. Financed by Soros and his cronies through the Open Society Foundation, Anwar proceeded to launch a campaign to bring down the government of Malaysia. He portrayed himself as a freedom fighter and champion of free

market society, denouncing the new government’s protectionist economic policies and accused them of carrying out a conspiracy to destroy him. Meanwhile, Soros’ Human Rights and Open Society organizations played their part in labeling Mahathir as the “last of the old-line Asian authoritarians” and showed Anwar as the “reformer” trying to free the people of Malaysia. The Western media, including the Wall Street Journal, continued to fuel the turmoil in Malaysia, saying: “The sacking Wednesday night of Malaysian Deputy Prime Minister Anwar Ibrahim signaled the end of a battle for the soul of an important nation. . . . At home and abroad, Mr. Anwar had come to symbolize the democratic aspirations and open-mindedness of a new generation, more at ease in the world and less burdened with the pain of old slights and frustrations than the man he was expected to succeed.”

Even Soros buddy Al Gore threw his weight behind the speculators. On November 13, 1998, President Clinton had been invited to speak at the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation Forum, hosted by Malaysia. Due to the severity of the Iraq crisis, Clinton was forced to stay back and dispatched Al Gore in his place. The resulting catastrophe occurred on November 16 when Gore delivered a speech to the APEC business advisory council where he called for a “short term” recovery by allowing “free markets to work their magic,” and, though not naming him, endorsed Anwar Ibrahim over Mahathir to lead the nation. Sprinkling salt in the wound, Gore echoed Anwar’s cries for a new government: “People will accept sacrifice in a democracy, not only because they have had a role in choosing it, but because they rightly believe they are likely to benefit from it. . . . The message this year from Indonesia is unmistakable: People are willing to take responsibility for their future—if they have the power to determine that future. . . . Democracy confers a stamp of legitimacy that reforms must have in order to be effective. And so, among nations suffering economic crises, we continue to hear calls for democracy and reform in many languages—‘people’s power,’ ‘doi moi,’ ‘reformasi.’ We hear them today—right here, right now—among the brave people of Malaysia.” With the Malaysian government incensed and the Malaysian people riled up, Gore promptly left the venue. A few days later, then Foreign Minister Abdullah Badawi, sent the U.S. a heated letter of protest, warning that the United States would be held accountable for inciting instability.

**Malaysia Today**

The escapades of Soros, Gore, and other London cronies in Malaysia can only be seen in one light—the British Empire’s continued aims at destabilization in Southeast Asia. Today, Abdullah Badawi has taken over the post of prime minister and Anwar, with one hand permanently glued to Soros’ glutinous money bags, is still running operations aimed at destabilizing the government, including his intended buy-off of parliamentarians in the opposition party, the United Malays Political Organization. The fate of Malaysia remains to be seen. However, in the context of the current global financial collapse, its future lies in the implementation of Lyndon LaRouche’s four powers agreement and in the destruction of the British Empire and its crony, George Soros.

---


5. In the intervening decade, with weight to spare, Al Gore threw it behind his own speculative venture in the cap-and-trade carbon market.

6. At that time, Anwar had been under arrest and on trial for charges of corruption and sodomy.

George Soros Buys the Nomination; Obama Borrows It

by Ed Hamler

The ongoing 2008 Presidential election represents Soros’ importation of the techniques he has utilized for popular subversion in foreign lands to the U.S. political process. MoveOn.org, an organization hugely funded by George Soros, played a central role in Barack Obama’s capture of the Democratic nomination, despite Hillary Clinton’s clear superiority in the popular vote. Although positioned as a pro-Obama instrument long before, as of February of 2008, MoveOn officially backed Obama’s campaign, sending him an army of “volunteers” and an established money machine and fundraising base. As Lyndon LaRouche has repeatedly warned, Obama himself is a throwaway in the financial and fundraising base. As Lyndon LaRouche has repeatedly warned, Obama himself is a throwaway in the financial oligarchy’s plan to capture the Presidency of the United States under conditions of economic collapse. He was promoted to destroy the Clinton candidacy and its potential for a Rooseveltian solution to the financial collapse.

MoveOn.Org

MoveOn.org got its start in 1998, receiving major support from the most fascist Democrats in the party, Joe Lieberman and Daniel Patrick Moynihan, to censure President Clinton over the Monica Lewinsky scandal. Faced in 1998 with a worldwide economic collapse, President Clinton called for a “new financial architecture,” echoing Lyndon LaRouche’s call for a New Bretton Woods financial system. Soros, at the same time, engaged in currency warfare, which intentionally collapsed the Thai baht, the ringgit of Malaysia, and the lira in Italy. In short order after the President called for a new financial architecture, the Lewinsky scandal blew-up. The Newt Gingrich-led Congress, along with Al Gore’s treasonous faction inside the Democratic Party fed the ensuing media frenzy, effectively destroying the Clinton Administration’s economic program in its remaining years. In its drive to censure President Clinton, MoveOn demonstrated a proclivity for political prostitution, appreciated by Soros’ controllers. Soros moved to buy up MoveOn. By 2004, MoveOn, the so-called “grassroots” organization, was practically owned by George Soros.

According to a Michelle Goldberg article in Salon.com, this process began in 2003. Soros and his associates had decided to pour tons of money into the MoveOn coffers. The total contributed from 2003-04 was about $6.2 million dollars, the largest “soft money” contribution ever. During 2003-04, Soros and MoveOn heavily backed Wall Street suckling Howard “Scream” Dean for President. They later mobilized to ensure him a comfortable seat as Chairman of the Democratic Party to do what he does best: lose! As chairman, Dean’s mission has been to demoralize the lower 80% of income brackets (the true base of the Democratic party) while recruiting white collar and affluent professionals, MySpace-addicted youth, and as many minorities and trade unionists who will sell their souls as a new “Democratic majority.” Despite Dean’s sabotage, the American population gave the Democrats a resounding victory in the 2006 mid-term election, turning out in record numbers to vote on the basis of ending the war and fixing the economy. But by October of the next year, Congress’ support from the population fell, from 80% to below that of President Bush, due to Dean and Pelosi’s roles in blocking any initiative to reverse the damage wreaked by Bush and Cheney. Mission accomplished.

