

all of us who care.”

Yet, in the current moment of apparent victory, it is the interests of these forgotten men and women, which Obama appears to have decided to ignore. In the midst of the current intensifying economic and social crisis, such a turn, as LaRouche points out in the accompanying statement, augurs disaster not only for Obama’s campaign, but for the nation as a whole.

The ‘Issues’

The two most prominent shifts which Obama has made came on what were previously his signature issues: campaign finance, and the illegal warrantless wire-tapping program of the Bush Administration, which would be enshrined in the revisions to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA).

Obama had promised, both his constituents and the McCain campaign, that he would take public financing for the general election, allegedly as a symbol of his commitment to being responsive to the people, and not to big money. The excuse for changing that position? Behind all the gobbledygook, the only explanation is that it will permit what is already a quarter-billion dollar campaign, to grow even larger.

What has been more alarming to Obama’s constituency, was his shift on the FISA issue, toward support for a compromise with (i.e., capitulation to) the Bush Administration’s program of warrantless wiretaps, and immunity for telecom companies that violated the Constitution at the Bush-Cheney regime’s demand. MoveOn.org, the George Soros-backed Internet operation which played a crucial role in building Obama’s campaign in the first place, is enraged, and flooding his offices with demands that he back a filibuster of the bill. There is no indication that that will happen.

But these are not the only issues where Obama has shifted to the right. On July 1, Obama announced his own “faith-based initiative,” in an obvious copy-cat of the Bush Administration’s “buy-up-the-pastors” program. On July 2, *ABC News* reported that Obama had aired a television ad in which he praised the 1996 welfare “reform” which “slashed the rolls by 80%.” This notorious “Contract with America” program, sold to President Clinton by Dick Morris and Al Gore, is anathema to most unionists and low-income Democrats—as it previously was to Senator Obama.

Obama’s foreign policy shifts have been less pronounced, but the fact remains, as *EIR* has previously reported, that his key foreign policy advisors, Anthony Lake and

As of Now, Obama Would Lose!

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

The LaRouche Political Action Committee issued this release on July 1, 2008.

Unless there is an early, and sudden, end to the change in recent direction of his wildly shifting campaign postures, London-steered Senator Obama is destined to lose his effort to win the November U.S. Presidential election. His double-crossing of the core of those many Democrats who had supported his earlier campaign for the Democratic nomination, especially in his recent, open turn to radically right-wing, London-steered allegiances and campaign postures, has the hall-marks of a man who has been pre-programmed for political self-destruction by, chiefly, his own hand.

At this time, he should fear no adversary more deadly than himself. It is time to change the baby; either he changes his own diapers, so to speak, or the stench from the dia-

pers will change his candidacy.

The root of the problem is, that Obama, like most of the current pre-election campaigning to date, has been controlled, like the present leaderships of the U.S. Congress, from imperial London, with the principal control exerted, so far, by the Fabian gang associated with the late Tony Blair and Brown on whom Blair dumped the occupation of Blair’s own dirtied political diapers. Now, with the faltering of what had seemed to be the careening juggernaut of the fascist Lisbon Treaty package, and with the greatest financial crisis in all modern history now in a new, more awfully advanced phase of coming down on the world as a whole, the only way the Fabian fascists’ scheme could prevail in the way they have intended would be something like a massive air attack on Iran, by surrogates acting for the current Bush Administration.

This is not to say that McCain could not blow his chances. What is certain among the uncertainties of today, is that most things are about to change radically. The choice of change which will occur, remains uncertain, except that those who are the wrongest among us all, are those who refuse to accept the fact that, the kind of change which they refuse to expect, whatever that might prove to be, is the only certainty in the world’s present situation.