MoveOn also played an active and significant role in the pressure campaign to make sure Senator Clinton dropped out of the race for President, thus acting as a front organization for Soros and his masters. MoveOn.org sent out a sophistry-ridden e-mail petition, ironically attacking Clinton for putting pressure on the superdelegates for support. It called on the superdelegates to let the voters decide who the Democratic nominee will be:

“Stand up for Democracy in the Democratic Party.
“A group of millionaire Democratic donors are threatening to stop supporting Democrats in Congress because Nancy Pelosi said that the people, not the superdelegates, should decide the Presidential nomination.
“They’re Clinton supporters and they’re trying to use their high-roller status to strangle the Democratic leaders.
“So let’s tell Nancy Pelosi that if she keeps standing up for regular Americans, thousands of us will have her back.
“A compiled petition with your individual comment will be presented to Speaker Nancy Pelosi and the Democratic leadership.

“Full petition text:
“The Democratic nomination should be decided by the voters—not by superdelegates or party high-rollers. We’ve given money—and time—to progressive candidates and causes, and we’ll support Speaker Pelosi and others who stand up for Democracy in the Democratic Party.”

Of course, when Senator Clinton won the popular vote
and the real high-rollers of the world and the party establishment united behind Obama, MoveOn stood fully exposed as the expendable creation of these same forces. Since Senator Clinton actually won the popular vote, will MoveOn stand by its original statement?

Never one to miss an “opportunity,” Soros also personally profited from one of MoveOn’s biggest political campaigns. In 2006, MoveOn and the Center for American Progress waged a campaign against Cheney’s Halliburton. Halliburton’s stock dropped from $40 to $26 a share. While MoveOn railed against Halliburton, Soros gradually bought 1,999,450 shares. By December 2006, these shares comprised more than 2% of his total portfolio, making Halliburton the Soros Fund Management’s biggest investment that year. Then, the attacks on Halliburton stopped, and the stock value began climbing, climbing all the way up to today’s $50/share.

Democracy Alliance

One further maneuver in Soros’ effort to take over the Democratic Party was his formation of the Democracy Alliance. In 2005, George Soros and 70 millionaires and billionaires got together to discuss further prospects for buying up the Democratic Party. On July 27, 2006 the Washington Post reported that there was a requirement that every member of the Democratic Alliance give $200,000 to the organization, but most members gave more, and Soros was one of the top three contributors. Democratic Alliance funds were thrown into organizations like the Center for American Progress (CAP) and the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN). These organizations also played a role in operations against Senator Clinton in the primary campaign.

For example, on May 13, the day of the West Virginia primary, John Edwards publicly stated his neutral position concerning which candidate he would back for President until the nominating convention in August. A day later he came out to endorse Obama, following Obama’s defeat by Senator Clinton by huge margins in the West Virginia primary. Edwards thus participated in a public spectacle meant to take the sting away from the millions of votes Clinton received from the poorest state in the nation. Edwards had just launched an anti-poverty campaign called “Half-In-Ten,” which proposes to cut poverty in half in the next ten years. Edwards anti-poverty campaign received significant funding from the Soros controlled CAP and ACORN organizations. In addition to threats and other pressure tactics known to have been utilized against superdelegates, one wonders what might have been brought against Edwards concerning the funding of the programs dearest to him?

Barack Obama

Obama himself has been blessed with Soros’ “soft money” since he was an Illinois state senator. Obama’s career in national politics was catapulted by George Soros’ pool of dough during his run for the U.S. Senate in 2004. Throughout that campaign year, Soros kept tabs on Obama. On July 4, 2004, one month before the Democratic Nominating Convention in Boston, Obama was the only candidate Soros personally met with that year in Soros’ New York home.

This same year, Soros and his family raised $60,000 for Obama. In 2006, Obama, as U.S. Senator for the state of Illinois, had his sights set on bigger things. He met with Soros again in his Manhattan office. That meeting lasted about an hour. Immediately afterward, Soros introduced Obama to a dozen of the biggest moneybags in politics, including financier and hedge fund manager Orin Kramer and Union Bank of Switzerland U.S. chief Robert Wolf. A week later Wolf had dinner with Obama in Washington D.C. to craft his campaign strategy, one month before Obama officially launched his Presidential bid.

Obama announced his candidacy for President in January 2007. In just four months, Soros and Wolf raised $500,000 for Obama. From April until the closing months of the primary campaign season, Soros and his associates held a series of fundraisers and practically guaranteed a steady flow of money into his campaign. In fact, Soros played a major role in changing how political campaigns are run in the United States, through his support for the McCain-Feingold campaign reform legislation in 2002. Soros’ Open Society claims that it provided the key logistical support for the legislation by mobilizing itself and other foundations to lobby for the legislation and to raise the money needed to defend it against subsequent court challenges. As a result of the McCain-Feingold act and subsequent developments, PACs with wealthy sponsors, like MoveOn, Internet-based “movements,” and wealthy bundlers, like those who predominate in Obama’s campaign, have taken the place of constituency organizations, and have thus become the central focus of all political activity. So, after the vast sums of cash that were thrown around, after key Clinton support was simply bought off, should there be any mystery as to how Obama apparently got the nomination?